From:	WILLIAMS Karen
To:	HNIDEY Emil; ORMAN Michael
Cc:	Infrastructure2018
Subject:	RE: Ozone SIP submittal letter
Date:	Friday, September 14, 2018 15:57:12

Thanks, Emil. I see no errors or omissions in the letter, though the language EPA recommended sounds stilted and awkward to me (compared to our more conversational letter about Regional Haze). One note to be aware of – the staff report posted on the EQC website has a typo in the recommendation to the EQC. The revision to OAR 340-0200-0040 we asked them to adopt is on page 20 of the report, not 21. This was corrected on the presentation slides in the meeting and said correctly (referencing page 20). I don't know if this detail matters for the SIP submission, but if so, it might be good to get the official record from Stephanie. Thanks again for pulling all this together.

Karen Font Williams | Air Quality Planner
DEQ Air Quality Division
700 NE Multnomah St., Ste. 600 | Portland, OR 97232
(503) 229 - 5519

From: HNIDEY Emil
Sent: Friday, September 14, 2018 2:51 PM
To: ORMAN Michael <ORMAN.Michael@deq.state.or.us>; WILLIAMS Karen <WILLIAMS.Karen@deq.state.or.us>
Cc: Infrastructure2018 <Infrastructure2018@deq.state.or.us>
Subject: Ozone SIP submittal letter

Hello,

I'm working on the SIP submittal letter for the Ozone rulemaking and would like you to look it over if interested. I'm trying a new format based on examples from Alaska that EPA sent me and want to make sure that I'm wording things correctly. I've attached an old letter as a reference for what we have been sending them.

I think that they want us to structure our letters differently because they sent me the Alaska examples unsolicited. I've also sent this to Christi Duboiski to make sure that I'm on the right track.

Thanks!

Emil