

# Draft Fiscal Impact Statement

## Rogue River Basin Water Quality Management Plan for Temperature

May 21, 2026

### Introduction

The Department of Environmental Quality invites public input on proposed permanent rule amendments to chapter 340 of the Oregon Administrative Rules.

DEQ prepared a draft Rogue River Basin Water Quality Management Plan for Temperature to achieve the 2027 Rogue River Basin Temperature Total Maximum Daily Load allocations, consistent with Oregon Revised Statute 468B.110 and OAR chapter 340, division 42. DEQ proposes that the Environmental Quality Commission adopt the WQMP as rule by reference into OAR 340-042-0090.

The rulemaking is part of a [court-ordered effort to revise temperature TMDLs](#) issued between 2004 and 2010. Those TMDLs used the Natural Conditions Criterion, a provision of Oregon's temperature standard that EPA disapproved following a legal challenge. DEQ has developed a Revised Temperature TMDL for the Rogue River Basin. DEQ developed a temperature WQMP under OAR 340-042-0040(8) to guide Rogue River Basin TMDL implementation in alignment with similar WQMPs across Oregon.

A TMDL, sometimes called a clean water plan, identifies the maximum amount of a pollutant a waterbody can receive while still meeting state water quality standards. A WQMP is a required element of a TMDL under Oregon Administrative Rule 340-042-0040(4)(I). As defined in OAR 340-042-0030(17), a WQMP describes the strategies to achieve TMDL allocations and attain water quality standards. WQMPs identify responsible persons, including Designated Management Agencies, who must implement management strategies and prepare sector- or source-specific implementation plans.

This rule adopts a WQMP to guide actions to achieve the 2027 Rogue River Basin Temperature TMDL allocations. All other TMDLs and associated WQMPs in the Rogue River Basin remain in effect.

### Fee analysis

This rulemaking does not involve fees.

# Statement of fiscal and economic impact

## Fiscal and economic impact

Issuance and implementation of the rule may impose fiscal and economic costs on entities in the Rogue River Basin, including private agricultural and forestland operations, local governments, special districts, utilities, federal and state agencies, and entities holding National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permits. DEQ does not have sufficient data to fully quantify all costs associated with meeting TMDL allocations. Previously named responsible persons may face additional costs to meet new requirements not included in previous TMDLs in the Rogue River Basin. Previous TMDLs in the basin include: Upper Sucker Creek (1991), Lower Sucker Creek (2002), Lobster Creek Watershed (2002), Applegate Subbasin (2004), Bear Creek Watershed (2007), Rogue River Basin (2008). Newly named responsible persons may incur costs for developing implementation plans, carrying out management strategies, conducting monitoring, reporting on progress, and completing required periodic reviews.

This fiscal and economic impact statement does not quantify the costs of ongoing water quality impairments or the potential economic and ecological benefits of improving water quality and achieving beneficial uses. The rule requires responsible persons to implement the WQMP, which helps achieve TMDL allocations and attain water quality standards. Water pollution can harm communities throughout the basin and downstream, including commercial, recreational, and subsistence fishing communities. It can also impose economic and ecological costs, such as impacts on fish populations, recreational opportunities, Tribal cultural lifeways, and water treatment. These externalized costs may disproportionately affect Indigenous, rural, minority, and low-income communities in Oregon.

Some entities may qualify for technical or financial assistance through state or federal programs.

## Statement of cost of compliance

Entities may incur administrative, planning, and implementation costs to comply with this rule. DEQ does not have sufficient data to fully quantify potential economic impacts on landowners, businesses, agencies, or local governments. Several factors affect compliance costs, including:

- Whether the responsible person is already implementing a temperature TMDL or is newly named as responsible.
- Existing strategies in some locations may already reduce or prevent exceedances of temperature standards.
- Costs vary depending on the temperature management strategies responsible persons select.
- Some locations may require multiple temperature pollution management strategies.
- Site-specific conditions can increase the complexity and cost of implementation.
- Infrastructure such as buildings or roads may limit implementation options.
- Organizational capacity, including staff time, technical expertise, and access to contractors, affects both the feasibility and cost of implementation.
- Funding availability and match requirements influence the scale and pace of restoration work.

- DEQ does not have complete information to identify all potential sources or determine which existing actions responsible persons should modify to meet temperature criteria.
- Temperature load allocations are assigned by source sector, not by entity or individual property or activity.

Based on recent project budgets in the Rogue River Basin, the cost of stream restoration in less urbanized areas typically ranges from \$10,000 to \$25,000 per acre (Sources: personal communication; 2025 Rogue River Watershed Council; 2025 The Freshwater Trust; 2026 Jackson Soil and Water Conservation District). Estimates of costs per acre within the urban area of the city of Medford are quite a bit higher ranging from \$36,000 to \$96,000 per acre for installation and then from \$6,500 to \$15,500 per acre per year for maintenance. The city has determined that 5 years of maintenance is not sufficient, but instead 10 years needs to be assumed bringing the total cost to the city over 10 years to \$101,000-251,000 per acre (Source: personal communication 2026 City of Medford).

- Projects at the lower end of this range include basic planting, limited fencing, and minimal infrastructure.
- Projects in the middle of this range often include moderate site preparation, invasive species control, fencing, planting, and some engineered features like hardened crossings or livestock watering systems.
- Projects at the higher end of this range generally include more intensive restoration with high planting densities, complex site conditions, engineered infrastructure, and multi-year maintenance commitments.
- Projects at the highest cost per acre are in an urban environment dealing with potential vandalism, property owner influences, access issues, and other factors that can contribute to the complexity and cost of the projects.
- Note that the status of irrigation can have a large impact on costs of riparian restoration from no supplemental irrigation, short term irrigation for establishment, and permanent irrigation systems (Source: personal communication 2026 Jackson Soil and Water Conservation District).

Entities holding NPDES permits may incur costs to comply with discharge limits that reflect revised TMDL wasteload allocations. Individual permit holders with potential impacts to temperature in the Rogue River Basin include six agencies, eight local governments, two special districts, and six private businesses. General permit holders with potential temperature impacts include two agencies, seven local governments, one special district, five utilities, and two private businesses. These entities may need to invest in capital improvements, operational changes, or additional monitoring to achieve compliance. Some permit holders have no additional nonpoint source responsibilities and are required only to meet their current NPDES permit limits.

The WQMP identifies funding resources available to support entities in meeting TMDL allocations, surrogate measure targets, and other WQMP implementation requirements. Resources include state and federal grants such as the [Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board](#) and Clean Water Act Section 319 nonpoint source implementation grants and Clean Water State Revolving Fund loans, which may include principal forgiveness for public entities. Additional resources are listed on [DEQ's Water Quality Funding Resource webpage](#) and [EPA's watershed protection](#) and [financing guidance pages](#).

## Federal agencies

The rule will have a fiscal impact on federal agencies named as DMAs. Federal DMAs must develop and carry out nonpoint source temperature TMDL implementation plans. They will likely incur administrative and operational costs to prepare, implement, and revise their plans.

**U.S. Bureau of Land Management** is an existing DMA responsible for developing and implementing a TMDL implementation plan to meet TMDL allocations on BLM-managed lands. DEQ found that existing riparian reserve protections in BLM's Northwestern and Coastal Oregon Resource Management Plans and the Southwestern Oregon Resource Management Plans may not attain TMDL load allocations. BLM may need to take additional management actions to meet TMDL load allocations and effective shade targets. BLM will likely incur administrative and operational costs to develop its plan and carry out the related management, monitoring, and reporting.

**U.S. Forest Service** is an existing DMA responsible for developing and implementing a TMDL implementation plan to meet TMDL allocations on USFS-managed lands. DEQ found that existing riparian reserve protections in USFS's [Northwest Forest Plan](#) may not attain TMDL load allocations. USFS may need to take additional management actions to meet TMDL load allocations and effective shade targets. USFS will likely incur administrative and operational costs to develop its plan and carry out the related management, monitoring, and reporting.

**U.S. Army Corp of Engineers** is an existing DMA that must develop and carry out a implementation plan to meet TMDL allocations on lands and reservoirs under the USACE jurisdiction. USACE will likely incur administrative and operational costs to develop its plan and carry out the related management, monitoring, and reporting. USACE must also conduct water temperature monitoring and develop an assessment plan at Applegate and Lost Creek reservoirs which could result in additional costs. Implementing reservoir temperature mitigation strategies could lead to significant expenses.

## State agencies

The rule will have a fiscal impact on state agencies named as DMAs that are required to develop and implement nonpoint source temperature TMDL implementation plans. These DMAs will likely incur administrative and operational costs to prepare, implement, and revise their plans.

**Oregon Department of Environmental Quality** directs some responsible persons to develop and implement TMDL implementation plans to address load allocations from nonpoint sources and incorporates revised TMDL wasteload allocations into NPDES permits during issuance and renewal. DEQ carries out both NPDES permitting and TMDL implementation plan oversight using existing TMDL program resources. Therefore, the agency expects to absorb any additional workload from the rule within current resources, with no new fiscal burden.

**Oregon Department of Forestry** is an existing DMA responsible for developing and implementing a TMDL implementation plan to meet TMDL allocations on non-federal forest lands. DEQ found that existing riparian management area protections provided under the Forest Practices Act, as amended by the Private Forest Accord, may not attain TMDL load allocations. ODF may need to take additional management actions to meet TMDL load allocations and effective shade targets. ODF will likely incur administrative and operational costs to develop its plan and carry out the related management, monitoring, and reporting.

**Oregon Department of Agriculture** is an existing DMA responsible for developing and implementing a TMDL implementation plan to meet TMDL allocations on non-federal agricultural lands. DEQ found that existing regulatory and voluntary streamside protections provided under the [Rogue Basin Agricultural Water Quality Management Area Plan and Rules](#) may not attain TMDL load allocations. ODA may need to take additional management actions to meet TMDL load allocations and effective shade targets. ODA will likely incur administrative and operational costs to develop its plan and carry out the related management, monitoring, and reporting.

**Oregon Department of Transportation** is an existing DMA responsible for developing and implementing a TMDL implementation plan to meet TMDL allocations on ODOT-managed lands, excluding stormwater conveyances covered by the current DEQ administered MS4 permit. ODOT will likely incur administrative and operational costs to develop its plan and carry out the related management, monitoring, and reporting.

**Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife** is a new DMA responsible for developing and implementing a TMDL implementation plan to meet TMDL allocations on ODFW-managed lands. ODFW will likely incur administrative and operational costs to develop its plan and carry out the related management, monitoring, and reporting. Additional costs to ODFW may include costs to actively restore and maintain riparian shade in areas within their jurisdiction. As an NPDES permit holder for fish hatchery operations, ODFW may incur costs to comply with discharge limits that reflect revised TMDL wasteload allocations.

**Oregon Department of State Lands** is an existing DMA that must develop and carry out an implementation plan to meet TMDL allocations on DSL-managed lands. DSL will likely incur administrative and operational costs to develop its plan and carry out the related management, monitoring, and reporting.

**Oregon Parks and Recreation Department** is a new DMA responsible for developing and implementing a TMDL implementation plan to meet TMDL allocations on OPRD-managed lands. OPRD will likely incur administrative and operational costs to develop its plan and carry out the related management, monitoring, and reporting.

## Local governments

The rule will have a fiscal impact on seventeen local governments, all named as DMAs in previous TMDLs. They will likely incur administrative and operational costs to update their existing nonpoint source temperature TMDL implementation plans to comply with the new WQMP requirements in addition to substantial costs to actively restore riparian shade in areas within their jurisdiction. There is also an on-going cost to local jurisdictions for maintenance of restored areas.

**Jackson, Josephine, and Curry Counties** are existing DMAs that currently implement DEQ approved implementation plans to meet current TMDL allocations on lands under county jurisdiction. They will likely incur administrative and operational costs to update their plans and carry out the related management, monitoring, and reporting. There will be a substantial cost to actively restore riparian shade in areas within their jurisdiction as well as an ongoing cost to maintain planted areas.

**The cities of Ashland, Butte Falls, Cave Junction, Central Point, Eagle Point, Gold Beach, Gold Hill, Grants Pass, Jacksonville, Medford, Phoenix, Rogue River, Shady Cove, and Talent** are existing DMAs that currently implement DEQ approved implementation plans to meet current TMDL allocations on lands under municipal jurisdiction. They will likely incur

administrative and operational costs to update their plans and carry out the related management, monitoring, and reporting. There will be a substantial cost to actively restore riparian shade in areas within their jurisdiction as well as an ongoing cost to maintain planted areas.

Financial incentives and technical assistance programs are available to help local governments implement the actions needed to meet TMDL allocations. Grants and low-interest loans can support water quality assessments, pollution control measures, watershed restoration projects, and other landscape improvements necessary to achieve temperature load allocations.

## **Special districts**

**Grants Pass Irrigation District, Gold Hill Irrigation District, Rogue River Valley Irrigation District, Medford Irrigation District, Talent Irrigation District, and Eagle Point Irrigation District** are all Special Districts recognized by the state of Oregon. All are currently identified as responsible persons in previous TMDLs for the Rogue River Basin and currently implement DEQ approved implementation plans to meet current TMDL allocations on lands and operations under their jurisdiction. They will likely incur additional administrative and operational costs to update their plans and carry out the related management, monitoring, and reporting.

## **Responsible persons not required to submit implementation plans**

The WQMP identifies six responsible persons who are not required to develop TMDL implementation plans at this time: Bonneville Power Administration, Central Oregon and Pacific Railroad, Klamath County, Pacific Power and Light, Rogue Valley Terminal Railroad, Medford Water Commission. These responsible persons are expected to comply with the TMDL and will likely incur additional costs to implement the TMDL. If conditions or information changes, DEQ may require these responsible persons to submit a plan. In that case, the responsible person would likely incur additional costs for plan development and implementation.

## **Public**

The WQMP does not impose direct costs on the public. It establishes requirements for responsible persons, who may pass costs through fees, water rates, rule changes, or other mechanisms, depending on the type of entity and applicable authorities, to comply with TMDL requirements. Financial incentives and technical assistance programs are available to help local governments, businesses, and private landowners implement the actions needed to meet TMDL allocations. Additional programs support agricultural and private forest landowners, operators, ODF Stewardship Foresters, and Soil and Water Conservation Districts in implementing management strategies needed to meet TMDL requirements.

The public and Tribal Nations may benefit from the long-term ecological and cultural advantages of cooler water temperatures, which are essential for the recovery of threatened native species, including steelhead trout (*Onchorhynchus mykiss*), Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*), coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*), coastal cutthroat trout (*Oncorhynchus clarki clarki*) and Pacific lamprey (*Lampetra tridentata*). The rule supports the goals of the [Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds](#), a statewide initiative promoting both regulatory and voluntary actions to restore native fish populations and improve watershed health.

By addressing temperature impairments, the proposed rule implements state and federal recovery plans that identify elevated water temperature as a limiting factor. These include the [Coastal, Columbia, and Snake Conservation Plan for Lampreys in Oregon](#), the [Oregon Coast Coho Conservation Plan](#), and the [Recovery Plan for Oregon Coast Coho Salmon](#).

The rule may also strengthen Oregon's economy, quality of life and water security for future generations. In 2021, [the marine salmon and steelhead fishery generated \\$52.4 million](#) in coastwide income, and [recreational angling generated \\$1.1 billion](#) in economic impact. In 2022 Jackson, Josephine, and Curry Counties outdoor recreation contributed a combined total of 1.09 billion dollars in revenue, supported 12,000 workers and generated 109 million dollars in state and local tax revenue ([Economic Analysis of Outdoor Recreation in Oregon: 2022 update](#)). Cooler streams can also save on treatment costs and improve drinking water quality, reduce public health risks associated with harmful algal blooms, and improve recreational opportunities, particularly during droughts and heatwaves.

## **Large businesses - businesses with more than 50 employees**

DEQ reviewed 2024 data from the [Oregon Employment Department](#) to identify large businesses operating in Jackson, Josephine and Curry counties that could be affected by the rule. DEQ identified 17 individual and 13 general NPDES permit holders that have the potential to impact surface water temperatures in the Rogue River Basin. In this list there are 5 large businesses that may incur costs to invest in capital improvements, operational changes, or additional monitoring to meet discharge limits based on TMDL wasteload allocations.

Large businesses are not directly regulated under the rule. Responsible persons may change management practices to meet TMDL load allocations, and these changes may indirectly affect large businesses. Agricultural and timber operations are most likely to be affected because they account for a substantial portion of streamside land use and influence streamside vegetation and shading. Affected operations could include three timber tract operations and four businesses that provide support services for agriculture and forestry. Indirect fiscal impacts may include additional labor or operational modifications.

## **Small businesses – businesses with 50 or fewer employees**

DEQ used 2024 data from the [Oregon Employment Department](#) to identify small businesses in the Rogue River Basin which includes Jackson and Josephine Counties, Gold Beach, Wedderburn, and Agness. Of the 17 individual and 13 general NPDES permit holders identified that have the potential to impact surface water temperatures in the Rogue River Basin, 5 are owned by small businesses. Small businesses may need to upgrade systems, adjust operations, or conduct additional monitoring to comply with discharge limits that reflect updated TMDL wasteload allocations.

Small businesses are not directly regulated under the rule. Responsible persons may change management practices to meet TMDL load allocations, and these changes may indirectly affect small businesses. Agricultural and timber-related operations are most likely to be affected because they represent a large portion of streamside land use. These effects could also extend to small private woodland owners not classified as businesses in OED's database. Indirect fiscal impacts may include additional labor, equipment, materials, or administrative effort.

## **ORS 183.336 Cost of Compliance Effect on Small Businesses**

**a. Estimated number of small businesses and types of businesses and industries with small businesses subject to proposed rule.**

DEQ identified 8,750 registered small businesses in the Rogue River Basin. Of these, 244 are agricultural businesses and 45 are timber-related businesses that may be affected if they operate within streamside areas. Small private woodland owners not classified as businesses may also experience indirect impacts.

**b. Projected reporting, recordkeeping and other administrative activities, including costs of professional services, required for small businesses to comply with the proposed rule.**

The rule does not directly impose new reporting or administrative requirements on small businesses. However, as responsible persons the WQMP may require small businesses to participate in additional reporting, recordkeeping, or administrative activities to support WQMP implementation. Existing ODA, ODF, or local water quality programs already include some of these activities.

**c. Projected equipment, supplies, labor and increased administration required for small businesses to comply with the proposed rule.**

Small businesses do not face direct operational requirements under the rule. Responsible persons may change management practices to meet TMDL load allocations, and these changes may indirectly affect small businesses operating within streamside areas. Impacts could include additional equipment, materials, labor, or administrative effort. Some of these activities may already be required under existing ODA, ODF, or local water quality programs.

**d. Describe how DEQ involved small businesses in developing this proposed rule.**

DEQ notified interested parties about the rulemaking process through GovDelivery and hosted an informational webinar on February 12, 2026. DEQ also convened Rule Advisory Committee meetings on February 26, 2026 and May 21, 2026 and included representatives from agriculture, forestry, and municipal governments to provide input on potential fiscal impacts including those impacts to small businesses.

## **Documents relied on for fiscal and economic impact**

- DEQ's Oregon Administrative Rules 340-042-0080 Implementing a Total Maximum Daily Load
- DEQ TMDL Funding Webpage
- OWEB Oregon Watershed Restoration Inventory
- BLM Northwestern & Coastal Oregon ROD and RMP
- BLM Southwestern Oregon ROD and RMP
- USFS Northwest Forest Plan
- Private Forest Accord Report
- Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds Resources
- Coastal, Columbia, and Snake Conservation Plan for Lampreys in Oregon

- Oregon Coast Coho Conservation Plan
- Recovery Plan for Oregon Coast Coho Salmon
- Oregon Fishing Industry 2020-2021, The Research Group
- ASA Senate Handout, 2023
- Oregon Employment Department Request public records
- North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service
- Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board grant programs
- Oregon Business Xpress: Certification for Minority, Women, Veteran, & Small Business Owners
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Environmental Justice Screening Tool
- Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool
- U.S. Census Bureau QuickFacts
- Environmental Justice Best Practices for Oregon's Natural Resource Agencies
- DEQ Annual Report to the Environmental Justice Council 2024
- Economic Analysis of Outdoor Recreation in Oregon: 2022 update

## **Advisory committee fiscal review**

DEQ appointed an advisory committee.

As ORS 183.333 requires, DEQ asked for the committee's recommendations on:

- Whether the proposed rules would have a fiscal impact,
- The extent of the impact, and
- Whether the proposed rules would have a significant adverse impact on small businesses; if so, then how DEQ can comply with ORS 183.540 reduce that impact.

DEQ sent the draft fiscal and economic impact statement to the RAC ahead of the first RAC meeting on February 26, 2026, and presented the draft fiscal impact statement at the second RAC meeting on May 21, 2026. The committee reviewed the draft fiscal and economic impact statement, and DEQ documented the RAC's comments in the meeting summary.

[Place holder for RAC summary].

## **Housing cost**

As ORS 183.534 requires, DEQ evaluated whether the proposed rules would have an effect on the development cost of a 6,000-square-foot parcel and construction of a 1,200-square-foot detached, single-family dwelling on that parcel.

DEQ determined that the proposed rule is unlikely to affect housing costs, because it does not impose direct requirements on residential property owners or developers.

Responsible persons may adopt rules, ordinances, or permitting requirements under TMDL implementation plans, which could impose indirect costs on residential property owners or developers. Rule Advisory Committee members have indicated that property owners have expressed concerns about increased fire risk along riparian corridors and that the perceived risk may have impacts on property values. DEQ cannot quantify these potential impacts at this time.

## Racial equity

ORS 183.335(2)(a)(F) requires state agencies to provide a statement identifying how adoption of this rule will affect racial equity in this state.

Water pollution, including elevated stream temperatures, disproportionately affects Indigenous, minority, rural, and low-income communities in Oregon. These communities rely on clean water for drinking, recreation, and subsistence fishing. The rule directs responsible persons to implement nonpoint source TMDL plans and incorporates TMDL wasteload allocations into NPDES permits. These actions reduce stream temperatures, improve fish habitat including salmonid species that support subsistence fisheries, and may lower water treatment needs and associated costs. By addressing these environmental impacts, the rule provides direct benefits to communities historically impacted by water quality impairments.

DEQ identified Minority Business Enterprises in the Rogue River Basin using the [Oregon Certification Office for Business Inclusion and Diversity](#) directory. In 2026 there are 14 registered Minority Business Enterprises and 17 registered Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in Jackson, Josephine, and Curry Counties. Existing MBE and DBE business categories that could be impacted by the TMDL rule include reforestation, forest thinning, forest firefighting, and other support activities for forestry businesses including hazard tree felling/mitigation, fire-weakened tree felling, trail's maintenance, fuels mitigation, pre-commercial thinning, hand piling businesses, tree felling, logging, and forest fire abatement services businesses.

MBEs, DBEs, small businesses, and private landowners can access technical assistance, funding, and other resources available to businesses of all sizes, including microlending, business incubation, and networking support through the Oregon Association of Minority Entrepreneurs.

As part of the Rogue River Basin TMDL, DEQ notified Tribal nations including Confederated Tribes of the Coos, Lower Umpqua and Siuslaw Indians, Coquille Indian Tribe, Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde, Cow Creek Band of Umpqua Indians and the Confederated Tribes of the Siletz

DEQ engages agricultural, forestry, fishery, and conservation communities and others through the Rule Advisory Committee to ensure that historically marginalized groups have the opportunity to provide input on rule implementation.

## Environmental justice considerations

ORS 182.545 requires natural resource agencies to consider the effects of their actions on environmental justice issues. Environmental justice ensures fair treatment and meaningful involvement of all people, regardless of race, color, national origin, culture, education, or income, in the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies. DEQ applies environmental justice best practices to guide outreach, participation, and program implementation, ensuring equitable environmental and public health protections.

DEQ evaluated environmental justice concerns in Jackson, Josephine and Curry Counties using EPA's Environmental Justice Screening and Mapping Tool, the Council on Environmental Quality Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool, and [U.S. Census Bureau County Quick Facts](#) (July 2022). These analyses identified populations with higher vulnerability scores that

may face cumulative environmental and socioeconomic burdens, such as higher poverty rates, lower access to internet broadband, and limited English proficiency.

Jackson, Josephine and Curry County residents are, on average, less ethnically diverse (i.e., higher percentage of residents who identify as white), and experience lower income than the state average. These socioeconomic indicators are consistent with other counties in western Oregon. This information may be useful in evaluating potential barriers to delivering services to disadvantaged communities. Transportation barriers, access to computers, and broadband gaps are barriers to conducting environmental justice outreach.

The US Census Bureau County QuickFacts (July 1, 2023 - V2023) reports that the percent of American Indian and Alaskan Natives (2.7%) in Curry County is higher than the state average (1.9%).

The Rogue Basin encompasses the ancestral homeland of the Confederated Tribes of the Coos, Lower Umpqua and Siuslaw Indians, Coquille Indian Tribe, Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde, Cow Creek Band of Umpqua Indians and the Confederated Tribes of the Siletz. The Tribes should have an active role in decisions affecting the lands and waterways within the Rogue River Basin.

DEQ uses these factors to guide public outreach and participation. Rule Advisory Committee meetings in the Rogue River Basin are held in person and online, and DEQ will make recordings available on request to improve accessibility for participants with limited internet access or scheduling conflicts.

---

## **Non-discrimination statement**

DEQ does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, disability, age, sex, religion, sexual orientation, gender identity, or marital status in the administration of its programs and activities. For translations or alternate formats, visit DEQ's [Civil Rights and Environmental Justice page](#).