From:	WESTERSUND Joe
To:	HNIDEY Emil
Cc:	artglass2016
Subject:	RE: draft fiscal impact statement for proposed permanent art glass rule
Date:	Tuesday, May 24, 2016 5:40:09 PM
Attachments:	fiscal advisory committee meeting agenda 5-27-2016.pdf art glass permanent rule draft fiscal impact narrative and calcs 5-24-2016.pdf proposed rules.pdf ORS 183.540 Reduction of economic impact on small business.pdf

---

Hi Emil,

The agenda is ready to post to the website- PDF version attached.

I've also attached the handouts I listed in the agenda- can we put those up too?

I didn't use the fiscal template on Sharepoint (yet), because it seems to be for use after the committee has met. It has a section for listing the committee's recommendations.

-Joe

From: HNIDEY Emil
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2016 8:35 AM
To: WESTERSUND Joe <WESTERSUND.Joe@deq.state.or.us>
Cc: artglass2016 <artglass2016@deq.state.or.us>
Subject: RE: draft fiscal impact statement for proposed permanent art glass rule

Hello Joe,

We should post the draft fiscal to the website sometime before the meeting as well as the agenda. There is a template for the draft fiscal and agenda on SharePoint. We don't have an official deadline of when these should be posted so anytime this week should be fine.

Emil

From: WESTERSUND Joe
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2016 8:25 AM
To: HNIDEY Emil <HNIDEY.Emil@deq.state.or.us>
Subject: FW: draft fiscal impact statement for proposed permanent art glass rule

Hi Emil,

Apologies- I forgot to cc you on this email below.

Should we post the draft fiscal on our website before Friday's committee meeting? I might have revisions today or tomorrow, I have a few people to talk to.

-Joe

From: WESTERSUND Joe
Sent: Friday, May 20, 2016 6:12 PM
To: FELDON Leah <FELDON.Leah@deq.state.or.us>; INAHARA Jill <INAHARA.Jill@deq.state.or.us>; GARRAHAN Paul <Paul.GARRAHAN@state.or.us>
Subject: FW: draft fiscal impact statement for proposed permanent art glass rule

FYI- draft has been sent to committee.

From: WESTERSUND Joe
Sent: Friday, May 20, 2016 6:08 PM
To: Abe Fleishman (abef@northstarglass.com) <abef@northstarglass.com>; Al Hooton (al@glassalchemy.com) <al@glassalchemy.com>; Chris Winter (chris@crag.org) <chris@crag.org>; Eric Durrin (ericdurrin@bullseyeglass.com) <ericdurrin@bullseyeglass.com>; Jacob Sherman (jdbsherman@gmail.com) <jdbsherman@gmail.com>; Mark Riskedahl (riskedahl@gmail.com) <riskedahl@gmail.com>; Paul Trautman (ptrautman@gmail.com) <ptrautman@gmail.com>; Amanda Jarman (amanda@fundraisingnerds.com) <amanda@fundraisingnerd.com>
Subject: draft fiscal impact statement for proposed permanent art glass rule

Greetings, Advisory Committee members,

As you know, our meeting is next week:

Friday, May 27th
9am – noon
DEQ Headquarters Building
Conference Room EQC-A (10th floor)
811 SW 6th Avenue
Portland OR 97204

I've attached two documents: DEQ's draft fiscal impact narrative and a spreadsheet with accompanying calculations. The compliance costs include many facility-specific factors that are not known to DEQ, so we've produced an estimate for Tier 1 facilities and an estimate for Tier 2 facilities. An exact calculation is likely not feasible, but DEQ does want to provide good information to the public and the EQC so they can consider the rule.

Currently, DEQ is not proposing changes to the existing, temporary art glass rule other than making it permanent. So, we're comparing a scenario where the temporary rule is made permanent with an alternate scenario where the temporary rule is allowed to expire. As a reference, I've attached a copy of the current temporary rules that were adopted by the EQC in April and modified in May.

Please familiarize yourself with these materials before the meeting and make note of your questions

and ideas for making them better, and also any additional information you would like to supply to DEQ.

As you know, our work together on this committee is one part of a larger public involvement process. During Friday's meeting DEQ will ask committee members to provide recommendations related to these specific questions:

- Would the proposed rules have a fiscal impact?
- What is the extent of that impact?
- Would the rules have a significant adverse impact on small businesses?
    - If so, then are there ways to reduce that impact consistent with the public health and safety purpose of the rule?

The public, including you, will have a chance to weigh in on broader questions during the public comment period in June and July.

One more note: in addition to the current members of the committee, DEQ is adding one additional member, Amanda Jarman of the Eastside Portland Air Coalition.

I'll email early next week with an agenda and more information about the meeting. Feel free to contact me if you have questions or concerns before then.

Thank you for your participation on this committee,

-Joe

Joe Westersund
Dry Cleaner Program Coordinator
Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
811 SW 6th Avenue, Portland, OR 97204
(503) 229-6240 office
westersund.joe@deq.state.or.us
Usual Schedule: 8am - 5pm, M-F