

URBAN RENEWAL DISTRICT BOARD Resolution 2003 - 006

A RESOLUTION APPROVING A FACADE GRANT FOR STEWART-MAPLETHORPE PROPERTIES, LLC

WHEREAS, the Urban Renewal District created the Façade Grant Program by URA Resolution 2003–001 in January 2003; and

WHEREAS, Stewart Maplethorpe Properties, LLC has submitted an application for a grant shown as Exhibit A to this document; and

WHEREAS, this request has been reviewed by the Sherwood Urban Renewal Planning and Advisory Commission (SURPAC), at their regular June 18th, 2003 meeting; and

WHEREAS, SURPAC recommends approval of the Grant;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE URBAN RENEWAL DISTRICT BOARD RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

The District Administrator is authorized to distribute up to \$15,000 in accordance to the terms and conditions of the Façade Grant program adopted in January, of 2003, to Stewart-Maplethorpe Properties, LLC.

Duly passed by the City of Sherwood Urban Renewal District this 24th day of June 2003.

Keith Mays, Board President

ATTEST:

Recorder

URA Resolution 2003-006 June 24, 2003 Page 1 of 1 with 1 Exhibit attached

	C1 ^{City of}	RECEI
Ho	Sherwood Oregon ome of the Tivalatin River National Wildlife R	MAY 1.2
	Facade Program Grant	O ITY OF SHE F
Date of Application: <u>May 9, 2</u>		
	Business Information	
Stewart-Maplethorpe Proper	ties, LLC	
Name of Business		1
25 North Pine Street		
Physical Business Address		
Aailing Address (if different from physical addre	əss)	,
503-625-6839		
Jusiness Phone	<u>503–245–9236</u> Business Fax	Web site
25 North Pine Street ddress of Property to be Improved Eugene Stewart		Phone
pplication being submitted by	503-625-8072 Phone	EugeneS103@AOL.com E-mail
	Project Information	
	ch quoto, photos or other inform	nation as appropriate (Ploase attack
lease describe scope of project. Attac	in quote, photos or other inform	anon do appropriate. (Fiease allach
daitional sneets as required).	<i>1</i> 1	
danuonai sneets as required). Replace windows, reside rema	ining two sides, replac	
dditional sneets as required). Replace windows, reside rema	ining two sides, replac	
Replace windows, reside rema parking. See attached preli	ining two sides, replac minary plans.	
domonalsneets as required). Replace windows, reside rema parking. See attached preli	ining two sides, replac minary plans.	e porch and add off street
Replace windows, reside rema parking. See attached preli	ining two sides, replace minary plans. Est. Project (Budget	e porch and add off street Completion Date:October 2003
Contronal sneets as required). Replace windows, reside rema parking. See attached preli St. Project Start Date: June 2003 Cotal Project Cost: ollar Amount Requested:	ining two sides, replace minary plans. Est. Project (Budget	e porch and add off street Completion Date: 000+
Contional sneets as required). Replace windows, reside rema parking. See attached preli St. Project Start Date: June 2003 Cotal Project Cost: Cotal Project Cost: Collar Amount Requested:	ining two sides, replace minary plans. Est. Project of Budget \$40,	e porch and add off street Completion Date: 000+
Please describe scope of project. Attac additional sheets as required). Replace windows, reside rema parking. See attached preli Est. Project Start Date: June 2003 Fotal Project Cost: Dollar Amount Requested: Please see attached Guidelines)	ining two sides, replace minary plans. Est. Project of Budget \$40,	e porch and add off street Completion Date: 000+

· • N

 c_{2}

April 9, 2003

••

Maplethorpe Stewart Building Estimate		
Material framing & ramp& porch trex decking and railing	10000	
Labor including extending roof overhangs	12000	i
Siding material	3000	
Windows including interior trim material	5300	
Doors (2)	500	
Concrete slab under covered porch	850	
Move meter base & move panel	2400	
New roof	5000	
New gutters	400	
2		

Parking lot & sidewalk?

\$ 39,450



EAST ELEVATION SCALE 1/4" = 1' - 0"



Ross Schultz

From:	Dave Wechner
Sent:	Wednesday, May 14, 2003 5:47 PM
То:	Ross Schultz
Subject:	Stewart/Maplethorpe application for OT facade grant

Ross --

INTODOUGU

5

After reviewing the Stewart-Maplethorpe Properties LLC application, I conclude that the application generally meets the intent and purpose of the facade grant program, with two exceptions (one which is itemized but not estimate provided in the grant application):

1) The parking lot and sidewalk are not part of the building facade, and therefore should not qualify.

2) The new roof proposed is not part of the facade; it is a functionally separate part of most of the buildings in Old Town. While it is visible on this particular structure from the street, most other buildings would not qualify for new roofs under this program, because they are not even visible from the gound level. Roofs are generally regarded as separate architectectural features from the facade of the building. However, extensions of the "roof overhangs" (eaves) would qualify as treatments to the face or facade of the building, and should be included.

My recommendation would be to fund the items listed in the application, with these two exceptions -- new roof (\$5000); and, parking lot and sidewalk (\$ not specified).

Dave Wechner, AICP Planning Director City of Sherwood 20 NW Washington Sherwood, OR 97140 (503) 625-4205

Memo	Sherwood Oregon
То:	Terry Keyes
From:	Ross Schultz
Subject:	Stewart-Maplethorpe Properties Facade Grant Proposal
Date:	May 20, 2003

The proposal generally looks pretty good. In concept I believe most of the items proposed in the draft plans will enhance the existing Stewart-Maplethorpe building. The positive aspects in this proposal are:

- Addition of a porch spanning the entire length of the building
- Including ADA accessability to the building
- Residing two sides of the building
- Replacing the windows

11110

While the concepts look good, the proposal leaves a number of answered questions such as:

- What type of siding will be used to reside the building?
- Which two sides of the building will be resided?
- What types of windows will be used as replacements?
- What roofing material will be used on the porch and any other areas that are reroofed?
- What type of landscaping (species, sizes, numbers) will be used in front of the new porch?
- Will the area under the new porch be fully enclosed by the same siding as that used on the building?
- What paint colors will be used? (This is an extremely important issue that can make or break how this building looks).

The other improvement proposed is a new parking area on the northwest side of the building. While offstreet parking in small dispersed lots is the direction we want to encourage downtown, I do not believe this type of improvement falls within the facade grant program.

In conclusion, I recommend SURPAC *endorse* but not approve this proposal. SURPAC should as for more detail and answers to the questions above. Once the property owners answer these questions to the satisfaction of SURPAC, I think we have a good project to provide grant funding for.

City of Sherwood Engineering Department 400 SE Willamette Sherwood, OR 97140

503-925-2300 503-625-0679 (fax)