

RESOLUTION 2011-072

A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING THE BROOKMAN FUNDING PLAN FOR THE BROOKMAN CONCEPT PLAN AREA

WHEREAS, the Brookman area was brought into the Urban Growth Boundary in 2002 by Metro via Ord. 02-0969B; and

WHEREAS, the City of Sherwood developed a concept plan for the area and adopted the Brookman Area Concept Plan and implementing Ordinances in 2009 via Ord. 09-004; and

WHEREAS, Implementation Policy 8.2.a requires that "prior to or concurrent with annexation and assignment of zoning of properties within the Brookman addition area, a plan shall be prepared and adopted by Council to ensure that the necessary infrastructure improvements will be available and a funding mechanism or combination of funding mechanisms are in place consistent with the funding options identified in the concept plan and in full compliance with the Transportation Planning Rule"; and

WHEREAS, the City has prepared a Funding Plan identifying the updated improvement costs, updated anticipated revenues and identified local, county and regional funding sources; and

WHEREAS, the Funding Plan demonstrates that the anticipated costs of providing service to the area are reasonable likely to be funding through existing local, County or regional funding sources within the planning horizon; and

WHEREAS, this finding demonstrates compliance with the Transportation Planning Rule by ensuring that transportation improvements needed to accommodate growth in the Brookman Area are reasonably likely to be funded; and

WHEREAS, the Funding Plan is based upon the entire Brookman area being annexed at one time, which ensures that revenues to fund necessary improvements throughout the area can be obtained over time.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY OF SHERWOOD RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

Resolution 2011-072 August 16, 2011 Page 1 of 2, with Exhibit A (4 pgs) Section 1. The City Council accepts the Funding Plan for the Brookman area, attached as Exhibit A, acknowledging the costs and revenue associated with development of the Brookman area consistent with the Brookman Concept Plan.

Section 2. This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its passage by the Council and signature by the Mayor.

Duly passed by the City Council this 16th day of August 2011.

Keith S. Mays, Mayor

Attest:

Sylvia Murphy, CMC, City Recorder

Brookman Funding Plan

July 29, 2011

Background

The Brookman Area concept plan includes plans for the extension of water, sanitary and storm sewer and road improvements throughout the area. The plan also identifies needed upgrades to accommodate the increase in people and jobs in the area. When the Brookman Concept Plan was adopted it was realized that the cost of these improvements would not be fully covered by the System Development Charges (SDC) anticipated, however it was determined that a detailed plan for addressing the funding gap would be better addressed through coordination with potential developers and the City prior to or concurrent with annexation. Since the plan was adopted, however, the economy took a downturn and developers appear hesitant to step up and even discuss development when funding issues are undefined.

In an effort to provide more certainty for the development community and the residents in the Brookman area and the City of Sherwood, the City has decided to take the lead on developing a funding plan. This funding plan is also a prerequisite for annexation. (Brookman Concept Plan Implementation Policy 8.2.a)

Identified need

It is assumed that there is a certain level of improvement that is expected to be covered by any development and generally serves or benefits primarily that development. This includes: local roadways, traffic calming measures directly related to development, 8-inch water pipes, local sanitary sewer lines, and storm water detention facilities. The concept Plan identified the following needs for improvements that are not generally or typically paid for by developers:

| | Identified projects | Estimated cost (2008) not paid by developer |
|----------------|---|--|
| Water | Main reservoir upgrade | 400,000 |
| | Reservoir no. 2 | 4,700,000 |
| | SW Sherwood PRV | 190,000 |
| 5 | 12-inch water main pipes | 1,931,000 |
| | Total | 7,221,000 |
| Sanitary | Collection System Extension Area 54/55 | 1,292,430 |
| | Capacity upgrade | 113,176 |
| | Capacity Update | 133,176 |
| | Total | 1,538,782 |
| | Assumes regional water quality facilities: | |
| | Base Construction items | 181,771 |
| - | Conveyance infrastructure | 467,412 |
| E | Detention facilities | 0 |
| Storm | Construction contingencies | 259,673 |
| S | Engineering and permitting | 454,428 |
| | Land Acquisition | 0 |
| | Staffing and Appraisal | 601,875 |
| | Total | 1,965,160 |
| | Old Hwy 99-Upgrade to collector standards | 1,235,000 |
| | Brookman, east of Middleton - Urbanize and rebuild existing roadway | 10,855,000 |
| | Brookman, west of Middleton - Construct new collector with rail crossing | 6,770,000 |
| | Brookman/Old Hwy 99 intersection – construct a round-about | 800,000 |
| 5 | Hwy 99W/Sunset intersection – add eastbound right turn overlap phase ¹ | 10,000 |
| ţ | Hwy 99W/Sunset intersection – Add westbound right turn lane | 250,000 |
| ta | Hwy 99W/Sunset intersection – Add westbound right turn overlap phase | 10,000 |
| 0 | Hwy 99W/Brookman intersection – Add traffic signal | 250,000 |
| Transportation | Sunset-Timbral intersection – Construct a round-about | 800,000 |
| an | Sunset/Redfern intersection – all-way stop control | 10,000 |
| Ĕ | Brookman/Ladd Hill intersection - All-way stop control | 10,000 |
| | Brookman/Ladd Hill intersection – add southbound right turn lane | 250,000 |
| | Or Construct round-about | 800,000 |
| | Total | 21.250.000-21,790,000 ² |

¹ The Highway 99W/Sunset improvements were determined no longer necessary after adjustments to the jobs housing mix, however that change was made after the cost analysis was completed.

Identified Revenue

The Concept Plan includes estimated revenue via SDC's to fund the needed improvements. The estimates were made based on the plan draft recommended by the Steering Committee and were not updated after adjustments to the jobs-housing mix at the Planning Commission and Council level.

Comparison of assumptions in Fiscal impact analysis and final adopted plan:

| | Fiscal impact analysis assumptions | Final adopted plan |
|---------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Retail | 29 jobs | 29 jobs |
| Office | 349 jobs | 774 jobs |
| Industrial | 102 jobs | 226 jobs |
| Single family | 943 dwelling units | 798 dwelling units |
| Multi-family | 296 dwelling units | 290 dwelling units |

This difference in the number of housing units and jobs results in a significant change in the revenue projections:

| | Estimated SDC revenue ³ | | | |
|---------------|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | Traffic SDC and TIF | Sanitary | Storm SDC | water SDC |
| Retail | 663,125 | 96,318 | 18,874 | 87,106 |
| Office | 1,569,957 | 272,901 | 121,448 | 275,204 |
| Industrial | 795,109 | 476,505 | 121,448 | 439,955 |
| Single family | 4,811,812 | 3,416,529 | 617,345 | 5,042,641 |
| Multi-family | 106,370 | 1,241,569 | 84,157 | 1,832,569 |
| Total | 8,904,372 | 5,503,849 | 963,272 | 7,677,474 |

Identified gaps and plan to fill

| | Estimated Costs based on final adopted plan | Estimated revenues based on final adopted plan | Additional funding sources | Gap |
|----------|---|--|--|-----------|
| Water | 7,221,000 | 7,677,474 | | None |
| Sanitary | 1,538,782 | 5,503,849 | | None |
| Storm | 1,965,160 | 963,272 | | 1,001,888 |
| Traffic | 20,880,000- \$21,420,000 | 8,904,372 | RTP project #10682 =\$20,510,000 ⁴ | None |

² With removal of the Highway 99W/Sunset improvements, the total cost estimate is reduced by \$370,000 to \$20,880,000-\$21,420,000.

³ All assumptions used for calculation of SDCs is from the April 2008 Fiscal Impact Analysis in the Brookman Concept Plan appendix with updates needed to reflect the job and housing changes.

⁴ This project is to reconstruct Brookman Road to collector standards between 99W and Ladd Hill. It is on the financially constrained list in the RTP indicating that it is reasonably likely to be funding within the RTP planning horizon (2035) and is eligible for Federal funds through the MTIP process

Conclusion

The updated analysis reveals that the only area in which there is a gap between projected costs and revenues is in storm water. Because the costs are based on an assumed regional water quality facility, it is possible that the gap can be addressed simply by requiring all development to address storm water on their own property for their own development. This is not ideal, and the City should continue to work towards funding regional facilities in the area; however the lack of funding will not undermine the ability of the area to develop consistent with accepted storm water design practices.

All needed transportation improvements to support the projected build out of the area can reasonably likely be funding from existing identified sources within the planning horizon.

All needed sanitary sewer improvements to support the projected build out of the area can reasonably likely be funding through SDC's collected as development occurs in the area.

All needed water improvements to support the projected build out of the area can reasonably likely be funding through SDC's collected as development occurs in the area.

That said, all improvements may not be available at the same time a developer is interested in developing. While it is anticipated that improvements can be funding, the timing may not match when a developer needs them to be in place. In those instances, a developer has the following potential options:

- Construct improvement and receive credits equal to the amount of the eligible improvement
- Request the formation of a reimbursement district to recoup the costs incurred from future developers
- Form a local improvement district