Report

First Half 2020 Groundwater Monitoring Report



J.H. Baxter & Co. Wood Treating Facility Eugene, Oregon ECSI No. 55

> Prepared for J.H. Baxter & Co. 1700 S. El Camino Real, Suite 365 San Mateo, CA 94402

> > August 2020

Prepared by



1.	Intro	duction	.3
2.	Mon	itoring Activities	.3
3.	Grou	Indwater Elevations	.4
4.	Ana	ytical Results	.4
	4.1	Onsite Monitoring Wells	.4
	4.2	Onsite Extraction Wells	.4
	4.3	Offsite Monitoring Wells	.4
	4.4	Quality Assurance and Quality Control	.5
5.	Grou	Indwater Extraction and Treatment System	5
6.	Seco	nd Half 2020 Activities	.6
7.	Refe	rences	.6

Tables

Table 1	Groundwater Elevation Summary
Table 2	Phenol Analytical Results in Groundwater Samples
Table 3	Groundwater Extraction System Summary

Figures

Figure 1	Site Vicinity Map
Figure 2	Site Detail Map
Figure 3	Shallow Zone Groundwater Elevation, First Half 2020
Figure 4	Intermediate Zone Groundwater Elevation, First Half 2020
Figure 5	Pentachlorophenol in Groundwater, First Half 2020

Appendices

Appendix A	Laboratory Report
Appendix B	Groundwater Sampling Forms
Appendix C	Time Series Plots: Pentachlorophenol in Groundwater

1. Introduction

This report presents the results of groundwater monitoring activities conducted in the first half of 2020 at the J.H. Baxter & Co. (Baxter or J.H. Baxter) facility in Eugene, Oregon (facility or Site), located at 85 Baxter Street (Figures 1 and 2). Groundwater monitoring activities were conducted in accordance with the *Groundwater Monitoring Work Plan* (Hart Crowser, 2001), *Revised Groundwater Monitoring Work Plan* (Baxter, 2003), and *Revised Monitoring Program May 2015* (Baxter, 2015).

The facility has a total of 3 extraction wells and 41 monitoring wells. Additionally, an offsite well not owned by Baxter is included in the monitoring well network. The wells are:

- Extraction Wells (total of 3): W-13S, W-13I, and W-20I
- **Onsite Monitoring Wells** (total of 26): W-1S, W-2S, W-2I, W-3S, W-4S, W-5I, W-6I, W-7S, W-8S, W-8I, W-9S, W-9I, W-9D, W-11S, W-11I, W-12I, W-12D, W-13D, W-14I, W-15S, W-18AS, W-18AI, W-21S, W-21I, W-22S, and W-23
- **Offsite Monitoring Wells** (total of 15): W-16AS, W-16AI, W-17AS, W-17AI, W-17BI, W-18BI, W-19AS, W-24, W-25, W-26, W-28, W-29, W-32, W-34, and W-35
- Non-Baxter Offsite Monitoring Well: Zip-O-Log

Of these wells, 4 offsite wells including W-24, W-25, W-26 and W-29 were sampled in June 2020 for Site-related constituents in agreement with the *Revised Monitoring Program May* 2015 (Baxter, 2015). On May 7, 2015, the *Revised Monitoring Program May* 2015 was approved by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ; DEQ, 2015). The revised monitoring program requires the sampling of 4 wells semiannually in March (delayed to June this year due to the novel coronavirus [COVID-19] pandemic) and September, and 13 additional wells annually in September. Wells are sampled for phenols by EPA method 8270c LL. This report summarizes the results of the June 2020 monitoring event and the groundwater extraction data through June 2020.

2. Monitoring Activities

The groundwater monitoring event was conducted on June 9, 2020. Field activities, including groundwater level measurements and groundwater sampling, were completed by GSI Water Solutions and Baxter personnel. Wells were sampled using low-flow methods as described in the *Revised Groundwater Monitoring Work Plan* (Baxter, 2003), with a rental portable submersible pump that was decontaminated between each well. Groundwater samples, equipment decontamination, and sample custody procedures were in accordance with previous sampling events, the *Groundwater Monitoring Work Plan* (Hart Crowser, 2001), and *Revised Groundwater Monitoring Work Plan* (Baxter, 2003).

Groundwater samples were analyzed by Neilson Research Corporation (NRC) of Medford, Oregon for the following:

• Pentachlorophenol (PCP) by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method 8270C Low Levels (LL)

Phenols were erroneously not analyzed in the first half 2020. Baxter will continue to work with the new laboratory to understand sampling requirements moving forward.

Groundwater levels were measured at 27 wells and groundwater samples were collected from 4 wells. The laboratory report is presented in Appendix A and groundwater sampling forms are presented in Appendix B.

On June 9, 2020, one field blind, or duplicate, was collected at well W-26 and one equipment blank was collected. The blind and equipment blank were analyzed for Pentachlorophenol.

3. Groundwater Elevations

Groundwater elevations are presented in Table 1. Groundwater elevation contours are presented in Figures 3 and 4, with the shallow zone contoured in Figure 3 and the intermediate zone contoured in Figure 4. The groundwater contour maps for both the shallow and intermediate zones show that the extraction system is achieving capture of the source area.

4. Analytical Results

Groundwater samples for the June 2020 monitoring event were analyzed for PCP. The laboratory results are provided in Table 2. PCP results are presented in Figure 5 and time series plots are presented in Appendix C. Note that the non-detect values on the time series plots are shown as hollow symbols so that when method detection limits (MDL) are elevated, it is not misinterpreted as representing the concentration in the well. Also note that the number of time series plots presented have been reduced for the first half of 2020 to only present plots of wells sampled in the first half.

4.1 Onsite Monitoring Wells

Onsite monitoring wells were not sampled during the June 2020 monitoring event.

4.2 Onsite Extraction Wells

Onsite extraction wells were not sampled during the June 2020 monitoring event.

4.3 Offsite Monitoring Wells

PCP was detected in all four of the off-site monitoring wells sampled during the June 2020 monitoring event. The concentrations ranged from 5.27 to 33.60 μ g/L. The highest offsite concentration of PCP was in well W-26, which is located west of the Site.

While recent results have stayed within a steady state range, overall, offsite wells have shown a general decline in PCP concentration since 2011 (Figures C-1 and C-2).

4.4 Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Groundwater samples for the June 2020 monitoring event were analyzed by Analytical Laboratory Group. The case narrative in the laboratory report (Appendix A) describes the flags or footnotes associated with exceptions to standard analytical protocols and is summarized below. The results are considered usable with no additional flags.

Sample coolers for the June 2020 monitoring event arrived at the laboratory in good condition, however, above EPA's 6 degrees Celsius (°C) recommendation. Samples were submitted the same day as sampling occurred. Due to the large sample volume collected for 8270c analysis, samples were unable to cool down below 6 degrees Celsius before being submitted to the analytical laboratory. Samples were placed in a chilled refrigerator once received by NRC.

No samples required dilution before the EPA Method 8270C LL analysis.

All surrogate recoveries were met and no further qualification was applied.

An equipment rinsate blank was collected during the June 2020 monitoring event. The blank was analyzed for PCP. PCP was not detected above the MDL in the blank.

One blind sample was collected during the June 2020 monitoring event from W-26. The blind sample was analyzed for PCP. The parent sample and blind results were found to contain a 6 percent difference in values for PCP (Table 2). Based on the blind sample comparison, the data is acceptable for use.

5. Groundwater Extraction and Treatment System

The groundwater extraction and treatment system consists of three wells, a filtration system, and granulated activated carbon. The system was in operation approximately 180 days, from January 1, 2020 to June 30, 2020. The estimated pumping rates and extracted constituent mass are presented in Table 3.

During the first half of 2020, approximately 12.96 million gallons (MG) of groundwater were extracted and sent through the treatment system. An extracted contaminant mass for PCP was calculated based on the September 2019 analytical results for each extraction well (Table 3). In the first half of 2020, approximately 7.91 pounds of PCP was removed.

Since January 1994, approximately 658 MG of groundwater have been extracted and treated. Approximately 1,678 pounds of PCP have been extracted since January 1994. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) and total metals were analyzed in groundwater samples through June 2015, so a calculated mass of 4.4 pounds of PAHs and 3.6 pounds of total metals were extracted between January 1994 and June 2015. PAHs and total metals are still extracted from groundwater, but the mass removed is no longer quantified as of June 2015.

6. Second Half 2020 Activities

Semiannual groundwater monitoring will be conducted for the second half of 2020 in accordance with the *Revised Monitoring Program May 2015* (Baxter, 2015), and is planned to occur in September 2020.

7. References

Baxter. 2003. Revised Groundwater Monitoring Work Plan J.H. Baxter & Co. Wood Preserving Facility, 85 Baxter Road, Eugene, Oregon. Prepared by J.H. Baxter & Co. March 7, 2003.

Baxter. 2015. Revised Monitoring Program May 2015 J.H. Baxter Eugene Site ESCI No. 55. Prepared by J.H. Baxter & Co. May 1, 2015.

DEQ. 2015. Email message from Greg Aitken, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, to Heidi Blischke re: "RE: Groundwater Monitoring Program for the Baxter Site as Discussed at our Meeting." May 7, 2015.

Hart Crowser. 2001. Groundwater Monitoring Work Plan J.H. Baxter Wood Preserving Eugene Facility. Prepared by Hart Crowser, Inc. May 22, 2001.

Tables

Table 1. Groundwater Elevation Summary

J.H. Baxter Wood Treating Facility *Eugene, Oregon*

	Top of Casing	Denth to Well	Depth to	Groundwater
Well ID	Elevation	Bottom	Water	Elevation
	(ft msl)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft amsl)
	. ,		6/	9/2020
W-1S	395.91	28.5	11.10	384.81
W-2S	393.16	27.6	9.67	383.49
W-2I	394.23	81.71	8.44	385.79
W-3S	395.01	33		
W-4S	396.56	22.3	11.48	385.08
W-5I	396.71	75.5	13.37	383.34
W-6I	397.77	70	13.73	384.04
W-7S	397.66	20	13.00	384.66
W-8S	395.90	20.17	7.20	388.70
W-8I	393.66	82.33		
W-9S	396.45	25	8.80	387.65
W-9I	396.19	67	8.34	387.85
W-11S	394.17	24.85	9.16	385.01
W-11I	394.17	83	11.22	382.95
W-12I	395.62	78.5	14.41	381.21
W-12D	395.54	133.75	14.61	380.93
W-13S	396.71	29.02		
W-13I	396.15	71.46		
W-13D	396.40	133.51	15.48	380.92
W-14I	395.60	77.5	11.42	384.18
W-15S	396.62	28	12.63	383.99
W-16AS	391.86	24.98		
W-16AI	391.86	81.85		
W-17AS	390.29	23.67	7.36	382.93
W-17AI	390.80	87.42	9.84	380.96
W-17BI	392.08	84.88	10.32	381.76
W-18AS	392.84	25.05	8.49	384.35
W-18AI	393.70	86.81	11.90	381.80
W-18BI	391.98	88.6		
W-19AS	393.82	23.66	10.10	383.72
W-20I	397.10	85		
W-21S	393.80	16.75		
W-21I	393.80	81.42		
W-22S	396.72	19.38	10.58	386.14
W-23	396.16	55.5	13.01	383.15
W-24	391.64	65		
W-25	389.92	64		
W-26	390.14	79		
W-28	390.01	84.42		
W-29	388.56	74.83		
W-32	388.35	74	8.00	380.35
W-34	389.17	76	8.46	380.71
W-35	391.46	77		

Notes

-- = not measured.

ft amsl = feet above mean sea level.

Table 2. Phenol Analytical Results in Groundwater Samples

J.H. Baxter Wood Treating Facility

Eugene, Oregon

Well ID	Well Location	Sample Date	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol ^{1,2}	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol ^{1,2}	2,4-Dichlorophenol ^{1,2}	2,4-Dimethylphenol ^{1,2}	2,4-Dinitrophenol ^{1,2}	2,6-Dichlorophenol ^{1,2}	2-Chlorophenol ^{1,2}	2-Methylphenol ^{1,2}	2-Nitrophenol ^{1,2}	3 & 4 Methylphenol ^{1,2}	4-Chloro-3- methylphenol ^{1,2}	4-Methylphenol ^{1,2}	4-Nitrophenol ^{1,2}	Pentachlorophenol ¹	Phenol ^{1,2}
			(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
W-24	Off-Site	6/9/2020	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	26.40	NT
W-25	Off-Site	6/9/2020	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	16.30	NT
W-26	Off-Site	6/9/2020	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	33.60	NT
W-26 (Blind)	Off-Site	6/9/2020	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	31.60	NT
W-29	Off-Site	6/9/2020	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	5.27	NT
Equipment Rinsate Blank		6/9/2020	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	ND	NT

Notes

¹ Analysis by EPA method 8270C Low Levels.

² Not measued in first half 2020

 μ g/L = micrograms per liter.

Blind = duplicate sample

J = Result is an estimated concentration that is less than the method reporting limit, but greater than or equal to the method detection limit.

U = Analyte was not detected above the sample method detection limit.

NT = Not tested

J.H. Baxter Wood Treating Facility

Eugene, Oregon

	Well W-201										
	Pi	umping Inform	nation	Average	Concentra	tions ^{1,2,3}	Estimat	ed Mass Ex	tracted ⁴		
Observation Period	Days Pumping	Rate⁵	Volume	РСР	PAHs	Metals	РСР	PAHs	Metals		
	(days)	(gpm)	(gallons)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(pounds)	(pounds)	(pounds)		
01-Jan-94 to 02-Dec-98	1,783	20 - 30	61,012,800	361	27	0.00	19.57	0.86	0.00		
03-Dec-98 to 23-Feb-99	83	25	2,988,000	74	0.43	0.00	1.84	0.01	0.00		
24-Feb-99 to 03-Mar-99	8	35	403,200	74	0.43	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.00		
04-Mar-99 to 02-Jun-99	92	35	4,636,800	80	0.43	0.00	3.09	0.02	0.00		
02-Jun-99 to 15-Dec-99	181	35	9,122,400	97	0.00	0.00	7.39	0.00	0.00		
30-Nov-99 to 13-Mar-00	104	35	5,241,600	87	0.00	0.00	3.80	0.00	0.00		
13-Mar-00 to 10-Jul-00	119	35	5,997,600	87	0.00	0.00	4.34	0.00	0.00		
11-Jul-00 to 30-Sept-00	82	35	4,132,800	97	0.00	0.00	3.36	0.00	0.00		
01-Oct-00 to 31-Jan-01	123	35	6,199,200	98	0.00	0.00	5.05	0.00	0.00		
01-Feb-01 to 30-Jun-01	150	35	7,560,000	103	0.00	0.00	6.49	0.00	0.00		
01-Jul-01 to 31-Dec-01	184	35	9,273,600	104	0.00	0.00	8.01	0.00	0.00		
01-Jan-02 to 30-Jun-02	151	35	7,610,400	106	0.00	0.00	6.70	0.00	0.00		
01-July-02 to 31-Dec-02	183	35	9,223,200	111	0.00	0.00	8.51	0.00	0.00		
01-Jan-03 to 30-Jun-03	134	35	6,753,600	100	0.00	0.00	5.66	0.00	0.00		
01-July-03 to 31-Dec-03	184	35	9,273,600	135	0.00	0.00	10.41	0.00	0.00		
01-Jan-04 to 30-Jun-04	180	35	9,072,000	108	0.00	0.00	8.14	0.00	0.00		
01-July-04 to 31-Dec-04	155	35	7,812,000	185	0.00	0.00	12.03	0.00	0.00		
01-Jan-05 to 30-Jun-05	181	35	9,122,400	196	0.00	0.00	14.92	0.00	0.00		
01-July-05 to 31-Dec-05	152	35	7,660,800	117	0.00	0.00	7.45	0.00	0.00		
01-Jan-06 to 30-Jun-06	176	35	8,870,400	95	0.00	0.00	7.02	0.00	0.00		
01-July-06 to 31-Dec-06	184	35	9,273,600	96	0.00	0.00	7.39	0.00	0.00		
01-Jan-07 to 30-Jun-07	181	35	9,122,400	83	0.00	0.00	6.31	0.00	0.00		
01-July-07 to 31-Dec-07	183	35	9,223,200	78	0.00	0.00	5.98	0.00	0.00		
01-Jan-08 to 30-Jun-08	180	35	9,072,000	83	0.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00		
01-July-08 to 31-Dec-08	177	35	8,920,800	83	0.00	0.00	6.14	0.00	0.00		
01-Jan-09 to 30-Jun-09	180	35	9,072,000	47	0.00	0.00	3.53	0.00	0.00		
01-July-09 to 31-Dec-09	180	35	9,072,000	49	0.95	0.00	3.74	0.07	0.00		
01-Jan-10 to 30-Jun-10	181	35	9,122,400	43	0.00	0.00	3.30	0.00	0.00		
01-July-10 to 31-Dec-10	181	35	9,122,400	61	0.00	0.00	4.65	0.00	0.00		
01-Jan-11 to 30-Jun-11	181	35	9,122,400	115	0.00	3.65	8.75	0.00	0.28		
01-July-11 to 31-Dec-11	184	35	9,273,600	44	0.00	1.57	3.41	0.00	0.12		
01-Jan-12 to 30-Jun-12	163	35	8,215,200	47	0.19	0.60	3.24	0.01	0.04		
01-July-12 to 31-Dec-12	183	35	9,223,200	47	0.00	0.00	3.58	0.00	0.00		
01-Jan-13 to 30-Jun-13	176	35	8,870,400	24	0.00	2.11	1.78	0.00	0.16		
01-July-13 to 31-Dec-13	184	35	9,273,600	37	0.00	0.36	2.89	0.00	0.03		
01-Jan-14 to 30-Jun-14	181	35	9,122,400	33	0.09	2.55	2.47	0.01	0.19		
01-July-14 to 31-Dec-14	183	35	9,223,200	11	0.00	2.61	0.85	0.00	0.20		
01-Jan-15 to 30-Jun-15	180	35	9,072,000	47	0.00	0.55	3.56	0.00	0.04		
01-Jul-15 to 31-Dec-15	183	35	9,223,200	28			2.16				
01-Jan-16 to 30-Jun-16	180	35	9,072,000	28			2.12				
01-Jul-16 to 31-Dec-16	183	35	9,223,200	19			1.46				
01-Jan-17 to 30-Jun-17	180	35	9,072,000	19			1.44				
01-Jul-17 to 31-Dec-17	183	35	9,223,200	25			1.92				
01-Jan-18 to 30-Jun-18	180	35	9,072,000	25			1.89				
01-Jul-18 to 31-Dec-18	184	35	9,273,600	16			1.24				
01-Jan-19 to 30-Jun-19	180	35	9,072,000	16			1.21				
01-Jul-19 to 31-Dec-19	184	35	9,273,600	21			1.63				
01-Jan-20 to 30-Jun-20	180	35	9,072,000	21			1.59				
Cumulative Amounts			446,940,000				238.50	0.98	1.06		

J.H. Baxter Wood Treating Facility

Eugene, Oregon

				Well	W-13S				
Observation Davied	Pi	umping Inforn	nation	Average	Concentra	tions ^{1,2,3}	Estimated Mass Extracted ⁴		
Observation Period	Days	Rate⁵	Volume	РСР	PAHs	Metals	РСР	PAHs	Metals
	(days)	(gpm)	(gallons)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(pounds)	(pounds)	(pounds)
01-Jan-94 to 02-Dec-98	1,783	5	12,837,600	25,175	35	0.00	321.36	1.21	0.00
03-Dec-98 to 23-Feb-99	83	5	597,600	4,170	0.00	0.00	20.85	0.00	0.00
24-Feb-99 to 03-Mar-99	8	5	57,600	4,170	0.00	0.00	2.01	0.00	0.00
04-Mar-99 to 02-Jun-99	92	5	662,400	4,105	0.00	0.00	22.75	0.00	0.00
02-Jun-99 to 15-Dec-99	181	5	1,303,200	3,260	0.00	0.00	35.54	0.00	0.00
30-Nov-99 to 13-Mar-00	104	5	748,800	2,485	0.00	0.00	15.57	0.00	0.00
13-Mar-00 to 10-Jul-00	119	5	856,800	1,880	0.00	0.00	13.47	0.00	0.00
11-Jul-00 to 30-Sept-00	82	5	590.400	1.560	9.7	0.00	7.69	0.05	0.00
01-Oct-00 to 31-Jan-01	123	5	885.600	1.590	1.9	0.00	11.75	0.01	0.00
01-Feb-01 to 30-lun-01	150	5	1.080.000	1.481	1.4	0.00	13.35	0.01	0.00
01-Jul-01 to 31-Dec-01	184	5	1 324 800	1 379	4 1	0.00	15 25	0.05	0.00
01-lan-02 to 30-lun-02	151	5	1 087 200	1 455	1.1	0.00	13.20	0.01	0.00
01-July-02 to 31-Dec-02	183	5	1 317 600	1 435	0.30	0.00	15.20	0.01	0.00
01-lan-03 to 30-lun-03	13/	5	964 800	1 235	1.2	0.00	9.94	0.00	0.00
01-July-03 to 31-Dec-03	194	5	1 324 800	225	0.17	0.00	2.60	0.01	0.00
01-Jan-04 to 30-Jun-04	104	۔ ۲	1,324,800	5/1	0.17	0.00	5.95	0.00	0.00
01-July-04 to 31-Dec-04	155	۔ ۲	1,230,000	1 012	0.02	0.00	0.48	0.01	0.00
01-July-04 to 31-Dec-04	101	5	1,110,000	2,010	0.42	0.00	9.40 22.51	0.00	0.00
	101	5	1,303,200	2,070	2.1	0.00	15.90	0.02	0.00
01-July-05 to 31-Dec-05	152	5	1,094,400	1,730	0.52	0.00	10.02	0.00	0.00
01-Jan-06 to 30-Jun-06	1/6	5	1,267,200	1,034	0.36	0.00	10.93	0.00	0.00
01-July-06 to 31-Dec-06	184	5	1,324,800	902	0.18	0.00	9.97	0.00	0.00
01-Jan-07 to 30-Jun-07	181	5	1,303,200	729	0.13	0.00	7.92	0.00	0.00
01-July-07 to 31-Dec-07	183	5	1,317,600	/8	0.13	0.00	0.86	0.00	0.00
01-Jan-08 to 30-Jun-08	180	5	1,296,000	127	0.11	0.00	1.38	0.00	0.00
01-July-08 to 31-Dec-08	1//	5	1,274,400	127	0.11	0.00	1.35	0.00	0.00
01-Jan-09 to 30-Jun-09	180	5	1,296,000	1.36	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00
01-July-09 to 31-Dec-09	180	5	1,296,000	43	0.06	165.5	0.46	0.00	1.79
01-Jan-10 to 30-Jun-10	181	5	1,303,200	93	0.00	0.00	1.01	0.00	0.00
01-July-10 to 31-Dec-10	181	5	1,303,200	59	0.00	0.00	0.65	0.00	0.00
01-Jan-11 to 30-Jun-11	181	5	1,303,200	455	0.05	3.10	4.94	0.00	0.03
01-July-11 to 31-Dec-11	184	5	1,324,800	180	0.00	7.70	1.99	0.00	0.09
01-Jan-12 to 30-Jun-12	163	5	1,173,600	590	0.54	3.61	5.78	0.01	0.04
01-July-12 to 31-Dec-12	183	5	1,317,600	428	0.08	4.28	4.70	0.00	0.05
01-Jan-13 to 30-Jun-13	176	5	1,267,200	1,400	0.44	4.95	14.81	0.00	0.05
01-July-13 to 31-Dec-13	184	5	1,324,800	515	1.1	4.63	5.69	0.01	0.05
01-Jan-14 to 30-Jun-14	181	5	1,303,200	168	0.10	3.55	1.82	0.00	0.04
01-July-14 to 31-Dec-14	183	5	1,317,600	85	0.00	2.81	0.93	0.00	0.03
01-Jan-15 to 30-Jun-15	180	5	1,296,000	20	0.00	7.9	0.21	0.00	0.09
01-July-15 to 31-Dec-15	183	5	1,317,600	2.7			0.03		
01-Jan-16 to 30-Jun-16	180	5	1,296,000	2.7			0.03		
01-Jul-16 to 31-Dec-16	183	5	1,317,600	4.8			0.05		
01-Jan-17 to 30-Jun-17	180	5	1,296,000	4.8			0.05		
01-Jul-17 to 31-Dec-17	183	5	1,317,600	8			0.09		
01-Jan-18 to 30-Jun-18	180	5	1,296,000	8			0.09		
01-Jul-18 to 31-Dec-18	184	5	1,324,800	8.5			0.09		
01-Jan-19 to 30-Jun-19	180	5	1,296,000	8.5			0.09		
01-Jul-19 to 31-Dec-19	184	5	1,324,800	4.6			0.05		
01-Jan-20 to 30-Jun-20	180	5	1,296,000	4.6			0.05		
Cumulative Amounts			68,140,800				650.79	1.40	2.26

J.H. Baxter Wood Treating Facility

Eugene, Oregon

	Well W-13I										
	Pi	umping Inform	nation	Average	Concentra	tions ^{1,2,3}	Estimat	ed Mass Ex	tracted ⁴		
Observation Period	Days Pumping	Rate⁵	Volume	РСР	PAHs	Metals	РСР	PAHs	Metals		
	(days)	(gpm)	(gallons)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(pounds)	(pounds)	(pounds)		
01-Jan-94 to 02-Dec-98	1,783	10 - 15	32,522,400	3,196	35	0.00	124.69	1.44	0.00		
03-Dec-98 to 23-Feb-99	83	10	1,195,200	590	0.00	0.00	5.90	0.00	0.00		
24-Feb-99 to 03-Mar-99	8	10	115,200	590	0.00	0.00	0.57	0.00	0.00		
04-Mar-99 to 02-Jun-99	92	10	1,324,800	640	0.00	0.00	7.09	0.00	0.00		
02-Jun-99 to 15-Dec-99	181	10	2,606,400	876	0.00	0.00	19.10	0.00	0.00		
30-Nov-99 to 13-Mar-00	104	10	1,497,600	823	0.00	0.00	10.30	0.00	0.00		
13-Mar-00 to 10-Jul-00	119	10	1,713,600	785	0.95	0.00	11.25	0.01	0.00		
11-Jul-00 to 30-Sept-00	82	10	1,180,800	803	9.6	0.00	7.91	0.09	0.00		
01-Oct-00 to 31-Jan-01	123	10	1,771,200	747	1.8	0.00	11.04	0.03	0.00		
01-Feb-01 to 30-Jun-01	150	10	2,160,000	778	1.4	0.00	14.02	0.02	0.00		
01-Jul-01 to 31-Dec-01	184	10	2,649,600	887	1.2	0.00	19.61	0.03	0.00		
01-Jan-02 to 30-Jun-02	151	10	2,174,400	672	0.55	0.00	12.19	0.01	0.00		
01-July-02 to 31-Dec-02	183	10	2,635,200	1,025	0.85	0.00	22.54	0.02	0.00		
01-Jan-03 to 30-Jun-03	134	10	1,929,600	829	0.80	0.00	13.35	0.01	0.00		
01-July-03 to 31-Dec-03	184	10	2,649,600	883	1.2	0.00	19.51	0.03	0.00		
01-Jan-04 to 30-Jun-04	180	10	2,592,000	859	1.2	0.00	18.59	0.03	0.00		
01-July-04 to 31-Dec-04	155	10	2,232,000	1,260	1.3	0.00	23.47	0.02	0.00		
01-Jan-05 to 30-Jun-05	181	10	2,606,400	942	1.4	0.00	20.48	0.03	0.00		
01-July-05 to 31-Dec-05	152	10	2,188,800	970	1.3	0.00	17.72	0.02	0.00		
01-Jan-06 to 30-Jun-06	176	10	2,534,400	897	0.88	0.00	18.97	0.02	0.00		
01-July-06 to 31-Dec-06	184	10	2,649,600	865	0.43	0.00	19.13	0.01	0.00		
01-Jan-07 to 30-Jun-07	181	10	2,606,400	857	0.63	0.00	18.64	0.01	0.00		
01-July-07 to 31-Dec-07	183	10	2,635,200	623	1.5	0.00	13.70	0.03	0.00		
01-Jan-08 to 30-Jun-08	180	10	2,592,000	866	0.53	0.00	18.73	0.01	0.00		
01-July-08 to 31-Dec-08	177	10	2,548,800	866	0.53	0.00	18.41	0.01	0.00		
01-Jan-09 to 30-Jun-09	180	10	2,592,000	729	0.32	0.00	15.77	0.01	0.00		
01-July-09 to 31-Dec-09	180	10	2,592,000	805	0.95	0.00	17.42	0.02	0.00		
01-Jan-10 to 30-Jun-10	181	10	2,606,400	639	0.68	0.00	13.90	0.01	0.00		
01-July-10 to 31-Dec-10	181	10	2,606,400	754	0.33	0.00	16.40	0.01	0.00		
01-Jan-11 to 30-Jun-11	181	10	2,606,400	1,298	0.30	2.45	28.22	0.01	0.05		
01-July-11 to 31-Dec-11	184	10	2,649,600	980	0.50	1.18	21.67	0.01	0.03		
01-Jan-12 to 30-Jun-12	163	10	2,347,200	700	0.40	2.73	13.71	0.01	0.05		
01-July-12 to 31-Dec-12	183	10	2,635,200	830	1.1	1.56	18.25	0.02	0.03		
01-Jan-13 to 30-Jun-13	176	10	2,534,400	1,050	1.1	2.55	22.21	0.02	0.05		
01-July-13 to 31-Dec-13	184	10	2,649,600	970	1.2	0.28	21.45	0.03	0.01		
01-Jan-14 to 30-Jun-14	181	10	2,606,400	533	0.29	1.95	11.58	0.01	0.04		
01-July-14 to 31-Dec-14	183	10	2,635,200	563	0.20	0.26	12.37	0.00	0.01		
01-Jan-15 to 30-Jun-15	180	10	2,592,000	385	0.20	0.00	8.33	0.00	0.00		
01-Jul-15 to 31-Dec-15	183	10	2,635,200	490			10.78				
01-Jan-16 to 30-Jun-16	181	10	2,606,400	490			10.66				
01-Jul-16 to 31-Dec-16	183	10	2,635,200	350			7.70				
01-Jan-17 to 30-Jun-17	181	10	2,606,400	350			7.61				
01-Jul-17 to 31-Dec-17	183	10	2,635,200	350			7.70				
01-Jan-18 to 30-Jun-18	181	10	2,606,400	350			7.61				
01-Jul-18 to 31-Dec-18	184	10	2,649,600	370			8.18				
01-Jan-19 to 30-Jun-19	180	10	2,592,000	370			8.00				
01-Jul-19 to 31-Dec-19	184	10	2,649,600	290			6.41				
01-Jan-20 to 30-Jun-20	180	10	2,592,000	290			6.27				
Cumulative Amounts			143,172,000				789.11	2.05	0.27		
TOTALS			658,252,800				1,678.41	4.43	3.58		

J.H. Baxter Wood Treating Facility

Eugene, Oregon

Notes

¹ Concentrations are averages of detected values from quarterly analytical results or from semi-annual sampling analytical results

once quarterly sampling ended. For metals, the concentration is averageof the sum for each sampling event.

 2 Field duplicate values averaged with parent value before calculating the average concentration for the observation period.

 $^{\rm 3}\,$ No value assigned to concentrations below the method reporting limit.

 $^{\rm 4}\,$ Estimated mass calculated on the basis of corrected average concentrations.

⁵ Flow rate estimated based upon pump capacity

-- = data not available or not applicable.

 μ g/L = micrograms per liter.

gpm = gallons per minute.

PCP = pentachlorophenol.

PAHs = polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.

Metals = total arsenic, total chromium, total copper, and total zinc.

Figures





LEGEND

Eugene City Limits Major Roads Watercourses

FIGURE 1 Site Vicinity Map J.H. Baxter Wood Treating Facility Eugene, Oregon



nent Path: P:\Portland\302 - Baxter\GIS\Eugene\Project_mxds\2016_1h_Report\Figure1_Site_Vicinity_Map.mxd





Document Path: Y:\0302_Baxter\Source_Figures\Eugene\2020_Q2\Figure3_Shallow_Zone_Contours.mx





Document Path: Y:\0302_Baxter\Source_Figures\Eugene\2020_Q2\Figure4_Intermediate_Zone_Contours.mx





Document Path: Y:\0302_Baxter\Source_Figures\Eugene\2020_Q2\Figure5_Pentachlorophenol_in_Groundwater.mxd

FIGURE 5 Pentachlorophenol in Groundwater, First Half 2020 J.H. Baxter Wood Treating Facility Eugene, Oregon

LEGEND





- Facility Boundary
- ----- Union Pacific Railroad





Date: August 6, 2020 Data Sources: AMEC, OGIC, ESRI



Appendix A



ALG ORELAP ID #OR100012 361 West 5th Ave Eugene, OR 97401 TEL: (541) 485-8404 FAX: (541) 484-5995 Website:

Jeanne Olson J.H. Baxter & Co. 85 Baxter Street Eugene, OR 97402 TEL: (541) 689-3801 FAX:

RE: Groundwater Wells

Order No.: 2006478

Dear Jeanne Olson:

Analytical Laboratory Group received 6 sample(s) on 6/9/2020 for the analyses presented in the following report.

The analysis was performed according to our laboratory's NELAP/TNI-approved quality assurance program. Any exceptions to this quality assurance program are noted on the case narrative.

Testing methods used are sufficiently sensitive enough to meet the requirements that support client/permittee NPDES permits that we have on file. The client is responsible for reviewing reports. The permittee is responsible for meeting permit limits.

Quality control data is within laboratory defined or method specified acceptance limits except if noted on the case narrative.

If you have any questions regarding these tests results, please feel free to call.

Kimberly J. Keeven Morghan

Kimberly Reever Morghan Quality Manager 361 West 5th Ave Eugene, OR 97401



ALG ORELAP ID #OR100012 361 West 5th Ave Eugene, OR 97401 TEL: (541) 485-8404 FAX: (541) 484-5995 Website:

Case Narrative

WO#:	
Date:	

2006478

This report presents the results of the analyses of the sample(s) received on the date above and assigned the listed Analytical Laboratory Group Analytical Report numbers. Test results relate only to the parameters tested and to the samples as received by the laboratory.

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written consent of Analytical Laboratory Group, Inc.

All analyses were performed according to the Analytical Laboratory Group, Inc. Quality Assurance Program. All QA/QC requirements were met except as noted below.

Analytical comments are noted with qualifiers (see "Qual" column) or data flags on the reports and/or below.

Pentachlorophenol by EPA 8270C SIM was analyzed by Neilson Research Corporation, Medford OR; ORELAP ID# OR100016. No anomalies associated with the analysis of these sample(s) were reported except as noted in the NRC Case Narrative or qualified with data flags on the NRC report.



June 23, 2020

Cynthia O Kelley Analytical Laboratory Group, Inc. 361 West Fifth Avenue Eugene, OR 97401 TEL: (800) 262-5973 FAX (541) 484-5995

RE: 2006478

Order No.: 20060577

Dear Cynthia O Kelley:

Neilson Research Corporation received 6 sample(s) on 6/11/2020 for the analyses presented in the following report.

The results relate only to the parameters tested or to the sample as received by the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Neilson Research Corporation. If you have any questions regarding these test results, please feel free to call.

Sincerely, Neilson Research Corporation

Tama Stimedeman

Tamra Schmedemann Senior Project Manager 245 S Grape St Medford, OR 97501



Case Narrative

WO#:20060577Date:6/23/2020

CLIENT: Analytical Laboratory Group, Inc. **Project:** 2006478

The analyses were performed according to the guidelines in the Neilson Research Corporation Quality Assurance Program. This report contains analytical results for the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.

Neilson Research Corporation certifies that this report is in compliance with the requirements of NELAP. No unusual difficulties were experienced during analysis of this batch except as noted below or qualified with data flags on the reports.



Analytical Report

WO#: 20060577 Date Reported: 6/23/2020

Analyses	Method	NELAP	Result	DF	MDL	RL	Units	MCL	Date	Analys
Sample Location:	W-29									
Project:	2006478									
Client Sample ID	2006478-001A					Matrix	: AQUEC	DUS		
Lab ID:	20060577-01				Recei	ived Date	: 6/11/20	20 10:50:00	AM	
CLIENT:	Analytical Labor	ratory Grou	p, Inc.		Collec	tion Date	: 6/9/202	0 11:55:00 /	AM	

Status Analyzed Qual SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS BY EPA 8270C SIM Pentachlorophenol SW8270C А 5.27 0.0940 1.00 06/18/20 15:00 TJW 1 µg/L Surr: 2,4,6-SW8270C 109 1 0 %Rec 06/18/20 15:00 TJW 60 - 130

NELAP QUALIFIERS

C1

Tribromophenol

Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified at testcode MI Recovery outside comtrol limits due to Matrix Interference PL Permit Limit

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND

Original

NELAP Accredited. ORELAP 100016, OR-028 А



Analytical Report

 WO#:
 20060577

 Date Reported:
 6/23/2020

Analyzed

Analyses	Method	NELAP	Result	DF	MDL	RL	Units	MCL	Date	Analys
Sample Location:	W-24									
Project:	2006478									
Client Sample ID	2006478-002A					Matrix	AQUEC	DUS		
Lab ID:	20060577-02			Received Date: 6/11/2020 10:50:00 AM						
CLIENT:	Analytical Labo	ratory Grou	p, Inc.		Collection Date: 6/9/2020 1:25:00 PM				M	

Qual

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS BY EPA 8270C SIM

Status

Pentachlorophenol	SW8270C	А	26.4	1	0.0940	1.00	µg/L	06/18/20 15:29 TJW
Surr: 2,4,6-	SW8270C		108	1	0	60 - 130	%Rec	06/18/20 15:29 TJW
Tribromophenol								

NELAP QUALIFIERS

 C1
 Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified at testcode

 MI
 Recovery outside comtrol limits due to Matrix Interference

 PL
 Permit Limit

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceededND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Original

NELAP A Accredited. ORELAP 100016, OR-028



Analytical Report

WO#: 20060577 Date Reported: 6/23/2020

		Status	Qual						Analyze	b
Analyses	Method	NELAP	Result	DF	MDL	RL	Units	MCL	Date	Analyst
Sample Location:	W-25									
Project:	2006478									
Client Sample ID	2006478-003A					Matrix:	AQUEC	DUS		
Lab ID:	20060577-03				Recei	ved Date:	6/11/202	20 10:50:0	0 AM	
CLIENT:	Analytical Laboratory Group, Inc.				Collec	tion Date:	6/9/202	РМ		

Qual

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS BY EPA 8270C SIM

Pentachlorophenol	SW8270C	А	16.3	1	0.0940	1.00	µg/L	06/18/20 15:58 TJW
Surr: 2,4,6-	SW8270C		105	1	0	60 - 130	%Rec	06/18/20 15:58 TJW
Tribromophenol								

NELAP QUALIFIERS

C1 Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified at testcode Recovery outside comtrol limits due to Matrix Interference MI PL Permit Limit

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Н ND

Original

NELAP Accredited. ORELAP 100016, OR-028 А



Analytical Report

WO#: 20060577 Date Reported: 6/23/2020

		Status	Qual						Analyze	d
Analyses	Method	NELAP	Result	DF	MDL	RL	Units	MCL	Date	Analyst
Sample Location:	W-26									
Project:	2006478									
Client Sample ID	2006478-004A					Matrix:	AQUEC	DUS		
Lab ID:	20060577-04				Recei	ived Date:	6/11/202	20 10:50:0	0 AM	
CLIENT:	Analytical Labora	nalytical Laboratory Group, Inc.				tion Date:	6/9/2020	PM		
CI IENT.	A	to an Carry			Calles	tion Datas	6/0/202	0 4.15.00 T	14	

Qual

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS BY EPA 8270C SIM

Pentachlorophenol	SW8270C	А	33.6	1	0.0940	1.00	µg/L	06/18/20 16:27 TJW
Surr: 2,4,6-	SW8270C		119	1	0	60 - 130	%Rec	06/18/20 16:27 TJW
Tribromophenol								

NELAP QUALIFIERS

C1 Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified at testcode Recovery outside comtrol limits due to Matrix Interference MI PL Permit Limit

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Н ND

Original

NELAP Accredited. ORELAP 100016, OR-028 А



Analytical Report

 WO#:
 20060577

 Date Reported:
 6/23/2020

		Status	Qual						Analyze	b
Analyses	Method	NELAP	Result	DF	MDL	RL	Units	MCL	Date	Analyst
Sample Location:	Duplicate									
Project:	2006478									
Client Sample ID	2006478-005A					Matrix:	AQUEO	DUS		
Lab ID:	20060577-05				Rece	ived Date:	e: 6/11/2020 10:50:00 AM			
CLIENT:	Analytical Labora	nalytical Laboratory Group, Inc.			Collec	tion Date:	6/9/202	0 4:20:00 I	PM	

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS BY EPA 8270C SIM

Pentachlorophenol	SW8270C	А	31.6	1	0.0940	1.00	µg/L	06/18/20 16:56 TJW
Surr: 2,4,6-	SW8270C		100	1	0	60 - 130	%Rec	06/18/20 16:56 TJW
Tribromophenol								

NELAP QUALIFIERS

 C1
 Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified at testcode

 MI
 Recovery outside comtrol limits due to Matrix Interference

 PL
 Permit Limit

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceededND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Original

NELAP A Accredited. ORELAP 100016, OR-028



Analytical Report

WO#: 20060577 Date Reported: 6/23/2020

Analyzed

Analyses	Method	NELAP	Result	DF	MDL	RL	Units	MCL	Date	Analyst
Sample Location:	Equipment Blan	k								
Project:	2006478									
Client Sample ID	2006478-006A					Matrix	AQUEC	DUS		
Lab ID:	20060577-06			Received Date: 6/11/2020 10:50:00 AM					AM	
CLIENT:	Analytical Labor	ratory Grou	p, Inc.		Collection Date: 6/9/2020 4:30:00 PM				М	

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS BY EPA 8270C SIM

Status

Pentachlorophenol	SW8270C	А	ND	1	0.0940	1.00	µg/L	06/18/20 17:25 TJW
Surr: 2,4,6-	SW8270C		120	1	0	60 - 130	%Rec	06/18/20 17:25 TJW
Tribromophenol								

Qual

NELAP QUALIFIERS

C1

Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified at testcode Recovery outside comtrol limits due to Matrix Interference MI PL Permit Limit

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Н ND

Original

NELAP Accredited. ORELAP 100016, OR-028 А



Neilson Research Corporation 245 S Grape St Medford, OR 97501 TEL: (541) 770-5678 FAX: (541) 770-2901 Website: www.nrclabs.com

QC SUMMARY REPORT

WO#: 20060577

23-Jun-20

Client:Analytical LaborProject:2006478	atory Group, Inc.		TestCode: EPA8270_PENTA
Sample ID: MB-5125 Client ID: PBW	SampType: MBLK Batch ID: 5125	TestCode: EPA8270_PE Units: µg/L TestNo: SW8270C E3510C	Prep Date: 6/16/2020 RunNo: 12548 Analysis Date: 6/18/2020 SeqNo: 187785
Analyte	Result	PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Pentachlorophenol Surr: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol	ND 25.2	1.00 20.00	126 60 130
Sample ID: LCS-5125 Client ID: LCSW	SampType: LCS Batch ID: 5125	TestCode: EPA8270_PE Units: μg/L TestNo: SW8270C E3510C	Prep Date: 6/16/2020 RunNo: 12548 Analysis Date: 6/18/2020 SeqNo: 187786
Analyte	Result	PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Pentachlorophenol Surr: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol	10.9 22.1	1.00 10.00 0 20.00	109 70 130 110 60 130
Sample ID: 20060473-01AMS	SampType: MS	TestCode: EPA8270_PE Units: µg/L	Prep Date: 6/16/2020 RunNo: 12548
Analyte	Result	PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Pentachlorophenol Surr: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol	15.9 28.7	1.00 10.00 3.690 20.00	122 70 130 144 60 130 MI
Sample ID: 20060473-01AMSD	SampType: MSD	TestCode: EPA8270_PE Units: µg/L	Prep Date: 6/16/2020 RunNo: 12548
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch ID: 5125	TestNo: SW8270C E3510C	Analysis Date: 6/18/2020 SeqNo: 187789
Analyte	Result	PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Pentachlorophenol	16.8	1.00 10.00 3.690	131 70 130 15.90 5.62 25 MI
Qualifiers: C1 Sample container ND Not Detected at th	temperature is out of limit as specified at ne Reporting Limit	testcode H Holding times for preparation or analy PL Permit Limit	/sis exceeded MI Recovery outside comtrol limits due to Matrix In RL Reporting Detection Limit



QC SUMMARY REPORT

WO#: 20060577

23-Jun-20

Original

Client: Analytical Laboratory Group, Inc.

Project: 2006478

TestCode: EPA8270_PENTA

Sample ID: 20060473-01AMSD	SampType: MSD	TestCode: EPA8270_PE Units:	μg/L Prep Date: 6/16/2020	RunNo: 12548
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch ID: 5125	TestNo: SW8270C E3510	C Analysis Date: 6/18/2020	SeqNo: 187789
Analyte	Result	PQL SPK value SPK Ref V	al %REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val	%RPD RPDLimit Qual
Surr: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol	25.9	20.00	129 60 130	0 0

Qualifiers: C1 Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified at testcode

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

MI Recovery outside comtrol limits due to Matrix In

Reporting Detection Limit

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

PL Permit Limit

RL



Sample Log-In Check List

Clie	nt Name:	AnalyticalLab	Work Order Number	20060577		RcptNo: 1	
Log	ged by:	Vincenza Gill	6/11/2020 10:50:00 A	M	Vinenge Gill		
Con	npleted By:	Tamra Schmedemann	6/11/2020 4:02:35 PM	1	Tamna So	medeman	
Rev	iewed By:	Tamra Schmedemann	6/11/2020 4:02:38 PM	1	Tamna S	medeman	
<u>Cha</u>	nin of Cus	stody					
1.	Is Chain of	Custody complete?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Not Present	
2.	How was th	ne sample delivered?		<u>UPS</u>			
Loa	In						
3.	Coolers are	e present?		Yes 🖌	No 🗌		
4	Shipping co	ontainer/cooler in good conditi	on?	Yes 🗸	No 🗌		
ч.	Custody se	als intact on shipping contain	er/cooler?	Yes	No 🗌	Not Present	
	No.	Seal Date	:	Signed By:			
5.	Was an att	empt made to cool the sample	es?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌		
6.	Were all sa	amples received at a temperat	ure of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes 🖌	No 🗌		
7.	Sample(s)	in proper container(s)?		Yes 🖌	No 🗌		
8.	Sufficient s	ample volume for indicated te	st(s)?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌		
9	Are sample	es (except VOA and ONG) pro	perly preserved?	Yes 🔽	No 🗌		
10.	Was prese	rvative added to bottles?		Yes	No 🔽	NA 🗌	
11.	Is the head	space in the VOA vials less th	nan 1/4 inch or 6 mm?	Yes	No 🗌	No VOA Vials 🖌	
12.	Were any s	sample containers received br	oken?	Yes	No 🔽		
13.	Does pape (Note discr	rwork match bottle labels? epancies on chain of custody)		Yes 🖌	No 🗌		
14	Are matrice	es correctly identified on Chair	n of Custody?	Yes 🔽	No 🗌		
15	ls it clear w	hat analyses were requested	?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌		
16.	Were all ho	blding times able to be met?		Yes 🖌	No 🗌		
Sno	(ii no, notin) ocial Hand						
<u>spe</u>	Was client	notified of all discrepancies w	ith this order?				
17.							
	Perso	n Notified:	Date				
	By WI	hom:	Via:	eMail P	hone 🗌 Fax	In Person	
	Regar	rding:					
	Client	Instructions:					
18.	Additional r	remarks:					

Cooler Information

Cooler No	Temp ^o C	Condition	Seal Intact	Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By
1	3.4	Good				DLN

				Lab Order	Numbe	r 800	1005	577	5
	Analytica ³ E 800-262-5 En	I Laborato 61 WEST FIFTH EUGENE, OREGO 973/541-485-8404 nail: alglabs@algl CHA	AVENUE ON 97401 Fax 541-484-59 absinc.com	, Inc. ⁹⁵ CUST(AL CAL LABORATON	. G ay group	Delivering ma just test resul	ina than Is
Attention	Cynthia O'Kell	еу		Client:	Ana	lytical Lab	oratory G	roup, Inc	
Phone:	541-485-8404			Address:	361	West 5th /	Avenue		
Fax:	541-484-5995				Eug	ene, OR 9	7401		
Client Project:	Neilson Resea	rch		Source:	Envir	onmental	ALG PO#	200610-0	02
Lab ID	ALG Sample ID	ALG Sample Point	Sample Matrix 8 Description Grab/Comp	Collec	tion Time	Bottles		Analysis Requested	
01	2006478-001A	W-29	EW/Grab	6/9/20	1155	(3) 8270C	Pentach	oropheno	by EPA
02	2006478-002A	W-24	EW/Grab	6/9/20	1325	(3) 8270C	Pentachl 8	oropheno 270C SIM	by EPA
03	2006478-003A	W-25	EW/Grab	6/9/20	1445	(3) 8270C	Pentach 8	oropheno 3270C SIM	by EPA
04	2006478-004A	W-26	EW/Grab	6/9/20	1615	(3) 8270C	Pentach	loropheno 3270C SIM	by EPA
05	2006478-005A	Duplicate	EW/Grab	6/9/20	1620	(3) 8270C	Pentach	loropheno 3270C SIM ³	by EPA
010	2006478-006A	Equipment Bank	EW/Grab	6/9/20	1630	(3) 8270C	Pentachi 8	loropheno 3270C SIM [,]	l by EPA
Notes: Please F Include: *MDL = (Return Shipper MDL 0.65 ug/L								
Turn Arou	und Time Reques	ted:	Normal	Shipped	Via:	MPS		Refrig YES	erated
COC and	PO made by: Joshua j	DiCarlo	Date Time 6/10/20 12:21	Received	l by:			Date	Time
Relinquis	hed by:		Date Time	Received	by:			Date	Time
Relinquis	hed by:		Date Time	Received	by Lat	ooratory:	il)	Date	Time



Data Flags WO#: 20060577

Date: 6/23/2020

B Analyte detected in the associated method blank.

- BA BOD Alternative Calculation: The initial results performed by Standard Methods did not fall within parameters of the Standard Methods calculation. An alternate approved calculation was performed using the HACH method and the value reported is an estimated concentration.
- C Sample(s) does not meet NELAP/ORELAP sample acceptance criteria. See Case Narrative.
- C1 Sample(s) does not meet NELAP/ORELAP sample acceptance criteria for temperature.
- CF Results confirmed by re-analysis.
- CU Cleanup performed as specified by method.
- D1 The diesel elution pattern for the sample is not typical.
- D2 The sample appears to be a heavier hydrocarbon range than diesel.
- D3 The sample appears to be a lighter hydrocarbon range than diesel.
- D4 Detected hydrocarbons do not have pattern and range consistent with typical petroleum products and may be due to biogenic interference.
- D5 Detected hydrocarbons in the diesel range appear to be weathered diesel.
- E Estimated value.

ER Elevated reporting limit due to matrix. Report limits (MDLs, MRLs & PQLs) are adjusted based on variations in sample preparation amounts, analytical dilutions, and percent solids, where applicable.

- FC Fecal Coliforms: Sample(s) received past 40 CFR Part 136 specified holding time. Results reported as estimated values.
- G1 The gasoline elution pattern for the sample is not typical.
- G2 The sample appears to be a heavier hydrocarbon range than gasoline.
- G3 The sample appears to be a lighter hydrocarbon range than gasoline.
- G4 Detected hydrocarbons in the gasoline range appear to be weathered gasoline.
- HP Sample re-analysis performed outside of method specified holding time.
- HR Sample received outside of method specified holding time.
- HS Sample analyzed for volatile organics contained headspace.
- HT \Box At the client's request, the sample was analyzed outside of method specified holding time.
- H Analysis performed outside of method specified holding time.
- J Analyte detected below the Minimum Reporting Limit (MRL) and above the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The J flag result is an estimated value and the user should be aware that this data is of limited reliability.
- L Dissolved metals were not filtered within 15 minutes of collection per 40 CFR Part 136.
- MI Surrogate, Duplicate Sample (DUP) or Matrix Spikes recoveries are out of control limits due to matrix interference. Sample results may be biased.
- N See Case Narrative on page 2 of report.
- NLR No Legionella Recovered.
- PLR Presence of Legionella Recovered.
- Q Initial calibration verification (ICV), continuing calibration verification (CCV) or laboratory control sample (LCS) exceeded high recovery limits, but associated samples are non-detect and the sample results are not affected. Data meets EPA/NELAP requirements.
- R Relative percent difference (RPD) is outside of the accepted recovery limits.
- R1 Relative percent difference (RPD) is outside of the accepted recovery limits. However, analyses are not controlled on RPD values for sample concentrations that are less than the reporting limit.
- R3 The relative percent difference (RPD) and/or percent recovery for the duplicate (DUP) or matrix spike (MS)/matrix spike duplicate (MSD) cannot be accurately calculated due to the concentration of analyte already present in the sample.
- R4 Duplicate analysis failed due to result being at or near the method reporting limit.
- S Surrogate and/or matrix spike recovery is outside of the accepted recovery limits. Sample results may be biased.
- S1 Surrogate or matrix spike recovery is outside of control limits due to dilution necessary for analysis.
- SC Sub-contracted to another laboratory for analysis.
- SP Sample(s) were not collected per EPA Method 5035A protocols. The results are considered minimum values.
- # Value exceeds regulatory level for TCLP contaminant.
- X1 The motor oil elution pattern for the sample is not typical.
- X2 The sample appears to be a heavier hydrocarbon range than motor oil.
- X3 The sample appears to be a lighter hydrocarbon range than motor oil.
- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level or is outside the acceptable range.

Work Order # 200 6 47 4



361 WEST FIFTH AVENUE EUGENE, OREGON 97401 Phone: 541-485-8404 Fax: 541-484-5995 Email: alglabs@alglabsinc.com

LIMS:	NEV
Checked:	ĊO

EW GENERAL CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Report to: Jeanne Olse	on		Company	: J.H. Baxt	er & Co.						
Phone: 541-689-380	01		Address:	85 Baxter	r Street					-	
Email: jolson@jhb	axter.com		City, State, Zip:	Eugene,	OR 97402						
Client			Sampler I	Name:							
Project: Groundwate	er wells		651								
O a sura la Da int	Sample Matrix	Coll	ection	Analysia	Poguastad		Bott	les -Lab Us	se Only	,	
Sample Point	& Grab/Comp	Date	Time	Analysis	Requested	Туре	#	Pres	T °C	Lab) ID
W-29	EW/Grab	6/2/2020	1155	Penta	8270C LL	8270C	3		9.9	00	IA
W-24	EW/Grab		1325	Penta	8270CUL	8270C	3		10.6 8.4 8.7	00	24
H-25	EW/Grab		1445	Penta	8270C LL	8270C	3		10.7	00	3A
W-26	EW/Grab		1615	Penta	8270CU	8270C	3		11.5 132.0	00	UA
Didicate	EW/Grab		1620	Penta	8270C LL	8270C	3	-	12,8	00	55A
Freeignant Blank	EW/Grab	V	1630	Penta	8270C LL	8270C	3		16.1	00	56A
0											-
		h 11						(2, 1)			
Notes:						Preserv	atic	on Cheo	ck		
					Lab ID	Date/Time	Pre-l	Preserved	p	Н	Tech
INCLUDE 4 LITER AMBER BUT	TLES FILLED WITH	DIWATER							-	-	
MDL= 0.65 ug/L							-				
							-		_		
							-			-	
Turn Around Time Requ	ested (Rush ind	urs a Suro	charge):	Shipped V	ia:			R	efrig	erated	
	AL	RUSH	7.2.1	Ren	IPP FO	wler		Tce)	No	ne
Relinguished by:		Date	Time	Received I	by:			Date	e	Tir	ne
NeverFour		49/20	1655								
Relinquished by:		Date	Time	Received I	by:			Date	e	Tir	ne
					hard and the			D-1			
Relinquished by:		Date	Time	Received	by Laborator	ту: л Л		Date	Ð	1.	ne
				ani	this (Kell	in	Pagerto	214	16:	53
						/	/				

Page _____ of _____

Appendix B

Groundwater Sampling Field Log JH Baxter Eugene, Oregon

	-								Date: 692020
Spring 2	2020								Well ID: 60-24
Total Depth: (ft)	65	5	9.92 (-) DTW: (ft)	ť	236 Time	=	(x) 0.16 - 2 (x) 0.65 - 4 (x) 1.47 - 6	2" 4" 5"	35.8 gd = Well Casing Volume
Field C	ondition	is: (L	adu.	OF				gal/icct	
Decont	taminati	on: Alco	onox + tap w	ash; Taj	p rinse;	DI rinse			
	-			Pl	JRGE IN	IFORM/	ATION		
_	Purge I	Method:	: GeoPump I	l perista	altic				
X	Purge I	Method:	: Sub ner	sible	pmp	b livens	trl)		
N	Refer t	o calibra	ation log this	s date, Y	/SI # [-	Section and a section of the
² ump S	Suction	Depth (f	t BTOC): ~	60	-	-		Purge w	vater disposal:
lype o	f Flow T	hrough (Cell:		10 oz cu	qu	X	YSI 556 FI	low Through Cell
Time	Purge Volume	Temp.	SC	DO	DH	ORP	Purge Rate	DTW	le danskomerika ind
	(gallons)	(°C)	(uS/cm)	(mg/L)	pii	(mV)	(mL/min)	(ft BTOC)	Pump Speed/*Clarity/ Color/Remarks (NTU)
Stabilizat	ion Criteria	± 0.2	±3% (SC>100) ±5% (SC≤100)	± 0.3	±0.1	± 10			± 10% (NTU>5) 3 readings < 5 (NTU<5)
2:40	Pump Or	n, Water R	Reaches the Pu	rge Buck	et		7250	9,92	lear, wartes
2:45	4	14.13	553	2.10	6.75	228.8		10.47	WORESS, INTU
2.55	N9.4	14.11	526	1.90	6.92	209.0		10.51	colorless, 2NOU
3:00	12.5	14.11	509	1.96	6.93	203.1		10.51	whoreas, into
3:10	18.5	14.10	498	2.04	6.94	1925		10.51	caloress, INTU
3:15	21.5	14.10	491	1.98	6.95	168.6		10.51	Coloness ONTU
3:20	25	14.11	492	1.87	6.95	185.9		10.57	COLORESS, ONTO
3:25	28	14.10	489	1.86	6.95	173.2		10.51	cauness, ONTU
:							1		
:									
:									
3.25	Start Sam	npling							
:	End Sam	oling						-	

VC=Very cloudy Cl=Cloudy SC=Slightly Cloudy VSC=Very Slightly Cloudy AC=Almost Clear CC=Crystal Clear

Laboratory Analytical Program JH Baxter Eugene, Oregon

and that the children of the	(A) B	dedicated purge other:	e tube disconnecto	ed from flow t	hrough cell
Sample I.D. W-24	Number of sample containers _(circle)	Volume of each container	Container Type	Pres.	Analytical Method
	32	1L	Amber Glass	4°C	Phenols - 8270C LL
	-				
	1				
QAQC: Sample ID & Time>					
Equipment Check	in the second second				
Equipment Check <u>Duplicate</u> Sampling Criteria (circle one):					Q
Equipment Check <u>Duplicate</u> Sampling Criteria (circle one): Collect anytime: stabile parame	ters over 15 m	ninutes(4 reading	gs) with controlled	drawdown	
Equipment Check <u>Duplicate</u> Sampling Criteria (circle one): Collect anytime: stabile parame After 3 well casing volumes: stal After 5 well casing volumes: uns	ters over 15 m bile parameter stabile parame	inutes(4 reading rs but uncontroll ters with or with	gs) with controlled led/falling water le nout drawdown co	drawdown evel ontrol	(1) 2 3
Equipment Check <u>Duplicate</u> Sampling Criteria (circle one): Collect anytime: stabile parame After 3 well casing volumes: stal After 5 well casing volumes: uns Pump dry: return anytime if the	ters over 15 m bile parameter stabile parame re is adequate	ninutes(4 reading rs but uncontroll sters with or with volume for con	gs) with controlled led/falling water lo nout drawdown co tainers within 24 l	drawdown evel ontrol nours	(1) 2 3 4
Equipment Check <u>Duplicate</u> Sampling Criteria (circle one): Collect anytime: stabile parame After 3 well casing volumes: stal After 5 well casing volumes: uns Pump dry: return anytime if the Comments:	ters over 15 m bile parameter stabile parame re is adequate	ninutes(4 reading rs but uncontroll eters with or with volume for cont	gs) with controlled led/falling water le nout drawdown co tainers within 24 l	drawdown evel ontrol nours	1 2 3 4
Equipment Check <u>Duplicate</u> Sampling Criteria (circle one): Collect anytime: stabile parame After 3 well casing volumes: sta After 5 well casing volumes: uns Pump dry: return anytime if the Comments:	ters over 15 m bile parameter stabile parame re is adequate	ninutes(4 reading rs but uncontroll eters with or with volume for cont	gs) with controlled led/falling water le nout drawdown co tainers within 24 l	drawdown evel ontrol nours	1 2 3 4
Equipment Check <u>Duplicate</u> Sampling Criteria (circle one): Collect anytime: stabile parame After 3 well casing volumes: sta After 5 well casing volumes: uns Pump dry: return anytime if the Comments:	ters over 15 m bile parameter stabile parame re is adequate	ninutes(4 reading rs but uncontroll eters with or with e volume for cont	gs) with controlled led/falling water le nout drawdown co tainers within 24 l	drawdown evel ontrol nours	1 2 3 4
Equipment Check <u>Duplicate</u> Sampling Criteria (circle one): Collect anytime: stabile parame After 3 well casing volumes: sta After 5 well casing volumes: uns Pump dry: return anytime if the Comments:	ters over 15 m bile parameter stabile parame re is adequate	ninutes(4 reading rs but uncontroll eters with or with e volume for con	gs) with controlled led/falling water le nout drawdown co tainers within 24 l	drawdown evel ontrol nours	1 2 3 4

Groundwater Sampling Field Log JH Baxter Eugene, Oregon

1-1-

									Date: 6 912020	
Spring	2020								Well ID: 1-25	
Total Depth (ft)	: 52	-	8.14 (-) DTW: (ft)	1352 Time	_	(x) 0.16 - (x) 0.65 - (x) 1.47 -	2" 4" 6"	28.5 = Well Casing Volume	
Field (Condition	ns: MUS	sting, 65	T.F.			L	gal/leet	- Weil casing volume	
Decon	taminat	ion: Alco	onox + tap w	/ash; Ta	p rinse;	DI rinse	2		ar an	
-	-	24		Р	URGE II	VFORM	ATION			1
	Purge	Method	: GeoPump	ll perist	altic					1
X	Purge	Method	: SUDNUR	5ble			4.1			1
X	Refer t	o calibra	ation log this	s date, `	YSI #					1
Pump	Suction	Depth (f	t btoc): 🗸	45				Purge w	vater disposal:	1
Type o	f Flow T	hrough	Cell:		10 oz c	up	X	YSI 556 F	low Through Cell	
Comm	ents/Exc	ceptions	to SAP:			1				
								-		1
										1
Time	Purge Volume (gallons)	Temp. (°C)	SC (uS/cm)	DO (mg/L)	рН	ORP (mV)	Purge Rate (mL/min)	DTW (ft BTOC)	Pump Speed/*Clarity/ Color/Remarks (NTU)	1
Stabilizat	tion Criteria	± 0.2	±3% (SC>100) ±5% (SC≤100)	± 0.3	± 0.1	± 10	-	344	± 10% (NTU>5) 3 readings < 5 (NTU<5)	1
3.90	Pump Or	n, Water R	leaches the Pu	rge Buck	et		2700	BILZ	clear, I rate to 3600	mili
4:15	15	博88	610	1.88	6.96	177.4	1	8.45	CADADD INTO	
4:20	20	14.82	609	1:64	6.95	170.9	3200	8.42	CODYLEM INTU	
4:25	~24.B	14.82	608	1.57	6.95	1629		8.42	interfers INTU	1
4:30	-21.25	14.81	609	1.40	6.95	150.9	1900	8.32	(SLOALIN INTU)	1
1:35	30	14.61	611	1.49	6.95	148.3		8.37	(Autora 1 att)	1
4:40	32.75	14.80	611	1.44	6.95	146.0		B.32	(BUDGING LATT)	
4:45	35.5	14.81	613	1.45	6.95	141 9		8 37	COLO COD COD CODO	
1						* 11* 7		0.00	comessi o pie	
4.45	Start Sam	unling						1		
1.1.1	End Same	ling								
	Linu Samp	ning						1		

* VC=Very cloudy Cl=Cloudy SC=Slightly Cloudy VSC=Very Slightly Cloudy AC=Almost Clear C=Clear CC=Crystal Clear

Laboratory Analytical Program JH Baxter Eugene, Oregon

N-25	sample containers (circle)	Volume of each container	Container Type	Pres.	Analytical Method
	37	1 L	Amber Glass	4°C	Phenols - 8270C LL
	0				
		1			
		()			
	-				
	11				
	-				
	-				
	-			1	
AQC: Sample ID & Time>					
quipment Check					
Duplicate	1				
ampling Criteria (circle one):				1.1	A
Collect anytime: stabile parame	eters over 15 n	inutes(4 reading	gs) with controlled		2
After 3 well casing volumes: sta	abile paramete	rs but uncontrol	led/falling water i	ever	2
After 5 well casing volumes: un	istabile parame	eters with or wit	nout drawdown c	ontrol	3
Pump dry: return anytime if th	ere is adequate	e volume for con	tainers within 24	nours	4
Comments:					

Groundwater Sampling Field Log JH Baxter Eugene, Oregon

									Date: 692020
Spring	2020								Well ID: FT29-W-
Total Depth (ft)	:: 7ª	7	8.(0		1515 Time	=	(x) 0.16 - (x) 0.65 - (x) 1.47 -	2" 4" 6" gal/feet	ー = Well Casing Volume
Field (Condition	ns: W	oudy, 11	uism	151	70'F		Builliout	
Decon	taminat	ion: Alco	nox + tap w	ash; Ta	p rinse;	DI rinse	9		
_	-			P	JRGE IN	IFORM/	ATION		÷
	Purge	Method:	GeoPump	l perista	altic		-		
X	Purge	Method:	SUDNer	Sille	Quino	Cren	tal)		
X	Refer t	o calibra	tion log this	s date, \	/SI # (
Pump	Suction	Depth (f	t BTOC): 🗠	175				Purge w	vater disposal:
уре о	of Flow T	hrough (Cell:		10 oz cu	qu	X	YSI 556 F	low Through Cell
								a.	
Time	Purge Volume (gallons)	Temp. (°C)	SC (uS/cm)	DO (mg/L)	рН	ORP (mV)	Purge Rate (mL/min)	DTW (ft BTOC)	Pump Speed/*Clarity/ Color/Remarks (NTU)
Stabilizat	tion Criteria	± 0.2	±3% (SC>100) ±5% (SC≤100)	± 0.3	± 0.1	± 10	-		± 10% (NTU>5) 3 readings < 5 (NTU<5)
>:25	Pump Or	n, Water R	eaches the Pu	rge Buck	et		4200	8.10	brain, vsc
5:44	20	14.67	401	1.85	7.14	179.3		8.32	US gray, SNTU
5:49	31.5	14.66	401	1.24	7.15	nis		8.32	MM, SNTU
5.54	30.5	14.63	401	1.71	7.16	165.0	3280	8.32	" " SNTO
5.59	40	14.62	402	1.67	7.16	159.0	2250	8.32	Clear, BNAU
0.04	435	14.63	402	1.68	7.16	1570		B.32	clear, le NOV
0:09	45.5	14.62	401	1.67	2.16	156.1		8.32	clear, SNTU
0:14	48.5	14.62	401	1.67	7.16	1528		6.32	llear, 7 NTU
			1						
:					1.11				
:			final t						
1:15	Start Sam	pling							
:	End Samp	oling							

* VC=Very cloudy CI=Cloudy SC=Slightly Cloudy VSC=Very Slightly Cloudy AC=Almost Clear C=Clear CC=Crystal Clear

Laboratory Analytical Program JH Baxter Eugene, Oregon

Date: 6/9 /2020	Time:	0.15	t I a Paraman	- 1 6 61 1	krough coll
Sampling Method (circle one):	B	other:	e tube disconnect	ea from now t	nrough cen
Sample I.D. 14-29 N-26	Number of sample containers (circle)	Volume of each container	Container Type	Pres.	Analytical Method
	37	1L	Amber Glass	4°C	Phenols - 8270C LL
		5- 5-			
	1				
4	-				
QAQC: Sample ID & Time>	Dup	cicate C	1620		
Equipment Check Duplicate	Ege	ipment	Blank C 1	630 4	, outside of punt
Sampling Criteria (circle one):	are over 1E m	inutor/A roading	as) with controller	drawdown	(1)
After 3 well casing volumes: stab	oile paramete	rs but uncontrol	led/falling water l	evel	2
After 5 well casing volumes: unsi	tabile parame	ters with or witl	hout drawdown c	ontrol	3
Pump dry: return anytime if ther	e is adequate	volume for con	tainers within 24	hours	4
Comments:			- C		
COC Data: PM is Josh Bale, 55 S	W Yamhill St,	Portland 97204;	971.200.8511; rfd	owler@gsiws.c	com
Lab: ALG, 361 West Fifth Ave, Eu	igene, OR 974	101; 541.485.840	04		×
Lab PM: Jason Inman, Jasonl@a	Iglabsinc.com	, 541.954.2317 ((C)		

Groundwater Sampling Field Log JH Baxter Eugene, Oregon

				Lugen	e, oreg	UII		Date: 6/9/2020
020								Well ID: 11-29
74		フ, Iフ (-) DTW: (ft)	11	۱۱ Time	=	(x) 0.16 - 2 (x) 0.65 - 4 (x) 1.47 - 6	gal/feet	43.5gal = Well Casing Volume
ondition	s: ala	dy, winde	1 100	rph Ni	3,62	P	07	
aminatio	on: Alco	nox + tap w	ash; Taj	o rinse;	DI rinse	L.		
-			PL	JRGE IN	IFORMA	TION		
Purge N	Aethod:	GeoPump I	l perista	altic	_			
Purge N	Aethod:	Submer	sible	onpo	mpl	vental)	
Refer to	o calibra	tion log this	date, Y	si# (-	/	
Suction [Depth (ft	: BTOC): ~	0	_			Purge w	vater disposal:
f Flow Th	nrough (Cell:	1.1	10 oz cu	р	X	YSI 556 FI	ow Through Cell
Purge Volume	Temp.	SC (us (cm)	DO	рН	ORP	Purge Rate	DTW	Pumn Sneed /* Clarity/ Color/Remarks
(gallons)	(-C)	(us/cm)	(mg/L)		(mV)	(mL/min)	(ft BTOC)	(NTU)
ion Criteria	± 0.2	±3% (SC>100) ±5% (SC≤100)	± 0.3	± 0.1	± 10			± 10% (NTU>5) 3 readings < 5 (NTU<5)
Pump On	, Water R	eaches the Pu	rge Buck	et	5 •	6000	Initial 7.17	clear, cal YSI
25							7.60	MODE WOODLIDING
31.5	15.53	471	1.93	6.95	227.B	3500	7,53	CLOOP. INTU
365	15.53	471	1.56	7.05	220.9		7.53	clear, INTU
40:75	15.52	471	1.49	7.07	217.2		7.53	ILLONTO
45.2	15.53	471	1.50	7.07	215.2		7.53	CLARC, ONTU
50	15.54	472	1.46	7.07	213.0	1	7.53	iller, INTU
1								
						1		
Start Sam	npling		_					
End Sam	oling							
	020 74 ondition amination Purge N Purge N Purge N Refer to Suction I Flow Th ents/Exc Purge Volume (gallons) ion Criteria Pump On 25 3(.5 40:75 45.2 50 45.2 50 45.2 50 Start Sam End Sam	74 onditions: 0 00000000000000000000000000000000000	020747,17 (-) DTW: (ft)onditions:Conditions:Conditions:Conditions:Conditions:Conditions:Purge Method:GeoPump IPurge Method:Sub MarceRefer to calibration log thisSuction Depth (ft BTOC):Suction Depth (ft BTOC):Flow Through Cell:ents/Exceptions to SAP:Purge Volume (gallons)(°C)(uS/cm)ion Criteria ± 0.2 $\pm 3%$ (SC>100) $\pm 5%$ (SC≤100)Pump On, Water Reaches the Pu253(.5)15.534713015.534715015.5447215.534715015.5447215.534715015.5447215.534715015.5447215.534715015.5447215.5447215.5447215.544715015.544715015.5447215.55471573574574575575575575575575575575575575575575 </td <td>74 $7,17$ II (-) DTW: (ft) onditions: (Lowy, windy) (Dimestrian camination: Alconox + tap wash; Tap Purge Method: GeoPump II peristra Purge Method: SUDMESIVE Refer to calibration log this date, Y Suction Depth (ft BTOC): 00 Flow Through Cell: 1 ents/Exceptions to SAP: $Volume$ $(^{\circ}C)$ (gallons) $(^{\circ}C)$ $(^{\circ}C)$ $\pm 3\%$ (SC>100) ion Criteria ± 0.2 $\pm 3\%$ (SC>100) ± 0.3 Pump On, Water Reaches the Purge Buck 25 $3(.5)$ 15.55 471 1.93 $\frac{3}{4}$ (S) 15.53 471 1.93 $\frac{3}{4}$ (S) 15.53 471 1.94 40.75 15.53 471 1.93 $\frac{3}{5}$ (S) 15.54 472 1.46 30 15.54 472 1.46 30 15.54 472 1.46 30 15.54 472 1.46</td> <td>74 7,17 IIII (-) DTW: (ft) Time onditions: (1) DTW: (ft) (1) Max Purge Method: GeoPump II peristaltic Purge Method: SUDMEDIAL 000 Refer to calibration log this date, YSI # 10 oz cu Suction Depth (ft BTOC): 00 pH Suction Depth (ft BTOC): 00 pH ion Criteria ± 0.2 ±3% (SC>100) ± 0.3 ± 0.1 Pump On, Water Reaches the Purge Bucket 25 10 oz cu 3(.5 15.53 471 1.93 6.95 3(.5 15.53 471 1.93 6.95 3(.5 15.53 471 1.93 10 40.75 15.53 471 1.93 0.95 10 10 10</td> <td>020747,17111III(-) DTW: (ft)Time=conditions: (larby, windly (Depart, NW, 62)camination: Alconox + tap wash; Tap rinse; DI rinsePURGE INFORMAPurge Method: GeoPump II peristalticPurge Method: GeoPump II peristalticPurge Method: GeoPump II peristalticPurge Method: SUDMEGNUE on Domain (Refer to calibration log this date, YS) # (Soction Depth (ft BTOC): ~70f Flow Through Cell:10 oz cupents/Exceptions to SAP:volume (gallons)(°C)(uS/cm)(mg/L)purge Nump On, Water Reaches the Purge Bucket25253(S 15554711.936.9527.0540.75(S554711.936.95227.83(S 15554711.907.07215.29015.544711.909015.544711.909015.544711.909015.544711.909015.544721.469015.549015.549015.549015.549015.549015.549015.549015.549015.549015.549015.549015.549015.549015.549010<td>74 7,17 11 11 (*) 0.16 - 2 1.10 (-) DTW: (ft) Time = (*) 0.16 - 2 conditions: (1) DTW: (ft) Time = (*) 0.16 - 2 conditions: (1) DTW: (ft) Time = (*) 0.16 - 2 conditions: (1) DTW: (ft) Time = (*) 0.16 - 2 conditions: (1) DTW: (ft) Time = (*) 0.16 - 2 camination: Alconox + tap wash; Tap rinse; DI rinse Purge - purge Method: GeoPump II peristaltic . . Purge Method: GeoPump II peristaltic . . Purge Method: SUPMCS: WL </td><td>74 7,17 III IIII IIIIII IIIIIII IIIIIIII IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII</td></td>	74 $7,17$ II (-) DTW: (ft) onditions: (Lowy, windy) (Dimestrian camination: Alconox + tap wash; Tap Purge Method: GeoPump II peristra Purge Method: SUDMESIVE Refer to calibration log this date, Y Suction Depth (ft BTOC): 00 Flow Through Cell: 1 ents/Exceptions to SAP: $Volume$ $(^{\circ}C)$ (gallons) $(^{\circ}C)$ $(^{\circ}C)$ $\pm 3\%$ (SC>100) ion Criteria ± 0.2 $\pm 3\%$ (SC>100) ± 0.3 Pump On, Water Reaches the Purge Buck 25 $3(.5)$ 15.55 471 1.93 $\frac{3}{4}$ (S) 15.53 471 1.93 $\frac{3}{4}$ (S) 15.53 471 1.94 40.75 15.53 471 1.93 $\frac{3}{5}$ (S) 15.54 472 1.46 30 15.54 472 1.46 30 15.54 472 1.46 30 15.54 472 1.46	74 7,17 IIII (-) DTW: (ft) Time onditions: (1) DTW: (ft) (1) Max Purge Method: GeoPump II peristaltic Purge Method: SUDMEDIAL 000 Refer to calibration log this date, YSI # 10 oz cu Suction Depth (ft BTOC): 00 pH Suction Depth (ft BTOC): 00 pH ion Criteria ± 0.2 ±3% (SC>100) ± 0.3 ± 0.1 Pump On, Water Reaches the Purge Bucket 25 10 oz cu 3(.5 15.53 471 1.93 6.95 3(.5 15.53 471 1.93 6.95 3(.5 15.53 471 1.93 10 40.75 15.53 471 1.93 0.95 10 10 10	020747,17111III(-) DTW: (ft)Time=conditions: (larby, windly (Depart, NW, 62)camination: Alconox + tap wash; Tap rinse; DI rinsePURGE INFORMAPurge Method: GeoPump II peristalticPurge Method: GeoPump II peristalticPurge Method: GeoPump II peristalticPurge Method: SUDMEGNUE on Domain (Refer to calibration log this date, YS) # (Soction Depth (ft BTOC): ~70f Flow Through Cell:10 oz cupents/Exceptions to SAP:volume (gallons)(°C)(uS/cm)(mg/L)purge Nump On, Water Reaches the Purge Bucket25253(S 15554711.936.9527.0540.75(S554711.936.95227.83(S 15554711.907.07215.29015.544711.909015.544711.909015.544711.909015.544711.909015.544721.469015.549015.549015.549015.549015.549015.549015.549015.549015.549015.549015.549015.549015.549015.549010 <td>74 7,17 11 11 (*) 0.16 - 2 1.10 (-) DTW: (ft) Time = (*) 0.16 - 2 conditions: (1) DTW: (ft) Time = (*) 0.16 - 2 conditions: (1) DTW: (ft) Time = (*) 0.16 - 2 conditions: (1) DTW: (ft) Time = (*) 0.16 - 2 conditions: (1) DTW: (ft) Time = (*) 0.16 - 2 camination: Alconox + tap wash; Tap rinse; DI rinse Purge - purge Method: GeoPump II peristaltic . . Purge Method: GeoPump II peristaltic . . Purge Method: SUPMCS: WL </td> <td>74 7,17 III IIII IIIIII IIIIIII IIIIIIII IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII</td>	74 7,17 11 11 (*) 0.16 - 2 1.10 (-) DTW: (ft) Time = (*) 0.16 - 2 conditions: (1) DTW: (ft) Time = (*) 0.16 - 2 conditions: (1) DTW: (ft) Time = (*) 0.16 - 2 conditions: (1) DTW: (ft) Time = (*) 0.16 - 2 conditions: (1) DTW: (ft) Time = (*) 0.16 - 2 camination: Alconox + tap wash; Tap rinse; DI rinse Purge - purge Method: GeoPump II peristaltic . . Purge Method: GeoPump II peristaltic . . Purge Method: SUPMCS: WL	74 7,17 III IIII IIIIII IIIIIII IIIIIIII IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII

* VC=Very cloudy CI=Cloudy SC=Slightly Cloudy VSC=Very Slightly Cloudy AC=Almost Clear C=Clear CC=Crystal Clear

Laboratory Analytical Program JH Baxter Eugene, Oregon

Date: 6/9/2020 iampling Method (circle one):	Time: 11	: 55 dedicated purge other:	e tube disconnect	ed from flow t	hrough cell
Sample I.D. W-29	Number of sample containers (circle)	Volume of each container	Container Type	Pres.	Analytical Method
	32	1L	Amber Glass	4°C	Phenols - 8270C LL
	1				
				1	-
	+				
QAQC: Sample ID & Time>				-	
Equipment Check					
Sampling Criteria (circle one):					~
Collect anytime: stabile paramet	ers over 15 m	inutes(4 reading	s) with controlled	drawdown	(1)
After 3 well casing volumes: stat	oile parameter	's but uncontroll	ed/falling water le	evel	2
After 5 well casing volumes: uns	tabile paramet	ters with or with	iout drawdown co	ontrol	3
Pump dry: return anytime ir thei	e is adequate	volume for com	alhers within 24 m	lours	4
Comments:					
COOD . DAL' L L D L FF C	Lill of t				

Appendix C



ug/L = microgram per liter



ug/L = microgram per liter