#### **Fleet Procurement Scenarios Presentation**

3/15/2023 – Board of Directors Meeting







### OUTLINE

**Purpose:** Provide an overview of the project and the results of the analysis to catalyze the

discussion on LTD's preferred future fuel/technology

**Project Background**  $\mathbf{01}$ (Project Timeline and Short-Listed Fuel/Technology) Methodology 02 (Overview, Scenario, Analyses Inputs) **Results** 03 (Existing Fleet, Proposed Procurement Schedule, Emissions Findings, Lifecycle Costs Findings) **Summary** () 4**05** | Staff Next Steps

## PROJECT BACKGROUND





## METHODOLOGY

#### METHODOLOGY OVERVIEW & SCENARIO



vsp

#### EMISSIONS AND LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSES



wsp

## RESULTS









Annual GHG Lifecycle Emissions

- All scenarios will result in GHG reduction over time because fuel production is getting greener
- Emissions reduction in 2040:
  - Baseline: -60% from 2021
  - Hydrogen: -37% from 2021
  - RNG: -55% from 2021
  - BEB: -96% from 2021
- Better emissions reduction compared to the baseline scenario is only achievable in the BEB scenario



- All scenarios will result in fewer NOX emissions compared to the baseline scenario
- BEB and FCEB fully eliminate tailpipe emissions but not brake and tire wear





- Baseline R99 Diesel scenario has the lowest lifecycle costs
  - Highest environmental costs
  - Lowest O&M costs
- FCEB has the highest lifecycle costs (50% more than baseline), due to the higher capital and diesel costs
- RNG has the lowest lifecycle cost (9% lower than the baseline), due to the lower O&M and environmental costs
- BEB has the overall lowest environmental costs.
  - The saving from cheaper electricity is offset by higher maintenance costs

\*Capital costs include disposal costs

# SUMMARY & CONSIDERATIONS

#### **SUMMARY**

Indicators	TRANSIT					
	R99 Diesel	RNG	Battery-Electric	Hydrogen		
Emissions						
GHG Emissions						
Local Air Pollutants						
Costs						
Environmental Costs						
Capital Costs						
Operating Costs						
Total Costs						

wsp

#### **ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS**

	Transit					
Indicators	R99 Diesel	RNG	Hydrogen	Battery-Electric		
Vehicle Availability			Only two OEMs that provide FCEBs	Longer lead-times		
Range	-	-	-	Significantly shorter than ICEVs and FCEBs		
Fueling Time	-	Dependent on technology • Slow fill: Overnight • Fast fill: comparable to diesel	_	2-3 hours dependent of battery capacity		
Space Requirements	-	<ul> <li>New space for storage and fueling stations</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Large setback required</li> <li>New space for storage and fueling stations</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>New space for storage and fueling stations</li> </ul>		
Policy	<ul> <li>Decreasing support for fossil fuels</li> </ul>					

## STAFF NEXT STEPS

#### STAFF NEXT STEPS

- Continue to explore emerging technologies and fuels for future bus purchases
- Continue to purchase renewable diesel (R99) for our fleet
- Near term vehicle purchases to maintain our baseline scenario of clean diesel

## **THANK YOU**