From: WESTERSUND Joe
To: CALDERA Stephanie
Cc: artglass2016
Subject: change to fiscal impact statement between public notice & EQC proposal
Date: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 12:35:36 PM

Hi Stephanie,

I was re-reading your email below and noticed the text that I've highlighted in yellow.

We did modify the fiscal impact statement between the public notice and the draft proposal you have in hand. One of the rule changes (adding a requirement for baghouse leak detection or HEPA filters) would change the fiscal impact somewhat, so I made that change to the fiscal impact calculation tables (staff report attachment A) and I updated the numbers where that is quoted in the staff report text. We did include a note about this in the staff report text:

> After fiscal advisory committee review and the public comment period, requirements for baghouse leak detection or HEPA afterfilter systems were added to this rule proposal. The fiscal impact estimates discussed above for Tier 2 CAGMs have been increased by a range of $10,000 to $30,000 per baghouse to reflect the new rule requirements. Fiscal impact estimates for Tier 1 CAGMs were not affected because they can choose either a 'grain loading' source test or a baghouse leak detection system or a HEPA afterfilter.

We talked about this with Paul Garrahan and my understanding is that we're allowed to make this type of change in this situation.

If that's not your understanding let me know.

-Joe

From: CALDERA Stephanie
Sent: Friday, September 16, 2016 1:09 PM
To: SHEPHERD Pete <SHEPHERD.Pete@deq.state.or.us>; ALDRICH Greg <ALDRICH.Greg@deq.state.or.us>
Cc: WESTERSUND Joe <WESTERSUND.Joe@deq.state.or.us>; PALERMO Jaclyn <PALERMO.Jaclyn@deq.state.or.us>; FLYNT Jennifer <FLYNT.Jennifer@deq.state.or.us>
Subject: EQC: Art glass rules for review/approval
Importance: High

Pete and Greg,

I have attached four documents for your review and Pete's approval: Staff report (Word format, to allow for any necessary edits/changes) and three attachments (PDFs - no content can be edited in these without re-review by DOJ and staff). Joe and Jaclyn, please note that the "key to understanding changes" is gone from the markup docs - due to formatting and working on different machines, this wasn't consistent (the "redline" was purple for me, for example). We can state what the changes mean at the meeting, if needed.

I have reviewed and edited for overall tone/style guide conformity and the usual typo spotting. I made no substantive changes to any of the policy statement or other significant content. To note, I did not make any edits other than typographical corrections after page four/with the Statement of Need section, as that material was previously published with the public notice and we do not want to modify that record (and, legally, can't change what we've already issued without making that known, per administrative rules/processes).

Please review the staff report and let me know if you see any errors/typos, would like to make any edits, or, in the absence of those, if this final report has Pete's approval.

Once I get the approval, I will save all documents as PDF, email immediately to the commission and involved staff and ask that our web team post the documents today so I can send notice to the EQC's GovDelivery list. We will update on Monday (there are some formatting things I can't do with the version of Adobe I have on this laptop, so I will be adding the Bates numbers and re-uploading to make it easier for folks to follow along, and also have an updated listing on the website with call-in info if we can't secure the number by time of posting today).

Thanks, and please let me know if you have any questions.
- Stephanie