### ORDINANCE No. 1100-A

#### Introduced by Commissioner:

Amending the City of Warrenton Comprehensive Plan Map, and the Zoning designation map to reflect the rezoning of tax lot 2500 in Section 09B in Township 8, Range 10. The revisions shall be made to: (1) Comprehensive Plan Map designation (Exhibit "B") on the subject property from ESWD to Other Urban Shorelands; and (2) Zoning map designation (Exhibit "C") for the subject property from Water-dependent Industrial Shorelands (I-2) to Medium Density Residential (RM); and Adopting the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law attached as Exhibit "A".

WHEREAS, certain changes are necessary to revise, update and amend the Warrenton Comprehensive Plan Map, and Zoning designation map; and

WHEREAS, Marie Gann owns tax lot 2500 in Section 09B in Township 8, Range 10.

WHEREAS, the Warrenton City Commission received the Planning Commission's recommendation on this matter, and conducted a public hearing on October 10, 2006 and closed the public hearing on that date; and

WHEREAS, the Warrenton City Commission has determined to approve this application and adopt the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law described in Exhibit "A", and amendments to the Comprehensive Plan Map described in Exhibit "B", and Zoning Designation Map described in Exhibit "C" (all exhibits attached hereto and incorporated by reference).

NOW, THEREFORE, The City of Warrenton ordains as follows:

Section 1: The City of Warrenton's Comprehensive Plan Map (Exhibit "B") and Zoning Designation Map (Exhibit "C") are amended as described in Exhibits "B and C".

Section 2: This ordinance shall become a final land use decision upon its second reading, enactment, and its signing by the Mayor.

Section 3: This ordinance shall become effective thirty (30) days from the date of its adoption.

Section 4: If any article, section, subsection, phrase, clause, sentence or word in this ordinance shall, for any reason, be held invalid or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, it shall not nullify the remainder of the ordinance but shall be confined to the article, section, subdivision, clause, sentence or word so held invalid or unconstitutional.

First Reading: October 24, 2006

Second Reading: November 16, 2006

ADOPTED by the City Commission of the City of Warrenton, Oregon, this <u>16th</u> day of November, 2006.

APPROVED

Mark Kujala, Vice-Chair / Kujale

ATTEST: tion Linden) (19 hely Linda Engbretson, City Recorder

Date the City mailed the Notice of Decision to parties with standing and to the Department of Land Conservation and Development on the required form: 11/20/00

# EXHIBIT 'A'

## GANN REZONE (FILE #RZ-06-9) FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW STAFF REPORT

b

Property is located in Township 8, Range 10, Section 09B, Tax Lots 2500; with 1.20 +/- acres and is currently vacant.

Applicant's request is to rezone the 1.20 acres from Water Dependent Industrial (I-2) to Medium Density Residential (RM); and Amend the Comprehensive Plan Map designation from ESWD to Other Urban Shorelands

The above application has been submitted for review and determination pursuant to Warrenton's Development Code Sections 4.1.6 (Type IV Procedure - Legislative and Map Amendments), 4.7 (Land Use District Map and Text Amendments), and 4.13 (Traffic Impact Study), Comprehensive Plan, Statewide Planning Goals, Oregon Revised Statutes and the Oregon Administrative Rules.

A. Comprehensive Plan

1. Comprehensive Plan Section 2.320

**FINDINGS:** Proposed amendments do not change the city's approach to growth management; nor do they change the applicability of growth management standards. The subject property is currently zoned I-2 with no frontage to the Columbia River or any other water body, which is the part of the classification for the I-2 zoning district. The RM zone is appropriate for the subject tax lot because: (1) similar property to the north has recently been rezoned to RM; (2) land in the RM zone is intended to accommodate a variety of housing types; and (3) land in the RM zone should be located where community services and adequate access are available. Public services are available to the subject properties, including an adjacent neighborhood park, water, sewer, schools, the proposed waterfront trail, and streets.

2. Comprehensive Plan Section 3.310

FINDINGS: For these reasons the City should find this proposal consistent with Policy .

3. Comprehensive Plan Section 3.320(1) through 3.320(5)

FINDINGS: Is not applicable to this proposal.

4. Comprehensive Plan Section 3.330

**FINDINGS:** The subject property lacks characteristics that contribute to suitability for waterdependent development in the I-2 zone: (1) this tax lot does not abut the Columbia River or any other water body, and there are other tax lots as well as a state highway (Warrenton Drive) between the subject tax lot and the Columbia River; (2) supporting land transportation facilities are not available at the site; (3) there is no potential for aquaculture activity occurring on these subject tax lots. For these reasons the city should find the subject tax lot is poorly suited for the I-2 zone. 5. Comprehensive Plan Section 3.340

FINDINGS: Proposed amendments do not conflict with this policy.

6. Comprehensive Plan Section 4.310 - 4.390

**FINDINGS:** This rezone request does not conflict with the adopted Comprehensive Plan for the City of Warrenton. These sections of the Comprehensive Plan are not applicable to this rezone request.

#### **B.** Warrenton Development Code Chapter 4.7:

FINDINGS: This proposal includes a comprehensive plan map amendment, changing the ESWD plan map designation to "Other Urban Shorelands" designation. OAR 660-37 provides a mechanism for removing the ESWD designation from property. In general, the subject property is poorly suited for the ESWD comprehensive Plan designation because: (1) the subject property lacks direct water frontage; (2) the subject property covers about 1.20 acres, which is too small for most of the uses allowed in the Water-dependent industrial zone (I-2). Many of the marine industrial uses listed in the I-2 zone require substantial land areas for storing cargo. Based on this information, the City can conclude that the proposed amendments are consistent with the policies in Section 2.310 of the City's Comprehensive Plan.

The only substantive criteria in the City's code applicable to this proposal are in Section 4.7.3(B). These code sections are addressed in this document. With respect to the first part of Section 4.7.3(B)(3), there is no evidence that I-2 zoning on the property is the result of a mistake. With respect to the second part of Section 4.7.3(B)(3), the City should find the proposal consistent with zoning ordinance Section 4.7.6. The proposal would not significantly affect a transportation facility because the proposed amendments do not change the classification of Warrenton Drive or any other street in Warrenton; the proposed amendments do not change the standards implementing the City's functional classification system; the proposal does not allow types or levels of land use that would result in levels of travel or access that are inconsistent with the functional classification of Warrenton Drive; the proposed amendments do not reduce the level of service on Warrenton Drive below the minimum acceptable level identified in the Comprehensive Plan or Transportation System Plan.

#### C. STATEWIDE PLANNING GOALS

i. GOAL #1: FINDINGS: The proposed amendments do not change Warrenton's citizen involvement policies, implementing ordinances, or procedures.

ii. GOAL #2: **FINDINGS:** They comply with the Statewide Planning Goals, and because they amend the City's planning documents in an internally consistent manner.

iii. GOAL #3: FINDINGS: Goal 3 is not applicable.

iv. GOAL #4: **FINDINGS:** Goal 4 is not applicable.

v. GOAL #5: FINDINGS: No changes to the City's existing Goal 5 Comprehensive Plan element or implementing measures are proposed or needed as a result of the proposed amendments. The proposal is consistent with statewide planning goal 5.

vi. GOAL #6: **FINDINGS:** The proposed amendments do not change any of the City's Goal 6 implementation measures, nor do the amendments trigger an update of the City's air or water quality element. The proposal is consistent with Statewide Planning Goal 6.

vii. GOAL #7: FINDINGS: The proposed amendments do not alter the City's procedures or requirements for addressing soil conditions.

viii. GOAL #8: **FINDINGS:** The proposal does not require amendment of the City's recreational needs element because it does not concern land included in the existing inventory of recreational sites. For these reasons, the proposed amendments are consistent with Statewide Planning Goal 8.

ix. GOAL #9: **FINDINGS:** The proposal does not alter the City's inventory of buildable commercial land. The proposal does not change the City's inventory of buildable land available for economic development purposes except with respect to the uses allowed in the I-2 zone, and only with respect to the 1.20 acre site. The amendments add to the City's buildable land inventory of land available for housing. The proposal is consistent with Statewide Planning Goal 9.

x. GOAL #10: **FINDINGS:** The proposed amendments add approximately 1.20 acres of land to the City's inventory of buildable housing sites. Because of this, the proposal is consistent with Statewide Planning Goal 10.

xi. GOAL #11: **FINDINGS:** Water and sanitary sewer service is available in the Warrenton Drive right-of-way. The subject property is within the City Limits of Warrenton; served by Warrenton Drive, a state highway; within the Warrenton-Hammond School District and the Clatsop Community College district; and served by Pacific Power, NW Natural gas, Charter Cable, and Qwest Communications. The available levels of service for these utilities are consistent with the uses and densities allowed by the RM zone. Because of this, the proposal is consistent with statewide planning goal 11.

xii. GOAL #12: **FINDINGS:** The city adopted a TSP in January 2004. Warrenton Drive is classified as an "urban collector". The proposed residential zoning is consistent with the classification, and does not require that the classification be changed. The TSP calls for sidewalk improvements on Warrenton Drive, including the section past the subject property. This project is described on page 5-20 of the TSP. Sidewalks are consistent with residential development. Because of this the proposed amendment does not conflict with this project. For these reasons the proposal is consistent with Statewide Planning Goal 12.

xiii. GOAL #13: **FINDINGS:** Statewide Planning Goal 13 does not establish any special requirements applicable to this proposal. The proposal is consistent with Goal 13.

xiv. GOAL #14: URBANIZATION: **FINDINGS:** The subject property is in the city limits and Urban Growth Boundary of the City of Warrenton. For these reasons the proposal is consistent with Goal 14.

xv. GOAL #15: FINDINGS: Goal 15 is not applicable.

xvi. GOAL #16: FINDINGS: This goal is not applicable to this request because of the distance the property is from the Columbia River.

xvii. GOAL #17: FINDINGS: This proposal complies with Goal 17 because waterdependent shoreland zoning surpasses the minimum state requirements, both before and after the proposed amendment.

xviii. GOAL #18: FINDINGS: The subject property is not in a beach or dune area as defined by Goal 18; because of this, the proposal is consistent with Goal 18.

xix. GOAL #19: FINDINGS: Goal 19 does not establish any planning requirements applicable to the City.

Findings have been made to all pertinent criteria in order to rezone this tax lot. These "findings" are in compliance with the City of Warrenton's Comprehensive Plan, Statewide Planning Goals, Oregon Administrative Rules, and Oregon Revised Statutes.

## EXHIBIT 'B'

۰.

р,



EXHIBIT 'C'

9

