# **Attachment A Fiscal Impact Calculations**

## DEQ Art Glass Permanent Rule Fiscal Impact Estimate for proposed rule- Bullseye Glass Company

| R                              | ullseye - Tier 2  |                       | 1  |
|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|
|                                |   | on all furnaces using | 1  |
|                                | Install control device on all furnaces using metal HAPs If using chrome:                        |                       |  |
| Requirements summary           |   |                       |  |
| Trequirements summary          | ry source test & modeling to develop daily & annual max usage  Then follow the max usage limits |                       |  |
|                                |   |                       |  |
|                                | Cost Estimate   |                       |  |
|                                | low   | high                  |  |
| Permitting costs               | IOW   | ı ıııgıı              | J  |
| NESHAP 6S applies?             | \   | Υ                     | ]  |
| Needs Title V permit           |   | -                     |  |
| because of 6S                  | `   | Y                     |  |
| Cost of Title V application    |   |                       | If a facility needs a Title V due to NESHAP        |
| (including DEQ fees +          | \$25,000  | \$100,000             | 6S, that is independent of this art glass rule, so |
| consultant to prepare)         |   |                       | this cost isn't included in the totals.            |
| Annual DEQ Title V permit      |   |                       | If a facility needs a Title V due to NESHAP        |
| costs                          | \$10,310  | \$11,510              | 6S, that is independent of this art glass rule, so |
|                                |   |                       | this cost isn't included in the totals.            |
| Incremental extra cost of      |   |                       | Assume preparing the permit application            |
| Title V application due to art | \$0   | \$5,000               | would cost 0% to 5% more because of the            |
| glass rule                     |   |                       | incremental addition of the proposed rules.        |
| Incremental extra cost of      |   |                       | The proposed rules would not increase the          |
| Title V annual permit fees     | \$0   | \$0                   | annual permit fees if the facility would have a    |
| due to art glass rule          |   |                       | Title V anyway.                                    |
| Number of Control Devices      | 1   |                       |  |
| # of additional baghouses      |   |                       |  |
| installed, over and above      |   |                       | This is uncertain because changes to comply        |
| what would have been           | 0   | 2                     | with NESHAP 6S are happening at the same           |
| installed due to NESHAP        |   |                       | time as efforts to comply with this rule.          |
| 6S alone                       |   |                       |  |
| Cost Per Control Device        |   |                       | 7  |
| Install baghouse               | \$250,000   | \$400,000             |  |
| One-time source test to        |   |                       | Assume length of run depends on detection          |
| demonstrate 99% PM             | \$4,000   | \$15,000              | limits, does not have to be entire production      |
| control efficiency             |   |                       | run to show capture efficiency.                    |
| Annual operation               | \$15,000  | \$70,000              | Electricity, bag replacement etc                   |
| Annual cost to monitor and     | \$12,000  | \$17,000              |  |
| report on baghouse to DEQ      | Ψ12,000   | Ψ17,000               |  |
| Total one-time costs per       | \$254,000   | \$415,000             |  |
| baghouse                       | Ψ20 1,000   | Ψ1.5,555              | -  |
| Total annual costs per         | \$27,000  | \$87,000              |  |
| baghouse Source Testing Costs  |   |                       | J  |
| Source Testing Costs           |   |                       | 1  |
| One-time source test to        |   |                       | A 161-44   |
| measure Cr6 emissions          | <b>#</b> 00.000   | <b>#05.000</b>        | Assume 16 hr test runs. May be able to run         |
| when making products           | \$60,000  | \$65,000              | concurrently with 99% control efficiency test,     |
| containing Cr3 or Cr6          |   |                       | reducing cost.                                     |
| Modeling Costs                 | <u> </u>  | <u> </u>              | _  |
| One-time modeling to find ma   |   | results in acceptable |  |
|                                | urce impact level   |                       |  |
| AERSCREEN model only           | \$10,000  | -                     | -  |
| AERSCREEN followed by          | -   | \$30,000              |  |
| AERMOD model                   |   |                       |  |

| Bullseye - Tier 2    |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
|                      | Install control device on all furnaces using metal HAPs If using chrome: |  |  |  |
| Requirements summary | source test & modeling to develop daily &                                |  |  |  |
|                      | annual max usage   |  |  |  |
|                      | Then follow the max usage limits   |  |  |  |
|                      | Cost Estimate  |  |  |  |
|                      | low high   |  |  |  |

**Total Costs** 

# If 0 additional baghouses installed

| One-time costs                      | \$70,000  | \$100,000 |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| Annual costs                        | \$0       | \$0       |  |  |
| If 2 additional baghouses installed |           |           |  |  |
| One-time costs                      | \$578,000 | \$930,000 |  |  |
| Annual costs                        | \$54,000  | \$174,000 |  |  |

## DEQ Art Glass Permanent Rule Fiscal Impact Estimate for proposed rule- Uroboros Glass Studios, Inc.

| Ur  | oboros - Tier 2  |                                       | ]  |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| Requirements summary  | Install control device on all furnaces using metal HAPs If using chrome: source test & modeling to develop daily & annual max usage  Then follow the max usage limits  Cost Estimate |                                       |  |
|   |  |                                       |  |
|   | low  | stimate<br>high                       |  |
| Permitting costs  | IOW  | ıligii                                |  |
| NESHAP 6S applies?  |  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ]  |
| Needs Title V permit because of 6S  |  | ·<br>(                                |  |
| Cost of Title V application<br>(including DEQ fees +<br>consultant to prepare)                            | \$15,000   | \$55,000                              | If a facility needs a Title V due to NESHAP 6S, that is independent of this art glass rule, so this cost isn't included in the totals.                         |
| Annual DEQ Title V permit costs   | \$8,500  | \$8,500                               | If a facility needs a Title V due to NESHAP 6S, that is independent of this art glass rule, so this cost isn't included in the totals.                         |
| Incremental extra cost of Title V application due to art glass rule                                       | \$0  | \$3,000                               | Assume preparing the permit application would cost 0% to 5% more because of the incremental addition of the proposed rules. (Rounded to the nearest thousand.) |
| Incremental extra cost of Title V annual permit fees due to art glass rule                                | \$0  | \$0                                   | The proposed rules would not increase the annual permit fees if the facility would have a Title V anyway.  |
| <b>Number of Control Devices</b>  |  |                                       |  |
| # of additional baghouses installed, over and above what would have been installed due to NESHAP 6S alone | 0  | 1                                     | This is uncertain because changes to comply with NESHAP 6S are happening at the same time as efforts to comply with this rule.                                 |
| Cost Per Control Device   |  |                                       |  |
| Install baghouse  | \$355,000  | \$610,000                             |  |
| One-time source test to demonstrate 99% PM control efficiency   | Included in source   | testing cost below                    | Assume length of run depends on detection limits, does not have to be entire production run to show capture efficiency.  |
| Annual operation  | \$15,000   | \$70,000                              | Electricity, bag replacement etc   |
| Annual cost to monitor and report on baghouse to DEQ  | \$12,000   | \$17,000                              |  |
| Total one-time costs per baghouse   | \$355,000  | \$610,000                             |  |
| Total annual costs per baghouse   | \$27,000   | \$87,000                              |  |
| Source Testing Costs  |  |                                       | 1  |
| One-time source test to measure Cr6 emissions when making products containing Cr3 or Cr6                  | \$56,000   | \$56,000                              |  |
| Modeling Costs  |  |                                       | 1  |
| One-time modeling to find ma  | ax production rate that<br>urce impact level   |                                       |  |
| AERSCREEN model only  | \$10,000   | -                                     |  |
| AERSCREEN followed by AERMOD model  | -  | \$30,000                              |  |

| Uroboros - Tier 2    |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Requirements summary | Install control device on all furnaces using metal HAPs If using chrome: source test & modeling to develop daily & |  |  |  |
| ,                    | annual max usage   |  |  |  |
|                      | Then follow the max usage limits   |  |  |  |
|                      | Cost Estimate  |  |  |  |
|                      | low high   |  |  |  |

**Total Costs** 

If 0 additional baghouses installed

| One-time costs                     | \$66,000  | \$89,000  |  |  |
|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| Annual costs                       | \$0       | \$0       |  |  |
| If 1 additional baghouse installed |           |           |  |  |
| One-time costs                     | \$421,000 | \$699,000 |  |  |
| Annual costs                       | \$27,000  | \$97,000  |  |  |

#### DEQ Art Glass Permanent Rule Fiscal Impact Estimate for proposed rule- Tier 1 CAGM

| Tier 1 (Northstar, Trautman and Glass Alchemy)                              |   |                  |  |               |                               |                                       |
|---|---|------------------|--|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|   | Do 1 of these at all furnaces: Install control device, OR source test & |                  |  |               |                               |                                       |
| Requirements summary modeling to show impact below limits, OR request permi |   |                  |  |               | dition to not use             |                                       |
|   | metal HAPs  |                  |  |               |                               |                                       |
|   | Cost Estimate   |                  |  |               |                               |                                       |
|   | If installing control device  |                  | If doing source test and modeling only |               | If taking permit condition to |                                       |
|   | _   |                  |  |               | stop using m                  |                                       |
| Parmitting costs  | low   | high             | low                                    | high          | low                           | high                                  |
| Permitting costs  NESHAP 6S applies?  |   | ١                | N                                      |               | N                             |                                       |
| Rule would require facility to get  |   |                  |  |               |                               |                                       |
| new permit  | Yes, A  | ACDP             | Yes, ACDP                              |               | Yes, ACDP                     |                                       |
| Application Fee   | \$7,200   | \$7,200          | \$7,200                                | \$7,200       | \$7,200                       | \$7,200                               |
| Consultant to prepare application   | -   | -                | -                                      | -             | -                             | -                                     |
| Annual Permit Fee (applies at   |   |                  |  |               |                               |                                       |
| time of application and each year   | \$4,608   | \$4,608          | \$4,608                                | \$4,608       | \$4,608                       | \$4,608                               |
| after)  |   |                  |  |               |                               |                                       |
| Control Device Costs  |   |                  |  |               |                               |                                       |
| Install baghouse  | \$250,000   | \$400,000        | -                                      | -             | -                             | -                                     |
| Annual operation (electricity, bag  | \$15,000  | \$70,000         | _                                      | _             | -                             | _                                     |
| replacement, etc)   | Ψ.ο,σσσ   | <b>4</b> 1 0,000 |  |               |                               |                                       |
| Reporting Costs   |   |                  |  |               |                               |                                       |
| Annual cost to monitor and report on baghouse to DEQ                        | \$12,000  | \$17,000         | -                                      | -             | -                             | -                                     |
| Source Testing Costs  |   |                  |  |               |                               |                                       |
| One-time source test to measure   |   |                  |  |               |                               |                                       |
| metal emissions including total   |   |                  |  |               |                               |                                       |
| Cr. (Total Cr can be used as a  | -   | -                | \$15,000                               | \$25,000      | -                             | -                                     |
| proxy for Cr6)  |   |                  |  |               |                               |                                       |
| One-time source test to measure   | If Tior 1 c   | and uning        |  |               |                               |                                       |
| Cr6 emissions when making   | If Tier 1 a   | vice, don't      | \$0                                    | \$65,000      | _                             | _                                     |
| products containing Cr3   |   | est for Cr6      | ΨΟ                                     | ψ05,000       |                               |                                       |
| (optional)  | 110101010   |                  |  |               |                               |                                       |
| One-time source test to   | <b>#</b> 4.000  | <b>045.000</b>   |  |               |                               |                                       |
| demonstrate 99% PM control  | \$4,000   | \$15,000         | -                                      | -             | -                             | -                                     |
| efficiency  Modeling Costs  |   |                  |  |               |                               |                                       |
| One-time modeling to fi   | nd may nro  | duction rat      | e that resu                            | Its in accent | ahle source impa              | rt level                              |
| AERSCREEN model only  | -   | -                | \$10,000                               | -             | -                             | -                                     |
| AERSCREEN followed by   |   |                  | Ψ10,000                                | <b>^</b>      |                               |                                       |
| AERMOD model  | -   | -                | -                                      | \$30,000      | -                             | -                                     |
| Cost of reduced production  |   |                  |  |               |                               |                                       |
| Stopping production of materials  |   |                  |  |               | About 1/2 of prod             | ucts contain metal                    |
| containing Cr6 (required to take  |   |                  | unknown                                | unknown       | HAPs. There may               |                                       |
| source test + modeling  | _   | -                | ulikilowii                             | UIIKIIOWII    | substitute formul             | ations. Facilities                    |
| exemption)  |   |                  |  |               | may choose to pl              |                                       |
| Reduced production if source  |   |                  |  |               | few metal HAPs                |                                       |
| testing shows it's needed to meet   | -   | -                | unknown                                | unknown       | choose source te              |                                       |
| receptor conc limits  |   |                  |  |               | installation of a             | control device.                       |
| Total Costs   | ¢264 200  | ¢422.200         | ¢22.200                                | ¢427 200      | <u></u> ቀ7 000                | Ф <b>Т</b> 200                        |
| One-time costs  |   |                  |  |               |                               |                                       |
| Annual costs  | \$4,608   |                  |  |               |                               | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| One-time costs (rounded)  |   |                  |  |               |                               |                                       |
| Annual costs (rounded)  | \$32,000  | \$92,000         | \$5,000                                | \$5,000       | 30% OF 18CII                  | ty profit (?)                         |