From: WESTERSUND Joe
To: GOLDSTEIN Meyer
Cc: airquality2016p
Subject: airquality2016p- draft outreach plan for permanent air toxics rulemaking
Date: Thursday, March 17, 2016 11:42:33

Hi Meyer,

I had a meeting with Leah and others yesterday to plan outreach for the permanent air toxics rulemaking. Some info about what we / I are thinking is below- would you have time to take a look sometime today? We could have a quick call to discuss.

-Joe

----------draft below----------
Hi All,

Thank you for a productive meeting yesterday. We developed a structure for outreach for the permanent air toxics rulemaking. I've tried to summarize that below, and expand with some details.

Please comment and let me know if this fits how you were thinking about it.

Air Toxics 101 and Rulemaking 101
Educational public meeting, **April 2016**
One meeting in Portland, broadcast on web and then posted on YouTube?
Could repeat in another city if there is concentrated interest.
This content should also go onto a well-designed DEQ website.
Public meeting for members of the public who want to learn more about:

- What are air toxics?
- How are air toxics currently regulated in Oregon?
- What is DEQ planning to change? [Doing rulemaking to create human health risk-based permitting system. Can explain what that means, if more detail is known at this time.]
- How does DEQ rulemaking work?
- What opportunities does the public have to get involved?

This content covered by presentations from DEQ staff or others.
Public can ask questions about the content during or after presentations, but this isn't for public input on solutions or Portland metals specifically.

How WA and CA regulate air toxics
Educational public meeting(s), **May 2016?**
One meeting in Portland, broadcast on web and posted on YouTube.
(Probably hard to get guest speakers to travel for repeated lectures.)
Public meeting for members of the public who want to learn more about:

- How does Washington regulate air toxics?
- How does California regulate air toxics?
- Include other states, if their models are different and DEQ is considering them as an option

DEQ to invite presenters from other states to talk about their models. DEQ should prepare a whitepaper comparing the different models.
Public can ask questions about the content during or after presentations, but this isn't for public input on solutions or Portland metals specifically.

Public input on WA and CA approaches to air toxics
Public input meeting(s), **May 2016?**
Multiple meetings held statewide?
Public meeting for members of the public who want to give their feedback on the WA and CA air toxics models

- Would the WA model be right for Oregon?
- Would the CA model be right for Oregon?
- Are there features of other state programs that Oregon should adopt

DEQ will provide short intro (PowerPoint, video clips of previous meeting, etc) summarizing the content from previous meeting. May also hand out whitepaper.
Public can make oral comments, ask questions of DEQ staff, or submit written comments via email, Facebook event site, or twitter hashtag.
DEQ will consider comments to identify themes and issues but will not formally review or summarize.
Invite to this meeting should reference video of previous and include whitepaper summary.

Stakeholder Workgroup
DEQ-assembled group to comment on policy tradeoffs and options, and issues raised by public in public input meeting.
Multiple meetings, **May 2016 to Fall 2017(?)**. Public can attend but not comment.
Workgroup members give information and opinions to DEQ. Consensus or disagreement will be noted but result is not binding on DEQ.

Technical Workgroup
DEQ-assembled group to answer technical questions
Multiple meetings, **May 2016 to Fall 2017(?)**. Public can attend but not comment.
Use the Air Toxics Science Advisory Committee (ATSAC) or the subset of ATSAC that are available. ATSAC has 7 members, of which 2 are planning to resign soon. Other members may or may not be willing to take on this increased work scope. Susan MacMillan is our key contact with ATSAC.
Workgroup members give information and opinions to DEQ. Consensus or disagreement will be noted but result is not binding on DEQ.

Public Comment Forum
Public input meetings, **Fall 2017?**
Multiple meetings held statewide
Once draft rule language is announced,
This is the public involvement session required for rulemaking.
Public can give oral comments or submit written comments. DEQ reviews comments, summarizes and responds as required for rulemaking process.

Fiscal Advisory Committee

DEQ-assembled group, members nominated by Agency Director. Reviews rule's fiscal impact statement.

Multiple meetings, **Fall 2017?** Public can attend but not comment.

Follows rules and structure as required for rulemaking process.

-Joe

Joe Westersund
Dry Cleaner Program Coordinator
Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
811 SW 6th Avenue, Portland, OR 97204
(503) 229-6240 office
westersund.joe@deq.state.or.us
Usual Schedule: 8am - 5pm, M-F

To send to: FELDON Leah <FELDON.Leah@deq.state.or.us>; MONRO David <MONRO.David@deq.state.or.us>; GRABHAM Cheryl <Grabham.Cheryl@deq.state.or.us>; LANGSTON Sue <LANGSTON.Sue@deq.state.or.us>; INAHARA Jill <INAHARA.Jill@deq.state.or.us>