### OFFICE OF THE CITY MANAGER

### CITY COUNCIL AGENDA

### **AGENDA**

### CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION OCTOBER 23, 2024 at 5:30 PM

<u>CITY HALL COUNCIL CHAMBER</u> <u>313 COURT STREET</u> & <u>LIVE STREAMED</u> <u>https://www.thedalles.org/Live\_Streaming</u>

- 1. CALL TO ORDER
- 2. ROLL CALL OF COUNCIL
- 3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
- 4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
- 5. DISCUSSION ITEMS
  - A. Water Utility Financial Analysis Presentation and Discussion
    - 1. Draft Capital Improvement Plan (CIP)
    - 2. Financial Analysis and Policy Discussion
    - 3. Next Steps
    - 4. Discussion/Q&A
- 6. ADJOURNMENT

This meeting conducted VIA Zoom

Prepared by/ Amie Ell, City Clerk

### **CITY OF THE DALLES**

"By working together, we will provide services that enhance the vitality of The Dalles."



# **CITY OF THE DALLES – CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION 3** Water System Master Plan and **Financial Analysis**

**Project Overview** 

Presented by: Brian Ginter, P.E. Emily Flock, P.E. Deb Galardi

October 23, 2024





Draft Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) Financial Analysis and Policy Discussion Next Steps Discussion/Q&A





# Draft Capital Improvement Plan (CIP)

### • Top Priorities, 0-10 years - \$164.4M

- SCADA Improvements
- Wicks WTP Replacement & Expansion
- Transmission Main Replacement
- ASR Program Planning
- Crow Creek Dam Updated Concept Design, Seismic, and **Emergency Action Planning**
- Distribution Pipe Fire Flow Improvements
- Distribution Pipe Renewal & Replacement
- Additional ASR Wells
- Riverside BPS Phase 2
- Riverside Storage Phase 2
- Seismic & Wildfire Facility Resilience
- Planning/WMCP/Rates Study Updates

- Medium-Term Improvements, 11-20 years - \$36.9M
  - Distribution Pipe Fire Flow Improvements
  - Garrison BPS Improvements
  - Crow Creek Dam Design & Permitting
  - Planning/WMCP/Rates Study Updates
- Long-Term Improvements, 20-50 years -\$152.3M
  - Distribution Pipe Fire Flow Improvements
  - Distribution Pipe Renewal & Replacement
  - Crow Creek Dam Construction

\*Proposed WIFIA Funding: Phase 1; Phase 2





# Financial Analysis



# Recap: Financial Analysis Framework

### Objectives:

- Adequate revenue to fund system operations and capital improvements
- Equitable cost recovery
  - Existing vs future development
  - Customer type (residential, commercial, industrial)
- Rate design supports
  - Water conservation/grant & loan eligibility
  - Affordability/competitiveness
  - Revenue/rate stability



# Financial Plan Analysis





# Recap: Financial Plan Considerations

- Capital Improvement Plan dominates financial plan over next 20 years.
  - \$2024 = \$203 M
  - Inflation-Adjusted = > \$256 M (\$13 M per year)
- Current rates/SDCs have limited capital funding capacity <0.5 M</li>
- Opportunities to leverage federal funding programs and local revenue sources
  - Water Infrastructure Finance Innovation Act (WIFIA) loan program
  - City/County Strategic Investment Program (SIP) funds
  - Industrial growth



# Recap: Framework for Cash Flow Projections

- Projected revenue "slope" (series of rate increases) provides:
  - Range of initial rate increases for implementation in early 2025
  - Order of magnitude of increases over 20-year period
    - Future year rate increases to reflect refinements to capital financing plan
- Preliminary capital financing plan = medium case (not worst or best case)
  - Highly sensitive to specific debt terms, interest rates, and capital project construction schedules.



# **Capital Financing Comparison**

### Preliminary outreach to WIFIA:

- Confirmed small system eligibility (80%)
- No 'red flags' on project eligibility, but commitment follows submittal of letter of interest/invitation to apply
  - Recommended after 30% project design
- Current interest rates are 4.15%
  - Projected reduction to 3.5% for analysis
- 2 separate loans (WTP/Transmission)
- Significant program flexibility:
  - Principal & interest repayment
  - Application of match funds
- Revised cash flow reflects
  - Interest paid during construction from rates/SIP
  - Additional debt for other projects to flatten revenue slope

			Prelim Debt	Revised Debt
	Prelim.	Revised	Payment	Payment
	\$M	\$M	\$M <sup>1</sup>	\$M <sup>2</sup>
CIP		· · · · ·	-	·
WIFIA Projects	\$169.0	\$161.1		
Other CIP	\$74.0	\$73.4		
Pipe Replacement <sup>1</sup>	\$20.0	\$21.4		
Total	\$263.0	\$255.9		
Debt Funding Assumptions				
WIFIA Loans	\$146.1	\$128.9	\$7.30	\$6.45
2031-33 Revenue Bond(s) <sup>3</sup>	\$31.0	\$47.8	\$2.10	\$2.83
2040 Revenue Bond <sup>3</sup>	\$17.5	\$20.0	\$1.20	\$1.40
Subtotal	\$194.6	\$196.7	\$10.60	\$10.68
Local cash funding (rates, SDCs, SIP)	\$79.3	\$59.3		
Average/Year	\$4.0	<b>\$3.0</b>		
Debt % of CIP Projects	76%	84%		
Debt % of CIP Projects + Pipe Replace	70%	77%		
<sup>1</sup> 80% WIFIA Package + Capitalized interest;	3.5% interes	st; 35-year te	rm	
<sup>2</sup> 80% WIFIA Package; 3.5% interest (current	t rate is 4.15	%); 35-year t	erm; interest	during
construction				
<sup>3</sup> 4% interest; 30-year term; 2 years interest	; 2031 (WIFI	A match + Riv	/erside	
Storage); 2040 (Crow Creek Dam)				

# Construction Funding Sources: \$3M SIP

SIP funding critical for WIFIA project design, interest during construction and non-WIFIA projects in short term.



# Estimated Revenue Slope: \$3M SIP; Low Industrial Use



\*Numbers are preliminary; subject to change

# Estimated Revenue Slope: \$3M SIP; High Industrial Use



\*Numbers are preliminary; subject to change

# SIP Funding Considerations

### Funding needs in short-term:

- WIFIA project design/application costs
- \$1M \$2M per year CIP for non-WIFIA projects
- \$3M per year additional from rates represents 46% increase (based on current revenue)

		Projected Revenue \$M				
Scenario	Current	FY2026	FY2031	FY2041		
Annual SIP/General Fund \$		\$3.0		\$3.0		
Rate Revenue						
High Industrial Growth	\$6.6	\$7.3	\$11.6	\$21.5		
Low Industrial Growth	\$6.5	\$7.1	\$11.3	\$21.7		
Annual SIP/General Fund \$		\$0.0		\$0.0		
High Industrial Growth	\$6.6	\$8.5	\$14.1	\$24.9		
Low Industrial Growth	\$6.6	\$8.5	\$13.9	\$25.0		
Additional Rate Revenue (Low w/out SIP)		\$1.3	\$2.6	\$3.3		
As a % of Current (2025 rate reve	nue)	20%	39%	49%		

# Estimated Revenue Slope: \$0 SIP; Low Industrial



\*Numbers are preliminary; subject to change

# Estimated Revenue Slope: \$0 SIP; High Industrial



- Based on:
  - "Medium case" capital funding strategy
  - Current rate structure
- Key Factors
  - Higher industrial growth is estimated to reduce 2041 bill by 15%-20%
  - **Reduced SIP funding** (from \$3 M to \$0 M) is estimated to increase bill 17%-20% in FY2026

Scenario	Current	FY2026	FY2031	FY2041
Annual SIP/General Fund \$		\$3.0	\$3.0	\$3.0
Residential Base Rate				
High Industrial Growth	\$55.3	\$58.2	\$75.4	\$125.2
Low Industrial Growth	\$55.3	\$59.3	\$84.4	\$151.0
Annual SIP/General Fund \$		\$0.0		\$0.0
Residential Base Rate				
High Industrial Growth	\$55.3	\$68.0	\$91.4	\$145.0
Low Industrial Growth	\$55.3	\$70.5	\$103.6	\$173.8

\*Base rates reflects status quo cost recovery by class and current rate structure.

- Rate increases are needed to grow rate capacity to fund >\$250 M CIP over next 20 years and keep pace with general cost inflation.
- Further evaluation of capital financing options will better define specific rate increases.
  - Policy issue: Initial timing/level of rate increases
- Commitment of SIP/general fund funding over the life of CIP/debt repayment will have a significant impact on customer bills.
  - *Policy issue: How much revenue to commit to water* program



97,51 10,000

# System Development Charges







- System Development Charges (SDCs) are one-time charges upon new development
  - Recover system capital investments to serve future growth
- Oregon law allows SDCs for 5 infrastructure systems (water, sewer, transportation, stormwater) & parks)
- City's last SDC update was 2007
  - Revenue from water SDCs averaged about \$75,000 over last 3 years

<b>Oregon SDC Stat</b>
223.297-223.316

23

N

ORS

Provide	6
framewo	C

Equitable
growth a

Estal	olisł	1
only	for	(

SDC Overview

# tutes ORS

### uniform rk for SDCs

### e funding for orderly ind development

### charges to be used capital



## SDC Program Elements

• Costs, timing and percent eligible for improvement SDC funding.

### Project List

### SDC Methodology

- Framework for Determination of growth costs in aggregate, and by land use type
- Factors and assumptions used for charging individual developments

- The SDCs to be charged different types of development.
- Project list & methodology set "ceiling" for charges

### SDC Schedule

### ADMINISTRATIVE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES



# Growth Cost Components

### Improvement

- Projects included on an adopted list or plan.
- Related to capacity for growth
  - New facilities
  - Upgrades to existing facilities

### Reimbursement

- Value of prior facilities constructed by the agency.
- Related to available capacity for growth

- etc.

### Compliance

## • SDC methodology development Master planning • SDC accounting,





# SDC Project List



# SDC Scaling Considerations

- Current water meter factors/SDCs not calibrated well to actual use across meter sizes
- Updated factors based on industry standard meter capacities for typical meter type\*

	Current	Current	Actual	Updated
Meter Size	SDC	Factor	<b>Use Factor</b>	Factor
Residential				
3/4"	\$2,317	1.0	1.0	1.0
1"	\$4,634	2.0	1.8	1.7
1 1/2"	\$9,268	4.0	2.6	3.3
2"	\$16,219	7.0	na	5.3
Nonresidential				
3/4"	\$2,317	1.0	1.0	1.0
1"	\$4,634	2.0	2.4	1.7
1 1/2"	\$9,268	4.0	6.3	4.7
2"	\$16,219	7.0	8.4	8.0
3"	\$32,438	14.0	24.0	23.3
4"	\$57,925	25.0	48.0	43.3
6"	\$115,850	50.0	98.0	93.3



\*Residential based on positive displacement meters; Nonresidential based on Type II turbine meters.

### s meter sizes neter type\*



# Updated SDC Calculations

### Large water customers generally evaluated individually; charged based on projected use.

				Combined	Current
Meter Size	SDCr	SDCi	Compliance	SDC	SDC
Residential					
3/4"	\$810	\$8,180	\$344	\$9,334	\$2,317
1"	\$1,350	\$13,634	\$573	\$15,556	\$4,634
1 1/2"	\$2,700	\$27,267	\$1,146	\$31,113	\$9,268
2"	\$4,319	\$43,628	\$1,833	\$49,780	\$16,219
Nonresidential					
3/4"	\$810	\$8,180	\$344	\$9,334	\$2,317
1"	\$1,350	\$13,634	\$573	\$15,556	\$4,634
1 1/2"	\$3,779	\$38,174	\$1,604	\$43,558	\$9,268
2"	\$6,479	\$65,441	\$2,750	\$74,670	\$16,219
3"	\$18,897	\$190,871	\$8,020	\$217,788	\$32,438
4"	\$35,095	\$354,474	\$14,894	\$404,463	\$57,925
6"	\$75,590	\$763,483	\$32,079	\$871,151	\$115,850
Industrial \$/gpd o	f projected MDD:			\$12.94	
MDD = maximum o	day demand				





## SDC Comparison



\*Updated SDCs (from July 29, 2024 methodology update) pending approval



- Methodology determine SDC "ceiling"
  - Calculated SDCs may increase revenue by about \$150,000 annually (equivalent of 2.5% rate revenue)
- Adoption schedule must follow ORS 223.304 requirements

Maintain list of interested parties

304

 $\mathbf{m}$ N

N

ORS

90-day notice of public hearing

60-day review of methodology

## SDC Implementation







## Recap: Current Rate Structure

CLASS	FIXED (\$/MONTH)	MIN QTY/ MONTH (GALLONS)	V( (\$ >
Residential	\$55.30 (3/4"-1" meter) includes min qty.	10,000	\$1
Commercial	Varies by meter size (\$45-\$1,057); includes min qty.	5,000	\$3

### OLUME \$/1,000 GAL MIN QTY)

1.68

3.61

- Cost of service aligns rates/cost recovery with how the utility incurs costs for a given "test year".
  - The test year establishes the utility cost structure (portion of annual revenue requirements that are related to operating vs. capital costs) for purposes of evaluating costs by service characteristic and customer class.
- Preliminary framework reflects specific design consideration for the City and industry accepted practices.
- Results serve as a benchmark for considering relative changes in cost recovery across:
  - customer classes
  - rate components (base charge, volume charge)
- Generally, cost of service analysis updated every 3-5 years
  - More frequent updating needed when cost structure and/or customer usage anticipated to change. *Both are the case for the City during the planning period.*







# Current and Projected Costs by Service Component

- Cost structure shifts over planning period towards greater capital investment
- Capital costs weighted more heavily to fire protection, ASR & storage (greater impact to nonresidential)

<b>Current and Project Cost Str</b>	ructure	
Cost Component	FY 2025	2030-31
<b>Operation &amp; Maintenance</b>	\$5.89	\$7.43
Capital	\$0.63	\$3.99
Total	\$6.52	\$11.42
% Total		
<b>Operation &amp; Maintenance</b>	90%	65%
Capital	10%	35%
Total	100%	100%



# Cost of Service by Customer Class

Updated cost of services shows shift in costs from residential to nonresidential (industrial and commercial combined).



SQ = Status Quo structure Updated = revised cost of service (COS)

### Shifts between updated FY2025 and FY2031 reflect increase in capital costs relative to operating

Nonresidential increases in FY2031 even under status quo COS due to projected increase in industrial water use (low scenario)

## Status Quo vs. Updated COS Rates: Status Quo Rate Structure

- In a revenue neutral (FY2024-25) scenario, residential rates decrease and nonresidential increase
- After consideration of initial re-alignment, residential rates increase at a reduced rate (6.8-7.0%), compared to system average (7.3% per year), while nonresidential avg. annual increase is higher (7.3%-8.5%) reflecting continued cost of service (COS) shifts.

	Low	Industrial C	Growth Scena	ario; Status Qu	o Rate Struct	ure			
	FY	<b>' 2024-25 R</b> a	tes	FY2030-	31 Projected	Rates	Avg Annua Growth FY2 FY203	024-25 to	Avg Annual Rate of
	Current	Updated		Status Quo	Updated		Status Quo	Updated	Growth from
Rate Component	Rates	COS	% Change	COS	COS	% Change	COS	COS	Updated COS
Overall Revenue Slope							7.3%	7.3%	7.3%
Residential									
Volume Rate (\$/Kgal)	\$1.68	\$1.56	-7.0%	\$2.56	\$2.34	-8.7%	7.3%	5.7%	7.0%
Base Rate <1" meter	\$55.30	\$51.51	-6.8%	\$84.40	\$76.33	-9.6%	7.3%	5.5%	6.8%
Nonresidential									
Volume Rate (\$/Kgal)	\$3.61	\$3.82	5.8%	\$5.51	\$5.84	6.1%	7.3%	8.4%	7.3%
Base Rate 3/4" Meter	\$44.56	\$46.74	4.9%	\$68.01	\$76.36	12.3%	7.3%	9.4%	8.5%

# Status Quo vs. Updated COS Rates: Fixed vs. Volume %

- The majority (>80%) of the water system's costs are fixed (i.e., do not fluctuate with water usage)
- Need to maintain revenue stability, even as quantity included in base rate is reduced and increased industrial volumes result in greater share of revenue from volume charges
  - Rate options include emergency and availability (e.g., public fire protection capacity costs) in base rate 0
  - Industry trends/practice: >40% revenue from base rates 0

Component	Status Quo	7.5Kgal (R)/0 Kgal (NR) Option	5/5 kgal (R/NR) Option
FY 2024-25			
Fixed %	52%	46%	46%
Volume %	48%	54%	54%
FY 2030-31			
Fixed %	43%	41%	47%
Volume %	57%	59%	53%

# Rate Structure Options



# Rate Design Policy Questions

- Eliminate or reduce minimum quantity included in base charge (currently 10K gal residential; 5Kgal nonresidential). Options developed:
  - 7.5 Kgal and 5.0 Kgal residential
  - 0 Kgal and 5.0 Kgal nonresidential
- Modify rates by class to more closely align with updated cost of service results.
  - Options present: Revised rates by class
- Integration of cost of service, revenue slope, and rate structure changes.
  - Options present: Revenue neutral rates and rates with first year of general increase (revenue slope for each class)
  - Following Council feedback on priorities/options, a final rate plan for next 2-3 years may be developed.



97,51 10,000
### Recap: Residential Account Water Use Distribution



### Sample Residential Rate Structure: 7.5 Base QTY

		FY20			FY2024-25				FY2024-25				
	Units	Current	Rev. COS	FY2025-26	Units	Current	Rev. COS	FY2025-26	Units	Current	Rev. COS	FY2025-26	
Rates													
Base QTY		10	7.5	7.5									
Base Rate		\$55.30	\$49.39	\$52.82									
Volume Rate		\$1.68	\$1.83	\$1.87									
	Typical Bill						all QTY Bill		Large QTY Bill				
Kgal	8				5				20				
Base		\$55.30	\$49.39	\$52.82		\$55.30	\$49.39	\$52.82		\$55.30	\$49.39	\$52.82	
Current QTY	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	10	\$16.80	\$18.28	\$18.72	
Addtl QTY	0.5	\$0.00	\$0.91	\$0.94	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	2.5	\$0.00	\$4.57	\$4.68	
Total Bill		\$55.30	\$50.30	\$53.75	0	\$55.30	\$49.39	\$52.82	12.5	\$72.10	\$72.24	\$76.21	
% Change			-9.0%	-2.8%			-10.7%	-4.5%			0.2%	5.7%	

\*Low Industrial, \$3M SIP Scenario ;(FY2025-26 includes 1st year of projected 7.3% general rate increase.)

### Sample Residential Rate Structure: 5 Base QTY

	FY2		FY2024-25			FY2024-25				FY2024-25			
	Units	Current	Rev. COS	FY2025-26	Units	Current	Rev. COS	FY2025-26	Units	Current	Rev. COS	FY2025-26	
Rates													
Base QTY		10	5	5									
Base Rate		\$55.30	\$47.40	\$50.60									
Volume Rate		\$1.68	\$1.89	\$1.95									
		Туј	oical Bill			Sma	all QTY Bill			Large QTY Bill			
Kgal	8				5				20				
Base		\$55.30	\$47.40	\$50.60		\$55.30	\$47.40	\$50.60		\$55.30	\$47.40	\$50.60	
Current QTY Billed	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	10	\$16.80	\$18.87	\$19.52	
Addtl QTY Billed	3	\$0.00	\$5.66	\$5.86	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	5	\$0.00	\$9.44	\$9.76	
Total Bill		\$55.30	\$53.06	\$56.46	0	\$55.30	\$47.40	\$50.60	15	\$72.10	\$75.71	\$79.88	
% Change			-4.0%	2.1%			-14.3%	-8.5%			5.0%	10.8%	

\*Low Industrial, \$3M SIP Scenario; (FY2025-26 includes 1st year of projected 7.3% general rate increase.)

### Residential Bill Increase Distribution

#### 7.5 Kgal Base Quantity (FY2024-25)





\*Low Industrial, \$3M SIP Scenario; (FY2025-26 includes 1st year of projected 7.3% overall system rate increase.)



### Sample Nonresidential Rate Structure: 5 Base QTY

	FY2024-25					FY2024-25					FY2024-25			
	Units	Current	Rev. COS	FY2025-26	Unit	Current	Rev. COS	FY2025-26	U	nits	Current	Rev. COS	FY2025-26	
Rates														
Base QTY		5	5	5		5	5	5			5	5	5	
Base Rate	3/4"	\$44.56	\$43.50	\$47.16	2"	\$78.95	\$83.25	\$95.40	4		\$181.93	\$283.91	\$338.94	
Volume Rate		\$3.61	\$3.80	\$4.01		\$3.61	\$3.80	\$4.01			\$3.61	\$3.80	\$4.01	
		Si	nall Bill			Med QTY Bill					Large QTY Bill			
Kgal	8				61				2	001				
Base		\$44.56	\$43.50	\$47.16		\$78.95	\$83.25	\$95.40			\$181.93	\$283.91	\$338.94	
Current QTY	3	\$10.83	\$11.40	\$12.02	56	\$202.16	\$212.76	\$224.31	1	996	\$7,205.56	\$7,583.26	\$7,994.92	
Addtl QTY	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	C	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Total Bill	3	\$55.39	\$54.89	\$59.17	56	\$281.11	\$296.01	\$319.71	1	996	\$7,387.49	\$7,867.17	\$8,333.86	
% Change			-0.9%	6.8%			5.3%	13.7%				6.5%	12.8%	

\*Low Industrial, \$3M SIP Scenario; (FY2025-26 includes 1st year of projected 7.3% general rate increase.)

### Sample Nonresidential Rate Structure: O Base QTY

	FY2024-25					FY20	FY2024-25			FY2024-25		
	Units	Current	Rev. COS	FY2025-26	Unit	s Current	Rev. COS	FY2025-26	Units	Current	Rev. COS	FY2025-26
Rates												
Base QTY		5	0	0		5	0	0		5	0	0
Base Rate	3/4"	\$44.56	\$29.32	\$29.32	2"	\$78.95	\$60.40	\$65.32	4"	\$181.93	\$217.32	\$241.30
Volume Rate		\$3.61	\$3.87	\$4.15		\$3.61	\$3.87	\$4.15		\$3.61	\$3.87	\$4.15
	Small Bill					Me	d QTY Bill		Large QTY Bill			
Kgal	8				63				2001			
Base		\$44.56	\$29.32	\$29.32		\$78.95	\$60.40	\$65.32		\$181.93	\$217.32	\$241.30
Current QTY	3	\$10.83	\$11.61	\$12.44	56	\$202.16	\$216.77	\$232.20	1996	\$7,205.56	\$7,726.17	\$8,276.21
Addtl QTY	5	\$0.00	\$19.35	\$20.73	Ę	\$0.00	\$19.35	\$20.73	5	\$0.00	\$19.35	\$20.73
Total Bill	8	\$55.39	\$60.28	\$62.49	61	\$281.11	\$296.52	\$318.25	2001	\$7,387.49	\$7,962.85	\$8,538.24
% Change			8.8%	12.8%			5.5%	13.2%			7.8%	15.6%

\*Low Industrial, \$3M SIP Scenario; (FY2025-26 includes 1st year of projected 7.3% general rate increase.)

- Residential
  - Cost of service-based rates would reduce projected rate increases below the general system average for the planning period.
  - Reduction of quantity allowance to:
    - 7.5 Kgal will lead to modest bill reductions for many customers; modest increases for others. Bill increases >\$5/month are limited.
    - 5.0 Kgal will lead to minor bill reductions for some small volume customers; modest increases for others. Bill increases >\$10/month are limited.
- Nonresidential
  - Cost of service-based rates would result in projected rate changes above the general system average for the planning period.
  - More significant increases to base rates would result in greater bill increases for large meter customers.
  - Reduction of quantity allowance to 0 Kgal will lead to more significant increases to small volume customers, resulting from additional billed quantity without offsetting decrease to base rates.
- Following Council feedback on priorities/options, a final rate plan for next 2-3 years may be developed.



# 

### Plan Foundation

- System Inventory
- Water Demand Forecast
- Performance Criteria

#### System Analysis

- Hydraulic Model Development, Calibration and Analysis
- Storage and Pumping Needs
- Seismic Resiliency

### **Capital Improvement Plan**

- Capital Improvements
- Capacity, Reliability, Resilience, Maintenance

#### **Financial Analysis and WSMP Report**

- Utility Rates
- SDCs
- WSMP City Council Approval, Regulatory Approval



**IN PROGRESS** 

DRAFT COMPLETE

#### DRAFTS COMPLETE

DRAFTS COMPLETE

## **Overall Status**

# 

Finalize Financial/Rate Study **Finalize Draft WSMP** Communication/Public Outreach Council and Public review of Draft WSMP early Nov. Public Adoption Process – December 9<sup>th</sup> Council Meeting

## Next Steps



# 







# **THANK YOU**





#### 2011: Vista **Reservoir &** Sorosis BPS



# Recommended Improvements

**Riverside BPS &** Storage Phase 2

**Garrison BPS** Replacement & Expansion

Wicks WTP Replacement & Expansion

South Fork

Mill Creek

WTP

**Replacement of Transmission Mains** 

**Distribution Main** Renewal & Replacement, **Fire Flow Improvements** 

Crow Creek Dam Raise Seismic and Concept **Design Update** 

> **Crow Creek** Dam Raise

- System-Wide SCADA Replacement & Upgrades

- Seismic & Wildfire Resilience Facilities Improvements
- ASR Program Planning & Additional ASR Wells
- Future Planning, WMCP, and Rate Study Updates

**Generational Investment to Replace Aging** Infrastructure and Support Community Growth

Dog River

Тор **Priorities** 

Medium-Term

> Long-Term

Continuous, Ongoing

