

MINUTES OF DIRECTORS MEETING
LANE COUNTY MASS TRANSIT DISTRICT
SPECIAL MEETING

March 23, 1982

Pursuant to notice given to the Eugene Register-Guard for publication on March 22, 1982, a special meeting of the Board of Directors of Lane County Mass Transit District was held at the City Hall, Eugene, Oregon, on March 23, 1982, at 7:00 p.m.

Present: Janice Eberly, Secretary
Kenneth H. Kohnen
Ted J. Langton, President, presiding
Polly Nelson
Phyllis P. Loobey, General Manager
Cindy Ficek, Recording Secretary

Absent: Peter M. Brandt
Janet Calvert
Glenn E. Randall, Vice President/Treasurer

CALL TO ORDER: Mr. Langton called the special meeting to order. He mentioned that the meeting had been called to discuss one specific item; the Customer Service Center design criteria.

CUSTOMER SERVICE DESIGN CRITERIA: Mr. Langton asked Ellen Bevington, Planning Administrator, to give an update on the Customer Service Design Criteria and to lead the discussion. Ms. Bevington stated that at the joint meeting of ERA, DDB and LTD, the three boards adopted the south side alternative for the Eugene Mall Transit site. She further stated that a funding formula has been formulated, as requested by the boards. Under this formula, the 10th Avenue project area would be divided into two types of improvements; one for transit pedestrian related improvements which would be jointly funded by ERA and LTD, and the other a redevelopment of improvements which would fall more under the auspices of redevelopment, which are strictly the Renewal Agency's responsibilities. Detailed information on funding, improvements, etc., will be presented to the Board at the joint meeting to be held March 30, 1982. Ms. Bevington stated that one issue that would not be resolved by the March 30, 1982 meeting was where the Customer Service Center would be located. She further mentioned that a memo on a proposed siting process would be presented at the March 30, 1982 meeting.

According to Ms. Bevington, the only factor lacking concerning the Eugene Mall Transit site is adopted design criteria. Attached in the Board packet are suggested criteria formulated by the staff. Action requested by the staff is that the Board prioritize the nine (9) design criteria.

Discussion followed on the criteria. Each of the Board members present gave to Ms. Bevington his/her personal list of priorities for the design criteria. Ms. Bevington informed the Board that she would average out the sheets and report back to the Board. No formal action was taken by the Board.


Secretary