CANBY CITY COUNCIL REGULAR SESSION AUGUST 2, 2000

Mayor Scott Taylor presiding. Council members present Walt Daniels, Barry Lucas, Roger Harris, Randy Carson, Shirley Strong and Terry Prince.

Also present: City Administrator Mark Adcock, Community Development Director Jerry Pineau, Planning Director John Williams, Project Planner Matilda Deas, Police Chief Jerry Giger, City Attorney John Kelley, Lt. Kenneth Pagano, Marty Moretty, David Howell, Donna Borges, Nancy Murphy, Judy Wilcox, Kathryn Lewelling, Craig Lewelling, Elroy Knutson, Jeff Robison, Craig Finden, Terry N. Tolls, Bud Roberts, Eric VanZandt, Leighton Perkins, Lisa Weygandt, and Curtis and Lila Gottman.

Mayor Taylor called the regular session to order at 7:40 p.m., followed by the opening ceremonies.

CITIZEN INPUT ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS: Donna Borges, residing at 12200 S. Adkins Circle, Canby, thanked everyone who participated in the <u>Public Transportation System</u> public meeting held July 25th at the Adult Center. It proved to be very successful; about 50 people attended the meeting and she gave special thanks to the public who came. Three guests came from other communities, Wilsonville and Sandy, and spoke about their transportation systems. Their input stimulated a lot of discussion. Nineteen people signed up for the task force which will start meeting in September going over some of the issues that were talked about at the public meeting as well as the results of the survey. She also reminded people to turn in their surveys. Ms. Borges will be serving as the chair of the Task Force; the Council will be appointing the task force in September.

SPECIAL PRESENTATION: General Canby Day Recognition - The Mayor recognized the committee for their hard work and asked that Chairman Judy Wilcox introduce all the committee members. Those present were Donna Borges and Nancy Murphy. The Council was thanked for their support. Donna Borges expressed how successful the festivities were this year along with exceptional attendance. There were over 60 food booths, as well as 83 cars in the parade; all age groups were well represented with the girls AAA softball dunk tank and the 3 on 3 basketball tournament drawing in a lot of young people. A special invitation went out to the community to anyone interested in serving on the General Canby Day Committee. As the event grows, they always welcome new members.

CONSENT AGENDA: **Councilor Prince moved to adopt the consent agenda: minutes of the regular meeting, July 19, 2000; accounts payable in the amount of \$168,001.23; Annual Interagency Agreement Renewal 2000-2001 for Canby Adult Center, OCTS, Canby Community School and Canby Kids. Motion seconded by Councilor Strong.

Councilor Prince asked that the appointment to the Traffic Safety Committee be removed from the consent agenda by recommendation of the Traffic Safety Committee to allow them a few more weeks to ask for more applicants.

**The motion to adopt the consent agenda passed 6-0.

PUBLIC HEARING: ANN 00-01, Knutson Annexation -

Mayor Taylor opened the public hearing at 7:48 p.m.

Mayor Taylor read the public hearing format. Elroy Knutson is the applicant requesting 1.7 acres be annexed into the City. No proponents or opponents wished to address the hearing body.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST:

Councilor Carson - No conflict, plan to participate. Council Prince - No conflict, plan to participate. Councilor Harris - No conflict, plan to participate. Mayor Taylor - No conflict, plan to participate. Councilor Daniels - No conflict, plan to participate. Councilor Lucas - No conflict, plan to participate. Councilor Strong - No conflict, plan to participate.

EX"PARTE CONTACT:

Councilor Carson -driven by site.

Councilor Prince - driven by site, drew no conclusions.

Councilor Harris - drives by site regularly.

Mayor Taylor -driven by site regularly.

Councilor Daniels - driven by site.

Councilor Lucas - driven by site.

Councilor Strong - driven by site.

STAFF REPORT:

Project Planner Matilda Deas presented the staff report to annex two tax lots 200 and 300 of tax map 3-1E-28DB totaling 1.7 acres into the city limits. Properties are located on the south side of NE 22nd Avenue between N Locust and N Maple Streets. The Planning Commission voted 6-0 to approve the annexation with the understanding set forth in findings. If annexed into the city, it would come in as R-1 low density residential. These properties are an island as they are surrounded by property already annexed into the City except for the property across NE 22nd Avenue which is out of the Urban Growth Boundary. The properties are priority A for annexation; the soil is Canderly sandy loam and very suitable for development. The site is relatively flat draining from west to east with less than a 2% slope.

The western most lot addressed as 591 has one single family unit with attached garage, barn and well and the eastern lot addressed as 597 with a single family home with attached garage, shop

and well. No development is being proposed with this application at this time however, if development were to occur at the current development standards, they could develop approximately 6-8 new dwelling units.

There were some public facility issues discussed during the Planning Commission dialogue. One is that NE 22nd Avenue is a collector and the City states that a collector must have a 60 foot right-of-way. Currently the right-of-way is 50 feet so in the future if development occurs, additional right-of-way will need to be dedicated in addition to any frontage improvements that will be required. The County considers this a local road so they defer to the City's standards on this issue.

There was also some discussion about the intersection improvements at 99E and Territorial. The 1998 feasibility study indicated that the improvements would be upwards of \$1.5 million and that the intersection has to be negotiated between ODOT, Clackamas County and the City of Canby and no funding at this time has been designated. The City has not in the past denied development based on the particular problems that may be at 99E and Territorial. Planning Commission and staff find that this application meets all the requirements in the criteria. It is Priority A designation, property is small and not in agricultural production, access is adequate, and will be further improved by the improvements of the roadway in conjunction with future development. The City and other service- providing entities have the capability to provide the area with urban level services upon further development in compliance with other City ordinances and policies, complies with all applicable sections of the ORS, no identified natural hazards, no adverse effect on open space, scenic, historic or natural resources, and no adverse economic impacts likely to result. Therefore, city staff and Planning Commission recommend that the Council approve ANN 00-01 and direct staff to return with written findings and ballot language at the next available regular meeting of the City Council. Note that on page 24 there is a typographical error in the Planning Commission recommendation; the annexation number should read 00-01 instead of 99-01.

Councilor Harris asked if there was any opposing testimony before the Planning Commission and Ms. Deas replied that there was none.

Councilor Lucas wanted to clarify that the additional 10 feet of street width would be needed at the time of property development.

Mayor Taylor asked if there were any people who had arrived at this time who wished to make any testimony and hearing none, opened and closed public testimony.

Mayor Taylor closed the public hearing at 7:59 pm.

DISCUSSION: None.

**Councilor Harris moved to approve ANN 00-01,, a request to annex 2 tax lots totaling 1.7 acres into the City Limits, and direct staff to return with written findings and ballot language at the August 16, 2000 regular meeting of the City Council. Motion seconded by

Councilor Lucas and passed 6-0.

Councilor Harris stated that the time lines were such as to be on the November 2000 ballot.

COMMUNICATIONS:

Administrator Adcock reported that a request for street closures and Kiddie Kapers parade information had been received from the Kiwanis after the packets had gone out. The Police and Public Works Departments have been notified and will handle all the necessary arrangements. The parade will be from 8am-10am August 15, 2000 and will end at the Clackamas County Fairgrounds signaling the opening of this year's fair.

** Councilor Daniels moved seconded to allow Kiwanis to hold the Kiddie Kapers parade through downtown Canby and direct staff to take care of proper street closures. Motion seconded by Councilor Lucas and passed 6-0.

Mayor Taylor announced the parade to the listening audience and Councilor Prince also reminded them that children riding in the parade would get in free that day.

NEW BUSINESS: City of Canby/Canby Urban Renewal Agency Special Public Works Fund Grant Application - Community Development Director Jerry Pineau requested direction from the City Council to submit an application under a special public works fund program for obtaining a loan to do part of S. Sequoia and SE 4th Avenue. It is being requested at this time since there is at least a sixty day wait to obtain feedback from Oregon Economic and Community Development Department once submitted to see if they are interested in loaning funds for the project. At that time we would go into a contractual arrangement. The loan process is such that the City would be the one entering into the agreement but the Urban Renewal District would be responsible for making the payments so the City would not have a general obligation. The same proposal to go ahead is also before the Urban Renewal Agency. There are two reasons for the timeliness; at this time there are two potential business customers with the ability to build S. Sequoia and SE 4th and in order to deal with them the commitment needs to be made that we are proceeding forward with plans for that area. The second reason towards timeliness is that the property owners involved at the Sequoia and 4th location are very close to an agreement of what they want to do. There are some issues of going through an area that is not annexed but waiting for the annexation is prolonging the process for the owners and the potential developers. There is no commitment from the City or the Agency; only when an obligation is established after coming back from OECDD, at this point it is a request to Council for the go-ahead to put in an application to apply for the grant.

**Councilor Daniels moved to direct city staff to apply to the Oregon Economic and Community Development Department for a City of Canby /Canby Urban Renewal Agency Special Public Works Fund Program Loan for South Sequoia Parkway and Southeast 4th Avenue Infrastructure Improvements. Motion seconded by Councilor Carson and passed 6-0.

Councilor Prince expressed waiting until after the annexation election before putting Urban Renewal District funds to it.

Councilor Strong asked whether there had been a discussion about where the roads were going to go. Mayor Taylor remembered that in their last discussion about this issue there had been a general plan of how they were going to go and where they would be intersected. Jerry Pineau had been directed to go to the landowners in that area and at this time Mr. Pineau has indicated that the owners are very close to agreeing on the pieces that go into that intersection. The intent was that if the landowners were in agreement, the Council would be also. Since consensus among the landowners on this issue appears close, this would be an appropriate time to apply. This will come back to Council for official approval.

Councilor Prince reconfirmed that the area being discussed was from Sequoia down to NE 4th and not the area south of 4th at Walnut which has not been decided on.

Councilor Carson stated that this was in keeping with the Agency Advisory Committee's discussions about their priorities at SE 4th and Redwood as well as SE 4th and Sequoia. The Mayor mentioned that there was a written recommendation from this committee that these were their top two priorities.

Councilor Harris questioned the time frame to expect to hear back and when the Council would be committing to an obligation.

Mr. Pineau stated that the earliest would be sometime in October. That time will occur sometime after annexation.

Mayor Taylor expressed the reason he would like to see the Council go ahead at this time is the need to put in the infrastructure if it is to be developed. Putting in for the grant at least gives us a head start in trying to get it placed and then the decisions will come later about what can or cannot be done.

Councilor Prince felt that because we did not go to the voters about the Urban Renewal District, the annexation is the only chance the voters have to decide whether or not they want this to happen. If they say "no", that says "no" to URD.

Councilor Strong clarified that if it was decided not to do it, the loan would not be accepted; Jerry Pineau concurred that was correct.

<u>Discussion Regarding Term Limits for City Boards and Committees</u> - Attorney John Kelley has provided a document of all the committees and their varying language and differing term limits. The purpose of the request was to determine if Council thought term limits were appropriate and if any action would be taken.

After review, Mayor Taylor does not see the purpose for the term limits. The Council appoints the members, the members then volunteer and serve. If there were a time when someone was no

longer appropriate to serve, the option would be not to reappoint. When some committees such as the Planning Commission dealing with serious land use issues have no term limits, it appears inconsistent to limit volunteers on other committees when they are willing to dedicate their time and service.

Councilor Lucas reiterated that the Canby Utility Board is very time consuming with complicated issues and reading. It takes a long time to understand the workings of the Board and the term limits come at about the time that a member has become seasoned to the position. At this time there are two openings because of the term limitations and these are proving hard to fill. The members who had to leave because of term limitations would have been willing to stay on and serve.

Councilor Carson stated that all the committees are open to the public and anyone showing interest would be thoughtfully considered when a position on that committee became available.

Councilor Harris doesn't want to risk losing good members willing to serve by limiting the terms. There is a further risk that those volunteers who have to leave a committee due to term limits will find something else to do to fill their time and might be lost to the city's committees and some are hard to fill. His recommendation would be to undo the term limitations on all of the committees.

Councilor Daniels had brought this to Administrator Adcock's attention when valuable members of the Traffic Safety Committee had to give up their appointments due to term limitations.

Councilor Lucas wanted to reiterate that these people would still come up for reappointment and didn't see it as a problem at all.

City Attorney John Kelley stated that all the committees that are controlled by Canby Municipal Code can be changed by amending language and passed by ordinance. The difficult one would be the Canby Utility Board which requires a Charter amendment and a vote of the people. Mr. Kelley will research the process and give Council a more definitive time line for taking it to a vote of the people. The Mayor did not feel that this change would warrant a special election.

Councilor Prince asked that the City Attorney research that it fit with the Canby Utility's idea of what a commission like this should look like and run it by the Utility Board. After a brief conversation with the Canby Utility Board Chairman, the Mayor felt that he would be open to the same concept.

Attorney Kelley asked if there were any consideration on residency or length of terms. Mayor Taylor has no interest in changing residency; the policy to date has been that the Council weigh each application as it comes before them and base their decision on an individual basis. City Attorney pointed out that some of the boards/committees required a majority to be city residents. Councilor Strong felt the majority should be residents within the city limits of Canby or the Urban Growth Boundary and not have people outside the city limits making decisions for Canby citizens.

Councilor Harris said his preference was that the Council retain the option to make the decision with every appointment rather than writing it into an ordinance and obligating future councils. The Council gave Mr. Kelley directions to make the necessary language changes without term limits but to leave the language regarding residency alone.

ORDINANCES & RESOLUTIONS: None.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS: Status Report on Industrial Area Zoning Process and Issues - Planning Director John Williams explained that during the annexation process the uses allowed in the industrial area needed to be in place soon after or before the annexation takes place. So a process has been put together where that issue can be looked at as fast as possible while still getting a broad base of citizen, Council and Planning Commission input.

John Williams wanted to bring up a couple of issues - what are the allowed uses and what are the conditional issues in the industrial zone. The industrial park east of the logging road is covered by the master plan and the master plan created an overlay zone. The overlay zone established new design standards, sign ordinance requirements, and new design review matrix, but it did not address any uses or further restrict the uses that are permitted in the underlying zones. There are three zones allowed in that area - light industrial, heavy industrial and heavy commercial/manufacturing; but the overlay zone did not create a more restrictive list of uses. So as applications come in, they will be looking at them based on those zones. So a question is do we need to change the zoning in the Industrial Park or should we simply modify the underlying zones? If there are concerns about uses, maybe we are concerned about them city wide and not just in the industrial area. The other issue is just looking at the uses themselves. The problem with changing uses is that things come down the road that you did not expect and you've either zoned good things out or you've zoned bad things in. Sometimes it becomes difficult to come up with comprehensive language. Part of this process has been to come up with language from other cities; obviously there is a broad range but it is being compiled and a recommendation will be made.

Councilor Daniels questioned whether we could eliminate heavy industrial. John Williams cited that it could be eliminated from that area; the only other piece of it is by the batch plant. Essentially by doing that you would be eliminating that entire class of use from the City itself. There are no outright uses permitted in heavy industrial. There is also a heavy industrial matrix that addresses pollution, local employment, employment patterns, noise, etc. There is nothing additionally allowed outright in that zone so there is still quite a bit of control but eliminating the zone is an option.

Councilor Prince cited that when the comprehensive plan was put together it was signed off by the State and one of the things signed off was the mix of uses. Depending on how weighted the State feels that the heavy industrial is, depends on how they'll view us getting rid of it. He has no problem wanting to get rid of it, the question is will the State think it is enough of a plan change to make a difference. Mr. Williams agreed that the State will not let us do whatever we want, they do have appeal power.

Mayor Taylor feels that what is valuable in this dialog is to remember that some of the concerns are not founded when you really walk through what the process is or what our current authority is to stop certain things from happening.

Planning Director Williams has started to set up a process to have open meetings that property owners, citizens, Council and Commission would be able to attend. The Planning Commission would like to hold a workshop scheduled for August 14 at 6:00 pm in the Council Chambers before the Planning Commission meeting to have open discussion on uses allowed in industrial areas raising ideas and concerns. Zoning changes usually start with the Planning Commission but he felt there might be an interest in having a joint workshop since the interest level is high on this issue.

Councilor Lucas was hoping there would still be a general meeting with the Commission as discussed in a previous meeting but it might not be possible before the joint workshop now being discussed on this specific issue.

The Mayor would like for it to be a joint workshop as Mr. Williams is proposing with the Council, Planning Commission and citizens and property owners. Since the Council will have to end up making the final rulings, it would be valuable to be there jointly with them.

Councilor Harris would also like to attend either as a citizen or as a Councilor.

Councilor Prince felt that as the liaison that it would be a good idea as it also cuts down the time line. There is still a need to schedule a separate meeting with Planning Commission.

They will decide after getting a sense of participation if the meeting will need to be moved to an alternate site, or if it can still be held in the Council Chambers since it was planned to be televised.

The process after that meeting, depending on the level of consensus, would be that more meetings can be planned or a land use application can be written and presented. On the assumption that consensus comes from that meeting, the formal land use application can be drawn up which through the hearing process will culminate on an October 4, 2000 Council hearing decision. The changes would then go into effect if the emergency were declared. An advantage is that we are in Periodic Review and during this process there has to be minimal notice to State agencies.

Councilor Prince recommended that the State be contacted about the heavy industrial issue and hopefully there could be a decision by the State within the next two weeks before the scheduled meeting on August 14, 2000.

Mayor Taylor asked that we talk about the types of things that could come in, what could and could not be controlled through conditional and design review processes. He asked Mr. Williams to give a ten minute talk to lay the ground rules to make the discussion move much faster.

Councilor Prince had previously suggested that the northern part of Phase 2 is an area of concern using it as commercial rather than industrial and have this looked into due to the topography of the area. Mr. Williams stated there were 10 acres of small commercial acreage left along SE 1st Avenue. Property owners are supportive in screening out uses that no one wants.

Councilor Harris wanted the Planning Commission to input suggestions and after speaking with Planning Commissioner Tallman she felt there were weaknesses in the Municipal Code that needed to be addressed; prepare answers to some of her ideas.

MANAGER'S REPORT: Skate Park Grand Opening - Director of Parks and Recreation Beth Saul reported that the Skate Park is on schedule rather than ahead of schedule so that the Grand Opening needs to be set closer to the middle of September tentatively Saturday, September 16th with plans for a "soft opening" and grand opening later. Mayor Taylor had passed by and was in awe of the size. When the cement is poured, he wants to be assured that there is a plan to prevent graffiti - surveillance would be a good idea as well as the program initiated for the Bike and Pedestrian Path Task Force to deal with removing the graffiti as quickly as possible. The festivities will start around noon with skating competitions, contests and bands, prizes are being planned. Councilor Daniels wondered if there could be professional skaters to show how it's done; Director Saul said they were trying to contact Mountain Dew and other similar groups to come and take part.

13th Avenue Park Planning is drawing to a conclusion and there will be another meeting Thursday, August 3, 2000 at 7pm at the Adult Center. Greg Higgins on the Park and Rec Board went out to the entire neighborhood handing out leaflets and meeting notification was sent to all those who have attended previous meetings. Walker Macy will be bringing back a drawing that hopefully incorporates all the ideas, concerns and desires of all the stakeholder groups for all those around the Ackerman, Adult Center, Swim Center area. It is hoped that everyone will be in agreement as to the best plan. It will then be presented formally to the City Council and the School Board and getting both to buy off on the project. If that happens, then there will be an approved master plan for that area and with it will be cost estimates and phasing ideas. From that point onward then we can work in partnership or separately to try to put pieces of it together or try to do it all at once, however it works out.

Next Tuesday, August 8, 2000, 7 p.m. at the Adult Center will be an initial meeting of the Park Master Planning. It will be for general Park Master Plan update planning and all the stakeholders in the planning process as well as the public are invited to attend. The graduate students from the University of Oregon will be on hand once again to gather information on what we need to do to make our current Park Master Plan better and more up to date. They will have additional meetings after that, some of which Project Planner Matilda Deas is arranging to fit with some of the other master planning that is currently going on.

Ms. Saul announced that there are several openings on the Park and Recreation Advisory Board. If term limits are changed, there are some who would probably be willing to stay on although there has been a new application received.

The Park and Recreation Advisory Board thought that the new skate park could be named through a naming contest. That is being considered, if it is desirable by the Council, and since the grand opening or dedication would not be happening until sometime during the school year, it could be promoted in the schools, get a judging committee together and have fun picking a name.

A letter from Marilyn Lippincott from Oregon State Parks states that we are on the approved list for our grant to go ahead with the acquisition of the rest of the logging road and one lot at the Willamette River. The Trust for Public Land is still wanting to stay involved in helping move the acquisition. They are still very committed in trying to find a way to get the rest of the property in that area into public ownership as well. In the meantime, for this particular acquisition perhaps a workshop with Sam Hoder would be advisable on the first meeting in September. At this time there does not seem to be a time line for when anything has to be signed; there is a two year period for completion of the project.

<u>Canby Public Transit Project Update</u> was given by Community Development Director Jerry Pineau. The July 25th Public Transportation System meeting was very successful and had a list of issues that came out of the meeting. This will have a direct impact on individuals so it will need thoughtful consideration; filling out the surveys will be extremely beneficial to the task force.

Mayor Taylor explained that we went to the Legislature and asked for the opportunity to remove ourselves from TriMet and use that funding to develop our own bus line and transportation system. This newly formed task force is trying to shape this system for us with the hope for more than just a line to and from Oregon City but also inner-city transportation. This is a request for participation to all areas of the public to have input. Wilsonville and Sandy have already put in their local systems so they were invited into our planning process.

COUNCILORS' ISSUES:

Roger Harris briefly spoke on the upcoming visit of students from Kurisawa, Canby's Sister City. They will be arriving on August 8th with Nancy Wilmes co-ordinating the visit and events. There will be further notice on the specifics on the times and activities.

Councilor Harris also referred to the newspaper article in the *Canby Herald* regarding the parking issues along Knights Bridge Road. The site is the best in the area for swimming and the situation has become unacceptable with no parking along the road. It's impractical to ask families to park in residential areas and walk with children having to cross Knights Bridge and down to the swimming hole. ODOT locks the gate to the area that used to be open and used for parking. The obvious answer is that something needs to be done to facilitate the opening of the gate to allow parking.

Lila Gottman member of the Bike & Pedestrian Committee announced that at the last Traffic Safety Committee meeting that it was brought up that there be joint effort between those two committees to come up with a way to gain control of that property from ODOT and turn it into a

parking lot.

Councilor Lucas knows that the trash problem there when the gate is open creates a funding problem - it costs money to pick up the constant trash and garbage at the site, therefore the gate has remained locked.

Mayor Taylor feels it makes more sense to work with ODOT to find a way to open the gate and deal with the related problems and alleviate the parking problem along Knights Bridge. It might mean putting trash containers down there or running our crews down there to pick up trash

Councilor Daniels has lived in that area for over 30 years and it has been a continual problem. Burms were created to protect the river area but soon broken down by users to get 4-wheel drive vehicles into the river; broken glass, guns shot, dumping mattresses, noise, etc. The steel headers associations at one time tried to create the area for launching boats but it was too hard to control so the lock got put on.

Mayor Taylor requested that we find out what the real issues are so we can deal with the solutions.

Councilor Lucas suggested that Kurt Schrader with the Blue Heron Recreation District be contacted because at one time there was talk of having that property donated to them.

Councilor Strong questioned if there were marked bike lanes on Knights Bridge coming into down. City Attorney Kelley had driven past and announced that there were no bike lane markings stenciled on either side of Knights Bridge.

Councilor Harris announced that an informal working group met a few weeks ago to brainstorm about what could be done about downtown. CBR, the Chamber are all working in different aspects of downtown revitalization and he knows someone who has worked specifically with helping communities revitalize their downtown areas. He has offered to do a walk around in Canby if we can provide him with some background information. A possible date to get together with him for another brainstorming session would be Saturday, September 23rd.

Matilda Deas reported that this would be an opportunity to co-ordinate this group with the downtown redevelopment design workshops and be folded into the broader effort. With her efforts through the grant process, there has been co-ordinating with CBR and a public meeting including thirty or so stakeholders has recently met. They have just completed a walk around also so this would be a great opportunity to get input from more groups. Design workshops are coming up on August 29-31; a market analysis, facade street streets capes and master plan for downtown are all efforts that can use input from all the groups.

Councilor Carson reported on the 3 on 3 basketball tournament. It was tied in with the Cruise-In and the merchants sidewalk sales and proved to be a great success. There were about sixty teams. It's being planned again for bigger and better next year.

ACTION REVIEW:

- 1. Approving the Consent Agenda, removing the Traffic Safety Committee appointments to a future agenda consistent with the Traffic Safety Committee's recommendation.
- 2. Approving ANN 00-01, bringing back ballot language and findings of public hearing.
- 3. Approving by motion street closure for Kiddie Kapers Parade.
- 4. Approving for staff to move forward with loan application for Special Public Works Fund for Sequoia and SE 4th Avenue.
- 5. Directing City Attorney to bring back amended code language relative to term limits on city boards and commissions and begin approaching through Charter amendments where applicable.
- 6. Set up joint workshop with Council/Planning Commission August 14, 2000 and future workshop at a date to be determined as soon as possible.
- 7. Skate Park opening date will be announced soon.
- 8. Meeting to be arranged with Mr. Sam Hoder with Trust for Public Lands and Council.
- 9. Information will be forthcoming on Kurisawa students visit.
- 10. Work on action plan/solution to parking issue on Knights Bridge Road.

**Councilor Daniels moved to go into Executive Session under ORS 192.660 1(h) pending litigation and 1(i) performance of a public officer. Motion seconded by Councilor Prince and passed 6-0.

Mayor Taylor recessed the regular session at 9:10 p.m.

Mayor Taylor reconvened the regular session and immediately adjourned the session at 9:35 p.m.

Mayor Taylor adjourned the session at 9:36 p.m.

AUGUST 2, 2000

Present: Mayor Scott Taylor, Councilors Barry Lucas, Roger Harris, Randy Carson, Terry Prince, Shirley Strong, and Walt Daniels, City Attorney John Kelley, Police Chief Jerry Giger, Police Lt. Kenneth Pagano and City Administrator Mark Adcock.

Mayor Taylor called the session to order at 9:15 p.m.

ORS 192.660 1(h) - The Council discussed Faltus vs. City of Canby.
ORS 192-660 1(i) - The Council discussed the disability retirement for a police officer.

Mayor Taylor adjourned the session at 9:33 p.m.

Chaunee F. Seyried

Chaunee Seifried, City Recorder pro tem Walt Daniels Council President

Wast Danies

Prepared by Marty Moretty, Office Specialist