CITY OF SHERWOOD, OREGON

RESOLUTION 87-367

A RESOLUTION INITIATING ANNEXATION TO THE CITY OF A TERRITORY MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS:

A TRACT OF LAND SITUATED IN THE NORTHEAST ONE-QUARTER SECTION 30, TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 1 WEST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, WASHINGTON COUNTY, OREGON, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT THE INTERSECTION OF NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF SW SCHOLLS-SHERWOOD (COUNTY ROAD NO. 1324) AND THE WESTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE THAT STRIP OF LAND DEDICATED TO THE PUBLIC BY RECORDED IN BOOK 588 AT PAGE 63, WASHINGTON COUNTY DEED RECORDS, SAID POINT BEING THE SOUTHEASTERLY CORNER OF TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED BY DEED RECORDED IN BOOK 234, PAGE 631, SAID WASHINGTON COUNTY DEED RECORDS SAID POINT 33 FEET WEST OF THE SE COR. OF THE M. R. BARNUM DLC CERTIFICATE NO. 1607; THENCE NORTHERLY ALONG THE EASTERLY LINE OF SAID STRIP OF LAND DEDICATED TO THE PUBLIC BY DEED IN BOOK 588, AT PAGE 63, SAID WASHINGTON COUNTY RECORDED DEED RECORDS, 1,009.5 FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO THE NORTHERLY TERMINUS OF SAID STRIP OF LAND; THENCE EASTERLY ALONG SAID NORTHERLY TERMINUS 33 FEET TO A POINT ON THE WESTERLY OF THAT TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED BY DEED RECORDED LINE 1102, AT PAGE 643, SAID WASHINGTON COUNTY RECORDS; THENCE NORTHERLY ALONG SAID WESTERLY LINE FEET, MORE OR LESS TO A POINT ON THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION, OREGON CITY - KEELER TRANSMISSION EASEMENT (BEING 250 FEET IN WIDTH); SECTION THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE SAID SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID EASEMENT 735 FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO A POINT ON THE EASTERLY EASTERLY LINE OF SAID TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED RECORDED IN BOOK 1102 AT PAGE 643 SAID WASHINGTON COUNTY DEED RECORDS; THENCE SOUTHERLY 20 FEET, MORE LESS, TO THE MOST NORTHERLY SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID TRACT OF LAND; THENCE WESTERLY 161.7 FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO AN IN THE EASTERLY LINE OF SAID TRACT OF LAND; ANGLE POINT THENCE SOUTHERLY 795 FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO A POINT ON NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF S.W. SCHOLLS-SHERWOOD THENCE CONTINUING SOUTHERLY, CROSSING SAID S.W. ROAD, SCHOLLS-SHERWOOD ROAD, 60 FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO A POINT ON THE SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE; THENCE WESTERLY ALONG THE SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF SAID S.W. SCHOLLS-SHERWOOD ROAD 473 FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO A POINT THAT INTERSECTION WITH THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE SAID WESTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY OF THAT STRIP OF LAND DEDICATED PUBLIC BY DEED RECORDED IN BOOK 588, AT PAGE 63, SAID

WASHINGTON COUNTY DEED RECORDS; THENCE NORTHERLY, CROSSING SAID S.W. SCHOLLS-SHERWOOD ROAD, 60 FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, ALSO KNOWN AS A PORTION OF LOT 600, 2S 1 30A, CONSISTING OF 11.3 ACRES MORE OR LESS.

WHEREAS, annexation to the City of the territory so bounded would constitute a "minor boundary change" under the boundary commission law, ORS 199.410 to 199.510; and

WHEREAS, by authority of ORS 199.490 (1) (a) the City Council may initiate the annexation; and

WHEREAS, this is a single parcel annexation, to which the property owners have consented; and

NOW THEREFORE, THE CITY OF SHERWOOD RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The Council, pursuant to ORS 199.490 (1)(a) hereby initiates proceedings for annexation of this territory, known as Lot 600, 2S1 3OA, to the City.

<u>Section</u> <u>2</u>. The Council hereby approves the proposed annexation and requests the Portland Metropolitan Area Local Government Boundary Commission to approve it.

Section 3. The City Recorder is hereby directed to file a certified copy of the Resolution with the Commission at once.

Adopted by the City Council an 28, 1987.

Norma Sean Oyler, Mayor

City of Sherwood

Polly Blankenbaker, Recorder

	AYE	NAY
OYLER		
MANDERFELD		
BIRCHILL	L	
STEWART		

NE 1/4 SECTIO" 30 T2S RIW W.M. WASHINGTON COUNTY OREGON SCALE | "= 200" SEE MAP 25 1 19 RODS 36 RODS 600 700 OREGON 24.24 Ac. 4.50 Ac. RODS RODS 756 15 88° 37° 20"E 8RODS 756 NORTH BO RODS BRODS 301 1.45Ac. " アリングとし RODS 500 EAST 180 55 1.38 Ac. SE CORNER WEST SLINKS WEST 20RODS SCHOLLS SHERWOOD ROAD WEST 165 1300 80.89 Ac. WEST 1100 EAST WEST 1000 400 1200 900 900 E 1.67 Ac. 3.85 Ac. 3.85 Ao. 3.85 Ac. MAPLE EAST BRO LANE CEMETERY EAST IO RODS

CERTIFICATION OF PROPERTY OWNERS

(Triple-Majority Method)

(Applicable for Annexations to Cities Only)

I hereby certify that the attached petition for annexation of the territory described therein to the City of SHERWOOD contains the names of at least a majority of the property ownerswho own at least a majority of the land areawhich constitutes at least a majority of the assessed value of the territory to be annexed. NAME SAME
TITLE CARTOGRAPHER II
DEPARTMENT ASSESSMENT & TAXATION
COUNTY OF WASHINGTON
DATE: March 20, 1987
PMALGBC FORM #4
CERTIFICATION OF LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP
I hereby certify that the description of the property included within the attached petition (located on Assessor's Map 25/30A) has been checked by me and it is a true and exact description of the property under consideration, and the description corresponds to the attached map indi-
cating the property under consideration.
NAME James Out
TITLE CARTOCRAPHEC II
DEPARTMENT ASSESSMENT & TAXATION
COUNTY OF WASHINGTON
DATE: March 20, 1987

(This form is NOT the Petition)

ALL OF THE OWNERS OF PROPERTY INCLUDED IN BOUNDARY CHANGE PROPOSAL AREA

(To be completed <u>IF</u> the proposal contains <u>10 or fewer</u> properties-tax lots or parcels). Please indicate the name and address of all
owners of each property. This is for notification purposes.

:	NAME OF OWNER	ADDRESS	PROPERTY DESIGNATION (Indicate Tax Lot, Sections) and Township Rain
•			
1)	Foster, Charles R.	Rt. 4, Box 437	600: 30A 2S1
	and Karolyn J.	Sherwood, OR 97140	
2)			
3)			
4)			
- \			
5)			
6)			
• ,			
7)			
	• • •		
8)			
*			
9)			
.0)	:		

BOUNDARY CHANGE DATA SHEET FOR

ANNEXATION TO THE CITY OF SHERWOOD

I.	EXI	STING CONDITIONS OF TERRITORY DESCRIBED IN BOUNDARY CHANGE				
	A.	Land Area: Acres 11.3 or Square Miles 0				
	В.	B. General Description of Territory: (include topographic features such as slopes, vegetation, drainage basins, flood plain areas which are pertinent to this proposal)				
		Flat farmland. Rear 3.2 acres of parcel is in floodplain but has				
		been excluded from this annexation request.				
	c.	Existing Land Use:				
		Number of single family units 1 multi-family units 0				
		Number of commercial structures <u>o</u> industrial structure <u>o</u> Public facilities or other uses (Please describe)				
		City water service to be extended to property.				
	D.	Total Current Year Assessed Valuation \$ 50,600.00				
	E.	Total Estimated Population: 2				
	F.	Current County Zoning Status (if territory contains more than one land use zone please indicate tax lot numbers and existing zoning designation for those tax lot				
		R-9 under Washington County Plan/LDR (Low Density Residential) under City Plan.				
	G.	Is the area <u>adjacent to</u> the territory to be annexed (<u>and not</u> in the city or district) of the same general character or degree of development as the territor to be annexed? Yes x No				
		If Yes, why isn't the adjacent area included in the proposal?				
		Some of area is in seperate annexation effort at different stage of development. Parcel in question desires extension of City water service on priority bases as				
	•	properties well has failed. City policy requires annexation in conjunction with				
		extension.				
II.	PRO	POSED DEVELOPMENT OF TERRITORY DESCRIBED IN BOUNDARY CHANGE				
	Α.	If the property is entirely or substantially undeveloped, what are the plans for future development? (Be specificif site or development plans have been prepared please submit a copy.)				
		Continuance of current use - older, single family, "farm house"				

В.	Can the proposed development be achieved under current county zoning?
	Yes <u>x</u> No
	If No, has a zone change been sought from the county either formally or informally for the property under consideration.
	Yes Nox
	Please describe outcome of zone change request if answer to the above question is 'Yes'
c.	Is the proposed development compatible with the county comprehensive plan and/or the Regional Framework Plan?
	Yes <u>x</u> No
	Briefly explain compatibility or incompatibility.
	Land within Urban Growth Boundary and designated for eventual
	redevelopment to low density housing.
D.	Is the proposed development compatible with the city's Comprehensive Land Use Plan for the area?
	Yes X No City has no plan for the area
	Has the proposed development been discussed either formally or informally with any of the following: (please indicate)
	City Planning Commission X City Planning Staff X
	City Council x City Manager X
	Please describe the reaction to the proposed development from the persons or agencies indicated above.
	All supportive
E.	If a city and/or county-sanctioned citizens' group exists in the area of the annexation, please list its name and the name and address of a contact person. N/A
REA	SON FOR BOUNDARY CHANGE
A.	ORS 199.462 of the Boundary Commission Act states: 'When reviewing a boundary change, a boundary commission shall consider economic, demographic, and sociological projections pertinent to the proposal, and past and prospective physical developments of land that would directly or indirectly be affected by the proposed boundary change." Considering these points, please provide the reasons the proposed boundary change should be made. Please be very specific. Use additional pages if necessary. Parcel is within Urban Growth Boundary. New water service has been extended to
	neighborhood in last two years. Property owner has requested further extension to parcel to replace failed water well.

III.

		Ť
В.	If sew	the reason is to obtain specific municipal services such as water service, berage service, fire protection, etc., please indicate the following:
	1.	Proximity of facilities (such as water mains, sewer laterals, etc.) to the territory to be annexed. (Please indicate location of facilitiesfor example: 8" water main in Durham Rd. 500 feet from east edge of territory.) Please indicate whose facilities they are and whether in fact these facilities will be the ones actually providing service to the area. If the facilities belong to another governmental entity, explain the agreement by which they will provide the service and what the city's policy is on subsequent withdrawal and/or compensation to the other unit.
		There is an 8" City water main on Sherwood-Scholls Rd., approximately
		75' west of parcel. Further extension of lateral several hundred feet
		along Seeley Lane needed to reach house.
	2	The time of which committee are be accountly provided by the city on district
	2.	The time at which services can be reasonably provided by the city or distric
		Immediately upon approval of engineering plans and construction
	3.	The estimated cost of extending such facilities and/or services and what is to be the method of financing? (Attach any supporting documents.)
		\$4000.00 - 5000.00 to be paid by property owner
	4.	Availability of the desired service from any other unit of local government. (Please indicate the government.) None
, ev	ፐ ርጥ ፒኒ	C COMEDIA CINERA I CEDITA CEC IN THE TENDRITORY
/. EX	12111	G GOVERNMENTAL SERVICES IN THE TERRITORY
Α.	bou	the territory described in the proposal is presently included within the ndaries of any of the following types of governmental units, please so indie by stating the name or names of the governmental units involved:
	Cit	
		Lighting Dist. N/A Park & Rec. Dist. N/A al Fire Dist. TRFPD Sanitary District USA
		Water District N/A
В.	are	any of the above units are presently servicing the territory (for instance, residences in the territory hooked up to a public sewer or water system), ase so described.
		N/A
		NAME: James H. Rapp
		(Title)
		ADDRESS: Box 167 Sherwood, OR 97140 TELEPHONE NO: 625-5522
ATE:		1/0/07
~~··~·_		1/9/87 AGENCY: City of Sherwood

PETITION FOR ANNEXATION TO THE CITY OF SHERWOOD	, OREGON
TO: The Council of the City ofSHERWOOD	, Oregon
We, the undersigned property owners of the area described below, for, and give our consent to, annexation of the area to the City If approved by the city, we further request that this petition be Portland Metropolitan Area Local Government Boundary Commission procedures as prescribed by ORS 199.490 (2).	of <u>SHERWOOD</u> . e forwarded to the
The property to be annexed is described as follows: (Insert Lega OR attach it as Exhibit "A")	al Description here

PETITION SIGNERS

Signature of Legal Owner(s) Address		Tax Lot Numbers					
		Lot	7-7	1/4	Sec.	Twp	R
SEE ATTACHED NON-REMONSTRANCE	Rt. 4, Box 437 Sherwood		600		30A	25	1W
	Rt. 4, Box 437 Sherwood		600		30A	2S	1W

This petition may be signed by qualified persons, even though they may not know their tax lot numbers.

NOTE:

TRIPLE MAJORITY WORK SHEET

Please list all properties included in the proposal.

(If needed, use separate sheet for additional properties).

Property Designation (Tax Lot #s)	Name of Owner	Acres	Assessed Value	Signed Yes	Petition No
	00 Foster, Charles & Karolyn J.	11.2	\$50,600.00	XX	
		•			
		·			
					•
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
rotals:					

SUMMARY

TOTAL NUMBER OF OWNERSHIPS IN THE PROPOSAL	_1
NUMBER OF OWNERSHIPS* SIGNED FOR	1
PERCENTAGE OF OWNERSHIPS* SIGNED FOR	100%
TOTAL ACREAGE IN PROPOSAL	11.2
ACREAGE SIGNED FOR	11.2
PERCENTAGE OF ACREAGE SIGNED FOR	100%
TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE IN PROPOSAL \$	50,600.00
ASSESSED VALUE SIGNED FOR \$	50,600.00
PERCENTAGE OF ASSESSED VALUE SIGNED FOR	100%

^{*}If one person owns two or more tax lots they are counted as only a single ownership

Joseph Committee of

CONSENT AND NONREMONSTRANCE COVENANT For Annexation and Water Service Improvements to the City of Sherwood

The undersigned legal title holders of the hereinafter described real premises in Washington County, Oregon, do hereby record the consent of each of the undersigned to the annexation of the following described premises to the City of Sherwood, and hereby expressly waive all present and future opposition or remonstrance against the annexation of said land to the City of Sherwood, or future water service improvements benefiting said land.

This consent and waiver shall run with the title to lands and be binding upon the undersigned and all successor owners for a period of ten (10) years from the date hereof with respect to the following described premises:

Tax Lot 600, Assessor's Map 2S1 30A consisting of 14.5 acres, more or less.

DATED this 2 day of JANUARY , 1987.

By: Karolyn J. Foster

STATE OF OREGON

ss.

County of Washington)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this

and day of faruary

My Commission Expires: 3.6.88

P.O. Box 167 Sherwood, Oregon 97140 625-5522 625-5523



December 22, 1986

Charles R. & Karolyn J. Foster Rt. 4 Box 437 Sherwood, Ore. 97140

Re: Waterline Extension and Annexation

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Foster:

Thank you for coming in to discuss the extension of water and annexation of, your property on Sherwood-Scholls Rd. have received your letter to this effect. To restate my understanding of the situation: You are in the process of selling your Tax Lot 600 : 30A, consisting of 14.5 acres. Your cistern has failed, necessitating either a new well or City service. On the assumption that the extension of City water will less expensive than drilling a well, you are requesting connection to the City system. As per City policies in this regard, annexation of Lot 600 will be a condition of water extension. The actual construction will involve installation of water line on Sherwood-Scholls Rd. from the present an terminus opposite Lot 500 (Schlicting property) to Seeley Lane (approximately 75' at about \$20.00 a foot plus engineering), then up Seeley Lane for about 700 feet with a 1" or 2" line (at about \$4.00 a foot plus engineering). Other costs will be a \$696.00 hook-up charge (assuming a 1" meter), and about \$150.00 for the Fees for reviewing engineering plans are 5% of meter itself. project cost. The full cost of annexation and waterline extension would have to be borne by yourselves.

Recognizing your need to quickly close the house sale, will not be possible to accomplish annexation prior to service The earliest possible date that an annexation could extension. go before the Boundary Commission is March, 1987. In the the Sherwood City Council has permitted the extension of services prior to annexation provided that the property owners sign a "non-remonstrance" agreement for future improvements The Council does not meet again until January 14, annexation. I see no reason that the extension would not be allowed, provided the agreement is signed. I will prepare such an agreement for your signatures, and have it ready prior to your move to Illinois. Once the Council gives approval, construction could begin.

Costs and extent of the waterline extension, as quoted above, are approximate. You will need to find a contractor and submit engineering plans for City approval. Costs of annexation are \$300.00 for the City's fee, \$360.00 for the Boundary Commission (based on excluding about 3.2 acres of power right-ofway and floodplain from the annexation), plus the cost of preparing the legal description and application (about \$400.00).

I also understand that you do not wish to become involved with the complexities of the other waterline extension and annexation currently underway in your neighborhood. I see no reason why the issues cannot be kept separate.

I also see no reason why this process will not go smoothly, or fail to meet the approval of the Council or Boundary Commission.

Sincerely,

James Rapp City Manager

cc: Mayor and Council
Tad Milburn