

1 BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY COMMISSION
2 OF THE STATE OF OREGON

3)
4 IN THE MATTER OF) MUTUAL AGREEMENT
CITY OF DAYVILLE,) AND FINAL ORDER
5)
6 Respondent.) CASE NO. WQ/M-ER-2024-030

7 WHEREAS:

8 1. On August 15, 2024, the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) issued Notice
9 of Civil Penalty Assessment and Order No. WQ/M-ER-2024-030 (Notice) to Respondent. DEQ
10 assessed a \$12,600 civil penalty against Respondent for violations alleged in the Notice.

11 2. August 21, 2024, Respondent filed a timely request for hearing.

12 3. In addition to the violations alleged in the Notice, DEQ alleges that:

13 a. Respondent violated ORS 468B.025(2) and Schedule B of the Permit by
14 failing to submit a complete DMR for the months of May and June 2024. In
15 May 2024, the influent BOD and TSS values were not entered onto the excel
16 spreadsheet. In June 2024, there were no bench sheets or excel files submitted.
17 As of the date of this MAO, these violations have been corrected.

18 b. Respondent violated ORS 468B.025(2) and Schedule B, Table B1 of the
19 Permit by failing to submit a Mixing Zone Study to DEQ by February 15,
20 2025, and failing to submit a complete Recycled Water annual report for
21 calendar year 2024. Specifically, the agronomic loading rate was not
22 calculated and reported in the Recycled Water annual report. As of the date of
23 this MAO, the Recycled Water annual report has been updated. However, the
24 Mixing Zone Study is outstanding.

25 c. Respondent failed to monitor according to Schedule B, Table B4 of the Permit
26 as follows:

27

Date	Violation
Week of June 30 – July 6, 2024	3x/week Influent pH monitoring was not collected.

Date	Violation
Week of July 7 – July 13, 2024	3x/week Influent pH monitoring was not collected.
07/29/2024	Failure to monitor Chlorine used (lbs/day).
Q1 2024	Failure to monitor quarterly nutrients (Total ammonia (as N) and Total Phosphorus).
Q2 2024	Failure to monitor quarterly nutrients (TKN, NO ₂ +NO ₃ -N, Total ammonia (as N) and Total Phosphorus).
Q3 2024	Failure to monitor quarterly nutrients (Total ammonia (as N) and Total Phosphorus).
August 2024	Failure to monitor influent BOD (required once/month) sampled as a grab, composite sample required.
August 2024	Failure to monitor influent TSS (required once/month) sampled as a grab, composite sample required.
08/01/2024	Failure to monitor Chlorine used (lbs/day).
09/25/2024	Failure to monitor Chlorine residual (mg/L).

I. AGREEMENT

Respondent and DEQ hereby agree that:

1. This Mutual Agreement and Final Order (MAO) shall be effective upon the date fully executed (MAO Effective Date).
2. Section III, paragraph 5 of the Notice is amended to include the incomplete reporting violations described in paragraph 3.a of the recitals above.
3. Section III, paragraph 6 of the Notice is amended to include the failure to submit a Mixing Zone Study and a complete 2024 recycled water use report as described in paragraph 3.b of the recitals above.
4. Section III, paragraph 8 of the Notice is amended to include the failure to monitor violations described in paragraph 3.c of the recitals above.
5. Exhibit 3 of the Notice is amended by reducing the C factor from 0 to -3. This results in a change in the civil penalty for Violation No. 5 from \$2,550 to \$2,100. The amended findings and determination of the civil penalty is attached and incorporated as Amended Exhibit No. 3.
6. Exhibit 4 of the Notice is amended by reducing the C factor from 0 to -2. This results in a change in the civil penalty for Violation No. 6 from \$1,350 to \$1,050. The amended

1 findings and determination of the civil penalty is attached and incorporated as Amended Exhibit No.

2 4.

3 7. The total civil penalty is reduced from **\$12,600 to \$11,850**.

4 8. DEQ and Respondent recognize and agree that there is an inconsistency between the
5 Permit and the Recycled Water Use Plan approved by DEQ in 2001, regarding the discharge of
6 recycled water via irrigation at Outfall 004. Specifically:

7 a. The Permit, Schedule A, Condition 1, identifies the discharge season to the John
8 Day River at Outfall 001 as November 1 to April 30, with no discharge (and
9 necessitating irrigation (from May 1 to October 31).

10 b. The Recycled Water Use Plan states that “During high stream flow periods,
11 defined as November 1 through May 31, the discharge of disinfected effluent is
12 allowed to the John Day River. During the low stream flow period, defined as
13 June 1 through October 31, treated and disinfected effluent shall be irrigated on
14 City owned pasture adjacent to the wastewater treatment lagoon site.”

15 9. Pursuant to OAR 340-012-0030(19) and OAR 340-012-0145(2), the violations
16 alleged in the Notice and as amended by this MAO, will be treated as prior significant actions in the
17 event a future violation occurs.

18 10. Respondent waives any and all rights and objections Respondent may have to the
19 form, content, manner of service and timeliness of the Notice; to a contested case hearing and
20 judicial review of the Notice; and to service of a copy of this MAO.

21 11. This MAO resolves all civil claims of DEQ, based upon the facts alleged, for the
22 violations expressly alleged in the Notice as amended by the MAO. This MAO is not intended to
23 limit, in any way, DEQ’s right to proceed against Respondent in any forum for any past or future
24 violations not expressly settled herein.

25 12. Respondent releases and waives any and all claims of any kind, known or unknown,
26 past or future, against the State of Oregon or its agencies, instrumentalities, employees, officers, or
27 agents, arising out of the matters and events set out in the Notice and this MAO. Any and all claims

1 includes but is not limited to any claim under 42 USC § 1983 et seq., any claim under federal or
2 state law for damages, declaratory, or equitable relief, and any claim for attorney's fees or costs.

3 13. This MAO shall be binding on Respondent and its respective successors, agents, and
4 assigns. The undersigned representative of Respondent certifies that they are fully authorized to
5 execute and bind Respondent to this MAO. No change in ownership, corporate or partnership status
6 of Respondent, or change in the ownership of the properties or businesses affected by this MAO
7 shall in any way alter Respondent's obligation under this MAO, unless otherwise approved in
8 writing by DEQ through an amendment to this MAO.

9 14. Verifiable electronic, facsimile, or scanned signatures on this MAO shall be treated
10 the same as original signatures.

11 15. If Respondent fails to satisfactorily complete the requirements contained in Section
12 II, paragraph 2 upon receipt of a written Penalty Demand Notice from DEQ, Respondent shall pay a
13 civil penalty of \$600 for each day of each violation of this MAO until such violation is corrected.

14 16. Within twenty (20) days of receipt of a Penalty Demand Notice from DEQ,
15 Respondent may contest the Penalty Demand Notice. Respondent agrees that the issue shall be
16 limited to Respondent's compliance or noncompliance with this MAO. The amount of the
17 stipulated civil penalty is established in advance by this MAO and is not a contestable issue.

18 17. In accordance with DEQ's Internal Management Directive on Supplemental
19 Environmental Projects (SEPs), DEQ agrees to mitigate the \$11,850 civil penalty to \$2,370 and
20 Respondent agrees to satisfactorily complete the approved SEP proposal as set forth in Attachment
21 No. A and incorporated by reference. Specifically, Respondent will complete Project #2 (clean
22 water hookup) and Project #3 (composite sampler) but the deadlines below, and the remainder of
23 the SEP funds will be dedicated to Project #1, a flow meter, which has already been purchased.
24 Respondent agrees to refrain from using the value of the SEP as a tax deduction or as part of a tax
25 credit application; and, whenever Respondent publicizes the SEP or the results of the SEP,
26 Respondent will state in a prominent manner that the project was undertaken as settlement of a DEQ
27

1 enforcement action. Respondent will be deemed to have completed the SEP when DEQ receives the
2 following documentation:

- 3 a. By June 30, 2025, Respondent will purchase a composite sampler and provide
4 documentation of the purchase to DEQ.
- 5 b. By December 15, 2025, Respondent will complete the clean water hookup project
6 described in Attachment A and submit to DEQ (1) written notification that the project
7 has been completed, (2) photographs of the project, and (3) relevant invoices
8 documenting project costs.

9 18. Civil penalty payments made pursuant to this MAO should be made as follows:
10 send a check or money order made payable to “Department of Environmental Quality” to DEQ -
11 Business Office, 700 NE Multnomah Street, Suite #600, Portland, Oregon 97232. Please include
12 the case number on the check or money order.

13 II. FINAL ORDER

14 The Environmental Quality Commission hereby enters a final order:

- 15 1. Imposing upon Respondent a total civil penalty of \$11,850 for the violations alleged
16 in the Notice, as amended by this MAO, \$2,370 of which is due by June 30, 2025.
- 17 2. Requiring Respondent to submit SEP documentation required in Section I,
18 Paragraph 17, above by December 15, 2025; otherwise, the remaining civil penalty (\$9,480) is due
19 and owing to DEQ on December 16, 2025.
- 20 3. By June 30, 2025, requiring Respondent to submit an update to the Facility’s Quality
21 Assurance / Quality Control (QA/QC) plan to DEQ for review and approval.
- 22 4. By February 1, 2026, requiring Respondent to submit a draft updated Recycled
23 Water Use Plan as required by Schedule D, Condition 4 of the Permit to DEQ for review, and,
24 following any DEQ comments, by April 30, 2026, requiring Respondent to submit a final updated
25 Recycled Water Use Plan to DEQ.


1 5. Until an updated Recycled Water Use Plan has been approved by DEQ according to
2 Section II, paragraph 4, above, Respondent may discharge treated and disinfected effluent at outfall
3 004 as necessary during the months of March and April if:

- 4 a. Respondent's monitoring demonstrates that the effluent would likely violate
5 Permit limits at Outfall 001,
6 b. There is vegetation growth in the irrigated field,
7 c. Respondent submits a noncompliance reporting form to DEQ documenting the
8 monitoring results, the vegetation growth, and the discharge events,
9 d. Irrigation is conducted in accordance with all other provisions of the 2001
10 Recycled Water Use Plan.

11 6. By July 31, 2026, requiring Respondent to submit a Mixing Zone Study to DEQ for
12 approval. The Mixing Zone Study must be consistent with the May 2012 DEQ Regulatory Mixing
13 Zone IMD.

14 CITY OF DAYVILLE (RESPONDENT)


15
16
17 _____
18 Date

19 
20 Signature
21 Robert Waltenburg
22 Name (print)
23 Councilor
24 Title (print)

25 DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY and
26 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY COMMISSION

27 _____
Date

5/21/2025

28 
29 _____
30 Erin Saylor, Interim Manager
31 Office of Compliance and Enforcement
32 on behalf of DEQ pursuant to OAR 340-012-0170
33 on behalf of the EQC pursuant to OAR 340-011-0505

AMENDED EXHIBIT 3

FINDINGS AND DETERMINATION OF RESPONDENT'S CIVIL PENALTY
PURSUANT TO OREGON ADMINISTRATIVE RULE (OAR) 340-012-0045

VIOLATION NO. 5: Respondent violated ORS 468B.025(2) and Schedule B of the Permit by submitting inaccurate and incomplete information on its DMRs.

CLASSIFICATION: These are Class I violations pursuant to OAR 340-012-0053(1)(b).

MAGNITUDE: The magnitude of the violation is moderate pursuant to OAR 340-012-0130(1), as there is no selected magnitude specified in OAR 340-012-0135 applicable to this violation, and the information reasonably available to DEQ does not indicate a minor or major magnitude.

CIVIL PENALTY FORMULA: The formula for determining the amount of penalty of each violation is: $BP + [(0.1 \times BP) \times (P + H + O + M + C)] + EB$

- "BP" is the base penalty, which is \$1,500 for a Class I, moderate magnitude violation in the matrix listed in OAR 340-012-0140(4)(b)(A) and applicable pursuant to OAR 340-012-0140(4)(a)(F)(i) because Respondent's facility has a permitted flow of less than two million gallons per day.
- "P" is whether Respondent has any prior significant actions (PSAs), as defined in OAR 340-012-0030(19), in the same media as the violation at issue that occurred at a facility owned or operated by the same Respondent, and receives a value of 0 according to OAR 340-012-0145(2) because Respondent has no prior significant actions.
- "H" is Respondent's history of correcting prior significant actions and receives a value of 0 according to OAR 340-012-0145(3)(c) because there is no prior history.
- "O" is whether the violation was repeated or ongoing, and receives a value of 3 according to OAR 340-012-0145(4)(c) because there were between seven and 28 occurrences of the violation. Respondent submitted 12 inaccurate reports to DEQ.
- "M" is the mental state of the Respondent and receives a value of 4 according to OAR 340-012-0145(5)(c) because Respondent's conduct was negligent. By failing to ensure that it filled out and submitted accurate reports to DEQ, Respondent failed to exercise reasonable care to avoid the foreseeable risk a permit violation would occur.
- "C" is Respondent's efforts to correct or mitigate the violation and receives a value of -3 according to OAR 340-012-0145(6)(c) because Respondent made reasonable efforts to correct the DMRs that could be corrected.

"EB" is the approximate dollar value of the benefit gained and the costs avoided or delayed as a result of the Respondent's noncompliance. It is designed to "level the playing field" by taking away any economic advantage the entity gained and to deter potential violators from deciding it is cheaper to violate and pay the penalty than to pay the costs of compliance. In this case, "EB" receives a value of 0 according to OAR 340-012-0150(4) because there is insufficient information on which to make an estimate under the rule.

PENALTY CALCULATION: $\text{Penalty} = \text{BP} + [(0.1 \times \text{BP}) \times (\text{P} + \text{H} + \text{O} + \text{M} + \text{C})] + \text{EB}$
 $= \$1,500 + [(0.1 \times 1,500) \times (0 + 0 + 3 + 4 + -3)] + \0
 $= \$1,500 + [\$150 \times 4] + \$0$
 $= \$1,500 + \$600 + \$0$
 $= \$2,100$

AMENDED EXHIBIT 4

FINDINGS AND DETERMINATION OF RESPONDENT'S CIVIL PENALTY
PURSUANT TO OREGON ADMINISTRATIVE RULE (OAR) 340-012-0045

VIOLATION NO. 6: Respondent violated ORS 468B.025(2) and Schedules B and D by failing to timely submit required reports.

CLASSIFICATION: These are Class II violations pursuant to OAR 340-012-0055(2)(b).

MAGNITUDE: The magnitude of the violation is moderate pursuant to OAR 340-012-0130(1), as there is no selected magnitude specified in OAR 340-012-0135 applicable to this violation, and the information reasonably available to DEQ does not indicate a minor or major magnitude.

CIVIL PENALTY FORMULA: The formula for determining the amount of penalty of each violation is: $BP + [(0.1 \times BP) \times (P + H + O + M + C)] + EB$

"BP" is the base penalty, which is \$750 for a Class II, moderate magnitude violation in the matrix listed in OAR 340-012-0140(4)(b)(A) and applicable pursuant to OAR 340-012-0140(4)(a)(F)(i) because Respondent's facility has a permitted flow of less than two million gallons per day.

"P" is whether Respondent has any prior significant actions (PSAs), as defined in OAR 340-012-0030(19), in the same media as the violation at issue that occurred at a facility owned or operated by the same Respondent, and receives a value of 0 according to OAR 340-012-0145(2) because Respondent has no prior significant actions.

"H" is Respondent's history of correcting prior significant actions and receives a value of 0 according to OAR 340-012-0145(3)(c) because there is no prior history.

"O" is whether the violation was repeated or ongoing, and receives a value of 2 according to OAR 340-012-0145(4)(b) because there were more than one but less than seven occurrences of the violation. Respondent failed to submit timely reports on six occasions.

"M" is the mental state of the Respondent and receives a value of 4 according to OAR 340-012-0145(5)(c) because Respondent's conduct was negligent. The due dates for the reports are clearly set forth in the Permit; by failing to submit timely reports to DEQ, Respondent failed to exercise reasonable care to avoid the foreseeable risk a permit violation would occur.

"C" is Respondent's efforts to correct or mitigate the violation and receives a value of -2 according to OAR 340-012-0145(6)(d) because Respondent eventually made some efforts to correct the violation by submitting its sludge survey report and correcting its 2024 recycled water report.

"EB" is the approximate dollar value of the benefit gained and the costs avoided or delayed as a result of the Respondent's noncompliance. It is designed to "level the playing field" by taking away any economic advantage the entity gained and to deter potential violators from deciding it is cheaper to violate and pay the penalty than to pay the costs of compliance. In this case, "EB" receives a value of 0 according to OAR 340-012-0150(4) because there is insufficient information on which to make an estimate under the rule.

PENALTY CALCULATION: $\text{Penalty} = \text{BP} + [(0.1 \times \text{BP}) \times (\text{P} + \text{H} + \text{O} + \text{M} + \text{C})] + \text{EB}$
 $= \$750 + [(0.1 \times \$750) \times (0 + 0 + 2 + 4 + -2)] + \0
 $= \$750 + [\$75 \times 4] + \$0$
 $= \$750 + \$300 + \$0$
 $= \$1,050$

Attachment A
Approved Supplemental Environmental
Project



Supplemental Environmental Project Application

Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
Office of Compliance and Enforcement
700 NE Multnomah St., Suite 600
Portland OR 97232

Case Name and No. _____

Project Contact: Collin Ram-Dayville Public Works
Cheyenne Clark-Dayville City Recorder

Type of Project (choose one):

Pollution Prevention – preventing waste or pollution at the source, by conserving energy or natural resources, or by making process changes (such as chemical substitutions) or by making a process more efficient so that less waste is created for a given amount of product.

Pollution Reduction – reducing the amount and/or danger presented by some form of pollution, often by providing better treatment and disposal of the pollutant.

Public Health Protection- an example is the medical examination of residents in a community to determine if anyone has experienced any health problems because of the violations at issue.

Environmental Restoration and Protection –improving the condition of the land, air or water in the area damaged by the violation. For example, restoring a wetland or planting trees along a riparian zone to reduce erosion and provide shade for improved water quality.

Emergency Planning and Preparedness – providing assistance to a responsible state or local emergency response or planning entity. Such assistance may include the purchase of computers and/or software, communication systems, chemical emission detection and inactivation equipment, HAZMAT equipment or training.

Assessments and Audits to determine if the Respondent is causing any other pollution problems or can run its operation better to avoid future violations.

Environmental Compliance Promotion- providing training or technical support to other members of the regulated community to achieve, or go beyond, compliance with applicable environmental requirements.

Other Projects that have environmental merit but do not fit within the categories listed above.

Who is conducting the project? (i.e. Respondent or third party entity such as a watershed council or other nonprofit organization)

City of Dayville

Location where project will take place: City of Dayville wastewater treatment plant

Project description (Please attach an extra sheet of paper, if necessary):

please attached paper.

What environmental benefits are expected?

Project 1: With a new flow meter the city of Dayville can monitor the flow of water that is being discharged. By doing this the city is making sure they are in compliance with their permit which ensures the safety of the environment.

Project 2: With a fresh water hookup collin or any public works personnel will be able to have constant fresh water to wash, hands, take samples, and clean bottles or clean the treatment plant.

Project 3: please see attached paper

How will you measure/assess the benefits?

Project 1: The city will be able to measure the flow when discharging to the river and give accurate readings on reports that go to DEQ.

Project 2: There will be clean water at the sewer plant.

Project 3: please see attached paper

What is the total projected cost of the project? Explain. (Qualifying costs are all reasonable costs of executing the SEP and may include costs of preparing the SEP proposal, costs of materials and services, wages paid to employees (appropriate to the work), and wages and proportional overhead for employees of a third party executing the project. Qualifying costs do not include entertainment or refreshment costs related to the SEP.)

Project description:

Project 1: Flow meter - The city has purchased a flow meter that will measure the amount of water that is being discharged to the river when the lagoons are to full. Recently in the city of Dayville's flow meter had stopped working resulting in being out of compliant with their DEQ permit. With a working and calibrated flow meter the city can measure the flow when discharging to the river and be able to give accurate reports and data to DEQ.

Project 2: Clean water hook up - A water hook up would be placed out at the treatment plant for the use of cleaning, taking test, and safety reasons. The project consist of hooking up to the city's mainline and then piping up to the treatment facility where a frost freeze will be installed. A ~~Back~~ back-flow will also be installed at the meter. A contractor will be hired to dig a trench for the pipe and a plumbing company must be hired to install the ~~Back~~ back flow.

Project 3: The purchase of a composite sampler - The current sampler that the city has does not work properly. A new one will be purchased and installed.

Project 1: Flow meter: Total cost \$7557.00. This included sourcing, programming, wiring, testing and installation.

Project 2: Clean water hook up
please see attached paper \$2,316

Project 3: Composite sampler - ~~\$12,773.00~~ ~~\$2,400~~ \$4,665

Total cost all three projects: ~~\$12,773.00~~ \$14,538


What is the timeframe for the project (most projects are completed within one year)?

Include milestones and final completion date.

Project 1: The flow meter has already been installed.

Project 2: The city plans on completing the clean water hook up by November 2025.

Project 3: The city plans on completing ~~them~~ or purchasing the composite sampler after July 1st of 2025.

Date: May 1 2025 Signature: 

what environmental benefits expected

Project 3: With a composite sampler, the city can ensure accurate sample have been taken to get tested. and the data reported to DEQ.

How will you measure/assess the benefits?

Project 3: At the moment with the current sampler Collin, public works, has to "babysit" and keep an eye on the sampler as it doesn't work properly. With a new one the city can collect a more accurate sample for testing and Collin will be able to use his time at other parts of the treatment facility, where before it would be spent "babysitting" the sampler.

Cost of project:

Project 2:

Equipment-

- Frost freeze \$150.00

- Meter \$120.00

- piping and accessories \$1100.00

Plumbing Quote- \$1,392.69

Contractual services-

excavation of trench - 5hrs @ 85/hr \$425.00

collin wages - 8 hrs @ 18/hr. \$144.00

Total - \$2316.69

Amended amount for project 3:

Composite sampler: \$4,665.00

making total cost of all projects: \$14,538.69

What is the timeframe for the project (most projects are completed within one year)?
Include milestones and final completion date.

Date: May 8, 2025 Signature: Cheyenne C



Advanced Control Systems, LLC
 2540 E. Franklin Rd.
 Meridian, ID 83642 US
 (208) 362-5858
<http://advancedcontrol.com>

Invoice 40109

BILL TO

Dayville, City of
 PO Box 321
 Dayville, OR 97825

DATE 01/21/2025	PLEASE PAY \$3,787.60	DUE DATE 01/31/2025
--------------------	---------------------------------	------------------------

DATE	ACTIVITY	QTY	RATE	AMOUNT
------	----------	-----	------	--------

01/21/2025	Project Flow Measurement ~ Initial 40% invoice due upon "Acceptance of Service"	0.40	9,469.00	3,787.60
------------	---	------	----------	----------

Situation:
 The City of Dayville needs a new flow measurement radar sensor in the wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) outfall pond. The existing flow measurement has become non-functional, leading to challenges in monitoring water levels effectively.

Scope of Work:
 ACS will source, program, install, and configure the flow measurement radar sensor for the City of Dayville.

Included:
 Sourcing
 Program
 Wiring
 Test
 Installation

Schedule: Estimated (2) days*

* Actual duration of project dependent on ACS workload during execution

Price: \$9,469.00

"You can't go back and change the beginning, but you can start where you are and change the ending." C.S. Lewis

SUBTOTAL	3,787.60
TAX	0.00
TOTAL	3,787.60

Visa/Mastercard payments accepted with 3% addtl charge.
 A 1.5% late charge will be added to all unpaid balances.

TOTAL DUE	\$3,787.60
------------------	-------------------

THANK YOU.



Advanced Control Systems, LLC
 2540 E. Franklin Rd.
 Meridian, ID 83642 US
 +12083625858
<http://advancedcontrol.com>

Invoice 40221

BILL TO

Dayville, City of
 PO Box 321
 3 Park Lane
 Dayville, Oregon 97825

DATE 02/26/2025	PLEASE PAY \$3,769.40	DUE DATE 03/08/2025
--------------------	---------------------------------	------------------------

DATE	ACTIVITY	QTY	RATE	AMOUNT
02/21/2025	<p>Project Flow Measurement ~ Remaining 60%</p> <p>Situation: The City of Dayville needs a new flow measurement radar sensor in the wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) outfall pond. The existing flow measurement has become non-functional, leading to challenges in monitoring water levels effectively.</p> <p>Scope of Work: ACS will source, program, install, and configure the flow measurement radar sensor for the City of Dayville.</p> <p>Included: Sourcing Program Wiring Test Installation</p> <p>Schedule: Estimated (2) days*</p> <p>Price: \$9,469.00</p>	0.60	9,469.00	5,681.40
	<p>Service Additional hour of programming outside the project scope</p>	1	135.00	135.00
	<p>Sensor, Radar Credit - VEGAPULS Air 41 Order Code: AR - 222 5TD Autarkic radar sensor with measured value transmission by radio technology NB-IoT/CAT-M1, LoRa, measuring range up to 15 m, accuracy +/-2 mm</p>	1	-1,139.00	-1,139.00
	<p>VEGAMET 841, Order Code CR-222-23E Credit - Robust controller and display instrument for level sensors</p>	1	-908.00	-908.00

"People who wonder if the glass is half empty or half full miss the point. The glass is refillable." Simon Sinek

SUBTOTAL	3,769.40
TAX	0.00
TOTAL	3,769.40

At ACS we strive to refill your glass.

Visa/Mastercard payments are accepted with a 3% addtl charge.

A 1.5% late charge will be added to all unpaid balances.

TOTAL DUE \$3,769.40

THANK YOU.

PATRIOT PLUMBING AND GEAR
 ROBERT W COBB
 245 N CANYON CITY BLVD
 CANYON CITY, OR 97820

Estimate

Date	Estimate #
4/16/2025	001032

Name / Address
CITY OF DAYVILLE P.O. BOX 321 DAYVILLE,OR 97825

P.O. No.	Project

Item	Description	Qty	Total
MISC.	BACKFLOW TEST		70.00
21-3/4DOUBCHE	3/4" WATTS LF007M3 -QT-FZ DOUBLE CHECK VALVE	1	285.46
28-JUMBOMTRBOX	JUMBO METER BOX	2	274.16
28-JUMBOLID	JUMBO METER BOX LID	1	102.62
28-JUMBOEXT	JUMBO EXTENSION	1	125.95
misc parts	MISC fittings, pipe and parts		100.00
LABORBOB	LABOR BOB PER HOUR	3.5	385.00
MILEAGE	MILEAGE	66	49.50
		Total	\$1,392.69

< Back to overview



+ Hover to zoom | Click to enlarge



Manning YB Stationary Refrigerated Peristaltic Sampler, 5.5 cu ft, 5-gal Bottle, YB8A9B2A3C3A1

Item number 28234

Catalog Page 1498

Net weight 110

Condition New

Special Savings Standard Pricing

\$4,665.00 price per each excl. tax

Call for availability

- 1 +

Add to cart >

Add to Order Template

♥ Add to wish list

Need Help? Call 800-548-1234

Product Details

- Economical choice for refrigerated sampling
- Noncontact ultrasonic fluid sensor technology ensures accurate, repeatable sample volumes

Manning's reliable YB samplers automatically collect composite or discrete samples in wastewater, stormwater and sewer inflow and overflow applications. They outperform other peristaltic samplers by delivering consistent, accurate sample volumes, even with changing head heights.

The peristaltic pump collects samples per EPA regulations. The non-contacting ultrasonic fluid sensor detects flow near the pump inlet, triggering your sampling program. "Water Clear" lid lets you inspect rollers and tubing without dismantling the pump, saving you time and money. Advanced thermoplastic pump construction resists corrosion, ensuring rigidity and long life.