#### City of Sherwood, OR

#### RESOLUTION No. 88-405

A RESOLUTION INITIATING ANNEXATION TO THE CITY OF A TERRITIORY MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS:

A PARCEL OF LAND SITUATED IN THE SOUTHEAST ONE-QUARTER OF SECTION 32, TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 1 COUNTY. WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, WASHINGTON OREGON BEGINNING AT A POINT ON THE CENTERLINE OF S.E. DIVISION STREET, SAID POINT BEING NORTH 88 DEGREES 21'00" EAST 1000 FEET, MORE OR LESS, FROM THE CENTER OF SECTION 32; THENCE CONTINUING ALONG THE SAID CENTERLINE OF S.E. DIVISION STREET, NORTH 88 DEGREES 21'00" 318.5 FEET, MORE OR LESS; THENCE LEAVING CENTERLINE, SOUTH 330 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 88 DEGREES 21'00" WEST 318.5 FEET, MORE OR LESS; THENCE NORTH 330 FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, CONSISTING OF 2.26 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.

WHEREAS, annexation to the City of the territory so bounded would constitute a "minor boundary change" under the boundary commission law, ORS 199.410 to 199.510; and

WHEREAS, by authority of ORS 199.490 (1)(a) the City Council may initiate the annexation of this territory; and

WHEREAS, two of the three tax lots within the territory proposed for annexation are currently serviced by the City water system, and the owner of the third lot has requested extension of water service.

NOW THEREFORE, THE CITY OF SHERWOOD RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

<u>Section 1.</u> The Council, pursuant to ORS 199.490 (a) hereby initiates proceedings for annexation of this territory, known as Tax Lots 100, 201, and 203, 2S1-32D, and portions of adjacent public rights-of-way, to the City.

<u>Section 2.</u> The Council hereby approves the proposed annexation, and requests approval by the Portland Metropolitan Area Local Government Boundary Commission.

 $\underline{\text{Section}}$  3. The City Recorder is hereby directed to file with the Boundary Commission a certified copy of this Resolution and supporting documentation.

Norma Jean Oyler, Mayor City of Sherwood, Oregon

Tolly Glankenbaker
Polly Blankenbaker, Recorder

Passed by the City Council April 27, 1988

	AYE	<u>NAY</u>
OYLER	✓	
CHAVEZ	<u> </u>	
BIRCHILL		
STEWART	$\overline{V}$	
HITCHCOCK		

## PMALGBC FORM #6

# BOUNDARY CHANGE DATA SHEET FOR ANNEXATION TO THE CITY OF Sherwood

A.	Land Area: Acres 2.26 or Square Miles					
В.	General Description of Territory: (include topographic features such as slopes, vegetation, drainage basins, flood plain areas which are pertinent to this proposal)					
	Two lots developed residences, property slopes east and south					
	primarily open field					
С.	Existing Land Use:					
	Number of single family units $\frac{2}{2}$ multi-family units $\frac{0}{2}$					
	Number of commercial structures 0 industrial structure 0					
	Public facilities or other uses (Please describe) 0					
D.	Total Current Year Assessed Valuation \$					
E.	Total Estimated Population: 4					
	Current County Zoning Status (if territory contains more than one land use zo please indicate tax lot numbers and existing zoning designation for those tax  City Plan = LDR (5 units to acre)  County Plan = R-6 (6 units to acre)  Is the area adjacent to the territory to be annexed (and not in the city or district) of the same general character or degree of development as the territory to be annexed?					
F.	Current County Zoning Status (if territory contains more than one land use zo please indicate tax lot numbers and existing zoning designation for those tax  City Plan = LDR (5 units to acre)  County Plan = R-6 (6 units to acre)  Is the area adjacent to the territory to be annexed (and not in the city or district) of the same general character or degree of development as the territor be annexed?  Yes No See below					
F.	Current County Zoning Status (if territory contains more than one land use zo please indicate tax lot numbers and existing zoning designation for those tax  City Plan = LDR (5 units to acre)  County Plan = R-6 (6 units to acre)  Is the area adjacent to the territory to be annexed (and not in the city or district) of the same general character or degree of development as the territor to be annexed?  Yes No See below  If Yes, why isn't the adjacent area included in the proposal?					
F.	Current County Zoning Status (if territory contains more than one land use zo please indicate tax lot numbers and existing zoning designation for those tax  City Plan = LDR (5 units to acre)  County Plan = R-6 (6 units to acre)  Is the area adjacent to the territory to be annexed (and not in the city or district) of the same general character or degree of development as the territor be annexed?  Yes No See below					
F.	Current County Zoning Status (if territory contains more than one land use zo please indicate tax lot numbers and existing zoning designation for those tax City Plan = LDR (5 units to acre)  County Plan = R-6 (6 units to acre)  Is the area adjacent to the territory to be annexed (and not in the city or district) of the same general character or degree of development as the territor be annexed?  Yes No See below  If Yes, why isn't the adjacent area included in the proposal?  Annexation extends to two tax lots receiving City water service. The third					
F.	Current County Zoning Status (if territory contains more than one land use zo please indicate tax lot numbers and existing zoning designation for those tax  City Plan = LDR (5 units to acre)  County Plan = R-6 (6 units to acre)  Is the area adjacent to the territory to be annexed (and not in the city or district) of the same general character or degree of development as the territor be annexed?  Yes No See below  If Yes, why isn't the adjacent area included in the proposal?  Annexation extends to two tax lots receiving City water service. The third lot is in common ownership with one of the developed lots					
F.	Current County Zoning Status (if territory contains more than one land use zo please indicate tax lot numbers and existing zoning designation for those tax  City Plan = LDR (5 units to acre)  County Plan = R-6 (6 units to acre)  Is the area adjacent to the territory to be annexed (and not in the city or district) of the same general character or degree of development as the territor be annexed?  Yes No See below  If Yes, why isn't the adjacent area included in the proposal?  Annexation extends to two tax lots receiving City water service. The third lot is in common ownership with one of the developed lots					
F.	Current County Zoning Status (if territory contains more than one land use zo please indicate tax lot numbers and existing zoning designation for those tax  City Plan = LDR (5 units to acre)  County Plan = R-6 (6 units to acre)  Is the area adjacent to the territory to be annexed (and not in the city or district) of the same general character or degree of development as the territo be annexed?  Yes No See below  If Yes, why isn't the adjacent area included in the proposal?  Annexation extends to two tax lots receiving City water service. The third lot is in common ownership with one of the developed lots  If No, how does the adjacent area differ?					

•	в.	Can the proposed development be achieved under current county zoning?			
		Yes X No			
		If No, has a zone change been sought from the county either formally or informally for the property under consideration.			
		Yes No N/A			
		Please describe outcome of zone change request if answer to the above question is 'Yes' N/A			
	c.	Is the proposed development compatible with the county comprehensive plan and/or the Regional Framework Plan?			
		Yes X No			
		Briefly explain compatibility or incompatibility.			
		Property is zoned residential and is developed or proposed for development to residential uses.			
	D.	Is the proposed development compatible with the city's Comprehensive Land Use Plan for the area?			
		Yes x No City has no plan for the area			
		Has the proposed development been discussed either formally or informally with any of the following: (please indicate)			
		City Planning Commission x City Planning Staff x			
		City Council x City Manager x			
		Please describe the reaction to the proposed development from the persons or agencies indicated above. Supportive - see Attached resolution			
	E.	If a city and/or county-sanctioned citizens' group exists in the area of the annexation, please list its name and the name and address of a contact person.			
		C.P.O. #5 Kelly Sampson Chairman 682-1321			
III.	REA	SON FOR BOUNDARY CHANGE			
*	Α.	ORS 199.462 of the Boundary Commission Act states: 'When reviewing a boundary change, a boundary commission shall consider economic, demographic, and sociological projections pertinent to the proposal, and past and prospective physical developments of land that would directly or indirectly be affected by			
		the proposed boundary change." Considering these points, please provide the reasons the proposed boundary change should be made. Please be very specific. Use additional pages if necessary.  Two of three lots are serviced by City water. Third lot desires service.			
		Annexation is implementation of policy requiring incorporation into			
		the City in order to receive services.			

	В.			specific municipal services such as water service, tection, etc., please indicate the following:
		1.	example: 8" water main Please indicate whose if facilities will be the the facilities belong to by which they will prov	s (such as water mains, sewer laterals, etc.) to the d. (Please indicate location of facilities—for in Durham Rd. 500 feet from east edge of territory.). Facilities they are and whether in fact these ones actually providing service to the area. If to another governmental entity, explain the agreement yide the service and what the city's policy is on and/or compensation to the other unit.
				property along Division Street
			-8" sewerline runs pa	rallel to property but is one row of lots north
		2.		ces can be reasonably provided by the city or district
			Water and sewer avail imately 500')	able (in the case of sewer line must be extended appro-
		3.	The estimated cost of e	extending such facilities and/or services and what financing? (Attach any supporting documents.)
			Cost of sewer extensi	on \$20-22 linear foot at expense of property owner
		4.	Availability of the des (Please indicate the go	sired service from any other unit of local government.
			N/A	
IV.	EXI	STIN	G GOVERNMENTAL SERVICES	IN THE TERRITORY
	Α.	bou	ndaries of any of the fo	in the proposal is presently included within the collowing types of governmental units, please so indinames of the governmental units involved:
		Cit	y Sherwood C	County Service Dist. Sheriffs patrol and Urban Road
			. Lighting D <del>ist</del> al Fire Dist. Tualatin	Park & Rec. Dist.  Rural  Sanitary District  U.S.A.
		Itali		ater District City of Sherwood
	В.	are		re presently servicing the territory (for instance, tory hooked up to a public sewer or water system),  City water
				NAME: James H. Rapp, City Manager (Title)
				ADDRESS: 90 NW Park Street, SHerwood
				TELEPHONE NO: 625-5522
DAT	ΓΕ:	Apr	i1 6, 1988	AGENCY: City of Sherwood

#### PMALGBC FORM #5

## (This form is <u>NOT</u> the Petition)

#### ALL OF THE OWNERS OF PROPERTY INCLUDED IN BOUNDARY CHANGE PROPOSAL AREA

(To be completed <u>IF</u> the proposal contains <u>10 or fewer properties-tax lots or parcels). Please indicate the name and address of all owners of each property. This is for notification purposes.</u>

-	NAME OF OWNER	ADDRESS	PROPERTY DESIGNATION (Indicate Tax Lot, Section number, and Township Range
1)	Pauline McKeel	Box 249, 615 SE Division	100:2S-1-32D
		Sherwood, OR 97140	
2)	Pauline McKeel	Box 249, 615 SE Division	203:2S-1-32D
		Sherwood, OR 97140	
3)	Craig W. & Julia K. Kurath	585 SE Division	201:2S-1-32D
		Sherwood, OR 97140	
4)			•
5)			
6)			
7)			
•			
8)			
9)			
٠,		The second secon	
10)			
10)			

# SE 1/4 SECTION 32 T2S RIW W.M.

WASHINGTON COUNTY OREGON

