

City of Sherwood

Resolution No. 87-385

A RESOLUTION INITIATING THE ANNEXATION TO THE CITY OF SHERWOOD OF AN "ISLAND" TERRITORY TOTALLY SURROUNDED BY THE CITY LIMITS, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS:

A PARCEL OF LAND SITUATED IN THE SOUTHEAST ONE-QUARTER OF SECTION 32, TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 1 WEST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, WASHINGTON COUNTY, OREGON, BEGINNING AT A POINT IN THE CENTER OF S.E. DIVISION STREET, SAID POINT BEING N 88°21' E 900 FEET, MORE OR LESS, FROM THE CENTER OF SAID SECTION 32; THENCE S 0°36' E, ALONG THE EASTERLY LINE OF THAT TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED BY DEED RECORDED IN BOOK 367, AT PAGE 634, WASHINGTON COUNTY DEED RECORDS, 225.63 FEET TO THE SOUTHEASTERLY CORNER THEREOF; THENCE S 86°21' W, ALONG THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID TRACT OF LAND, 211.84 FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO THE SOUTHWESTERLY CORNER THEREOF; THENCE CONTINUING WESTERLY, ALONG THE WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SAID SOUTHERLY LINE, 10 FEET; THENCE N 0°36' W, PARALLEL TO, AND 10 FEET WESTERLY OF, THE WESTERLY LINE OF SAID TRACT OF LAND, 225.63 FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO A POINT ON THE SAID CENTER OF S.E. DIVISION STREET; THENCE N 88°21' E, ALONG THE SAID CENTER OF S.E. DIVISION STREET, 221.84 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

WHEREAS, annexation to the City of the territory so bounded would constitute a "minor boundary change" under the boundary commission law, ORS 199.410 to 199.519; and a so-called "island" annexation under ORS 222.750; and

WHEREAS, by authority of ORS 199.490 (5) (a) the City Council may initiate the annexation; and

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY OF SHERWOOD RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The Council, pursuant to ORS 199.490 (5) (a) hereby initiates proceedings for annexation of this territory to the City.

Section 2. The Council hereby approves the proposed annexation and requests the Portland Metropolitan Area Local Government Boundary Commission to approve it, and to effect it, as soon as possible.

Section 3. The City Recorder is hereby directed to file a certified copy of this Resolution with the Boundary Commission at once.

Adopted by the City Council June 24, 1987.

Norma Jean Oyler
Norma Jean Oyler, Mayor
City of Sherwood

Polly Blankenbaker
Polly Blankenbaker, Recorder

| | <u>AYE</u> | <u>NAY</u> |
|-------------|------------|-------------|
| OYLER | <u>✓</u> | <u> </u> |
| CHAVEZ | <u>✓</u> | <u> </u> |
| BIRCHILL | <u>✓</u> | <u> </u> |
| STEWART | <u>✓</u> | <u> </u> |
| NIGHTINGALE | <u>✓</u> | <u> </u> |

PMALGBC FORM #4

CERTIFICATION OF LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP

I hereby certify that the description of the property included within the attached petition (located on Assessor's Map 251 32 D) has been checked by me and it is a true and exact description of the property under consideration, and the description corresponds to the attached map indicating the property under consideration.

NAME

James Oates

TITLE

CARTOGRAPHER II

DEPARTMENT

ASSESSMENT & TAXATION

COUNTY OF

WASHINGTON

DATE

June 9, 1987

PMALGBC FORM #6

BOUNDARY CHANGE DATA SHEET FOR
ANNEXATION TO THE CITY OF SHERWOOD

I. EXISTING CONDITIONS OF TERRITORY DESCRIBED IN BOUNDARY CHANGE

A. Land Area: Acres 1.00 or Square Miles _____

B. General Description of Territory: (include topographic features such as slopes, vegetation, drainage basins, flood plain areas which are pertinent to this proposal)

Single tax lot sited on crest of hill, and containing one single family house.

C. Existing Land Use:

Number of single family units 1 multi-family units 0

Number of commercial structures 0 industrial structure 0

Public facilities or other uses (Please describe)

0

D. Total Current Year Assessed Valuation \$ 56,200.00

E. Total Estimated Population: 1

F. Current County Zoning Status (if territory contains more than one land use zone, please indicate tax lot numbers and existing zoning designation for those tax lots)

Not shown on County Plan

Zoned Low Density Residential on City Plan

G. Is the area adjacent to the territory to be annexed (and not in the city or district) of the same general character or degree of development as the territory to be annexed?

Yes _____ No _____ N/A

If Yes, why isn't the adjacent area included in the proposal?

N/A

If No, how does the adjacent area differ?

N/A

II. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF TERRITORY DESCRIBED IN BOUNDARY CHANGE

A. If the property is entirely or substantially undeveloped, what are the plans for future development? (Be specific--if site or development plans have been prepared please submit a copy.)

N/A

B. Can the proposed development be achieved under current county zoning?

Yes _____ No _____ N/A

If No, has a zone change been sought from the county either formally or informally for the property under consideration.

Yes _____ No _____ N/A

Please describe outcome of zone change request if answer to the above question is 'Yes'

N/A

C. Is the proposed development compatible with the county comprehensive plan and/or the Regional Framework Plan?

Yes _____ No _____ N/A

Briefly explain compatibility or incompatibility.

D. Is the proposed development compatible with the city's Comprehensive Land Use Plan for the area? N/A

Yes _____ No _____ City has no plan for the area _____

Has the proposed development been discussed either formally or informally with any of the following: (please indicate)

City Planning Commission X City Planning Staff X

City Council X City Manager X

Please describe the reaction to the proposed development from the persons or agencies indicated above.

N/A

E. If a city and/or county-sanctioned citizens' group exists in the area of the annexation, please list its name and the name and address of a contact person.

N/A

III. REASON FOR BOUNDARY CHANGE

A. ORS 199.462 of the Boundary Commission Act states: "When reviewing a boundary change, a boundary commission shall consider economic, demographic, and sociological projections pertinent to the proposal, and past and prospective physical developments of land that would directly or indirectly be affected by the proposed boundary change." Considering these points, please provide the reasons the proposed boundary change should be made. Please be very specific. Use additional pages if necessary.

Property is currently an "island" within the City limits, and receives City water services, and is accessed via City roadway.

B. If the reason is to obtain specific municipal services such as water service, sewerage service, fire protection, etc., please indicate the following:

1. Proximity of facilities (such as water mains, sewer laterals, etc.) to the territory to be annexed. (Please indicate location of facilities--for example: 8" water main in Durham Rd. 500 feet from east edge of territory.). Please indicate whose facilities they are and whether in fact these facilities will be the ones actually providing service to the area. If the facilities belong to another governmental entity, explain the agreement by which they will provide the service and what the city's policy is on subsequent withdrawal and/or compensation to the other unit.

- 1. 8" waterline passes property along Division St.
- 2. 8" sewerline runs parallel to Division St. but is one row of lots north of property.

2. The time at which services can be reasonably provided by the city or district.
Sewerline available for connection at any time.

3. The estimated cost of extending such facilities and/or services and what is to be the method of financing? (Attach any supporting documents.)
Not known as to cost. Sewer connections would be financed by property owner.

4. Availability of the desired service from any other unit of local government. (Please indicate the government.)
N/A

IV. EXISTING GOVERNMENTAL SERVICES IN THE TERRITORY

A. If the territory described in the proposal is presently included within the boundaries of any of the following types of governmental units, please so indicate by stating the name or names of the governmental units involved:

City _____ County Service Dist. _____
 Hwy. Lighting Dist. _____ Park & Rec. Dist. _____
 Rural Fire Dist. _____ Sanitary District _____ Unified Sewerage _____
 _____ Water District _____

B. If any of the above units are presently servicing the territory (for instance, are residences in the territory hooked up to a public sewer or water system), please so described.

Territory is served by City of Sherwood water system

NAME: James Rapp, City Manager (Title)

ADDRESS: 90 NW Park Street

TELEPHONE NO: 625-5522

AGENCY: City of Sherwood

DATE: _____

PMALGBC FORM #5

(This Form is NOT the Petition)

ALL THE OWNERS OF PROPERTY INCLUDED IN BOUNDARY CHANGE PROPOSAL AREA

(To be completed IF the proposal contains 10 or fewer properties--tax lots or parcels). Please indicate the name and address of all owners of each property regardless of whether or not they signed an annexation petition. This is for notification purposes.

| | NAME OF OWNER | ADDRESS | PROPERTY DESIGNATION (Indicate Tax Lot, Section Number, and Township Range) |
|------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| (1) | City of Sherwood | 90 NW Park Street, Sherwood | Tax Lot 290:2 S1 32D (portion) |
| (2) | Helen Meissinger | 375 E. Division St., Sherwood | Tax Lot 300: 2 S1 32D |
| (3) | | | |
| (4) | | | |
| (5) | | | |
| (6) | | | |
| (7) | | | |
| (8) | | | |
| (9) | | | |
| (10) | | | |


SE 1/4 SECTION

WASHINGTON

SCALE

SEE MAP
2S 1 32 AC

88-10



Island to be annexed

88-10