CITY OF SHERWOOD

RESOLUTION NO. 87-376

A RESOLUTION INITIATING ANNEXATION TO THE CITY OF A TERRITORY PRIMARILY WITHIN THE STATE HIGHWAY 99 WEST RIGHT-OF-WAY:

> A PARCEL OF LAND SITUATED IN THE SOUTH ONE-HALF OF SECTION 30, TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 1 WEST OF THE WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, WASHINGTON COUNTY, OREGON, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT THE INTERSECTION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID SECTION 30, AND THE SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF S.W. PACIFIC HIGHWAY; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG THE SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, 450 FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO THE NORTHEASTERLY CORNER OF THAT TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED BY DEED RECORDED IN BOOK 494, AT PAGE 252, WASHINGTON COUNTY DEED RECORDS; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY, LEAVING SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE AT RIGHT ANGLES THERETO, 260 FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO A POINT ON THE NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF SAID S.W. PACIFIC HIGHWAY; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY, 680 FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO A POINT ON THE SAID SOUTH LINE OF SECTION 30; THENCE EASTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE, CROSSING SAID S.W. PACIFIC HIGHWAY, 265 FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

WHEREAS, annexation to the City of the territory so bounded would constitute a "minor boundary change" under the boundary commission law, ORS 199.410 to 199.510; and

WHEREAS, by authority of ORS 199.490 (1) (a) the City Council may initiate the annexation; and

WHEREAS, this is an annexation of a territory that is primarily public highway right-of-way.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY OF SHERWOOD RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

<u>Section 1</u>. The Council, pursuant to ORS 199.490 (1) (a) hereby initiates proceedings for annexation of this territory, which is primarily a portion of the right-of-way for Pacific Highway 99 West.

Resolution No. 87-376 Page 1 Section 2. The Council hereby approves the proposed annexation and requests the Portland Metropolitan Area Local Government Boundary Commission to approve it.

Section 3. The City Recorder is hereby directed to file a certified copy of the Resolution with the Commission at once.

Adopted by the City Council (April 22, 1987.

Norma Jean Oyler, Mayor City of Sherwood

Blankenbaker, Recorder

	AYE	NAY
OYLER MANDERFELD BIRCHILL STEWART		
NIGHTINGALE	V	

PMALGEC FORM #4

CERTIFICATION OF LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP

I hereby certify that the description of the property included within the attached petition (located on Assessor's Map_____) has been checked by me and it is a true and exact description of the property under consideration, and the description corresponds to the attached map indicating the property under consideration.

NAME	
TITLE	
DEPARTMENT	
COUNTY OF	
DATE	

PMALGBC FORM #5

(This form is NOT the Petition)

ALL OF THE OWNERS OF PROPERTY INCLUDED IN BOUNDARY CHANGE PROPOSAL AREA

(To be completed IF the proposal contains <u>10 or fewer</u> propertiestax lots or parcels). Please indicate the name and address of all owners of each property. This is for notification purposes.

=	NAME OF OWNER	ADDRESS	PROPERTY DESIGNATION (Indicate Tax Lot, Section number, and Township Range
1)	West Coast Soccer League	7561 Center Dr. #68 Huntington Beach CA 92647	
2)			
3)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
5)			
6)			
7)			
8)			
9)			
10)			
•			

PMALGBC FORM #6

BOUNDARY CHANGE DATA SHEET FOR

ANNEXATION TO THE CITY OF SHERWOOD

	I.	EXISTING	CONDITIONS	OF	TERRITORY	DESCRIBED	IN	BOUNDARY	CHANGE
--	----	----------	------------	----	-----------	-----------	----	----------	--------

- A. Land Area: Acres or Square Miles
- B. General Description of Territory: (include topographic features such as slopes, vegetation, drainage basins, flood plain areas which are pertinent to this proposal) Territory is primarily within public right-of-way for Pacific Highway 99 West, in the general vicinity of the Meinecke Road intersection. The annexation also includes a portion of former right-of-way (Tax Lot 2300) sold to adjacent property owner.

C. Existing Land Use:

Number	of single fami	ily units _	01	multi-famil	ly units _	00
Number	of commercial	structures	0	industrial	structure	0
Public	facilities or	other uses	(Please	describe)		

Primarily public highway right-of-way

D. Total Current Year Assessed Valuation \$ _5,000.00

E. Total Estimated Population: ____0

F. Current County Zoning Status (if territory contains more than one land use zone, please indicate tax lot numbers and existing zoning designation for those tax lots)

Adjacent private property is zoned General Commercial and Medium Density Residential High.

G. Is the area <u>adjacent to</u> the territory to be annexed (and not in the city or district) of the same general character or degree of development as the territory to be annexed?
Yes_____No___x

If Yes, why isn't the adjacent area included in the proposal?

If No,	how	does	the	adja	acent	area	differ?	•						
<u>Area</u>	to b	e ann	exed	is	prima	rily	highway	right-of-way,	with	a	20'	x	400'	
porti	on o	f_a p	riva	tely	owne	d lot	•							

- II. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF TERRITORY DESCRIBED IN BOUNDARY CHANGE
 - A. If the property is entirely or substantially undeveloped, what are the plans for future development? (Be specific--if site or development plans have been prepared please submit a copy.) Highway right-of-way

informally for the property u	sought from the county either nder consideration.	iormally or
	No	
Please describe outcome of zon question is 'Yes'	ne change request if answer to	
Is the proposed development co and/or the Regional Framework	ompatible with the county comp Plan?	-
· Yes	No	N/A
Briefly explain compatibility	or incompatibility.	
Is the proposed development of	ompatible with the city's Comp	
Is the proposed development co Plan for the area? N/A YesNo	ompatible with the city's Comp City has no plan for the	rehensive Land
Is the proposed development co Plan for the area? N/A YesNo	ompatible with the city's Comp City has no plan for the been discussed either formally	rehensive Land
Is the proposed development co Plan for the area? N/A Yes No Has the proposed development I with any of the following: (p City Planning CommissionX	ompatible with the city's Comp City has no plan for the been discussed either formally please indicate) City Planning Staff	rehensive Land area or informally X
Is the proposed development co Plan for the area? N/A Yes No Has the proposed development I with any of the following: (p City Planning CommissionX	ompatible with the city's Comp City has no plan for the been discussed either formally please indicate) City Planning Staff	rehensive Land area or informally X
Is the proposed development co Plan for the area? N/A YesNo Has the proposed development N with any of the following: (1) City Planning CommissionX City CouncilX	ompatible with the city's Comp City has no plan for the been discussed either formally please indicate)	rehensive Land area or informally X X
Is the proposed development co Plan for the area? N/A Yes No Has the proposed development I with any of the following: (I City Planning Commission X City Council X Please describe the reaction to or agencies indicated above.	ompatible with the city's Comp City has no plan for the been discussed either formally please indicate) City Planning Staff City Manager	rehensive Land area or informally

III. REASON FOR BOUNDARY CHANGE

A. ORS 199.462 of the Boundary Commission Act states: "When reviewing a boundary change, a boundary commission shall consider economic, demographic, and sociological projections pertinent to the proposal, and past and prospective physical developments of land that would directly or indirectly be affected by the proposed boundary change." Considering these points, please provide the reasons the proposed boundary change should be made. Please be very specific. Use additional pages if necessary.

Annexation of Highway 99W would legally "island" 48.14 acres of adjacent

private property on Meinecke Road. All but one of these properties receive

City water service and City desires to annex in an expedited manner.

- B. If the reason is to obtain specific municipal services such as water service, sewerage service, fire protection, etc., please indicate the following:
 - 1. Proximity of facilities (such as water mains, sewer laterals, etc.) to the territory to be annexed. (Please indicate location of facilities--for example: 8" water main in Durham Rd. 500 feet from east edge of territory.). Please indicate whose facilities they are and whether in fact these facilities will be the ones actually providing service to the area. If the facilities belong to another governmental entity, explain the agreement by which they will provide the service and what the city's policy is on subsequent withdrawal and/or compensation to the other unit.

2.	The time at which services can be reasonably provided by the city or district
	N/A
3.	The estimated cost of extending such facilities and/or services and what is to be the method of financing? (Attach any supporting documents.)
4.	Availability of the desired service from any other unit of local government. (Please indicate the government.) N/A

A. If the territory described in the proposal is presently included within the boundaries of any of the following types of governmental units, please so indicate by stating the name or names of the governmental units involved:

	Sherwood		Service			
Hwy.	Lighting Dis	t.		Park & Rec.		
Rura1	Fire Dist.			Sanitary Dis	strict	Unified Sewerage
		Water	District			

B. If any of the above units are presently servicing the territory (for instance, are residences in the territory hooked up to a public sewer or water system), please so described.

N/A	
	NAME: <u>James Rapp, City Manager</u> (Title) ADDRESS: <u>90 N.W. Park, Sherwood, OR 9</u> 7140 TELEPHONE NO: <u>(503) 625-5522</u>
DATE:4/22/87	AGENCY: City of Sherwood
	-9- ·····