

ANNEXATION - CITY INITIATED
IN ANY SPECIAL DISTRICT

RESOLUTION NO. 77-164

A Resolution initiating annexation to the City of Sherwood of the territory bounded by a line:

Beginning at the Northwest corner of the Northwest 1/4 of the Southeast 1/4 of Section 32, Township 2, Range 1 West, Willamette Meridian, Washington County, Oregon, being the center of the section; running thence east along the section line 56 rods; thence south 225.58 ft. thence west 23.04 feet. to the point of beginning; thence west 208.78 ft.; thence south 104.38 ft.; thence East 208.78 ft.; thence North 104.40 ft. to the place of beginning; containing .50 acre. Also a strip of land ten (10) feet wide described as follows. Beginning at the center of Section 32, T2S, R1W, W.M. thence north 88° 32' east 660.2 feet to the true place of beginning. Thence south 00° 36' east 225.63 feet to the Northwest corner of the above described tract; thence East 10 ft.; thence north 00° 36' west 225.63 feet to the center of S.E. Division Street; thence west 10 feet to the place of beginning. containing .05 acres.

WHEREAS, annexation to the City of the territory so bounded would constitute a "minor boundary change" under the boundary commission law, ORS 199.410 to 199.510; and,

WHEREAS, by authority of ORS 199.490 (1)(a) the Common Council of the City may initiate the annexation; and,

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SHERWOOD, a municipal corporation of the State of Oregon, at a regular session held on the 13 day of July, 1977, that:

Section 1. The Council, pursuant to ORS 199.490(1)(a) hereby initiates proceedings for annexation of the territory to the City.

Section 2. The Council hereby approves the proposed annexation and requests the Portland Metropolitan Area Local Government Boundary Commission to approve it.

Section 3. The City Recorder is hereby directed to file a certified copy of the Resolution with the Commission at once.

The foregoing Resolution adopted the 13 day of July, 1977.

Polly Blankenbaker
City Recorder

PMALGBC FORM #4

CERTIFICATION OF LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP

I certify that the description of property included within the attached petition or resolution has been checked by me and it is a true and exact description of the property under consideration, and the description corresponds to the attached map indicating the property under consideration.

Name Thomas L. Eker

Title Chief Draftsman

Department Assessment & Taxation

County of Washington

Date 3/17/77

Re: 25132D 290

PMALGBC FORM #6

BOUNDARY CHANGE DATA SHEET

I. EXISTING CONDITIONS OF TERRITORY DESCRIBED IN BOUNDARY CHANGE

A. Land Area: Acres .55 or Square Miles _____

B. General Description of Territory: (include topographic features such as slopes, vegetation, drainage basins, flood plain areas which are pertinent to this proposal)

Area contains two City of Sherwood water reservoirs and is basically flat.

C. Existing Land Use:

Number of single family units none
Number of multi-family units none
Number of commercial structures none
Number of industrial structures none
Public facilities or other uses (please describe)

Two of the City water reservoirs are located on this property.

D. Total Current Year Assessed Valuation \$ N/A

E. Total Estimated Population none

F. Current County Zoning Status (if territory contains more than one land use zone, please indicate tax lot numbers and existing zoning designation for those tax lots) RS-1 Suburban Residential

G. Is the area adjacent to the territory to be annexed (and not in the city or district) of the same general character or degree of development as the territory to be annexed? Yes X No _____

If yes, why isn't the adjacent area included in the proposal?

The property to the North and East is low density residential

which is currently unsewered. The property to the south is open farmland.

If no, how does the adjacent area differ?

II. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF TERRITORY DESCRIBED IN BOUNDARY CHANGE

A. If the property is entirely or substantially undeveloped, what are the plans for future development? (Be specific--if site or development plans have been prepared please submit a copy.

Fully developed and no further development possible.

B. Can the proposed development be achieved under current county zoning?

N/A Yes _____ No _____

If no, has a zone change been sought from the county either formally or informally for the property under consideration.

Yes _____ No _____

Please describe outcome of zone change request if answer to the above question is "yes".

C. If the boundary change is for annexation to a city, is the proposed development compatible with the city's Comprehensive Land Use Plan for the area?

Yes X No _____ City has no plan for the area _____

Has the proposed development been discussed either formally or informally with any of the following: (please indicate)

N/A City Planning Commission _____
City Planning Staff _____
City Council _____
City Manager _____

Please describe the reaction to the proposed development from the persons or agencies indicated above.

N/A

III. REASON FOR BOUNDARY CHANGE

A. ORS 199.462 of the Boundary Commission Act states: "When reviewing a boundary change, a boundary commission shall consider economic, demographic, and sociological projections pertinent to the proposal, and past and prospective physical developments of land that would directly or indirectly be affected by the proposed boundary change." Considering these points, please provide the reasons the proposed boundary change should be made. Please be very specific. Use additional pages if necessary.

To have all City owned properties within the City limits. No significant effects on past or prospective physical developments of land are anticipated.

B. If the reason is to obtain specific municipal services such as water service, sewerage service, fire protection, etc., please indicate the following:

1. Proximity of facilities (such as water mains, sewer laterals etc.) to the territory to be annexed. (Please indicate location of facilities--for example: 8" water main in Durham Rd. 500 ft. from east edge of territory.)

Property contains the City reservoirs (water)

2. When the service or services can be reasonably provided by the city or district.

N/A

3. What is the estimated cost of extending such facilities and/or services and what is to be the method of financing? (Attach any supporting documents).

N/A

4. If any other unit of local government can provide the desired service (Please indicate the government)

N/A

IV. EXISTING GOVERNMENTAL SERVICES IN THE TERRITORY

A. If the territory described in the proposal is presently included within the boundaries of any of the following types of governmental units, please so indicate by stating the name or names of the governmental units involved:

City	<u>Tualatin Rural</u>
County Serv. Dist.	<u>Rural Fire Dist. Fire Protection</u>
Hwy Lighting Dist.	<u>Sanitary District Unified Sewerage Agen</u>
Park & Rec. Dist.	<u>Water District City of Sherwood</u>

B. If any of the above units are presently servicing the territory (for instance, are residences in the territory hooked up to a public sewer or water system), please so describe.

Adjacent residential properties to the north and east receive City water and are protected by the Tualatin Fire District.

NAME: Polly Blankenbaker

TITLE: Recorder

AGENCY: City of Sherwood

DATE: July 13, 1977