From:	CALDERA Stephanie
To:	PALERMO Jaclyn; WESTERSUND Joe
Cc:	HNIDEY Emil; artglass2016
Subject:	RE: ArtGlass2016 - EQC Packet GovDelivery
Date:	Monday, September 19, 2016 9:05:47 AM

Hi, all.

Sorry for the delay - this didn't come through on my webmail last week.

I have not seen comments or anything from Pete - i'm on my way I to the office (been working from home), so I may have paper edits when I land. Please let me know if Pete has sent you comments or edits or other communications.

I'll check in before noon today so we can make a plan.

Thanks!
- Stephanie

_____
From: PALERMO Jaclyn
Sent: Sunday, September 18, 2016 4:46 PM
To: WESTERSUND Joe
Cc: CALDERA Stephanie; HNIDEY Emil; artglass2016
Subject: RE: ArtGlass2016 - EQC Packet GovDelivery

Stephanie, did you get comments back from Pete or does he go directly to us if there are suggested changes?

Sent via the Samsung GALAXY S® 5, an AT&T 4G LTE smartphone

-------- Original message --------
From: WESTERSUND Joe <WESTERSUND.Joe@deq.state.or.us>
Date: 9/16/16 4:44 PM (GMT-08:00)
To: PALERMO Jaclyn <PALERMO.Jaclyn@deq.state.or.us>
Cc: CALDERA Stephanie <caldera.stephanie@deq.state.or.us>, HNIDEY Emil <HNIDEY.Emil@deq.state.or.us>, artglass2016 <artglass2016@deq.state.or.us>
Subject: RE: ArtGlass2016 - EQC Packet GovDelivery

The plan was for Stephanie to post it to the EQC page and Stephanie and Emil to send out GovDelivery notices today. If Pete has suggested changes then that likely won't happen today.

Can we set up a meeting with him ASAP to hear his comments?

-Joe

From: PALERMO Jaclyn
Sent: Friday, September 16, 2016 4:32 PM
To: HNIDEY Emil <HNIDEY.Emil@deq.state.or.us>; WESTERSUND Joe <WESTERSUND.Joe@deq.state.or.us>
Subject: RE: ArtGlass2016 - EQC Packet GovDelivery

You are not planning on posting today, correct? I was just given a heads up that there are suggested changes by Pete.

From: HNIDEY Emil
Sent: Friday, September 16, 2016 4:27 PM
To: WESTERSUND Joe
Cc: artglass2016; PALERMO Jaclyn
Subject: RE: ArtGlass2016 - EQC Packet GovDelivery

I made edits to the GovDelivery notice<http://deqsps/programs/rulemaking/lq/airtoxics2016/docs/artglass2016.EQCPacket.GovDelivery.docx>. Look it over and make any changes you think are needed.

Thanks.

Emil

From: WESTERSUND Joe
Sent: Friday, September 16, 2016 4:05 PM
To: HNIDEY Emil <HNIDEY.Emil@deq.state.or.us<mailto:HNIDEY.Emil@deq.state.or.us>>
Cc: artglass2016 <artglass2016@deq.state.or.us<mailto:artglass2016@deq.state.or.us>>; artglass2016 <artglass2016@deq.state.or.us<mailto:artglass2016@deq.state.or.us>>
Subject: RE: ArtGlass2016 - EQC Packet GovDelivery

Looks like the GovDelivery notice needs to be revised for these few points. Let me know if you prefer to do that or would like me to.

-Joe

From: CALDERA Stephanie
Sent: Friday, September 16, 2016 3:25 PM
To: WESTERSUND Joe <WESTERSUND.Joe@deq.state.or.us<mailto:WESTERSUND.Joe@deq.state.or.us>>; HNIDEY Emil <HNIDEY.Emil@deq.state.or.us<mailto:HNIDEY.Emil@deq.state.or.us>>
Cc: artglass2016 <artglass2016@deq.state.or.us<mailto:artglass2016@deq.state.or.us>>; PALERMO Jaclyn <PALERMO.Jaclyn@deq.state.or.us<mailto:PALERMO.Jaclyn@deq.state.or.us>>
Subject: RE: ArtGlass2016 - EQC Packet GovDelivery

Thanks, all.

I am waiting for confirmation back from the director on his approval...and, looking at timing, that may mean we don't post/issue for GovDelivery until Monday. I will send the PDFs as individual files to commissioners regardless of when I get the okay, but know that the web team typically needs at least an hour to do their work and we may not hit that if I don't hear from Pete soon.

I would encourage anyone posting to just link to the EQC meetings page - that way if we make any modifications to the direct-posted PDFs they links are still valid. That page is:
http://www.oregon.gov/deq/EQC/Pages/EQCMeetings.aspx

Joe, you are correct that the commission can not take any public comment on the proposed rules at the Sept. 29, 2016, special meeting (starting at 9 a.m. in room EQC-A at headquarters). If they chose to do so, they would have to officially re-open their public comment period (they have the authority to do this, but it's not advised by DOJ) and make that re-opening known...again, not a path they are likely to take nor have they indicated they are interested in that path at this time. I will let you know ASAP if I receive any information otherwise.

That restriction is based on the OARs and ORSs that govern commission business - the commission may not take public comment on any rule proposal for which a comment period has been held and closed. The commission may, at any time, reopen a public comment opportunity, but the agenda for this meeting is strictly to consider the rule proposal and includes no public comment opportunity.

I am working away from the office and can't access SharePoint - if you need me to review anything please send it in an email.

Thanks!
- Stephanie

From: WESTERSUND Joe
Sent: Friday, September 16, 2016 3:14 PM
To: HNIDEY Emil
Cc: artglass2016; PALERMO Jaclyn; CALDERA Stephanie; artglass2016
Subject: RE: ArtGlass2016 - EQC Packet GovDelivery
Hi Emil,

Thank you for putting this together. A couple of comments:

- If the rules are posted as a separate document from the staff report, can you include links to both?

- Last I heard (a week ago, from Stephanie?) EQC will not accept public comment at the hearing, because we already took public comment for this rulemaking. Do you have newer info?

- Do we have confirmation that the EQC meeting is 9am Sept. 29, 2016, EQC-A?

Stephanie, can you confirm those last two?

-Joe

From: HNIDEY Emil
Sent: Friday, September 16, 2016 2:58 PM
To: WESTERSUND Joe <WESTERSUND.Joe@deq.state.or.us<mailto:WESTERSUND.Joe@deq.state.or.us>>
Cc: artglass2016 <artglass2016@deq.state.or.us<mailto:artglass2016@deq.state.or.us>>; PALERMO Jaclyn <PALERMO.Jaclyn@deq.state.or.us<mailto:PALERMO.Jaclyn@deq.state.or.us>>
Subject: ArtGlass2016 - EQC Packet GovDelivery

Hello Joe,

I put together a draft GovDelivery<http://deqsps/programs/rulemaking/lq/airtoxics2016/docs/artglass2016.EQCPacket.GovDelivery.docx> for when the EQC packet is ready/gets posted. It’s rough because I’m unsure of how much information you feel should be shared with this notice. I’ve placed it on the SharePoint<http://deqsps/programs/rulemaking/lq/airtoxics2016/default.aspx>.

Emil