From:	WESTERSUND Joe
To:	DAVIS George
Cc:	PALERMO Jaclyn; artglass2016
Subject:	RE: Draft rules attached
Date:	Thursday, August 11, 2016 5:47:09 PM

That's good data- thank you for gathering that.

We probably need to re-discuss the proposed 5 tpy applicability number to see if it's still the right one.

-Joe

From: DAVIS George
Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2016 4:11 PM
To: WESTERSUND Joe <WESTERSUND.Joe@deq.state.or.us>
Subject: RE: Draft rules attached

One CAGM is between 9 and 10 tons, one is at 2 right now, and I haven't heard from the third one but they are probably between the other 2.

From: WESTERSUND Joe
Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2016 2:38 PM
To: DAVIS George <DAVIS.George@deq.state.or.us>
Subject: RE: Draft rules attached

Followup question: you said that one CAGM is less than 5 tpy. Does that mean that the other two are definitely above 5 tpy, or do we not know yet?

From: DAVIS George
Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2016 12:57 PM
To: WESTERSUND Joe <WESTERSUND.Joe@deq.state.or.us>
Subject: Draft rules attached

Joe,

The draft changes to the art glass rules are attached. This is based on the version in Sharepoint, but I did not work in Sharepoint because I can't access Sharepoint from home, where I worked yesterday. I leave it to you to put it in Sharepoint if you like, or to just send as is to everyone for first round of reviews.

Also attached is summary of the changes, just to ease the shock.

Finally, where do things stand on the RTC doc, and can I start writing some responses?

FYI, at least 2 of the small CAGMs are less than 10 tons per year, and one of them is less than 5 at this time but was over 5 before all this happened so production may increase once they are more sure of things.

Thanks,
George