A Special Meeting of the Planning Commission

7:30 PM, Thursday

Council Chambers

January 9, 1985

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Roger Veatch.

Members Present:

John Englebrecht Bryce Fendall Kathy Kelso Jack Kriz John Lyda Eldon McIntosh Ken Overton Arthur Roberts Roger Veatch

Staff Present:

Clay Moorhead, Planning Director Barb Mingay, Recording Secretary

Citizens Present:

4 Citizens

Round table discussion: Historic Preservation Ordinance

Staff identified the method of reviewing the ordinance at this meeting and also distributed copies of the Forest Grove and Albany ordinances for Commission review.

A line by line review of the ordinance then began with the following motions for changes occurring:

<u>Motion</u>: Kelso-Kriz to delete Section 2, Item 5. Motion carried unanimously.

<u>Motion</u>: Kriz-Roberts to add to Section 4, Item A, the requirement that all members of the Commission with the exception of the professional position, live within the Newberg Urban Growth Boundary. Motion carried unanimously.

<u>Motion</u>: Kriz-Englebrecht to amend Section 4, Item F to read "...of this ordinance, subject to approval of the City Council." Motion carried unanimously.

<u>Motion</u>: to add a definition for "Primary" and "Secondary" to Section 3. Motion carried unanimously.

<u>Motion</u>: Overton-Lyda to strike Section 5, Item 2. Motion carried - 3 Nay (Kelso, Kriz, Roberts).

Planning Commission January 9, 1986 Page 2

Motion: Roberts-Englebrecht to incorporate "national history, into Section 5, Item 3. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion: Roberts-Kelso to add to Section 7, Item 1, para 2 the phrase ", or in material integrity,". Motion carried unanimously.

<u>Motion</u>: Kriz-Englebrecht to add to Section 7, Item 2 the sentence "The decision of the Commission shall include findings of fact. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion: Roberts-Englebrecht to change Section 7, Item 3 (f) to read "Deteriorating...". Motion carried unanimously.

<u>Motion</u>: Lyda-Kelso to amend Section 7, Item 3 (h) to read "to preserve significant archaeological resources..." and to reinsert the item at the end of Section 7, Item 3. Motion carried.

<u>Motion</u>: Lyda-Kelso to amend Section 7, Item 3 (k) to read "to protect vegetation which is specifically designated as a landmark." Motion carried.

Motion: Kriz-Fendall to revise Section 8, Item 1 to read "or within 250 ft. of a designated landmark...". Motion carried.

Motion: Veatch-Overton to delete the portion of Section 8, Item 1 that reads "or within 250 ft. of a designated landmark,". Motion carried.

Motion: Kelso-Englebrecht to strike Section 8, Item 1 (a). Motion carried.

<u>Motion</u>: Kriz-Fendall to delete from Section 9, Item 1 "as primary or secondary within the City limits." The Item to read, "or not a designated landmark." Motion carried.

<u>Motion</u>: Kriz-Overton to delete Section 9, Item 2. Motion carried - 3 Nay (Englebrecht, McIntosh, Veatch).

<u>Motion</u>: Roberts-Kriz to revise Section 9, Item 3 to read "has been damaged beyond reasonable repair thru fire, flood, wind or other Acts of God, or vandalism. Motion carried.

<u>Motion</u>: Roberts-Kelso to revise Section 9, para 3 to read "The Landmarks Commission shall meet not sooner than 7 days nor later than 21 days of the request..."; and to further read "shall complete any review within 45 days...". Motion carried.

Motion: Kriz-Kelso to delete Section 9, Item B and C and to add to Item A, "...as stated in Section 5." Motion carried - 1 Nay (McIntosh).

<u>Motion</u>: Overton-Lyda to delete the reference to home occupations from Section 11. Motion carried.

Planning Commission January 9, 1986 Page 3

Motion: Kriz- to change Section 13 to read "not less than 10 days after the filing of the application...". Motion died for lack of second.

Motion: Overton-McIntosh to correct Section 15 to read "Any applicant, any owner, or representative of the owner of a designated landmark...". Motion carried 1 Nay (Kriz).

Staff recommended deletion of Section 17 (c) as the item is redundant.

Several items were identified as needing additional review. Further discussion of the proposed ordinance will occur at the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Planning Commission.

Motion: Overton-McIntosh to adjourn. Motion carried unanimously.