

RE: Duty Officer Meeting 3/11 - Action Items

SAWKA Nancy * DEQ <Nancy.SAWKA@deq.oregon.gov>

Mon 3/11/2024 5:43 PM

To: KINGERY Sarah * DEQ <Sarah.Kingery@deq.oregon.gov>; LONG Rachel * DEQ <Rachel.LONG@deq.oregon.gov>; GOMORI Justin * DEQ <Justin.Gomori@deq.oregon.gov>
Cc: WAKEFIELD Nate * DEQ <Nate.Wakefield@deq.oregon.gov>

Sounds good Sarah and right on target. From the looks of the building and location, I'm guessing no ground floor. Let me know who can take it. And like Sarah says, no pressure Justin. There will be others that are similar that could come your way.

From: KINGERY Sarah * DEQ <Sarah.Kingery@deq.oregon.gov>

Sent: Monday, March 11, 2024 5:17 PM

To: SAWKA Nancy * DEQ <Nancy.SAWKA@deq.oregon.gov>; LONG Rachel * DEQ <Rachel.LONG@deq.oregon.gov>; GOMORI Justin * DEQ <Justin.Gomori@deq.oregon.gov>

Cc: WAKEFIELD Nate * DEQ <Nate.Wakefield@deq.oregon.gov>

Subject: RE: Duty Officer Meeting 3/11 - Action Items

Thanks Nancy. I can see the need for an updated table, especially with a letter closure. Justin is going to double check the zoning codes. If there are no 1st floor residential allowances the zoning may be protective enough without an EES. Because no soil contamination was detected I think it is acceptable for the RP to close the sidewalk. The VI numbers are not above the occupational RBCs so using the ground floor for business would have acceptable risk. I suggested this to Justin as a good practice for closure. I know he is busy with YDOh-no.

Justin, if you don't feel you have time to bring this to closure I know Ellen was looking for a letter closure slam dunk. I don't want to pressure you. Just let me know how I can support you and your continued growth.

Sarah K

From: SAWKA Nancy * DEQ <Nancy.SAWKA@deq.oregon.gov>

Sent: Monday, March 11, 2024 4:45 PM

To: LONG Rachel * DEQ <Rachel.LONG@deq.oregon.gov>; KINGERY Sarah * DEQ <Sarah.Kingery@deq.oregon.gov>; GOMORI Justin * DEQ <Justin.Gomori@deq.oregon.gov>

Cc: WAKEFIELD Nate * DEQ <Nate.Wakefield@deq.oregon.gov>

Subject: RE: Duty Officer Meeting 3/11 - Action Items

I looked into the NAPA site and the Diedrich building. Most of the contamination from NAPA was located on the southwest corner of the NAPA building. Unless there is some local and unusual groundwater conditions, it doesn't appear (but not impossible) that it would have reached the NE side of the Diedrich bldg. and that location is upgradient from the site and over 100 feet away. It's possible that the contamination in the gw near the DB tank is just some old residual from the tank – it's pretty low levels and appears limited/localized. There's not much there at all. But what is there is above the gw VI for residential. So to be conservative, if they are going to put ground floor residential in that building, it might be prudent to do some vapor sampling. I noticed the EVREN used the old RBCs and they should probably provide an updated table with the new ones.

Let me know if you'd like to discuss.

Nancy

From: LONG Rachel * DEQ <Rachel.LONG@deq.oregon.gov>

Sent: Monday, March 11, 2024 9:58 AM

To: SAWKA Nancy * DEQ <Nancy.SAWKA@deq.oregon.gov>; KINGERY Sarah * DEQ <Sarah.KINGERY@deq.oregon.gov>; GOMORI Justin * DEQ <Justin.GOMORI@deq.oregon.gov>

Cc: WAKEFIELD Nate * DEQ <Nate.WAKEFIELD@deq.oregon.gov>

Subject: Duty Officer Meeting 3/11 - Action Items

ACTION ITEMS

- (Rachel/Justin) Follow up with Don 20-91-4095
- (Rachel) Set up meeting with Don and Bruce
 - Bring up concerning with incoming sites.
- (Justin) Will follow up with Yamhill county and confirm them as the invoice party before removing deposit requirement.
- (Nancy) LUST 24-23-0130 Will take a look at the Diedrich Building see if it is off site contamination.
 - (Justin) Will look at soil samples with Sarah.



Rachel Long

Natural Resource Specialist 2

Oregon Department of Environmental Quality

Western Region Environmental Cleanup

165 E. 7th Avenue, Suite 100

Eugene, OR 97401

C: (503) 954-8350 | Rachel.Long@deq.oregon.gov

Pronouns: She/Her

|