



I, Steve Druckenmiller, County Clerk for Linn County, Oregon, certify that the instrument identified herein was recorded in the Clerk records.



Steve Druckenmiller - County Clerk

**A BILL FOR AN ORDINANCE ANNEXING AND ZONING PROPERTY FOLLOWING CONSENT FILED WITH THE CITY COUNCIL BY LANDOWNERS IN SAID AREA PURSUANT TO ORS 222.120 AND ORS 222.170 File A-06-11, RPMC, LLC** ) **ORDINANCE BILL NO. 33 for 2006**  
)  
) **ORDINANCE NO. 2724**  
)  
)  
)

**WHEREAS**, the City of Lebanon has received a submission by written request for annexation of real property to the City of Lebanon, signed by more than one-half of the landowners who also own more than one-half of the land in the contiguous territory described in Exhibit "A", which real property represents more than one-half of the assessed value of all real property in the contiguous territory to be annexed; and

**WHEREAS**, the Lebanon City Council has elected to dispense with submitting the question of the proposed annexation to the electors of the City, initiating the annexation of the territory pursuant to ORS 222.120, calling a hearing and directing that notice be given as required by ORS 222.120(3); and

**WHEREAS**, after conducting the hearing and considering all objections or remonstrances with reference to the proposed annexation, and further considering the recommendation of the Lebanon Planning Commission, the City Council finds that this annexation is in the best interest of the City and of the contiguous territory.

**NOW, THEREFORE**, the City of Lebanon ordains as follows:

**Section 1. Findings.** In addition to the findings referred to above, the City Council further adopts and finds those matters contained in Exhibit "B" which is incorporated herein by this reference as if fully set forth at this point.


*After Recording Please Return To:*  
City of Lebanon  
City Recorder  
925 Main Street  
Lebanon, OR 97355

A-06-11

**Section 2. Annexation Area.** Based upon the findings contained above and in Exhibit "B", the contiguous territory described in Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by this reference as if fully set forth at this point is hereby proclaimed to be annexed to the City of Lebanon, and zoned as indicated in accordance with the Lebanon Zoning Ordinance No. 1773, and assigned the zoning of Mixed Use (Z-MU or MU).

**Section 3. Record.** The City Recorder shall submit to the Oregon Secretary of State a copy of this Ordinance. The City Recorder is further ordered to send a description by metes and bounds, or legal subdivision, and a map depicting the new boundaries of the City of Lebanon within ten (10) days of the effective date of this annexation ordinance to the Linn County Assessor, Linn County Clerk and the Oregon State Department of Revenue.

Passed by the Lebanon City Council by a vote of 6 for and 0 against and approved by the Mayor this 13<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2006.

  
Kenneth I. Toombs, Mayor

ATTEST:

  
Linda Kaser, City Clerk / Co-City Recorder

I certify that I have compared the foregoing copy with the original of record in our office and this is a true and exact copy.

  
City Recorder for the City of Lebanon

# RRMC, LLC

## Annexation Legal



An area of land in Section 3 of Township 12 South of Range 2 West of the Willamette Meridian in Linn County, Oregon described as follows:

Beginning at a stone on the North right-of-way of Reeves Parkway which marks the Southwest corner of the East one half of the William B. Gore DLC No. 38 in Township 12 South of Range 2 West of the Willamette Meridian, Linn County, Oregon; thence leaving said right-of-way, North 0° 25'29" West 546.29 feet to a 1 ¼ " iron pipe; thence North 0° 58'50" West 114.17 feet to a ½" iron pipe; thence North 89°57'36" West 989.97 feet; thence South 0° 35'48" East 660.22 feet to a ½" iron pipe on the North right-of-way of Reeves Parkway; thence along said right-of-way, South 89° 56'35" East 989.48 feet to the point of beginning.

I hereby certify the above legal description closes within tolerances prescribed in ORS 92.

ANNEXATION MAP  
**RRMC, LLC**  
 SW 1/4 & SE 1/4 OF SECTION 3, T. 12 S., R. 2 W., W.M.  
 LINN COUNTY, OREGON

SCALE: 1" = 100' JULY 5, 2006



**LEGEND**

- ⊙ MONUMENT FOUND AS NOTED
- FD FOUND
- C.S. COUNTY SURVEY
- ( ) DATA OF RECORD
- ( ) REFERENCE SURVEY: C.S. 2885, C.S. 10853
- IR IRON ROD
- IP IRON PIPE

**OWNER:** RRMC, LLC  
 29419 BROWNSVILLE  
 BROWNSVILLE, OREGON 97327

**PROPERTY:** 12-2W-3C  
 TAX LOT:  
 100

**APPLICANT:** RRMC, LLC  
 c/o RANDY RASCHEIN  
 29419 BROWNSVILLE  
 BROWNSVILLE, OREGON 97327

**SURVEYOR:** BRIAN VANDETTA  
 UDELL ENGINEERING AND SURVEYING  
 63 EAST ASH ST.  
 LEBANON, OREGON 97355

REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR  
 U d e l l  
 ENGINEERING & SURVEYING  
 63 EAST ASH ST.  
 LEBANON, OREGON 97355  
 PH. (541) 451-5125  
 FAX (541) 451-1366

REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR  
 OREGON  
 JULY 13, 1999  
 BRIAN VANDETTA  
 51041-LS  
 EXPIRES 06-30-2008

JOB NAME: 06 PRM1.LL

EXHIBIT  
 Page 2 of 2  
 A

## Findings

### A-06-11 RRMC, LLC

#### Criteria 1.1 and 2.1

**Annexation Ordinance Section 2:** *All Annexations shall conform to the requirements of the Lebanon Municipal Code, Annexation Ordinance, Lebanon Land Development Ordinance, City of Lebanon/Linn County Urban Growth Management Agreement, and shall be consistent with applicable State law.*

**2004 LCP Chapter 3 (Urbanization) – Annexation Policy #P-19:** *[The City shall] Recognize and act on the basis that all annexations shall conform to the requirements of the Lebanon Municipal Code, Annexation Ordinance, Lebanon Land Development Ordinance, City of Lebanon/Linn County Urban Growth Management Agreement (UGMA), and shall be consistent with applicable State law.*

#### Finding # 1:

The proposed annexation complies with Annexation Ordinance Section 2 and LCP Annexation Policy #1 in that this proposal is in compliance with the requirements set forth by these provisions. The findings below detail this compliance.

#### Criteria 1.2 and 2.2

**Annexation Ordinance Section 3:** *All Annexations shall be consistent with the goals and policies of the Lebanon Comprehensive Plan.*

**2004 LCP Chapter 3 (Urbanization) – Annexation Policy #P-20:** *[The City shall] Recognize and act on the basis that all annexations shall be consistent with the goals and policies of the Lebanon Comprehensive Plan.*

#### Finding # 2:

The proposed annexation complies with Annexation Ordinance Section 3 and LCP Annexation Policy #2 in that this proposal is consistent with the goals and policies of the Lebanon Comprehensive Plan. The findings below detail this compliance.

#### Criteria 1.3 and 2.3,

**Annexation Ordinance Section 4:** *All lands included within the Urban Growth Boundary are eligible for annexation and urban development. Areas within the Urban Growth Boundary with designated environmental constraints may be annexed and utilized as functional wetlands, parks, open space and related uses.*

**LCP Chapter 3 (Urbanization) – Annexation Policy #P-21:** *[The City shall] Recognize and act on the basis that all lands included within the Urban Growth Boundary are eligible for annexation and urban development. (Areas within the Urban Growth Boundary (UGB) with designated environmental constraints may be annexed and utilized as functional wetlands, parks, open space and related uses.)*

#### Finding # 3:

The proposed annexation complies with the above noted criteria in that the annexation territory is within the City's Urban Growth Boundary, and is therefore eligible for annexation and urban development. This annexation territory has been identified as land needed by the City for nearly a quarter of a century.

**Criteria 1.4 and 2.4**

**Annexation Ordinance Section 5:** *The City shall only annex land that is contiguous to the existing City limits and is within the City's Urban Growth Boundary (UGB).*

**2004 LCP Chapter 3 (Urbanization) – Annexation Policy #P-22:** *[The City shall] Only annex land that is contiguous to the existing City limits and is within the City's Urban Growth Boundary (UGB).*

**Finding # 4:**

The proposed annexation complies with Annexation Ordinance Section 5 and LCP Annexation Policy #P-22 in that the annexation territory is both contiguous to the existing City limits and within the City's Urban Growth Boundary, and is therefore eligible for annexation and urban development. The annexation territory is contiguous to the existing City Limits to the south, east, and west.

**Criteria 1.5, 1.13, 1.14, and 2.5**

**Annexation Ordinance Section 6:** *An annexation shall be deemed orderly if the annexation territory is contiguous to the existing City limits. An annexation is efficient if the annexation territory can be developed or redeveloped to an urban use. Urban uses may include functional wetlands, parks, open space and related uses.*

**Annexation Ordinance Section 13:** *The areas within the Urban Growth Boundary with designated environmental constraints may be annexed and developed as functional wetlands, parks, open space and related uses.*

**Annexation Ordinance Section 14:** *An "urban use" is hereby defined as any land use that is authorized under the terms and provisions of the land use regulations, Zoning Ordinance, Subdivision Ordinance, Comprehensive Plan, and other related documents of the City of Lebanon.*

**2004 LCP Chapter 3 (Urbanization) – Annexation Policy #P-23:** *[The City shall] Deem an annexation orderly if the annexation territory is contiguous to the existing City Limits, and deem an annexation efficient if the annexation territory can be developed or redeveloped to an urban use (urban uses may include functional wetlands, parks, open space and related uses).*

**Finding # 5:**

The proposed annexation complies with the above noted criteria. (1) Since the annexation territory is contiguous to the existing City limits the annexation or this territory is deemed orderly. (2) The annexation is efficient since the annexation territory can be developed to an urban use. This territory can be developed according to the provisions of Lebanon Zoning Ordinance Section 4.311 lists the development opportunities, standards and requirements for the Mixed Use (Z-MU) zone, and this is consistent with all applicable provisions of the Comprehensive Plan.

**Criteria 1.6 and 2.6**

**Annexation Ordinance Section 7:** *Development proposals are NOT REQUIRED for annexation requests.*

**2004 LCP Chapter 3 (Urbanization) – Annexation Policy #P-24:** *[The City shall] Recognize and act on the basis that development proposals are NOT REQUIRED for annexation requests.*

**Finding # 6:**

The proposed annexation complies with the above noted criteria in that no development proposal was required to be submitted at this time.

**Criteria 1.7 and 2.7**

**Annexation Ordinance Section 8:** *As part of the annexation process of developed property or properties, the City shall consider the anticipated demands to access key City-provided urban utility services, which are water, storm drainage, sanitary sewerage, and streets, of existing development within the annexation territory.*

**2004 LCP Chapter 3 (Urbanization) – Annexation Policy #P-25:** *[The City shall] Consider as part of the annexation process of developed property or properties, the anticipated demands to access key City-provided urban utility services, which are water, storm drainage, sanitary sewerage, and streets, of existing development within the annexation territory.*

**Finding # 7:**

The proposed annexation complies with the above noted criteria in that this property is currently undeveloped. Access to City-provided services is not sought at this time, and therefore there are no anticipated needs at this time on the key City-provided urban utility services (i.e., are water, storm drainage, sanitary sewerage, and streets). However, all City services can be made available to the territory.

- **Sanitary Sewer:** Nearest Sanitary is located at the intersection of Reeves Parkway and Hansard Avenue.
- **Water:** The property is fronted along Reeves Parkway by a City water line varying in size from 12 to 16 inches.
- **Drainage:** Storm water runoff will have to be designed as part of any future development proposal.
- **Transportation Access:** The property has about 990 feet of frontage on Reeves Parkway. Reeves Parkway is an improved City street to county style standards. Direct street vehicular access will likely be from a northward extension of 5<sup>th</sup> Street.
- Furthermore, the **City's facility master plans** for all City-provided urban services and utilities have taken into account this Comprehensive Plan Map designation and required first-time City zoning designation, along with anticipated service impacts on these City services from allowable development.

**Criteria 1.8 and 2.8**

**Annexation Ordinance Section 9:** *As part of the annexation process of developed property or properties, the City shall consider the impacts on key City-provided urban utility services needed to serve these properties, which are water, storm drainage, sanitary sewerage, and streets.*

**2004 LCP Chapter 3 (Urbanization) – Annexation Policy # P-26:** *[The City shall] Consider as part of the annexation process of developed property or properties, the impacts on the capacities of key City-provided urban utility services needed to satisfy the anticipated demands of the properties discussed in P-25 above.*

**Finding #8:**

The proposed annexation complies with the above noted criteria in that this territory is currently undeveloped. However, access to City-provided services is not sought at this time, and therefore there are no anticipated needs at this time on the key City-provided urban utility services (i.e., are water, storm drainage, sanitary sewerage, and streets). However, all City services can be made available to the territory. (See Finding # 7 for further details.)

**Criterion 2.9**

**2004 LCP Chapter 3 (Urbanization) – Annexation Policy # P-27:** *Expand the City Limits as necessary to accommodate development, including housing, commercial, industrial, and services (that will in turn accommodate population growth).*

**Finding #9:**

The proposed annexation complies with the above noted criterion in that the annexation of this property is indeed necessary to accommodate potential future development, which could include residential. It is reasonable to conclude that the major economic development activity in the community in recent months can be anticipated to generate population growth as many new jobs are created in the relatively near future. Accordingly, urban development opportunities will be in demand in the community. This annexation (i.e., expansion of the City limits) is therefore necessary to accommodate such development, by enabling the continuing commercial, industrial and/or residential of this portion of the City.

**Criterion 1.9**

**Annexation Ordinance Section 10:** *Needed Public rights-of-way, as identified in adopted transportation plans as necessary for the safe and efficient movement of traffic, bicycles and pedestrians, shall be dedicated to the City either with annexation or when the property develops and/or redevelops and thus creates an increased demand for the benefits and utility provided by additional rights-of-way dedication.*

**Finding # 10:**

The proposed annexation complies with Annexation Ordinance Section 10 in that the no additional necessary right-of-way is being requested at the time of annexation. It is likely that future vehicular access to the subject property will be from a northward extension of 5<sup>th</sup> Street which will result in right-of-way dedications at the time of development.



**Criteria 1.10, 1.11, and 3.0**

**Annexation Ordinance Section 11:** Upon annexation, the annexation territory shall be assigned zoning classifications in accordance with the adopted Comprehensive Plan Map, as shown in the City's Annexation Zoning Matrix. Such zoning assignments in and of themselves are not a zoning map change and shall not require approval of a zoning map amendment, or a separate proceeding.

**Annexation Ordinance Section 12:** If a zoning designation other than one in accordance with the Comprehensive Plan Map (shown in the Annexation Zoning Matrix) is requested by an applicant, the zoning requested shall not be granted until the Comprehensive Plan Map is appropriately amended to reflect concurrence. Such an amendment shall require a separate application, hearing and decision, which may be held concurrently with an annexation hearing and will not become effective until the annexation is complete.

**Zoning Ordinance Section 3.050 – Zoning of Annexed Areas:** All areas annexed to the City shall be placed in a zoning classification in accordance with the adopted Comprehensive Plan. If a zoning designation other than one in accordance with the Comprehensive Plan is requested by an applicant, the zoning requested shall not be granted until the plan is amended to reflect concurrence.

**Finding # 11:**

This proposed Annexation is in compliance with Annexation Ordinance Sections 11 and 12, and Zoning Ordinance Section 3.310. Currently the subject property does not have a City zoning designation because it is not within the City limits. However, since the property is within the City's Urban Growth Boundary, the current Comprehensive Plan designation on the subject property is Mixed Use (C-MU). The corresponding City zoning designation for a Comprehensive Plan designation of Mixed Use (C-MU) is Mixed Use (MU or Z-MU). The applicant is requesting a Mixed Use (MU or Z-MU) zoning designation for the subject property. Therefore, a City zoning designation of Mixed Use (MU or Z-MU) can automatically be assigned at this time, without a separate hearing. This action is NOT a zoning map amendment.

**Criterion 1.14**

**Annexation Ordinance Section 15:** At the applicant's discretion and with the City's concurrence, a development or redevelopment proposal for an annexation territory may be acted upon by the Planning Commission immediately following the Commission's hearing on the annexation proposal and a decision of recommendation of approval to the City Council. However, any approval of the Planning Commission of such a development or redevelopment proposal must be contingent upon subsequent approval of the annexation by City Council.

**Finding # 12:**

The proposed annexation complies with Annexation Ordinance Section 15 in that no development proposal has been submitted at this time.