

Home of the Tualatin River National Wildlife Refuge

URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY MEETING PACKET

FOR

Tuesday, March 4, 2014

Sherwood City Hall 22560 SW Pine Street Sherwood, Oregon

URA Board of Directors Regular Meeting

(Following the City Council Meeting)

SHERWOOD URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING AGENDA

Tuesday, March 4, 2014 (following the City Council meeting)

City of Sherwood City Hall 22560 SW Pine Street Sherwood, Oregon

REGULAR URA BOARD MEETING

- 1. CALL TO ORDER
- 2. ROLL CALL
- 3. CONSENT
 - A. Approval of January 7, 2014 URA Board Meeting Minutes
- 4. NEW BUSINESS
 - A. URA Resolution 2014-002 Sherwood Community Center Amendment to Contract for Professional Services (Bob Galati, City Engineer)
- 5. STAFF REPORT
- 6. ADJOURN

SHERWOOD URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING MINUTES Tuesday, January 7, 2014 22560 SW Pine Street, Sherwood, Oregon 97140

URA BOARD REGULAR MEETING

- 1. CALL TO ORDER: Chair Bill Middleton called the meeting to order at 7:39 pm.
- 2. BOARD PRESENT: Chair Bill Middleton, Linda Henderson, Bill Butterfield, Krisanna Clark, Matt Langer, Dave Grant and Robyn Folsom.
- **3. STAFF PRESENT:** City Manager Joseph Gall, Assistant City Manager Tom Pessemier, Community Development Director Julia Hajduk, Public Works Director Craig Sheldon, City Engineer Bob Galati, Administrative Assistant Colleen Resch and Agency Recorder Sylvia Murphy.

Chair Middleton addressed the Consent Agenda and asked for a motion.

4. CONSENT AGENDA:

A. Approval of December 17, 2013 URA Board Meeting Minutes

MOTION: FROM LINDA HENDERSON TO ADOPT THE CONSENT AGENDA, SECONDED BY ROBYN FOLSOM, MOTION PASSED 7:0. ALL BOARD MEMBERS VOTED IN FAVOR.

Chair Middleton addressed the next agenda item.

5. NEW BUSINESS

A. URA Resolution 2014-001 authorizing the URA District Manager to sign the URA contract for Professional Services Amendment #1 with Murray Smith and Associates Inc.

City Engineer Bob Galati approached the Board and explained why the contract with Murray Smith and Associates needed to be modified. He said that during the bidding process they established a project fee of 12% of an estimated project cost. He stated when the bids came in for the construction costs they maintained that 12% erroneously without taking into account the fact that the bids were so low that the engineering fees would not be enough to cover what we expected them to come in at and it was a mistake on their part not to go back to them and say they needed to bump this up to something that was equivalent to the initial estimate based on the estimated construction cost for the services. He stated because this is a contract amendment we need to have the Board give authorization to cover the cost of the work that was performed under the contract.

Ms. Folsom asked how they caught the error.

Mr. Galati explained that the billing payments come in about 2 months after construction is finished. He said they weren't tracking it and started to see it about the 2nd to the last payment when all the work effort was being incorporated. He said they held off and gave them a large sum of the billing at the end.

He noted the original budget amount covers this cost and stated that they were way below budget and the construction costs came in \$500,000 below. He said they were under budget and on time with little impact to the community and that was due to the work of the consultant and they earned their money. He said it was a mistake on their part and it will not happen again as they will do some fact checking and make sure that they get the numbers right in the beginning.

Ms. Folsom clarified that they did the work and it has been done for 6 months and the billings are coming in and we can't pay them without this amendment.

Mr. Galati stated that we have the funds set aside and we could pay out of the contingency but the amount we have set aside in the contract is basically capped and we capped it when we agreed to the 12% or a certain amount of the construction costs. He said we capped what we could pay them. He said to pay them we have the funds available but need approval to amend the contract to cover the amount.

With no other Board comments, Chair Middleton asked for a motion.

MOTION: FROM LINDA HENDERSON TO ADOPT URA RESOLUTION 2014-001, SECONDED BY ROBYN FOLSOM. MOTION PASSED 7:0, ALL MEMBERS VOTED IN FAVOR.

Chair Middleton addressed the next agenda item.

6. STAFF REPORT

Tom Pessemier reminded everyone that on January 10th at 4:00 pm there will be a Ground Breaking Ceremony for the Community Center Project with an open invitation to the community to officially kickoff the project.

7. ADJOURN

Chair Middleton reminded everyone of the holiday lunch on Wednesday December 18th and adjourned the meeting at 7:45 pm.

Submitted by:

Sylvia Murphy, MMC, Agency Recorder

Bill Middleton, Chair

Agenda Item: New Business

TO:Sherwood Urban Renewal Agency Board of DirectorsFROM:
through:Bob Galati PE, City Engineer
Joseph Gall, ICMA-CM, URA District Manager and Tom Pessemier, URA Project
ManagerSUBJECT:URA Resolution 2014-002, Sherwood Community Center Amendment to
Contract for professional services

Issue:

Shall the URA Board approve and authorize the URA District Manager to sign Amendment #1 to the existing Professional Services Contract with Ankrom-Moisan Architects, Inc. for design work not covered under the original contract scope and fee.

Background:

After the demolition of the Machine Works Building, the design team was presented with an issue of how to stabilize the site soils so as to prevent additional work and costs in construction of the building foundation systems. It was anticipated that construction would begin in the middle of winter, and that wet weather conditions may cause repaid deterioration of the soils. The design team was presented with two foundation design options: 1) open cut spread footing, and 2) geopier deep foundation.

Open cut spread footing creates a substantial amount of site soils disturbance. To mitigate this impact, it was recommended by the project geotech that the soils receive cement treatment. Cement treatment stabilizes the soils against moisture impacts and works best when it is applied in drier weather. Since the construction plans were not yet completed for the building, it was suggested that the soils cement treatment process could be released as an earlier project bid package in order to take advantage of the dry season weather.

Ankrom-Moisan Architects was given approval to proceed with development of soils treatment bid package, which would include an associated professional services contract amendment since the work was outside the original contract scope and fee agreement.

The option to switch course and utilize the Geo-pier deep foundation method was made after the bid documents were prepared for performing the soils amendments. The Geo-pier construction method does not require the amount of excavation needed to construction the building footings. This and the fact that it would be a more cost effective method of footing construction supported the reversal of design decision and ended up saving monies and time for the construction of the building.

Financial Impacts:

The original contract amount with Ankrom-Moisan Architects, Inc. for professional design services was \$416,224.00. Contract Amendment #1 amount of \$26,768.75 is an increase of 6.43%. The current budget includes a 10% contingency for architectural services so the amount of this change order is anticipated in the project budget.

Recommendation:

Staff respectfully requests adoption of URA Resolution 2014-002, Sherwood Community Center Project, Ankrom-Moisan Architects Professional Services Contract Amendment #1, authorizing the URA District Manager to sign the contract amendment.



URA RESOLUTION 2014-002

SHERWOOD COMMUNITY CENTER AMENDMENT TO CONTRACT FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

WHEREAS, the URA entered into a Professional Services Contract (Contract) with Ankrom-Moisan Architects Inc. on April 3, 2013 to prepare documents necessary for the bidding and construction of the Sherwood Community Center (Work); and

WHEREAS, the Contract included a specific Scope of Work and associated Fee for performing the Work; and

WHEREAS, the unforeseen necessity to demolish the Machine Works Building presented issues to the URA design team on how to stabilize the site soils during anticipated winter construction of the building foundation, and preventing additional work and project costs; and

WHEREAS, the design team determined that cement treatment of the soils during dry weather was the appropriate measure, notwithstanding that the building foundation type and design had not been determined/completed; and

WHEREAS, Ankrom-Moisan Architects were directed by URA staff to proceed with preparation of a separate soils treatment bid package with the intent of being issued a contract amendment; and

WHEREAS, preparing a separate soils treatment bid package was outside Ankrom-Moisan Architect's original Scope of Work and Fee covered by the existing Professional Services Contract; and

WHEREAS, Ankrom-Moisan Architects did submit a Scope of Work and Fee for performing the additional design work which was accepted by the URA Project Manager; and

WHEREAS, Ankrom-Moisan Architects did prepare a separate soils treatment bid package which was however, not utilized as a final foundation construction method did not require the use of the soils treatment process; and

WHEREAS, the additional Scope of Work and Fee encompasses an increase of \$26,768.75, for a total amended professional services contract amount of \$442,992.75.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE SHERWOOD URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY BOARD RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

- <u>Section 1.</u> The Ankrom-Moisan Architects, Inc. did prepare a project bid package for soils treatment at the direction of URA staff, and that said Work was outside the original Scope of Work and Fee established in the April 3, 2013 contract.
- <u>Section 2.</u> That the URA Administrator is authorized to sign an Amended Contract with Ankrom-Moisan Architects, for design and bidding services as delineated in their Architect's Detailed Scope of Work and Deliverables and Fees submittal, for an amended fee of \$442,992.75.
- **Section 3.** This Resolution shall be effective upon its approval and adoption.

Duly passed by the Urban Renewal Agency Board this 4th day of March 2014.

Bill Middleton, Chair

Attest:

Sylvia Murphy, MMC, Agency Recorder

Sherwood URA Board Meeting

Date: March 4, 2014

List of Meeting Attendees: ----

Request to Speak Forms: ---

Documents submitted at meeting: ---



Approved Minutes

SHERWOOD URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING MINUTES Tuesday, March 4, 2014 22560 SW Pine Street, Sherwood, Oregon 97140

URA BOARD REGULAR MEETING

- 1. CALL TO ORDER: Chair Bill Middleton called the meeting to order at 8:31 pm.
- 2. BOARD PRESENT: Chair Bill Middleton, Linda Henderson, Bill Butterfield, Krisanna Clark, Matt Langer, and Robyn Folsom. Dave Grant was absent.
- 3. STAFF PRESENT: Joseph Gall City Manager, Tom Pessemier Assistant City Manager, Jeff Groth Police Chief, Julia Hajduk Community Development Director, Finance Director Julie Blums, Public Works Director Craig Sheldon, Community Services Director Kristen Switzer, Bob Galati City Engineer, Mark Daniel Police Captain, Officer Colin Drummond, Colleen Resch Administrative Assistant and Sylvia Murphy Agency Recorder. City Attorney Chris Crean.

Chair Middleton addressed the Consent Agenda and asked for a motion.

4. CONSENT AGENDA:

A. Approval of January 7, 2014 URA Board Meeting Minutes

MOTION: FROM LINDA HENDERSON TO ADOPT THE CONSENT AGENDA, SECONDED BY MATT LANGER, MOTION PASSED 6:0. ALL PRESENT BOARD MEMBERS VOTED IN FAVOR, (DAVE GRANT WAS ABSENT).

Chair Middleton addressed the next agenda item.

5. NEW BUSINESS

A. URA Resolution 2014-002 Sherwood Community Center Amendment to Contract for Professional Services

Assistant City Manager Tom Pessemier stated the resolution before the Board was for design services for the Community Center. Tom explained as the original machine works building came down and staff went through processes they looked at the soil under the building and utilized services for review of the soil.

City Engineer Bob Galati explained the services provided were geotechnical review and the consultant made recommendations that staff found to be prudent and be put into place based on timing. He explained they were looking at putting in footings based on an excavation method or a geo-pier method. He said the excavation method had a lot of site disturbance and to accommodate site disturbance during wet weather, staff wanted to do cement treating of the soil during the dry weather season. He said during the process staff did not stop looking at the possibility of what geo-pier was going to be, based on costs and continued to try and see what method would provide the best cost design for the

building. He said staff moved forward and authorized the architectural firm to put together a bid package to release soil treatment, amending the soil for cement treatment preparatory to excavation of foundations. He said the soil conditions came back with a geo-pier system rather than an excavation system and putting in a foundation system was more cost effective. He said staff changed direction and went with a geo-pier system in the design bid materials, however the bid package had been prepared by the sub-consultant. He said the resolution before the Council is due to a significant change over the contract amount and staff felt it was necessary for the Board to authorize the signing of the amended agreement.

Tom further explained the recent groundwork of stabilizing the base allowing the contractor to come in and build the foundation on top of the rock piers. He said if they had not done this, we would see very large holes in the ground filing up with water and causing significant delays to the project costing more money.

Ms. Henderson asked if we are still within the contingency amount, Bob confirmed.

With no other Board comments, the following motion was received.

MOTION: FROM LINDA HENDERSON TO ADOPT URA RESOLUTION 2014-002, SECONDED BY KRISANNA CLARK. MOTION PASSED 6:0, ALL PRESENT MEMBERS VOTED IN FAVOR, (DAVE GRANT WAS ABSENT).

Chair Middleton addressed the next agenda item.

6. STAFF REPORT

No staff report was given and Chair Middleton adjourned the meeting.

7. ADJOURN

Chair Middleton adjourned the meeting at 8:38 pm.

Submitted by:

Sylvia Murphy, MMC, Agency Recorder

Bill Middleton, Chair

URA Board of Directors March 4, 2014 Page 2 of 2