From: WILLIAMS Karen
To: "Vaupel, Claudia"
Cc: Infrastructure2018
Subject: OR Interstate Transport: Maintenance vs Nonattainment clarification
Date: Monday, August 06, 2018 12:23:19

Claudia,

I am working on revising Oregon Ozone Interstate Transport SIP in response to EPA's comments, in particular the table of nonattainment and maintenance areas to which OR has the highest contributions. EPA commented that in this table, DEQ should identify which areas are nonattainment and which are maintenance. The tables in Attachment C from EPA's March 2018 memo do not make this distinction but the memo text includes this description:

"…Specifically, EPA identified nonattainment receptors as those monitoring sites with current measured values exceeding the NAAQS that also have projected (i.e. , in 2023) average design values exceeding the NAAQS. EPA identified maintenance receptors as those monitoring sites with maximum design values exceeding the NAAQS. This included sites with current measured values below the NAAQS with projected average and maximum design values exceeding the NAAQS, and monitoring sites with projected average design values below the NAAQS but with projected maximum design values exceeding the NAAQS..."

Based my interpretation of EPA's description in the March 2018 memo, I do not think I have all the measurements necessary to label the designation of these receptors. For the following receptors, can you provide me EPA's designation of either maintenance or nonattainment?

Site ID	County in California	
60670012	Sacramento	
60990006	Stanislaus	
60675003	Sacramento	
60470003	Merced	
61072010	Tulare	
60290232	Kern	
60290007	Kern	
60190242	Fresno	
60290008	Kern	
60290014	Kern	
60392010	Madera	

Thank you.

Karen Font Williams | Air Quality Planner
DEQ Air Quality Division
700 NE Multnomah St., Ste. 600 | Portland, OR 97232
(503) 229 - 5519