From:	FELDON Leah
To:	WESTERSUND Joe
Cc:	artglass2016; KAUTH Dave; GRUNOW Greg; GARRAHAN Paul; PALERMO Jaclyn; HNIDEY Emil; INAHARA Jill
Subject:	RE: agenda for Friday"s advisory committee meeting
Date:	Wednesday, May 25, 2016 10:57:17 AM

I am absolutely available on call. I will be here and will not schedule other meetings during that time. I would appreciate coming in to help if there is an issue. I don't want this meeting to be disrupted. No one on the committee deserves to be in a disruptive meeting. I want everyone on that committee to feel comfortable giving their opinions.

I would also say, at the start of the meeting, that absolutely no outbursts or comment from those observing will be tolerated (in some better way than I just said). Even "exhaustive sighs" and the like will prevent people from wanting to speak and we have invited everyone's opinions. This is really important for setting the stage for what observers may and may not do.

Thank you!

From: WESTERSUND Joe
Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2016 9:46 AM
To: FELDON Leah
Cc: artglass2016; KAUTH Dave; GRUNOW Greg; GARRAHAN Paul; PALERMO Jaclyn; HNIDEY Emil; INAHARA Jill
Subject: RE: agenda for Friday's advisory committee meeting

Hi Leah,

Thank you for asking the question. If all goes well I should be able to handle it as facilitator. Particularly since Jill will be there too.

I don't see signs so far that this will be a contentious meeting, but it would help me if there were someone experienced with contentious meetings 'on call', in case something unexpected happens (audience members wanting to disrupt the meeting, etc).

You've been up front through a lot of contentious meetings, and you know the parties involved. Would you be willing to be 'on call' to come by in case we need some help? That might be all the backup we need.

-Joe

From: FELDON Leah
Sent: Tuesday, May 24, 2016 8:39 PM
To: WESTERSUND Joe <WESTERSUND.Joe@deq.state.or.us>; HNIDEY Emil <HNIDEY.Emil@deq.state.or.us>; INAHARA Jill <INAHARA.Jill@deq.state.or.us>
Cc: artglass2016 <artglass2016@deq.state.or.us>; KAUTH Dave <KAUTH.Dave@deq.state.or.us>; GRUNOW Greg <GRUNOW.Greg@deq.state.or.us>; GARRAHAN Paul <Paul.GARRAHAN@state.or.us>; PALERMO Jaclyn <PALERMO.Jaclyn@deq.state.or.us>

Subject: RE: agenda for Friday's advisory committee meeting

Hi Joe,

Let me know if you would like for me to attend this meeting and if so, in what role.

Thanks! Leah

From: WESTERSUND Joe
Sent: Tuesday, May 24, 2016 5:16 PM
To: HNIDEY Emil; INAHARA Jill; FELDON Leah
Cc: artglass2016; KAUTH Dave; GRUNOW Greg; GARRAHAN Paul; PALERMO Jaclyn
Subject: FW: agenda for Friday's advisory committee meeting

FYI- agenda & revised fiscal impact draft sent to the CAGM permanent rulemaking fiscal adv comm.

-Joe

From: WESTERSUND Joe
Sent: Tuesday, May 24, 2016 5:08 PM
To: Abe Fleishman (abef@northstarglass.com) <abef@northstarglass.com>; Al Hooton (al@glassalchemy.com) <al@glassalchemy.com>; Amanda Jarman - Eastside Portland Air Coalition (amanda@fundraisingnerd.com) <amanda@fundraisingnerd.com>; Chris Winter (chris@crag.org) <chris@crag.org>; Eric Durrin (ericdurrin@bullseyeglass.com) <ericdurrin@bullseyeglass.com>; Jacob Sherman (jdbsherman@gmail.com) <jdbsherman@gmail.com>; Mark Riskedahl (riskedahl@gmail.com) <riskedahl@gmail.com>; Paul Trautman (ptrautman@gmail.com) <ptrautman@gmail.com>
Cc: artglass2016 <artglass2016@deq.state.or.us>
Subject: agenda for Friday's advisory committee meeting

Greetings, Committee Members,

An agenda for Friday's meeting is attached. As noted, the biggest part of the meeting is discussing the draft fiscal impact calculation and narrative, and getting your recommendations on these four questions:

1. Would the rule have a fiscal impact?
2. If so, what is the extent of that impact?
3. Would the rule have a significant adverse impact on small businesses?
4. If so, can the economic input be reduced, consistent with the public health and safety purpose of the rule?

Asking a fiscal advisory committee these specific questions is a part of the formal rulemaking process. [ORS 183.333(3)] I plan to go around the table for each of these questions, so each committee member will have a chance to give their answer if they wish.

After the meeting I'll write up a Notice of Rulemaking, which will include a summary of the committee members' recommendations. DEQ will consider your recommendations but is not

required to adopt them. The Notice of Rulemaking will be part of the package that goes out for public comment and ultimately to the Environmental Quality Commission (EQC).

Advisory committee meetings are open for the public to attend, but not to participate in. I've set aside 20 minutes at the end of the meeting in case audience members want to ask questions or make comments. I'll encourage them to limit that to comments & questions about the fiscal impact, since the public comment period and public hearing will be coming up later.

I've also attached an updated version of the fiscal impact calculations and narrative. The main change is that ACPD permit fees for Tier 1 CAGMs are lower than in my previous estimate. I used the 'track changes' feature in the narrative to make it easier to see what's changed.

Feel free to call or email me if you have questions. I look forward to working with you on Friday- see you at 9am!

-Joe

Joe Westersund
Dry Cleaner Program Coordinator
Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
811 SW 6th Avenue, Portland, OR 97204
(503) 229-6240 office
westersund.joe@deq.state.or.us
Usual Schedule: 8am - 5pm, M-F