From: WESTERSUND Joe
To: DAVIS George
Cc: INAHARA Jill; artglass2016
Subject: art glass fiscal adv comm meeting this Friday
Date: Monday, June 06, 2016 3:39:31 PM

Hi George,

We're having a fiscal advisory committee meeting for the permanent art glass rule on Friday, 2-4pm in EQC-A. There were some permitting questions that came up last time, so it'd be helpful if you can be there.

I believe I sent an Outlook invite, let me know if resending would be helpful.

I'm sending a draft fiscal statement to the committee at the end of the day today and you're welcome to review if you have time.

-Joe

From: INAHARA Jill
Sent: Monday, June 06, 2016 3:26 PM
To: WESTERSUND Joe <WESTERSUND.Joe@deq.state.or.us>
Subject: RE: 2nd draft of art glass permanent rulemaking fiscal impact analysis

I was out most of Friday, Joe….sorry I didn't get to this earlier. It looks good to me.

Did George decline to come to the meeting? I didn't see it on his calendar. If he's coming, you might want to send to him for review.

Thank you and good job on this!
Jill

From: WESTERSUND Joe
Sent: Monday, June 06, 2016 3:13 PM
To: FELDON Leah; PALERMO Jaclyn; INAHARA Jill
Cc: KAUTH Dave; GRUNOW Greg; HNIDEY Emil; artglass2016
Subject: FW: 2nd draft of art glass permanent rulemaking fiscal impact analysis

Hi All,

Getting ready to email this updated draft fiscal analysis out to the committee at 5pm. Let me know if you have any comments you'd like me to consider before then.

-Joe

From: WESTERSUND Joe

Sent: Friday, June 03, 2016 5:05 PM
To: FELDON Leah <FELDON.Leah@deq.state.or.us>; INAHARA Jill <INAHARA.Jill@deq.state.or.us>; PALERMO Jaclyn <PALERMO.Jaclyn@deq.state.or.us>
Cc: KAUTH Dave <KAUTH.Dave@deq.state.or.us>; GRUNOW Greg <GRUNOW.Greg@deq.state.or.us>; HNIDEY Emil <HNIDEY.Emil@deq.state.or.us>; artglass2016 <artglass2016@deq.state.or.us>
Subject: 2nd draft of art glass permanent rulemaking fiscal impact analysis

Hi Leah, Jill and Jaclyn,

I've revised the draft fiscal impact statement for the art glass rulemaking, based on comments from the fiscal advisory committee and new information since then.

Biggest changes:

- Calculations and narrative now have facility-specific estimates for Bullseye and Uroboros
- Calculations and narrative now include a range on # of baghouses installed per facility, to reflect uncertainty about the baseline (how many baghouses Bullseye and Uroboros would install due to NESHAP 6S alone).
    - Before, I assumed this rule would cause install of 1 new baghouse per facility. New assumption (# of new baghouses due to this rule):
        - Bullseye, zero to 2
        - Uroboros, zero to 1
        - All the Tier 1s: one new baghouse
- Narrative includes new text about estimating avoided health costs. I still don't quantify it, but mention that OHA is working on Public Health Assessments and reference the Clean Air Act stats a committee member mentioned. David Farrer has offered to review this section on Monday.

Anyway, new draft is attached. I hope to send this to the committee and ask Emil to post to the website at the end of the day on Monday. Let me know if you want to review & if you have any comments.

Thank you!

-Joe

Joe Westersund
Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
811 SW 6th Avenue, Portland, OR 97204
(503) 229-6240 office
westersund.joe@deq.state.or.us
Usual Schedule: 8am - 5pm, M-F