

To: Khalil Alomari, Country Market Date: February 7, 2025

From: Julie Pace Project No.: M2809.01.001

Re: Vapor Intrusion Assessment Results, Country Market, 40490 Old Highway 30 (DEQ Site ID:

04-16-0669)

At the request of Country Market (client), Maul Foster & Alongi, Inc. (MFA) conducted a vapor intrusion (VI) assessment at the residence attached to the southeast portion of the Country Market, formerly Hunt's Marketplace (site), to evaluate potential indoor air vapor exposure from subsurface soil and groundwater contamination at the site. MFA collected indoor air and crawlspace vapor samples to assess whether vapors associated with the site contamination are present under or in the residence.

The site contamination is associated with an underground storage tank (UST) located on the north side of the Country Market that was identified during a geophysical survey in June 2015. Extensive subsurface investigations have been conducted at the site to assess the nature and extent of contamination associated with the UST, as summarized in the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) staff memorandum for Leaking Underground Storage Tank (LUST) site 04-16-0669¹. In its staff memorandum DEQ stated that "Barring further cleanup, an E&ES [Easement and Equitable Servitude] that prohibits the construction of any new buildings for human occupation without DEQ's prior written approval would be needed to mitigate unacceptable vapor intrusion risks to the occupants of future buildings constructed at the site." DEQ subsequently became aware that around 2020 Clatsop County approved the remodeling of an on-site commercial building to include a residential living space. This residential use, which is ongoing, resulted in DEQ requiring the VI assessment.

This memorandum summarizes the indoor air and crawlspace sampling results and proposed mitigation plan. In summary, benzene, ethylbenzene, naphthalene, and gasoline-range organics (GRO) were detected in multiple indoor air samples and one of the two crawlspace samples at concentrations above the chronic VI risk-based concentrations (RBCs) for residential exposure. Currently, the residents are ventilating the home with window fans and exhausting the air outdoors, and have begun planning the implementation of active mitigation of the crawlspace.

#### Methodology

#### Indoor Air Sampling - June 2024

On June 13, 2024, MFA deployed four 6-liter Summa canisters fitted with seven-day regulators at the site. A canister was placed outside, in the yard on the south side of the residence as a background sample (Sample 1). Three canisters were placed in the residential building interior: in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> DEQ. 2023. Letter re: *Hunt's Market, LUST # 04-16-0669; Staff Memorandum in support of a No Further Action determination*. From Rebecca Digiustino, Project Manager, DEQ Northwest Region to DEQ project file. June 14.

kitchen/dining room area (Sample 2), in a bedroom with a bathroom (Sample 3), and in a study (Sample 4). See the Figure for sample locations.

After seven days, the canister regulators were closed, and the canisters were shipped to Friedman & Bruya, Inc. in Seattle, Washington for analysis of GRO, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX), and naphthalene by EPA Method TO-15.

#### Crawlspace Sampling - August 2024

Based on the results of the indoor air samples, as discussed below, on August 12, 2024, MFA collected two crawlspace samples to confirm that vapors are present under the residence and the cause of the vapors detected in the building interior.

Based on conversations with the client, it is MFA's understanding that the residence is built on 1.5-foot-high floor joists that rest on top of a concrete slab. The north portion of the residence, associated with three bedrooms and a study, rests on joists that are oriented east-west, and the south portion, associated with the kitchen and dining room area, rests on joists oriented north-south. This configuration creates enclosed/isolated crawlspace "cells" between the concrete slab and the floor of the residence. The perimeter of the residence rests on a concrete footer wall, about 1.5 feet high, that prevents access to the cells.

As approved by DEQ via email on July 25, 2024, MFA collected two vapor samples from the cells. One sample was collected from an east-west cell underlying the bedroom where Sample 3 was collected (sample 1-Crawlspace on the Figure). A second sample was collected from a north-south cell underlying the kitchen/dining room area where Sample 2 was collected (sample 2-Crawlspace on the Figure).

To access the cells for sampling, concrete cores were drilled through the footer wall on the west and south sides of the residence. Sampling tubing for the Summa canisters was inserted approximately 5 to 6 feet through the core hole into the cell. See the Figure for the sample locations. The cored hole was then sealed with plastic and duct tape to prevent outdoor air from entering the sampled cell. The tubing was then attached to the 6-liter Summa canisters fitted with 30-minute regulators. A rag soaked with alcohol (2-Propanol) was placed around the sample tubing adjacent to the core hole as a leak-check compound.

After 30 minutes, the canister regulators were closed, and the canisters were shipped to Friedman & Bruya, Inc for analysis of GRO, BTEX, and naphthalene by EPA Method TO-15.

#### Summary of Results

Below are the results for the indoor air and crawlspace vapor sampling events. The laboratory reports are provided in Attachment A, and a data validation memorandum is provided in Attachment B.

#### Indoor Air Vapor Results - June 2024

The following chemicals were detected at concentrations exceeding the chronic VI RBCs for residential exposure.

• Sample 1 - Background outdoor

GRO: 400 micrograms per cubic meter (ug/m³)

o Naphthalene: 0.12 ug/m<sup>3</sup>

Sample 2 – Kitchen/dining area

o Benzene: 2.1 ug/m<sup>3</sup>

GRO: 1,800 ug/m³
 Naphthalene: 0.44 ug/m³

#### Sample 3 –Bedroom with bathroom

Benzene: 3.0 ug/m³
 GRO: 1,100 ug/m³
 Naphthalene: 0.85 ug/m³

#### Sample 4 –Study

Benzene: 1.5 ug/m³
 GRO: 350 ug/m³
 Naphthalene: 0.38 ug/m³

#### Crawlspace Vapor Results - August 2024

The following chemicals were detected at concentrations exceeding the chronic VI RBCs for residential exposure.

#### Sample 1 – Crawlspace beneath Bedroom

Benzene: 0.55 ug/m³
 Ethylbenzene: 1.8 ug/m³
 GRO: 2,100 ug/m³
 Naphthalene: 4.7 ug/m³

#### Sample 2 – Crawlspace beneath Kitchen (Qualified results. See DVM Attachment B)

Naphthalene: 0.50 ug/m³

As described in the attached data validation memorandum, 2-Propanol was detected only in Sample 2 at a concentration of 1,300 ug/m³, indicating that outside air may have entered the Sample 2 cell during sample collection.

The chronic RBCs for residential exposure to which the data were compared are:

Benzene 0.36 ug/m3
Ethylbenzene 1.1 ug/m3
Gasoline 300 ug/m3
Naphthalene 0.083 ug/m3

#### VI Mitigation Approach

The indoor air and crawlspace sample results indicate vapors associated with the UST are entering the residence. The client has selected active mitigation of the crawl space as the preferred VI mitigation approach. In concept, the mitigation will consist of coring 2-inch-diameter holes through the concrete footer wall on one side of each crawlspace cell and installing an inline centrifugal fan with vent piping that attaches to a riser to exhaust the crawlspace air to the outside. Following DEQ's review of the VI assessment findings and preliminary approval of the VI mitigation approach, an MFA Oregon-registered Professional Engineer will develop plans for the VI mitigation system for DEQ review, as required by the draft Easement of Equitable Servitude for the site.

After operation of the active VI mitigation system for one month, MFA will conduct an indoor air sampling event to determine the effectiveness of the active system. Seven-day samples will be

collected at the same locations and using the same methods as described above for the June 2024 indoor air sampling event. If chemicals are detected in the indoor air samples at concentrations exceeding the chronic VI RBCs for residential exposure, MFA will contact DEQ to discuss options for improving the effectiveness of the system.

## **Attachments**

Limitations

**Figure** 

A-Analytical Laboratory Reports

**B**—Data Validation Memorandum

## **Limitations**

The services undertaken in completing this technical memorandum were performed consistent with generally accepted professional consulting principles and practices. No other warranty, express or implied, is made. These services were performed consistent with our agreement with our client. This technical memorandum is solely for the use and information of our client unless otherwise noted. Any reliance on this report by a third party is at such party's sole risk.

Opinions and recommendations contained in this technical memorandum apply to conditions existing when services were performed and are intended only for the client, purposes, locations, time frames, and project parameters indicated. We are not responsible for the impacts of any changes in environmental standards, practices, or regulations subsequent to performance of services. We do not warrant the accuracy of information supplied by others, or the use of segregated portions of this technical memorandum.

# **Figure**





DODOOO&UUDDZOOOVOSODOFOH

## **Attachment A**

**Analytical Laboratory Reports** 



#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Vineta Mills, M.S. Eric Young, B.S. 5500 4th Ave South Seattle, WA 98108-2419 (206) 285-8282 office@friedmanandbruya.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

July 8, 2024

Julie Pace, Project Manager Maul Foster Alongi 3140 NE Broadway St Portland, OR 97232

Dear Ms Pace:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on June 25, 2024 from the Haglund and Kelly VI Assessment M2366.02.001-001, F&BI 406350 project. There are 9 pages included in this report.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures MFA0708R.DOC

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

#### CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on June 25, 2024 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the Maul Foster Alongi Haglund and Kelly VI Assessment M2366.02.001-001, F&BI 406350 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	Maul Foster Alongi
406350 -01	1
406350 -02	2
406350 -03	3
406350 -04	4

Individually certified canisters were provided for TO15 sampling.

The TO-15 gasoline range concentrations were quantified using a single point calibration at 80 ppbv.

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## Analysis For Volatile Compounds By Method TO-15

Client Sample ID: 1	Client:	Maul Foster Alongi
---------------------	---------	--------------------

Date Received: 06/25/24 Project: Haglund and Kelly VI Assessment

Lab ID: Date Collected: 406350-01 06/13/24 Date Analyzed: 06/28/24 Data File:  $062819.\mathrm{D}$ Matrix: GCMS7 Air Instrument: Units: ug/m3Operator: bat

	%	Lower	$_{ m Upper}$
Surrogates:	Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
4-Bromofluorobenzene	88	70	130

	Concentration	
Compounds:	ug/m3	ppbv
Benzene	< 0.32	< 0.1
Toluene	< 7.5	<2
Ethylbenzene	< 0.43	< 0.1
m,p-Xylene	< 0.87	< 0.2
o-Xylene	< 0.43	< 0.1
Naphthalene	0.12	0.022
Gasoline Range Organics	400	98

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## Analysis For Volatile Compounds By Method TO-15

Client Sample ID:	2	Client:	Maul Foster Alongi
-------------------	---	---------	--------------------

Date Received: 06/25/24 Project: Haglund and Kelly VI Assessment

Date Collected: Lab ID: 406350-02 06/13/24 Date Analyzed: 06/28/24 Data File:  $062818.\mathrm{D}$ Matrix: GCMS7 Air Instrument: Units: ug/m3Operator: bat

	%	Lower	$_{ m Upper}$
Surrogates:	Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
4-Bromofluorobenzene	91	70	130

	Concentration	
Compounds:	ug/m3	ppbv
Benzene	2.1	0.66
Toluene	< 7.5	<2
Ethylbenzene	0.64	0.15
m,p-Xylene	2.0	0.46
o-Xylene	0.60	0.14
Naphthalene	0.44	0.083
Gasoline Range Organics	1,800	430

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## Analysis For Volatile Compounds By Method TO-15

Date Received: 06/25/24 Project: Haglund and Kelly VI Assessment

Lab ID: Date Collected: 406350-03 06/13/24 Date Analyzed: 06/28/24 Data File: 062817.DMatrix: GCMS7 Air Instrument: Units: ug/m3 Operator: bat

	%	Lower	$_{ m Upper}$
Surrogates:	Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
4-Bromofluorobenzene	90	70	130
	Conce	ntration	

	Concen	itration
Compounds:	ug/m3	ppbv
Benzene	3.0	0.94
Toluene	< 7.5	<2
Ethylbenzene	0.84	0.19
m,p-Xylene	2.6	0.60
o-Xylene	0.69	0.16
Naphthalene	0.85	0.16
Gasoline Range Organics	1,100	260

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## Analysis For Volatile Compounds By Method TO-15

Client Sample ID: 4	Client:	Maul Foster Alongi
---------------------	---------	--------------------

Date Received: 06/25/24 Project: Haglund and Kelly VI Assessment

Lab ID: Date Collected: 406350-04 06/13/24 Date Analyzed: 06/28/24 Data File:  $062816.\mathrm{D}$ Matrix: GCMS7 Air Instrument: Units: ug/m3 Operator: bat

Surrogates: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	% Recovery: 92	Lower Limit: 70	Upper Limit: 130
G 1	Conce	ntration	

Compounds:	ug/m3	ppbv
Benzene	1.5	0.48
Toluene	< 7.5	<2
Ethylbenzene	0.53	0.12
m,p-Xylene	1.6	0.37
o-Xylene	0.50	0.11
Naphthalene	0.38	0.073
Gasoline Range Organics	350	84

## **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

## Analysis For Volatile Compounds By Method TO-15

Client Sample ID: Method Blank Client: Maul Foster Alongi

Date Received: Not Applicable Project: Haglund and Kelly VI Assessment

Lab ID: Date Collected: 06/28/24 04-1462 MB06/28/24 Date Analyzed: Data File:  $062815.\mathrm{D}$ Matrix: Instrument: GCMS7Air ug/m3 Operator: Units: bat

	%	Lower	$_{ m Upper}$
Surrogates:	Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
4-Bromofluorobenzene	88	70	130

	Conce	entration
Compounds:	ug/m3	ppbv
Benzene	< 0.32	< 0.1
Toluene	< 7.5	<2
Ethylbenzene	< 0.43	< 0.1
m,p-Xylene	< 0.87	< 0.2
o-Xylene	< 0.43	< 0.1
Naphthalene	<0.073 j	<0.014 j
Gasoline Range Organics	<210	< 50

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 07/08/24 Date Received: 06/25/24

Project: Haglund and Kelly VI Assessment M2366.02.001-001, F&BI 406350

# QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF AIR SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY METHOD TO-15

Laboratory Code: 406380-01 1/5.2 (Duplicate)

	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	RPD
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 30)
Benzene	ug/m3	<1.7	<1.7	nm
Toluene	ug/m3	<39	<39	nm
Ethylbenzene	ug/m3	< 2.3	< 2.3	nm
m,p-Xylene	ug/m3	<4.5	<4.5	nm
o-Xylene	ug/m3	< 2.3	< 2.3	nm
Naphthalene	ug/m3	<1.4	<1.4	nm

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 07/08/24 Date Received: 06/25/24

Project: Haglund and Kelly VI Assessment M2366.02.001-001, F&BI 406350

# QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF AIR SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY METHOD TO-15

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	Percent					
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance		
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria		
Benzene	ug/m3	43	104	70-130		
Toluene	ug/m3	51	115	70-130		
Ethylbenzene	ug/m3	59	111	70-130		
m,p-Xylene	ug/m3	120	113	70-130		
o-Xylene	ug/m3	59	121	70-130		
Naphthalene	ug/m3	71	118	70-130		

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

## **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria, biased low; or, the calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria, biased high, with a detection for the analyte in the sample. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- cf The sample was centrifuged prior to analysis.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits were raised and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample volume was available to achieve normal reporting limits.
- f The sample was laboratory filtered prior to analysis.
- fb The analyte was detected in the method blank.
- fc The analyte is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. Variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- hs Headspace was present in the container used for analysis.
- ht The analysis was performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of control limits due to sample matrix effects.
- j The analyte concentration is reported below the standard reporting limit. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The laboratory control sample(s) percent recovery and/or RPD were out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- k The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria, biased high, and the analyte was not detected in the sample.
- lc The presence of the analyte is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received with incorrect preservation or in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve The analyte response exceeded the valid instrument calibration range. The value reported is an estimate.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

406350	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY $06/35/3$	24 —
	SAMPLERS (signature)	Page # of
Report To Julie Pace	liff	TURNAROUND TIME
Company MFA	PROJECT NAME & ADDRESS PO#	Standard RUSH
Address 3140 NE Broadway	Hagiund + Kelly VI Assessment	Rush charges authorized by:
City, State, ZIP Portland, OR 97236	NOTES: Proj # - MJ366 G2. 001-00 INVOICE TO	SAMPLE DISPOSAL Default:Clean following
Phone 971-544-7847 mail pace @maulaiter	om Projet into	final report delivery Hold (Fee may apply):
SAMPLE INFORMATION	ANALYSIS R	EQUESTED

SAMPLE INFORMATION										ANA	LYS	IS R	EQU	EST	ED		
Sample Name	Lab ID	Canister ID	Flow Cont. ID	Reporting Level: IA=Indoor Air SG=Soil Gas (Circle One)	Date Sampled	Initial Vac. ("Hg)	Initial	Final Vac. ("Hg)	Field Final Time	TO15 Full Scan	TO15 BTEXN	TO15 cVOCs	APH	Helium	THEX (GRO)	, Notes	
	01	49856			6/13-6/2		1533	7	1436		X				X	ali.	
2	02	49855	20494	(IA)/ SG	ŀ	30	1529	6	1435		×				X	A Section	. M
3	03	49854	20491	IA)/ SG		30	1530	5	1434		X				X		
4	04	40711	20480	IA / SG	_4_	30	1231	6	1433		X				X		
,				IA / SG													
				IA / SG													
	-			IA / SG			3				Sar	nple	es re	cei	ved	at 21 °C	
				IA / SG													2.6

Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 5500 4th Avenue South Seattle, WA 98108

Ph. (206) 285-8282

Fax (206) 283-5044

FORMS\COC\COCTO-15.DOC

SIGNATURE	PRINT NAME	COMPANY	DATE	TIME
Relinquished by:	Epic Auser	MFA	6/20/24	1700
Received by:	ANH PHAN	FBI	06/25/24	14:00
Relinquished by:				
Received by:				

## SAMPLE CONDITION UPON RECEIPT CHECKLIST

PROJECT # 406350	CLIENT_	MFA		INITIAL DATE:	SI AB /2	5/24
If custody seals are	present on coo	oler, are they inta	act?	NA NA	□ YES	□ NO
Cooler/Sample temp	erature	.*		Ther	mometer ID: Flu	?1_ °C ke 96312917
Were samples receiv	ved on ice/cold	packs?			□ YES	Ø NO
How did samples ar	rive? ne Counter	☐ Picked up by Fo	&BI	FedEx	UPS/GSO	
Is there a Chain-of-C			ES 🗆 NO		ials/ AP e: 06/	25/24
Number of days san	ples have bee	n sitting prior to	receipt at	laborate	ory 5-12	days
Are the samples clea	arly identified	? (explain "no" answer	below)		□ YES	Ø NO
Were all sample con leaking etc.)? (explain			broken,			□ NO
Were appropriate sa	ample contain	ers used?	Z YES	S DN	0 🗆 U	nknown
If custody seals are	present on sar	nples, are they in	ntact?	ø NA	□ YES	□ NO
Are samples requiri	ng no headspa	ace, headspace fr	ee?	NA	□ YES	□ NO
Is the following info	7)				the samp	le label?
Sample ID's	☐ Yes ☑ No	No tag Sameple n	ane on to	ugs [	☐ Not on C(	OC/label
Date Sampled	✓ Yes □ No	4 :		[	☐ Not on C(	
Time Sampled	✓ Yes □ No			[	□ Not on C(	OC/label
# of Containers	✓ Yes □ No					
Relinquished	•					
Requested analysis						
Other comments (us	se a separate pa	ge if needed)				
Air Samples: Were a	any additional	canisters/tubes	received?	□ NA	□ YES	NO





#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Vineta Mills, M.S. Eric Young, B.S. 5500 4th Ave South Seattle, WA 98108-2419 (206) 285-8282 office@friedmanandbruya.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

August 26, 2024

Julie Pace, Project Manager Maul Foster Alongi 3140 NE Broadway St Portland, OR 97232

Dear Ms Pace:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on August 14, 2024 from the Country Market M2809.01.001, F&BI 408232 project. There are 6 pages included in this report.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures

c: JPace@MaulFoster.commfa0826R.doc

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

## CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on August 14, 2024 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the Maul Foster Alongi Country Market M2809.01.001, F&BI 408232 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	<u> Maul Foster Alongi</u>
408232 -01	1
408232 -02	2

The TO-15 gasoline range concentrations were quantified using a single point calibration at 80 ppbv.

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

## Analysis For Volatile Compounds By Method TO-15

Client Sample ID: 1	Client:	Maul Foster Alongi
---------------------	---------	--------------------

Date Received: 08/14/24 Project: Country Market M2809.01.001

408232-01 Date Collected: Lab ID: 08/12/24 Date Analyzed: 082213.D08/22/24 Data File: GCMS7 Matrix: Instrument: Air ug/m3 Units: Operator: bat

Surrogates: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	% Recovery: 100	Lower Limit: 70	Upper Limit: 130
Compounds:	Concen ug/m3	tration ppbv	

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## Analysis For Volatile Compounds By Method TO-15

Client Sample ID:	2	Client:	Maul Foster Alongi
-------------------	---	---------	--------------------

Date Received: 08/14/24 Project: Country Market M2809.01.001

Lab ID: 408232-02 Date Collected: 08/12/24 Date Analyzed: 08/22/24 Data File: 082214.DMatrix: GCMS7 Air Instrument: Units: ug/m3 Operator: bat

	%	Lower	$_{ m Upper}$
Surrogates:	Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
4-Bromofluorobenzene	94	70	130

	Conc				
Compounds:	ug/m3	ppbv			
2-Propanol	1,300 ve	$520 \mathrm{\ ve}$			
Benzene	< 0.32	< 0.1			
Toluene	< 7.5	<2			
Ethylbenzene	< 0.43	< 0.1			
m,p-Xylene	< 0.87	< 0.2			
o-Xylene	< 0.43	< 0.1			
Naphthalene	0.50	0.095			
Gasoline Range Organics	<210	< 50			

## **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

## Analysis For Volatile Compounds By Method TO-15

Client Sample ID: Method Blank Client: Maul Foster Alongi

Date Received: Not Applicable Project: Country Market M2809.01.001

Lab ID: 04-2009 MBDate Collected: Not Applicable 08/22/24 Date Analyzed: Data File:  $082212.\mathrm{D}$ Matrix: Air Instrument: GCMS7ug/m3 Units: Operator: bat

	%	Lower	$_{ m Upper}$
Surrogates:	Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
4-Bromofluorobenzene	93	70	130

	Conce	entration
Compounds:	ug/m3	ppbv
2-Propanol	<8.6	< 3.5
Benzene	< 0.32	< 0.1
Toluene	< 7.5	<2
Ethylbenzene	< 0.43	< 0.1
m,p-Xylene	< 0.87	< 0.2
o-Xylene	< 0.43	< 0.1
Naphthalene	<0.073 j	<0.014 j
Gasoline Range Organics	<210	< 50

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 08/26/24 Date Received: 08/14/24

Project: Country Market M2809.01.001, F&BI 408232

# QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF AIR SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY METHOD TO-15

Laboratory Code: 408323-01 1/5.5 (Duplicate)

	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	RPD
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 30)
2-Propanol	ug/m3	<47	<47	nm
Benzene	ug/m3	<1.8	<1.8	nm
Toluene	ug/m3	<41	<41	nm
Ethylbenzene	ug/m3	< 2.4	< 2.4	nm
m,p-Xylene	ug/m3	<4.8	<4.8	nm
o-Xylene	ug/m3	< 2.4	< 2.4	nm
Naphthalene	ug/m3	<1.4	<1.4	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
2-Propanol	ug/m3	33	100	70-130
Benzene	ug/m3	43	106	70-130
Toluene	ug/m3	51	113	70-130
Ethylbenzene	ug/m3	59	110	70-130
m,p-Xylene	ug/m3	120	112	70-130
o-Xylene	ug/m3	59	115	70-130
Naphthalene	ug/m3	71	105	70-130

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

## **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria, biased low; or, the calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria, biased high, with a detection for the analyte in the sample. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- cf The sample was centrifuged prior to analysis.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits were raised and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample volume was available to achieve normal reporting limits.
- f The sample was laboratory filtered prior to analysis.
- fb The analyte was detected in the method blank.
- fc The analyte is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. Variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- hs Headspace was present in the container used for analysis.
- ht The analysis was performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of control limits due to sample matrix effects.
- j The analyte concentration is reported below the standard reporting limit. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The laboratory control sample(s) percent recovery and/or RPD were out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- k The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria, biased high, and the analyte was not detected in the sample.
- lc The presence of the analyte is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received with incorrect preservation or in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve The analyte response exceeded the valid instrument calibration range. The value reported is an estimate.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

408232				SA	MPLI	E CHAIN	OF	CUST	ODY		08	14	120	1				,		
Report To Wil	P	ace		S	SAMPL	ERS (signe	ature)	1	)		TOWNSHIP !	The State of the Line of	MAN 2013 20 AM		-	age #		/ of_		
Company Maul Fr	ste	r Al	MS	F	PROJE	CT NAME	& ADI	DRESS			PO	#				URN dard	AROUN	ID TI	ME	afecte and a sales of the sales
Address 3140 NE	Br	maun	14/	1	1 mil	ntry 1	100	V.L						1 1	RUS ush c	M-veloces and	es autho	rized	by.	
City, State, ZIP Port	anc	1 OR 0	1792	2 1	NOTES	:	(w)	rec		IN	VOIC	ETC	)	-		SAMU	PLE DIS	SPOS.	AL	-
Phone 503 & 40 808 En		,		free	-	M28	09.0	1.001									lean folk ort delive			and the state of t
SAMPLE INFORMATION		Piller		7.91	com										Hold	(Fee	may ap		Management of the section of the sec	
											ANA	LYS	IS RI	EQU	EST	ED				]
	T		Flow	Repor Lev IA=Indo	el: oor Air		Initial		Final	Field	TO15 Full Scan	FO15 BFEXN	TO15 cVOCs	APH	Jeppy whohol	3RO				
Sample Name	Lab ID	Canister ID	Cont. ID	SG=So (Circle		Date Sampled	Vac.	Initial Time	Vac. ("Hg)	Final Time	TO	TC	Ţ		dos			Note	s e <sup>er</sup>	
1	01	21453		(IA) /	SG	8/12/24		1:00	4.0	1:30		X			X	X	IPA		eakto	+
2	02	35337		(1A) 1	SG	8/12/24	26	1:23	3.0	1:53		X			X	X	11		r ı	1
				IA /		1														1
				IA /	SG						T									1
				IA t	SG				S	ample	gree	ejv	ed a	t_	21	°C				1
				IA /	SG															1
				lA /	SG															1
				IA /	SG															1
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.		SIC	NATU	o r			7375			1					1					
5500 4th Avenue South	Relinq	uished by:	J. 01	Pace			PRI	NT NAN	IE IC C			1 .		ANY			DATE		TIME	$\exists$
Seattle, WA 98108 Received by:				Aprila	Phan					E	1	***************************************	1	1 1	,	7:20				
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Relinq	uished by:			***************************************		THUV	want				FE	1	21			URITY	24 (	J9:00	)
Fax (206) 283-5044	Receiv	ed by:		THE STATE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PA		1										+		-		$\dashv$

FORMS\COC\COCTO 15.DOC

## SAMPLE CONDITION UPON RECEIPT CHECKLIST

PROJECT # 408232 CLIENT MFA	INITIALS/ 7/ DATE: <u>08/14/24</u>
If custody seals are present on cooler, are they intact?	NA 🗆 YES 🗆 NO
Cooler/Sample temperature	Thermometer ID: Fluke 96312917
Were samples received on ice/cold packs?	□ YES Ø NO
How did samples arrive?  ☐ Over the Counter ☐ Picked up by F&BI	#edEx/UPS/GSO
Is there a Chain-of-Custody* (COC)? YES  *or other representative documents, letters, and/or shipping memos	NO Initials/ Date: 148814
Number of days samples have been sitting prior to recei	pt at laboratory days
Are the samples clearly identified? (explain "no" answer below)	YES   NO
Were all sample containers received intact (i.e. not brok leaking etc.)? (explain "no" answer below)	en, YES   NO
Were appropriate sample containers used?	YES   NO   Unknown
If custody seals are present on samples, are they intact?	Ø NA □ YES □ NO
Are samples requiring no headspace, headspace free?	NA UYES UNO
Is the following information provided on the COC, and of (explain "no" answer below)	
Sample ID's N Yes No	□ Not on COC/label
Date Sampled Yes No	Not on COC/label
Time Sampled Yes No	Not on COC/label
# of Containers	
Relinquished Yes D No	
Requested analysis	
Other comments (use a separate page if needed)  Both flow controllers w quick connect of per by	
	Arth
Air Samples: Were any additional canisters/tubes received Number of unused TO15 canisters Number of	red? TNA UYES

ÓRIGIN ID:MRIA (360) 433-0251 MAUL FOSTER ALONGI REFERENCE# M2809.01.001 330 E MILL PLAIN BLVD STE 405

SH(P\_DATE: 13AUG24 ACTWGT: 7.05 LB CAD: 6570562/ROSA2550 DIMS: 21x11x11 IN

VANCOUVER, WA 98660 UNITED STATES US

BILL THIRD PARTY

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC

**5500 4TH AVE S** 

SEATTLE WA 98108
08) 285-8282
REF:



FedEx Express



1 of 2 TRK# 7779 3456 2082 WED - 14 AUG 10:30A PRIORITY OVERNIGHT

85 BFIA

98108 WA-US SEA



## **Attachment B**

**Data Validation Memorandum** 



## **Data Validation Memorandum**

#### Project No. M2809.01.001 | September 12, 2024 | Country Market

Maul Foster & Alongi, Inc. (MFA), conducted an independent Stage 2A review of the quality of analytical results for indoor air vapor samples collected in June and August 2024 at the Country Market located at 40490 Old Highway 30 in Astoria. Oregon.

Friedman & Bruya, Inc (F&B) performed the analyses. MFA reviewed F&B report numbers 406350 and 408232. The analysis performed and the samples analyzed are listed in the following tables.

Analysis	Reference
Volatile organic compounds	EPA TO-15

#### **Notes**

EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Samples Analyzed						
Report 406350 <sup>(a)</sup>	Report 408232 <sup>(a)</sup>					
1 (Outdoor)	1 (Bedroom Crawlspace)					
2 (Kitchen)	2 (Kitchen Crawlspace)					
3 (Master Bedroom)						
4 (Study)						

<sup>(</sup>a)The reviewer confirmed with the field sampler where each sample was collected, and revised the data validation memorandum and associated table to include the sampling location with the sample ID.

#### **Data Validation Procedures**

Analytical results were evaluated according to applicable sections of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) guidelines for data review (EPA 2020) and appropriate laboratory- and method-specific guidelines (F&B 2022, EPA 1986).

Based on the data quality assurance/quality control review described herein, the data, with the appropriate final data qualifiers assigned, are considered acceptable for their intended use. Final data qualifiers represent qualifiers originating from the laboratory and accepted by the reviewer, and data qualifiers assigned by the reviewer during validation.

#### Final data qualifiers:

- J = result is estimated.
- J+ = result is estimated, but the result may be biased high.
- J- = result is estimated, but the result may be biased low.
- U = result is non-detect at the method reporting limit (MRL).
- UJ = result is non-detect with an estimated MRL.

#### **General Qualifications**

According to report 408232, the 2-propanol result from sample 2 (kitchen crawlspace) was flagged by the laboratory as exceeding the instrument calibration range. The reviewer agreed with the laboratory that the result is considered estimated. The result was qualified with J, as estimated, as shown in the following table.

Report	Sample	Analyte	Original Result (ug/m³)	Qualified Result (ug/m³)
408232	2 (Kitchen Crawlspace)	2-Propanol	1,300	1,300 J

#### **Notes**

J = result is estimated.

ug/m<sup>3</sup> = micrograms per cubic meter.

#### **Sample Conditions**

#### **Sample Collection**

According to the COC attached to report 408232, 2-propanol (isopropyl alcohol) was requested for analysis as a leak test to qualitatively indicate whether ambient air had migrated into the crawl space (sampling area) during the 30-minute sampling period. Sample 1 (Bedroom crawlspace) was non-detect and sample 2 (kitchen crawlspace) had a detection of 1,300 micrograms per cubic meter (ug/m³). All sample results from sample 2 were qualified, as shown in the following table.

Report	Sample	Analyte	Original Result (ug/m³)	Qualified Result (ug/m³)
408232	2 (Kitchen Crawlspace)	Benzene	0.32 U	0.32 UJ
		Toluene	7.5 U	7.5 UJ
		Ethylbenzene	0.43 U	0.43 UJ
		m,p-Xylene	0.87 U	0.87 UJ
		o-Xylene	0.43 U	0.43 UJ
		Naphthalene	0.50	0.50 J-
		Gasoline range organics	210 U	210 UJ

#### Notes

J- = result is estimated, but the result may be biased low.

U = result is non-detect at the method reporting limit.

UJ = result is non-detect with an estimated method reporting limit.

ug/m<sup>3</sup> = micrograms per cubic meters.

#### Sample Custody

Sample custody was appropriately documented on the chain-of-custody (COC) form accompanying the reports.

The reviewer confirmed that the gap in custody on the COC form accompanying reports 406350 and 408232 is due to shipment via a third-party service.

#### **Holding Times**

Extractions and analyses were performed within the recommended holding times.

#### **Preservation and Sample Storage**

The remaining samples were preserved and stored appropriately.

#### **Reporting Limits**

The laboratory evaluated results to MRLs.

#### **Blank Results**

#### **Method Blanks**

Laboratory method blanks are used to evaluate whether laboratory contamination was introduced during sample preparation and analysis. Laboratory method blank analyses were performed at the required frequencies, in accordance with laboratory- and method-specific requirements.

According to report 406350, the laboratory method blank naphthalene result was flagged by the laboratory as below the standard reporting limit. The naphthalene result is also flagged as non-detect below the MRL. Samples 2 (kitchen), 3 (master bedroom), and 4 (study) naphthalene results were greater than five times the concentration detected in the blank; thus, qualifications were not necessary. Sample 1 (outdoor) naphthalene result was within five times the concentration detected in the blank. The result was qualified, as shown in the following table.

Report	Sample	Analyte	Method Blank Concentration (ug/m³)	Original Result (ug/m³)	Qualified Result (ug/m³)
406350	1 (Outdoor)	Naphthalene	0.073 J	0.12	0.12 J+

#### **Notes**

J = result is estimated.

J+ = result is estimated, but the result may be biased high.

ug/m³ = micrograms per cubic meters.

According to report 408232, the laboratory method blank naphthalene result was flagged by the laboratory as below the standard reporting limit. The naphthalene result is also flagged as non-detect below the MRL. Samples 1 and 2 naphthalene results were greater than five times the concentration detected in the blank; thus, qualifications were not necessary.

All remaining laboratory method blank results were non-detect to MRLs.

#### **Equipment Rinsate Blanks**

Equipment rinsate blanks are used to evaluate the adequacy of the field equipment decontamination process when decontaminated sampling equipment is used to collect samples.

These blanks were not required for this sampling event, as all samples were collected using dedicated or single-use equipment.

#### **Trip Blanks**

Trip blanks are used to evaluate whether volatile organic compound contamination was introduced during shipping and field handling procedures.

Trip blanks are not necessary with air samples.

## **Laboratory Control Sample and Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate Results**

Laboratory control sample (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) results are used to evaluate laboratory precision and accuracy. Where LCSD results were not reported, laboratory precision was evaluated using laboratory duplicate results. The LCS samples were prepared and

analyzed at the required frequency, in accordance with laboratory- and method-specific requirements.

All LCS results were within acceptance limits for percent recovery.

#### **Laboratory Duplicate Results**

Laboratory duplicate results are used to evaluate laboratory precision and sample homogeneity. All laboratory duplicate samples were prepared and analyzed at the required frequency, in accordance with laboratory- and method-specific requirements.

All laboratory duplicate results met the acceptance criteria.

### Matrix Spike and Matrix Spike Duplicate Results

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) results are used to evaluate laboratory precision, accuracy, and the effect of the sample matrix on sample preparation and target analyte recovery.

MS and MSD analysis is not required for EPA Method TO-15.

#### **Surrogate Results**

Surrogate results are used to evaluate laboratory performance of target organic compounds for individual samples.

When surrogate results were outside percent recovery acceptance limits because of dilutions necessary to quantify high concentrations of target analytes, qualification by the reviewer was not required because surrogate concentrations could not be accurately quantified.

When batch quality control samples had surrogate percent recovery exceedances, qualification by the reviewer was not required when batch quality control target analyte results were within percent recovery acceptance limits.

All surrogate results were within percent recovery acceptance limits.

## **Field Duplicate Results**

Field duplicate results are used to evaluate field precision and sample homogeneity.

No field duplicate samples were submitted for analysis.

## Data Package

The data packages were reviewed for transcription errors, omissions, and anomalies.

According to the Sample Condition Upon Receipt Checklist attached to report 406350, the sample labels did not have sample names. The sample IDs were able to be matched with the chain of custody.

No additional issues were found.

#### References

EPA. 1986. Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods. EPA publication SW-846. 3rd ed. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Final updates I (1993), II (1995), IIA (1994), IIB (1995), III (1997), IIIA (1999), IIIB (2005), IV (2008), V (2015), VI phase I (2017), VI phase II (2018), VI phase II (2019), VII phase I (2019), and VII phase II (2020).

EPA. 2020. *National Functional Guidelines for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review*. EPA 540-R-20-005. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation: Washington, DC. November.

F&B. 2022. Quality Assurance Manual. Rev. 18. Friedman & Bruya, Inc.: Seattle, WA. December 9.