From:	WESTERSUND Joe
To:	"Abe Fleishman (abef@northstarglass.com)"; "Al Hooton (al@glassalchemy.com)"; "Chris Winter (chris@crag.org)"; "Eric Durrin (ericdurrin@bullseyeglass.com)"; "Jacob Sherman (jdbsherman@gmail.com)"; "Mark Riskedahl (riskedahl@gmail.com)"; "Paul Trautman (ptrautman@gmail.com)"
Cc:	artglass2016; HNIDEY Emil
Subject:	RE: data for estimating fiscal impact of the art glass rule
Date:	Wednesday, May 04, 2016 4:58:25 PM

Hi Committee Members,

In the email below I mentioned a question about whether data provided to DEQ to help with the fiscal impact analysis would / could become public.

It's possible that there is some mechanism for handling confidential data, but I haven't been able to confirm that. For now, let's proceed with the assumption that data you provide to me for the fiscal impact analysis could become public.

I'm hopeful that that's not a big impediment. The data that would be most useful right now is information about the cost of complying with these rules- installation and operation of controls, monitoring and reporting costs, source testing, etc. I imagine that's not 'competitive advantage' data, and sharing that may actually be a plus.

-Joe

From: WESTERSUND Joe
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 1:37 PM
To: 'Abe Fleishman (abef@northstarglass.com)' <abef@northstarglass.com>; 'Al Hooton (al@glassalchemy.com)' <al@glassalchemy.com>; 'Chris Winter (chris@crag.org)' <chris@crag.org>; 'Eric Durrin (ericdurrin@bullseyeglass.com)' <ericdurrin@bullseyeglass.com>; 'Jacob Sherman (jdbsherman@gmail.com)' <jdbsherman@gmail.com>; 'Mark Riskedahl (riskedahl@gmail.com)' <riskedahl@gmail.com>; 'Paul Trautman (ptrautman@gmail.com)' <ptrautman@gmail.com>
Cc: artglass2016 <artglass2016@deq.state.or.us>; HNIDEY Emil <HNIDEY.Emil@deq.state.or.us>
Subject: RE: data for estimating fiscal impact of the art glass rule

I was asked a good question- if a company provides data for the fiscal impact estimate, can that be kept private, or would it / could it become public? I'll find out and get back to you.

-Joe