

MEMORANDUM ON REASONS FOR REPEAL OF CERTAIN ORDINANCES

In the sets of ordinances which have been submitted to the Bureau of Municipal Research and the League of Oregon Cities for codification there have always been some ordinances no longer regarded as effective, for the reasons that they have become obsolete, have been invalid, or have been impliedly repealed by other ordinances. The process of codification, moreover, has always resulted in the enactment of new ordinances impliedly repealing certain older ordinances. In codification it has been made a policy to recommend the express repeal of all ordinances no longer followed, whatever be the reason for their not being followed. The principal reason for this recommendation is that express repeal of ordinances no longer followed clarifies the record as to what ordinances are still in effect and what ones are not.

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 15A, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, and 40, enacted prior to July, 1891: -

These ordinances appear in a book of ordinances which has been designated the first book of ordinances. All of these were enacted prior to July, 1891. Since the book of ordinances designated as the second book contains ordinances numbered from "1," apparently the ordinances in the first book are no longer followed. Since, however, they have generally not been repealed expressly, it is recommended that those with the numbers listed above be repealed expressly. They comprise the active ordinances in the first book.

1:- This ordinance provides for licensing and regulating the sale of spirituous liquors. A marginal note indicates that it has been superseded by a later enactment. No doubt it has long since been regarded as obsolete. Its express repeal is therefore recommended.

3:- Although the body of Ordinance No. 33 says nothing about this ordinance, the title of this ordinance says that this one repeals that one. This undoubtedly means that this ordinance has long been regarded as without effect. Its express repeal is therefore recommended.

4:- According to a marginal note, apparently it was intended that Ordinance No. 15 repeal this ordinance. Since, however, only the title of that ordinance mentions this one, it is recommended that this one be specifically repealed.

5: - The provisions of this ordinance, which relates to the city attorney, recorder, and marshal, have been superseded by subsequent charter and ordinance provisions. See, for instance, Ordinances Nos. 9, 64, 433, and 438; and Section 79 of the charter. The entire ordinance has probably long since been regarded as inoperative. Express repeal of it is therefore recommended.

7: - Apparently this ordinance on sidewalk and crosswalk construction has long since been regarded as superseded, at least in part. In any event it need no longer be retained, because of the enactment of the recent ordinance on sidewalk construction, Ordinance No. 586. Express repeal of this ordinance, Ordinance No. 7, is therefore recommended.

8: - This ordinance on road and street improvement has probably been regarded as obsolete for many years. The part of it concerning a poll tax has been unconstitutional for at least 30 years. Express repeal of it is therefore recommended.

9: - This ordinance relates to the duties of various city officers. Probably it has long been regarded as inoperative, at least in part. Some of its provisions are duplicated or invalidated by Sections 37 and 38

of the charter. Ordinance No. 64 duplicates to some extent its provisions relating the marshal. In any event it is superseded by the recent ordinance on duties of officers, Ordinance No. 574. Its express repeal is therefore recommended.

10: - This ordinance on fire regulations for buildings has been superseded by subsequent ordinances on the subject, particularly the new building code, Ordinance No. 545 as amended by Ordinance No. 549.

12: - Because the first section of this ordinance on removal of dead animals is not clear, the ordinance has been redrawn and enacted as Ordinance No. 571. Express repeal of Ordinance No. 12 is therefore recommended.

13: - Some parts of this ordinance have been superseded by the new sanitary code, Ordinance No. 569; others are obsolete under amendments to the charter. Express repeal of this ordinance is therefore recommended.

14: - This ordinance on various local improvements, was apparently drawn with reference to the 1907 charter, and in a number of places, makes references, to provisions of that charter. That charter has long since been superseded by the 1913 charter. Moreover, the provisions of this ordinance which it has been desired to retain have been incorporated in the new ordinance on the construction of curbs and sidewalks, Ordinance No. 586. Express repeal of Ordinance No. 14 is therefore recommended.

15: - According to the title of Ordinance No. 27, it was intended that that ordinance should repeal this ordinance on business taxes and licenses. Since, however, the body of Ordinance No. 27 does not mention this ordinance, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed.

16: - This ordinance on city elections is partly invalid and probably no longer observed at all. It is therefore recommended that it be expressly repealed.

17: - Since this ordinance on public health amends Ordinance No. 13,

and that ordinance is recommended for repeal, it is recommended that this ordinance be repealed also.

18: - Since this ordinance on construction of sidewalks and crosswalks amends ordinance No. 7, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, the express repeal of this ordinance is likewise recommended.

19: - Since this ordinance on disorderly conduct and various offenses amends Ordinance No. 3, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, the express repeal of this ordinance is also recommended.

20: - Since this ordinance on business licenses and taxes amends Ordinance No. 15, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, the express repeal of this ordinance is likewise recommended.

22: - Since this ordinance on public health amends Ordinance No. 13, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, the express repeal of this ordinance is also recommended.

24: - This liquor ordinance is recommended for repeal because of its obsolescence.

27: - Apparently it was intended that Ordinance No. 37 repeal this ordinance. Since, however, Ordinance No. 37 does not mention this ordinance except in its title, the express repeal of this ordinance is recommended.

32: - Since this ordinance on city election amends Ordinance No. 16, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, the express repeal of this ordinance is likewise recommended.

33: - The provisions of this ordinance on disorderly conduct and various other offenses have been covered by a new ordinance on that subject, Ordinance No. 519. The express repeal of Ordinance No. 33 is therefore recommended.

35: - Since Ordinance No. 42 which repeals this ordinance, is recommended for repeal, in order to remove any question concerning the revival

of this ordinance by the repeal of Ordinance No. 42, it is recommended that this ordinance be specifically repealed again.

37: - This ordinance on licenses and regulations for certain businesses and trades is probably obsolete at least in part. In any event, it is believed that its provisions are adequately covered by the new license ordinance, Ordinance No. 585. Express repeal of Ordinance No. 37 is therefore recommended.

38: - According to a marginal notation on this ordinance, it is no longer regarded as having effect. Express repeal of it is therefore recommended.

39: - Since this ordinance on public health amends Ordinance No. 13, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, express repeal of this ordinance is likewise recommended.

40: - The provisions of this ordinance on dogs has been superseded by subsequent ordinances on the subject. Express repeal of this ordinance is therefore recommended.

41: - This ordinance on cattle running at large was repealed by Ordinance No. 58, which in turn is recommended for repeal. In order to prevent any question of the revival of this ordinance by the repeal of Ordinance No. 58, it is recommended that this ordinance be specifically repealed again.

42: - Because of the obsolescence of this liquor ordinance, and because of the fact that, according to a marginal notation, it has long since been regarded as inoperative, the express repeal of it is recommended.

43: - Because of its obsolescence and because of the fact, according to a marginal notation, <sup>that</sup> it has long since been regarded as inoperative, this ordinance is recommended for express repeal.

45: - According to a marginal notation, this ordinance has long since been regarded as superseded by later provisions. It is therefore recommended that it be expressly repealed.

46: - This ordinance on liquor control is recommended for express repeal because of its obsolescence.

47: - This ordinance on liquor control is recommended for repeal because of its obsolescence.

48: - Since this ordinance on disorderly conduct and various other offenses amends Ordinance No. 33 and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, the express repeal of this ordinance is likewise recommended.

51: - Since this ordinance on business licenses amends Ordinance No. 37, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, the express repeal of this ordinance is likewise recommended.

52: - Since this ordinance on disorderly conduct and various other offenses amends Ordinance No. 33, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, the express repeal of this ordinance is likewise recommended.

53: - This ordinance on the licensing and sale of intoxicating liquors is recommended for repeal because of its obsolescence.

54: - Since this ordinance on business licenses is repealed by Ordinance No. 111, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, the express repeal of this ordinance is recommended <sup>in order</sup> <sup>question of</sup> to prevent any/revival of this ordinance by the repeal of Ordinance No. 111.

56: - Since this ordinance on business licenses amends Ordinance No. 54, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, the express repeal of this ordinance is likewise recommended.

57: - Since this ordinance on business licenses amends Ordinance No. 54, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, express repeal of this ordinance is likewise recommended.

58: - Inasmuch as the provisions of this ordinance on cattle at large have been covered by the new ordinance on animals running at large, Ordinance No. 572, the express repeal of this ordinance is recommended.

59: - This ordinance on saloons is recommended for repeal because it is obsolete.

60: - Since this ordinance on fire prevention amends Ordinance No. 10, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, the express repeal of this ordinance is likewise recommended.

62: - This ordinance on chimneys is probably no longer regarded as operative, especially now that the new building code, Ordinance No. 545 as amended by Ordinance No. 549, has been enacted. The express repeal of this ordinance on chimneys is therefore recommended.

62 $\frac{1}{2}$ : - Probably the provisions of this ordinance on sidewalk construction have long been regarded as superseded at least in part by the provisions of later ordinances on the subject. In any event, it is believed that the ordinance is now unnecessary, in view of the enactment of the recent ordinance, the construction of curbs and sidewalks, Ordinance No. 586. The express repeal of Ordinance No. 62 $\frac{1}{2}$  is therefore recommended.

64: - This ordinance concerning the marshal and his deputieses duplicates itself in some parts and is obsolete in some parts because of changes made in the Lebanon charter since the time that the ordinance was enacted. The duties of the marshal and his deputies are, moreover, covered in part by the new ordinance on duties of officers, Ordinance No. 574. The express repeal of Ordinance No. 64 is therefore recommended.

66: - This ordinance on woodsaws was repealed by Ordinance No. 111, which is recommended for repeal. In order to remove any question of the revival of this ordinance by the repeal of Ordinance No. 111, the express of this ordinance is here recommended.

68: - The provisions of this ordinance on drunkenness are covered by section 8 of the new offense ordinance, Ordinance No. 519. Express repeal of this ordinance is therefore recommended.

71: - This ordinance concerning billiard and pool rooms is repealed by Ordinance No. 111. Since that ordinance is also recommended for repeal, in order to remove any question concerning the revival of this ordinance by that one, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed again.

72: - Since this ordinance on business licenses amends Ordinance No. 54, and that ordinance is recommended for repeal, the repeal of this ordinance is also recommended.

73: - Because of its obsolescence this ordinance prohibiting the sale of intoxicating liquor is recommended for repeal.

74: - This ordinance on sidewalk construction has been superseded by later ordinances on the subject and by the new ordinance on the construction of curbs and sidewalks, Ordinance No. 586. The express repeal of Ordinance No. 74 is therefore recommended.

76: - This ordinance on sidewalk construction has been superseded by later ordinances on the subject and by the new ordinance on the construction of curbs and sidewalks, Ordinance No. 586. The express repeal of Ordinance No. 76 is therefore recommended.

77: - Since this ordinance on disorderly conduct and various other offenses amends Ordinance No. 33, and since Ordinance No. 33 is recommended for repeal, the express repeal of this ordinance is likewise recommended.

78: - Apparently this ordinance concerning the licensing and regulating of picture shows has been superseded by subsequent ordinance provisions. The express repeal of this ordinance is therefore recommended.

79: - Since this ordinance providing for licensing and regulating peddlers and hawkers has been superseded by subsequent ordinances, including the new licenses ordinance, Ordinance No. 585, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed.



80: - The provisions of this ordinance on curbs have been impliedly repealed by subsequent ordinances, and are no longer necessary, in view of the provisions of Ordinances Nos. 586 and 587. Express repeal of this ordinance is therefore recommended.

86: - The provisions of this ordinance on sidewalk construction have been superseded at least in part by subsequent ordinances, and the new sidewalk ordinance, Ordinance No. 586, has been enacted for the purpose of taking its place. The express repeal of Ordinance 86 is therefore recommended.

87: - This ordinance is recommended for repeal because it is missing. The recommendation is made for the purpose of preventing the ordinance from ever causing any difficulty in case it is discovered.

89: - This ordinance prohibiting the sale of intoxicating liquor is recommended for repeal because it is obsolescent.

90: - Since this ordinance was repealed by Ordinance No. 111, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, in order to prevent any question concerning the revival of this ordinance from arising, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed again.

92: - This ordinance is recommended for repeal for the same reason that is given for the repeal of Ordinance No. 90.

94: - Since this ordinance was repealed by Ordinance No. 127, and that ordinance was subsequently repealed, in order to remove all questions about revival of this ordinance it is recommended that it be expressly repealed again.

95: - This ordinance on compensation of officers was specifically repealed by Ordinance No. 184, which itself is recommended for repeal. In order to remove all questions concerning the revival of this ordinance, it is therefore recommended that it be specifically repealed again.

96: - This 1909 ordinance on wooden awnings is undoubtedly no longer regarded as having effect, because of subsequent building regulations which have been enacted. The repeal of the ordinance is therefore recommended.

97: - This ordinance concerning the construction of curbs and the establishment of curb lines is superseded by the recently enacted ordinances on those subjects, Ordinances Nos. 586 and 587. The express repeal of this ordinance is therefore recommended.

101: - This ordinance is recommended for repeal because it is missing.

106: - This ordinance is recommended for repeal because it is missing.

111: - The provisions of this and other ordinances relating to the licensing of certain occupations and businesses have been covered by the recently enacted licensing ordinance, Ordinance No. 585. The express repeal of this ordinance is therefore recommended.

112: - This ordinance on elections is now obsolete and its repeal is therefore recommended.

114: - This ordinance concerning the duties and compensation of J. W. McArthur as engineer is no doubt obsolete, and its express repeal is therefore recommended.

115: - This ordinance providing for the licensing of dogs is obsolete, and it is therefore recommended that it be repealed expressly.

116: - The provisions of this ordinance on street lights are apparently superseded by Ordinance No. 120, and the repeal of this ordinance is therefore recommended.

117: - This traffic ordinance, according to a marginal note, has been regarded as superseded by the Oregon Motor Vehicle Law. The express repeal of the ordinance is therefore recommended.

118: - This is recommended for repeal because it is missing.

121: - This ordinance is recommended for repeal because it is missing.

124: - This ordinance on plumbing contains a number of unclear and inartfully drawn provisions. It is superseded by the recently enacted ordinance on plumbing and sewers, Ordinance No. 570. It is therefore recommended that Ordinance No. 124 be expressly repealed.

126: - This ordinance is recommended for repeal because it is missing.

127: - Because this ordinance on buildings is repealed by Ordinance No. 196, and because that ordinance is recommended for repeal, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed again in order to prevent any question of its revival from arising.

128: - The provisions of this ordinance creating a cement sidewalk district have been superseded by subsequent ordinances, especially the recently enacted ordinance on sidewalks construction, Ordinance No. 586.

130: - The provisions of this ordinance on rollerskates and coaster wagons are covered by the provisions of the recently enacted traffic code, Ordinance 567. The express repeal of Ordinance No. 130 is recommended.

131: - This ordinance is recommended for repeal because it is missing.

132: - Since this ordinance on curb lines amends Ordinance No. 97, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, this ordinance is also recommended for repeal. The provisions on curb lines are covered by the recently enacted ordinance on that subject, Ordinance No. 587.

135: - Since this ordinance on cement sidewalk districts amends Ordinance No. 128, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, express repeal of this ordinance is likewise recommended.

138: - Since this ordinance on cattle running at large amends Ordinance No. 58, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, the express repeal of this ordinance is likewise recommended.

140: - This ordinance on commercial delivery bicycles and tricycles is superseded in part by Ordinance No. 585, and is probably no longer followed. It is therefore recommended that it be repealed expressly.

148: - It is believed that the new traffic code, Ordinance No. 567, adequately takes the place of the provisions of this ordinance concerning traction engines on paved streets. The express repeal of this ordinance is therefore recommended.

150: - Because of its obsolescence this ordinance on carrying intoxicating liquors is probably no longer followed. The express repeal of it is therefore recommended.

151: - It is believed that Sections 34 and 35 of the new offense ordinance, Ordinance No. 519, adequately replaced this ordinance on the sale of tobacco to and the use of tobacco by minors. The express repeal of this ordinance is therefore recommended.

152: - Since this ordinance on business licenses amends Ordinance No. 111, and since that ordinance has been recommended for repeal, express repeal of this ordinance is likewise recommended.

154: - Since this ordinance on the duties of the city treasurer amends Ordinance No. 9, which is recommended for repeal, express repeal of this ordinance is likewise recommended.

155: - This ordinance, which relates to the salaries of officers, is undoubtedly regarded as superseded by subsequent ordinances on the subject. Express repeal of this ordinance is therefore recommended.

159: - It is believed that this ordinance, which requires the use of mufflers on all motor vehicles, is adequately covered by the new traffic ordinance, Ordinance No. 567. The express repeal of Ordinance No. 159 is therefore recommended.

170: - This ordinance is recommended for express repeal because it is missing.

175: - This ordinance on the preparation and adoption of the annual budget was repealed by Ordinance No. 202, which in turn is recommended for repeal. In order to remove any question of revival of this ordinance it is therefore recommended that it be repealed again.

177: - This ordinance, which divided the city into wards, has apparently been superseded by the recent ordinance establishing precincts and wards, Ordinance No. 563. Express repeal of Ordinance No. 177 is therefore recommended.

184: - Provisions of this ordinance which relates to salaries of officers, are superseded by subsequent salary ordinances. Express repeal of this ordinance is therefore recommended.

196: - This ordinance on building regulations has been superseded by subsequent ordinances, particularly the recently enacted building code, Ordinance No. 545 as amended by Ordinance No. 549. Express repeal of Ordinance No. 196 is therefore recommended.

202: - This ordinance on budgeting is apparently obsolete. Moreover, it does not follow the local budget law. For these reasons it is recommended that it be expressly repealed.

204: - This traffic ordinance contains a marginal notation to the effect that Ordinance No. 215 has superseded it. It is therefore recommended that it be expressly repealed.

208: - Part of this ordinance on dog control conflicts with the state law, and the entire ordinance is superseded by the new ordinance on that subject, Ordinance No. 518. Express repeal of this ordinance is therefore recommended.

207(1): - This ordinance relating to the salary of the recorder is superseded by later ordinances concerning the salaries of officers. Its express repeal is therefore recommended.

209: - This ordinance is recommended for repeal because it is missing.

212: - This ordinance, which relates to the matter of issuing licenses, has been superseded by the recently enacted license ordinance, Ordinance No. 585. Express repeal of Ordinance 212 is therefore recommended.

213: - Since this ordinance concerning the sale of cigarettes has been repealed by Ordinance No. 400, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, in order to prevent any question of the revival of this ordinance from arising, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed again.

214: - Because this ordinance is missing it is recommended that it be expressly repealed.

215: - Because this traffic ordinance is partly repealed, partly superseded, and partly obsolete; and because the recently enacted traffic code, Ordinance No. 567, adequately takes the place of the provisions of this ordinance, express repeal of this ordinance is recommended.

216: - Since this ordinance amends Ordinance No. 215, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed likewise.

221: - Because of the obsolescence of this ordinance concerning the hauling of logs and heavy loads on pavements, and because it is believed that the recently enacted traffic code, Ordinance No. 567, contains adequate provisions on this subject, it is recommended that Ordinance No. 221 be repealed expressly.

222: - This ordinance on the irrigation of lawns and gardens has been superseded by later ordinances, particularly by Ordinance No. 582. Express repeal of Ordinance No. 222 is therefore recommended.

225: - Because this ordinance is missing it is recommended that it be expressly repealed.

226: - Because this ordinance is missing it is recommended that it be expressly repealed.

227: - This ordinance prohibiting the use of the language of a Central Power in the first World War is recommended for repeal because of its obsolescence.

232: - This ordinance on Spanish influenza passed during the "flu" epidemic of 1918-19 is obsolete, and its express repeal is therefore recommended.

233: - Since this ordinance on business licenses amends Ordinance No. 111, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, this ordinance is likewise recommended for express repeal.

234: - Since this ordinance on business licenses amends Ordinance No. 111, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed likewise.

246: - The provisions of this ordinance, which regulates flues and chimneys, are covered by the new building code, Ordinance No. 545 as amended by Ordinance No. 549. Express repeal of Ordinance No. 246 is therefore recommended.

247: - The provisions of this ordinance, which relates to electric wiring, have been superseded by the recently enacted ordinance on the subject, Ordinance No. 584. Express repeal of Ordinance No. 247 is therefore recommended.

252: - This ordinance on salaries of city officers is superseded by subsequent ordinances on the subject. Express repeal of this ordinance is therefore recommended.

255: - According to a letter from the city attorney, this ordinance on the park board is no longer followed. It is therefore recommended that it be expressly repealed.

258: - Since this ordinance on disorderly conduct and various other offenses amends ordinance No. 33, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed likewise.

259: - Because this ordinance is missing it is recommended that it be expressly repealed.

260: - This ordinance on the compensation of the city marshal has been superseded by subsequent ordinances on salaries of city officials. It is therefore recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed.

266: - This ordinance on the compensation of certain officers of the city has been superseded by subsequent salary ordinances. It is therefore recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed.

269: - The provisions of this ordinance, which relates to sidewalks, has been superseded by the recently enacted ordinances concerning sidewalks, Ordinances Nos. 576 and 586. Express repeal of this ordinance is therefore recommended.

271: - This ordinance on salaries of certain officials has been superseded by subsequent salary ordinances. It is therefore <sup>recommended</sup> that it be expressly repealed.

273: - Because this ordinance is missing it is recommended that it be expressly repealed.

276: - The new building code, Ordinance No. 545 <sup>as</sup> amended by Ordinance No. 549, is intended to replace this ordinance and the express repeal of this ordinance is therefore recommended.

278: - This ordinance on irrigation has been superseded by the recently enacted ordinance on the subject, Ordinance No. 582. It is therefore recommended that Ordinance No. 278 be repealed expressly.

279: - It is believed that the provisions of this ordinance, which relate to parking of vehicles, are adequately covered by the new traffic code, Ordinance No. 567, particularly the provisions concerning parking of vehicles and Article 6. This ordinance is therefore recommended for repeal.

280: - Since this ordinance on fire limits amends Ordinance No. 276, which is recommended for repeal, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed likewise.

283: - The provisions of this ordinance which relates to licensing of gasoline pumps, have been superseded by the new license ordinance, Ordinance No. 585. Express repeal of Ordinance No. 283 is therefore recommended.

284: - The provisions of this ordinance, which relates to sidewalk construction has been superseded by the recently enacted ordinance on the subject, Ordinance No. 586. Express repeal of Ordinance No. 284 is therefore recommended.

291: - Because this ordinance is missing it is recommended that it be expressly repealed.

293: - Because this ordinance on construction of sidewalks has been repealed by Ordinance No. 415, and because that ordinance has been recommended for repeal, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed, in order to prevent any question of its revival arising.

296: - A marginal note indicate that this ordinance on intoxicating liquor has been superseded by a subsequent ordinance. Express repeal of this ordinance is therefore recommended.

318: - Since this ordinance on business licenses amends Ordinance No. 111, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, it is also recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed likewise.

350: - Since Ordinance No. 256 on building is recommended for repeal, and since this ordinance amends that ordinance, it is recommended that this ordinance be repealed also.

351: - Since Ordinance No. 246 on building is recommended for repeal, and since this ordinance amends that ordinance, the express repeal of this ordinance is recommended .

352: - It is believed that the new traffic code, Ordinance No. 367, adequately replaces this ordinance, which relates to driving traction engines on paved streets. The express repeal of this ordinance is therefore recommended.

353: - It is believed that the new traffic code, Ordinance No. 367, adequately covers the provisions of this ordinance which prohibits rollerskating on certain streets. Express repeal of this ordinance is therefore recommended.

355: - It is believed that the new traffic code, Ordinance No. 367, adequately covers the provisions of this ordinance, which relates to the establishment of a through street. It is therefore recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed. See Article 6 on the new traffic code.

360: - At the request of the city attorney a section has been added to this ordinance prohibiting the draining of oil into sewers. This new ordinance has been enacted as Ordinance No. 573. Since it covers the provisions, of this ordinance, it is recommended that this ordinance, Ordinance No. 360, be repealed expressly.

364: - Since this ordinance on disorderly conduct and various other offenses amends Ordinance No. 33, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed also.

365: - Since this ordinance on buildings amends Ordinance No. 276, and since that ordinance has been recommended for repeal, it is recommended that this ordinance also be expressly repealed.

368: - It is believed that Article 6 of the new traffic code, Ordinance 567, adequately covers the provisions of this ordinance. This ordinance therefore is recommended for express repeal.

370: - It is believed that Article 6 and Section 3 of the new traffic code, Ordinance No. 567, adequately replaces the provisions of this ordinance on U-turns. The express repeal of this ordinance is therefore recommended.



371: - Since this ordinance on cigarettes is repealed by Ordinance No. 400, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed again, in order to prevent any question of its revival from arising.

372: - Since this ordinance on curb lines amends Ordinance No. 97, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, the express repeal of this ordinance is also recommended.

375: - Since this ordinance on fire limits amends Ordinance No. 276, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed also.

377: - Since this ordinance concerning the recorder's salary has been superseded by subsequent salary ordinances, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed.

385: - Since this ordinance on disorderly conduct and various other offenses amends Ordinance No. 33, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed likewise.

398: - Since this ordinance on business licenses amends Ordinance No. 111, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed likewise.

399: - Since this ordinance on plumbing amends Ordinance No. 144, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed likewise.

400: - The provisions of this ordinance which relates to the licensing and sale of cigarettes, have been covered by the new license ordinance, Ordinance No. 585. The express repeal of Ordinance No. 400, is therefore recommended.

401: - Since this ordinance on peddlers' licenses amends Ordinance No. 111, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed likewise.

402: - Since this ordinance on licenses for shows amends Ordinance No. 111, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed likewise.

403: - It is believed that the new traffic code, Ordinance No. 467, adequately covers the provisions of this ordinance prohibiting the hauling of logs, poles, etc., across certain parts of Main Street. Express repeal of Ordinance No. 403 is therefore recommended.

410: - Since this ordinance amends Ordinance No. 247, which is recommended for repeal, it is recommended that this ordinance, relating to electric wiring, be expressly repealed also.

415: - The provisions of this ordinance on sidewalks have been superseded by the recently enacted ordinances on the subject, Ordinance Nos. 576 and 586. It is therefore recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed.

423: - Since this ordinance on parking motor vehicles is repealed by Ordinance No. 535, and since that ordinance is also recommended for repeal, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed likewise, in order to prevent any question of its revival from arising.

431: - It is believed that the new traffic code, Ordinance No. 567, adequately covers the provisions of this ordinance concerning a school safety zone. Express repeal of Ordinance No. 431 is therefore recommended.

433: - This ordinance on salaries of city officials has been superseded by subsequent salary ordinances, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed.

434: - The provisions of this ordinance concerning the licensing of carnivals have been covered by the new license ordinance, Ordinance No. 585. Express repeal of Ordinance No. 434 is therefore recommended.

438: - Because this ordinance on salaries of city officials has been superseded by subsequent ordinances, its express repeal is therefore recommended.

439: - Because this ordinance on business licenses amends Ordinance No. 111, and because that ordinance is recommended for repeal, express repeal of this ordinance is likewise recommended.

441: - Since this ordinance licensing and regulating the sale of beer was apparently passed immediately after the repeal of the 18th Amendment and prior to the enactment of the Knox Law, and since it conflicts with the provisions of the law to the effect that the state liquor commission has charge of licensing and dispensing of intoxicating liquor, it is recommended that this ordinance be repealed.

442: - Since this ordinance on licensing pool and billiard rooms amends Ordinance No. 111, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed likewise.

444: - Since this ordinance on curb lines amends Ordinance No. 97, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, the express repeal of this ordinance is likewise recommended.

447: - Since this ordinance on buildings amends Ordinance No. 276, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed likewise.

449: - Since this ordinance on gasoline service stations amends Ordinance No. 283, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, it is also recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed.

466: - It is believed that the provisions of this ordinance which relates to loading zones, are adequately covered by the new traffic code, Ordinance No. 567, particularly Article III, IV, and VI. Express repeal of Ordinance 466 is therefore recommended.

469: - Because this ordinance authorizing a contract is quite incomplete and unclear, it is recommended that it be repealed.

472: - Because pinball games played for a prize are prohibited under the state law, it is recommended that this ordinance which licenses such games, be expressly repealed.

477: - It is believed that the new traffic code, Ordinance No. 567, adequately replaces the provisions of this ordinance which relates to drunken driving. Express repeal of this ordinance is therefore recommended.

478: - It is believed that the new traffic code, Ordinance No. 567, adequately covers the provisions of this ordinance which relates to reckless driving. Express repeal of this ordinance is therefore recommended.

479: - Since this ordinance on fire limits amends Ordinance No. 276, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed likewise.

480: - Since this ordinance on fire limits amends Ordinance No. 276, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed likewise.

488: - It is believed that the new traffic code, Ordinance No. 567, adequately replaces the provisions of this ordinance which relates to a no-parking zone. In this connection see Article VI of the traffic and the article which deals with parking. Express repeal of Ordinance No. 488 is therefore recommended.

490: - Since this ordinance on buildings amends Ordinance No. 276, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed likewise.

491: - Since this ordinance on pinball games amends Ordinance No. 472, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, it is recommended that this ordinance be expressly repealed also.

492: - It is believed that the new traffic code, Ordinance No. 567, adequately replaces the provisions of this ordinance which relates to certain stop streets. In this connection see Article VI of the traffic code. The express repeal of Ordinance No. 492 is therefore recommended.

495: - It is believed that the provisions of the new traffic code, Ordinance No. 567, adequately replace the provisions of this ordinance which relates to a no-parking zone. Express repeal of Ordinance No. 495 is therefore recommended. In this connection see Article VI and the article on parking in the traffic code.

498: - The provisions of this ordinance on curb lines<sup>are</sup> replaced by the newly enacted ordinance on that subject, Ordinance No. 587. Express repeal of Ordinance No. 498 is therefore recommended.

500: - Since this ordinance on the parking of trailers<sup>is</sup> adopted by reference to the fire limits described in Ordinance No. 480, and since that ordinance is recommended for repeal, it is recommended that this ordinance be repealed. It has been re-enacted in a form omitting the reference to Ordinance No. 480, and appears now as Ordinance No. 568.

501: - Section 2 of this ordinance on trailers is not clear, and Section 1 adopted by reference to the fire limits set out in Ordinance No. 480, which is recommended for repeal. It is recommended that this ordinance be repealed. Its provisions have been covered by the new ordinance on parking trailers, Ordinance No. 572(1).

502: - The new ordinance on sidewalk construction, Ordinance No. 586, supersedes this ordinance on sidewalk construction. Express repeal of this ordinance is therefore recommended.

503: - The new ordinance on curb construction, Ordinance No. 586, replaces the provisions of this ordinance on curb construction. Express repeal of this ordinance is therefore recommended.

508: - In several particulars this ordinance on the police force is not clear. It has been superseded by the new ordinance on that subject, Ordinance No. 575. Express repeal of Ordinance No. 508 is therefore recommended.

510: - Express repeal of this ordinance is recommended because it is missing.

511: - This ordinance on curb lines is superseded by the new ordinance on the subject, Ordinance No. 587. Express repeal of Ordinance No. 511 is therefore recommended.

513: - Since this ordinance amends Ordinance No. 511, and since that ordinance on curb lines is recommended for repeal, the express repeal of this ordinance is likewise recommended.

521: - This ordinance on games apparently was never passed. In order to prevent the ordinance from being included in the code, it is recommended that it be expressly repealed.

531: - It is believed that the provisions of this ordinance, which relate to parking of trucks, are adequately covered by the new traffic code, Ordinance No. 567. Express repeal of Ordinance No. 531 is therefore recommended.

535: - It is believed that the provisions of this ordinance, which regulate the parking of motor vehicles, <sup>are</sup> adequately covered by the new traffic code, Ordinance No. 567, particularly the articles on the parking of vehicles and Article VI. Express repeal of Ordinance No. 535 is therefore recommended.

543: - It is believed that the provisions of this ordinance, which regulate the parking of motor vehicles are adequately covered by the article on parking and Article VI in the newly enacted traffic code, Ordinance No. 567. The express repeal of Ordinance No. 543 is therefore recommended.

550: - Upon the advice of the city attorney, the provisions of this ordinance on Sundays regulations have been incorporated in the newly enacted ordinance on hours and days of business, Ordinance No. 588. The express repeal of Ordinance No. 550 is therefore recommended.

555: - It is believed that the provisions of this ordinance on emergency parking space are adequately covered by Article VI of the new traffic code, Ordinance No. 567. Express repeal of Ordinance No. 555 is therefore recommended.

556: - It is believed that the provisions of this ordinance on parking of vehicles are adequately covered by the article on parking and Article VI of the new traffic code, Ordinance No. 567. Express repeal of Ordinance No. 556 is therefore recommended.

560: - It is believed that the provisions of this ordinance on traffic are adequately covered by the recently enacted traffic code, Ordinance No. 567. It is therefore recommended that this ordinance, Ordinance No. 560, be repealed.

According to the minutes, on November 17, 1942, there were enacted two ordinances numbered 568 and 569, dealing respectively with licenses and electric wiring. Since these ordinances are missing, and since subsequent ordinances on the same subject have been enacted, in order to clear the records, it is recommended that these two ordinances be repealed. Both of these ordinances were prepared during the course of codification, and probably what has happened is that the two ordinances were enacted twice. It is therefore recommended that those with the numbers given here be repealed.

Section 7 of Ordinance No. 519: -This section on the curfew regulation has been superseded by the curfew ordinance, Ordinance No. 566. The repeal of the section is therefore recommended.