CITY OF SHERWOOD, OREGON

RESOLUTION NO. 87-374

A RESOLUTION APPROVING A "TRIPLE MAJORITY" ANNEXATION TO THE CITY OF A TERRITORY MORE PARTICULARY DESCRIBED AS:

STARTING AT THE QUARTER (1/4) SECTION (NORTH BOUNDARY OF SECTION 29 TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 1 WEST, WILLAMETTE MEDIDIAN) THENCE EAST SIX HUNDRED SIXTY (660) FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO A POINT, THENCE SOUTH SIX HUNDRED SIXTY (660) FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO A POINT, WEST ONE THOUSAND ONE HUNDRED SIXTY-FIVE THENCE, FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO A POINT LOCATED ON (1, 165)THE EAST BOUNDARY OF THE SOUTHWEST PACIFIC HIGHWAY (99W), THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG THE SOUTHERN BOUNDARY OF THE SOUTHWEST PACIFIC HIGHWAY (99W) ONE THOUSAND TEN (1,010) FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO A POINT DESCRIBED AS A BOUNDARY OF THE BONNEVILLE POWER SOUTHERLY ADMINISTRATION EASEMENT (AS RECORDED ON MAY 11, 1966, BOOK 600, PAGE 54, WASHINGTON COUNTY RECORDS), THEN SOUTHERLY ALONG THE BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION EASEMENT A DISTANCE OF NINE HUNDRED THIRTY (930) FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO A POINT LYING NORTH SIX HUNDRED FIFTY-FIVE POINT THIRTY-EIGHT (655.38) FEET OF THE CENTER LINE OF SOUTHWEST EDY ROAD (WASHINGTON COUNTY ROAD NO. 1070), THENCE WEST TWO HUNDRED FORTY-FIVE (245) FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO A POINT, THEN SOUTH SIX HUNDRED FIFTY-FIVE POINT THIRTY-EIGHT (655.38) FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO THE CENTER LINE OF SOUTHWEST EDY ROAD (WASHINGTON COUNTY ROAD NO. 1070) THENCE WEST SIX HUNDRED FIFTY-EIGHT POINT ZERO TWO FEET (658.02) MORE OR LESS TO Α POINT, THENCE NORTH ONE THOUSAND FORTY-THREE (1,043) FEET, MORE OF LESS, TO A POINT DESCRIBED AS THE EAST BOUNDARY OF SOUTHWEST PACIFIC HIGHWAY (99W), THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG THE EAST BOUNDARY OF SOUTHWEST PACIFIC HIGHWAY (99W) SIX HUNDRED TWO (602) FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO A POINT, THENCE SOUTH FOUR HUNDRED SIXTY-(462) FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO A POINT, THENCE EAST TWO ONE HUNDRED NINETY (190) FEET, MORE OR LESS TO A POINT, THENCE SOUTH ONE HUNDRED SEVENTY (170) FEET MORE OR TO A POINT DESCRIBED AS THE CENTERLINE OF LESS SOUTHWEST EDY ROAD (WASHINGTON COUNTY ROAD NO. 1070), WEST ONE THOUSAND SEVENTY-EIGHT (1078) THENCE FEET, MORE OR LESS TO A POINT DESCRIBED AS THE QUARTER (1/4)CORNER (SECTION 19), THENCE NORTH SIXTY (60) SECTION FEET MORE OR LESS, TO POINT DESCRIBED AS THE NORTHERN BOUNDARY SOUTHWEST PACIFIC HIGHWAY (99W), THENCE OF NORTH FORTY-FOUR DEGREES THIRTY-SEVEN MINUTES ALONG THE NORTHERN BOUNDARY OF SOUTHWEST PACIFIC HIGHWAY (99W)

60

THREE HUNDRED (300) FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO A POINT DESCRIBED AS BEING THREE HUNDRED EIGHTY-SEVEN POINT EIGHTY-SIX (387.86) FEET, MORE OR LESS, SOUTH THIRTY-FOUR DEGREES FOURTEEN MINUTES EAST OF THE CENTER LINE OF SCHOLLS-SHERWOOD ROAD (WASHINGTON COUNTY ROAD NO. 1324) THENCE NORTH THIRTY-FOUR DEGREES FOURTEEN MINUTES EAST THREE HUNDRED EIGHTY-SEVEN POINT EIGHTY-SIX (387.86) FEET THENCE NORTH ONE THOUSAND ONE HUNDRED-TWENTY (1,120) FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO A POINT DESCRIBED THE SOUTHERLY BOUNDARY OF THE BONNEVILLE POWER AS ADMINISTRATION EASEMENT, THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE BONNEVILLE SOUTHERN BOUNDARY OF THE POWER ADMINISTRATION EASEMENT ONE THOUSAND FOUR HUNDREED SIXTY (1,460) FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO THE EAST LINE OF THE WEST HALF OF THE NORTHWEST OUARTER SECTION 29 T 2SR1W, THENCE NORTH SEVEN HUNDRED THIRTY-FIVE (735) FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO A POINT, THENCE EAST TWO HUNDRED (200) FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO A POINT, THENCE NORTHEASTERLY SEVEN HUNDRED TEN (710) FEET TO A POINT LYING FIVE HUNDRED (500) FEET WEST OF THE NORTHERN BOUNDARY OF SOUTHWEST PACIFIC HIGHWAY (99W), THENCE EAST SIX HUNDRED EIGHTY (680) FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, CONSISTING OF 75.43 ACRES MORE OR LESS.

WHEREAS, the Council is authorized by ORS 199.490(2) to initiate an annexation upon receiving consent in writing from more than half of the owners of land in the territory proposed to be annexed who also own more than half the land and real property therein representing more than half of the assessed value of all real property in the territory proposed to be annexed.

WHEREAS, the Council has received the necessary "consents" signed by the owners of land, in sufficient numbers to meet the so-called "triple majority" annexation requirements listed above and set the boundary of the territory proposed for annexation as authorized by ORS 199.490(2).

NOW THEREFORE, THE CITY OF SHERWOOD RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

<u>Section 1</u>. The Council, pursuant to ORS 199.490 (2) hereby approves the proposed annexation of this territory to the City as described by the legal description contained in this Resolution.

<u>Section</u> 2. The City Recorder is hereby directed to file a certified copy of this Resolution with the Portland Metropolitan Area Local Government Boundary Commission at once, and the City requests the Boundary Commission to approve it.

RESOLUTION 87-374 Page 2

Ϋ́

Adopted by the City Council April 8, 1987.

Norma Jean Oyler, Mayor City of Sherwood

Blankenbaker, Recorder Polly

.

٤,

	AYE	NAY
OYLER MANDERFELD BIRCHILL		
STEWART NIGHTINGALE		······································

BOUNDARY CHANGE DATA SHEET

- 1. EXISTING CONDITIONS IN AREA TO BE ANNEXED
 - A. Land Area: Acres 90.78 or Square Miles
 - B. General description of territory. (Include topographic features such as slopes, vegetation, drainage basins, floodplain areas, which are pertinent to this proposal).
 <u>The area includes a utility easement</u>, an abandoned nursery

farmland and residential property

C. Describe land uses on surrounding parcels. Use tax lots as reference points.

North: Extraction of topsoil and farming

East: Farming

South: <u>Residential and commercial</u>

West: Farming

D.	Existing Land Use:
	Number of single family units <u>3</u> Number of multi-family units <u>0</u>
	Number commercial structures 0 Number industrial structures 0
	Public facilities or other uses <u>BPA easement</u>
	What is the current use of the land proposed to be annexed:
	Residential, Agricultural and public use and right-of-way
Ε.	Total current year Assessed Valuation \$344.535
F.	Total existing population 6

- II. REASON FOR BOUNDARY CHANGE
 - A. ORS 199.462 of the Boundary Commission Act states: 'When reviewing a boundary change, a boundary commission shall consider economic, demographic, and sociological projections pertinent to the proposal, and past and prospective physical developments of land that would directly or indirectly be affected by the proposed boundary change.'' Considering these points, please provide the reasons the proposed boundary change should be made. Please be very specific. Use additional pages if necessary. (This information is often quoted in the Staff Report, so be thorough and complete)
 The petitioning property owners have indicated they wish to annex to the City of Sherwood to obtain higher levels

of urban services, especially water and sewer services

	N N				
	В.	If the property to be served is are the plans for future develo industrial, commercial, etc.), It is anticipated that the	pment? Be speci: density, etc.	fic. Describe type	(residential,
		include, in the future, uses	residential, i	industrial and c	commercial
III.	LAN	D USE AND PLANNING			
	Α.	Is the subject territory to be	developed at this	s time?NO	
	Β.	Generally describe the anticipa number of units). Six Corners, the general n	-		·
		is gradually developing as			
		for the City of Sherwood			
	wо С.	uld be anticipated to cont If no development is planned at the development potential of the in terms of allowable uses, num <u>No</u>	inue that tren this time, will	nd. approval of this p	
	D.	Does the proposed development co comprehensive plans? Please des Yes	omply with applic scribe.	cable regional, cou	mty or city
	E.	What is the zoning on the terri	tory to be served	1? See Attached Z	oning Map
	F.	Please indicate all permits and Government which will be needed please indicate date of approva	for the proposed	development. If	
		Approval	Project File #	Date of Approval	Future Requireme
		Metro UGB Amendment			
		City or County Plan Amendment			

• •

.

-

Approval	Project File #	Date of Approval	Future Requirement
Metro UGB Amendment			
City or County Plan Amendm	ent		
Pre-Application Hearing (City or County)			
Zone Change (City or County	y)		
Preliminary Subdivision App	proval		
Final Plat Approval			
Land Partition			
Conditional Use			
Variance			
Sub-Surface Sewage Disposal	1		
Building Permit			ىكى بىرى دەرىكە ئى سى دىلىك ئالار بىرىك بالاركى بىرىك بالاركى بىرىكى بىر

.

Please submit copies of proceedings relating to any of the above permits or approvals which are pertinent to the annexation.

G. Can the proposed development be accomplished under current county zoning?

Yes <u>x</u> No_____

If No,---has a zone change been sought from the county either formally or informally. Yes No_____

Please describe outcome of zone change request if answer to previous question was Yes.

H. Is the proposed development compatible with the city's comprehensive land use plan for the area?

Yes_____No____City has no Plan for the area_____

as the proposed development been discussed either formally or informally with any of the following? (Please indicate)

City Planning Commission_____ City Planning Staff_____

City Council_____ City Manager _____

Please describe the reaction to the proposed development from the persons or agencies indicated above.

I. If a city and/or county-sanctioned citizens' group exists in the area of the annexation, please list its name and the name and address of a contact person.

IV. SERVICES AND UTILITIES

- A. If the reason for the annexation is to obtain specific municipal services such as water service, sewerage service, fire protection, etc., please indicate the following:
 - 1. Proximity of facilities (such as water mains, sewer laterals, storm drains, etc.) to the territory to be annexed. (Please indicate location of facilities--for example: 8" water main in Durham Rd. 500' from east edge of territory). Please indicate whose facilities they are and whether in fact these facilities will be the ones actually providing service to the area. If the facilities belong to another governmental entity, explain the agreement by which they will provide the service and what the city's policy is on subsequent withdrawal and/or compensation to the other unit.

Currently a 2 inch "boiler-tube" water line extends from the current

city limits approximately 2,000 feet to the northeast to the end

of the property proposed for annexation. The City of Sherwood has indicated that the physical condition of the land is very

pcor and has requested that the properties annex to the city and form a local improvement district for the improvement of the water service. Water service is currently provided by the 2 inch main; such service shall be terminated within the very near future.

- 2. The time at which services can be reasonably provided by the city or district. 6 months
- 3. The estimated cost of extending such facilities and/or services and what is to be the method of financing. (Attach any supporting documents.)

\$75,000.00

4. Availability of the desired service from any other unit of local government. (Please indicate the government.)

Not available from any other unit of local government

B. If the territory described in the proposal is presently included within the boundaries of any of the following types of governmental units, please so indicate by stating the name or names of the governmental units involved:

City	Rural Fire Dist. Tualatin R.F.P.D.
County Service Dist U.S.A.	_ Sanitary District
Hwy. Lighting Dist	_ Water District
Grade School Dist. Sherwood	Drainage District
High School Dist. Sherwood	Diking District
Other Metro	Park & Rec. Dist

C. If any of the above units are presently servicing the territory (for instance, are residences in the territory hooked up to a public sewer or water system), please so describe.

	APPLICANT'S NAME MAILING ADDRESS	
	TELEPHONE NUMBER	(Work) (Res.)
	REPRESENTING:	
To 4 (777)		

DATE:_____

<u>،</u> ۱

(This Form is NOT the Petition)

ALL THE OWNERS OF PROPERTY INCLUDED IN BOUNDARY CHANGE PROPOSAL AREA

(To be completed <u>IF</u> the proposal contains <u>10 or fewer</u> properties-tax lots or parcels). Please indicate the name and address of all owners of each property regardless of whether or not they signed an annexation petition. This is for notification purposes.

NAME OF OWNER		PROPERTY DESIGNATION ndicate Tax Lot, Secti nber, and Township Rar
	s510 Morgan Bldg.	#100, 29B, 2S 1W
Ore, Ltd.	720 S.W. Washington, Portl	and
James W. Castile	13280 S.W. Village Glenn	#102, 29B 2S 1W
	Glenn Drive, Tigard	an a
Erwin & Vivian Anderson	20605 S.W. Pacific Highway	Portion of #200, 2
	Sherwood97140	2S 1W
<u>Herbert Bourchers, et al</u>	20775 S.W. Pacific Highway	Portion of #300,
······································	Sherwood 97140	2S 1W
Portland General Electric	621 S.W. Alder St.	#400, 29B, 2S, 1W
	Portland 97205	
<u>Gloria Lorraine Cereghino</u>	15245 S.W. Edy Road	#100, 29C, 2S
Portland General Electric	621 S.W. Alder Street	Portion of #800
Attn: H. Clark, Asst. Trea	surer, Portland 97205	29B 2S, 1W
Earl & Vernon Edwards	305 East Norton Street	#600, 29B, 2S, 1
	Sherwood 97140	
Arthur & Richard Spada	7251 St. Paul Highway, N.E.	#800, 29A, 2S, 1
	St. Paul, Oregon 97137	

PMALGBC FORM #8				
PETITION H	FOR ANNEXATION	TO THE CITY (JF Sherwood), OREGON
TO: The (Council of the	City of	Sherwood	, Oregon

We, the undersigned property owners of the area described below, hereby petition for, and give our consent to, annexation of the area to the City of $\leq hereaccolor$. If approved by the city, we further request that this petition be forwarded to the Portland Metropolitan Area Local Government Boundary Commission for the necessary procedures as prescribed by ORS 199.490 (2).

The property to be annexed is described as follows: (Insert Legal Description here OR attach it as Exhibit "A")

Signature of Legal Owne	r(s)	Address	Lot #	 Lot N 1/4		s Twp	R
Signardt Teintra	14255 5	w Pacific Hury	100	 Nω	29	25	ιw
Set Vichen V. Lunger	2522 FRY X	S.S.W. GRAHAM	\$0102	NW	29	<u>85</u>	iw
Porthun Spala	13635	N.E. Clackamas St.	800	NE	29	دح	Jω
dualified dualified	13280 3	200 Village 6. Lenn Dr	102	NW	29	25	ιw
+ Alich Carde	72572	1. Paul Hay NE.	, 800	 NE	29	25	IW
	0 1524	15 5. W. EDY Rd.	1000	 sω	29	25	16
5 5 Envin andereghin	con 2	O TO S SW. Park	200	 Nw	29	25	ıω
a in Tiwan W. anderson	20605 \$	W. Pacific Hur.	200	NW	29	25	۱w
though they will be they may here with they will be they	121 SU	Salmon St. PHd.OP		NΨ	29	25	1.11
Bring W. K. Dave	121 SW	Salmon St. PHd OK	Portion 800	NW	29	əs	ιW
though W.K. Kar							
even							

PETITION SIGNERS

(IF MORE SPACE IS NEEDED, PLEASE USE A SEPARATE PAGE)

CERTIFICATION OF AUTHORITY

I, W.K. DAVIS _____, do hereby certify I have the right and authority to sign an Annexation Petition on behalf of Portland General Electric, owner of Tax Lots 400 and a portion of 800, Section 29, Township 2 South, Range 1 West, Willamette Meridian (Washington County Map #29B, 2S 1W)

By: <u>W. K. Jane</u> Portland, General Electric

PAGE 1 - CERTIFICATION OF AUTHORITY

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORITY

I, Erhardt Steinborn, do hereby certify I have the right and authority to sign an Annexation Petition on behalf of Six Corners Land Investments, Oregon, Ltd. an Oregon Limited Partnership, owner of Tax Lot 100, Section 29, Township 2 South Range, 1 West, Willamette Meridian, (Washington County Map #29B, 2S, 1W).

Plandt

Erhardt Steinborn

PAGE 1 - CERTIFICATION OF AUTHORITY

CERTIFICATION OF PROPERTY OWNERS

(Triple-Majority Method)

(Applicable for Annexations to Cities Only)

I hereby certify that the attached petition for annexation of the territory described therein to the City of $\underline{SHERWEOD}$ contains the names of at least a majority of the property owners...who own at least a majority of the land area...which constitutes at least a majority of the assessed value of the territory to be annexed.

NAME COMER ALA
TITLE CARTOCRAPHER II
DEPARTMENT ASSESSMENT & TAXATION
COUNTY OF WASHINGTON
DATE: MARCH 19, 1987

PMALGBC FORM #4

CERTIFICATION OF LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP

I hereby certify that the description of the property included within the attached petition (located on Assessor's Map 25120) has been checked by me and it is a true and exact description of the property under consideration, and the description corresponds to the attached map indicating the property under consideration.

NAME DGRAPHER 71 (AL TITLE ESMENT & TAXATION DEPARTMENT ASHINGTON COUNTY OF DATE: MARCH 19. 1987

TRIPLE MAJORITY WORK SHEET

Please list all properties included in the proposal.

(If needed, use separate sheet for additional properties).

Property Designation (Tax Lot #s)	Name of Owner	Acres	Assessed Value	Signed Yes	Petition No
100	Ore, Ltd. Six Corners Land Investments,	6.26	96.633	x	
102	James W. Castile/Richard Kreuger	6.38	2.200	x	
200	Erwin & Vivian Anderson	4.2	73.741	X	
	Herbert H. Bourchers, et al	19 .7 8	32.547		X
400	Portland General Electric	1.92	0	X	
600	Earl & Carol Edwards	•65	115.300		x
800	Portland General Electric	16.34	0	X	
800	Arthur & Richard Spada	15.36	22.014	X	
100	Gloria L. Cereghino	4.54	1.600	x	
101	CITY OF SHERWOOD	.06	Dise and	NEED TO S	NONX
					-
TOTALS:					

SUMMARY

TOTAL NUMBER OF OWNERSHIPS IN THE PROPOS	AL 6 7
NUMBER OF OWNERSHIPS* SIGNED FOR	<u>o</u> 5
PERCENTAGE OF OWNERSHIPS* SIGNED FOR	75% 71.4 %
TOTAL ACREAGE IN PROPOSAL	75.43
ACREAGE SIGNED FOR	55.0
PERCENTAGE OF ACREAGE SIGNED FOR	72.9%
TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE IN PROPOSAL \$	344,535
ASSESSED VALUE SIGNED FOR \$196,6	588
PERCENTAGE OF ASSESSED VALUE SIGNED FOR	57.1

•

*If one person owns two or more tax lots they are counted as only a single ownership.

