From: WESTERSUND Joe
To: INAHARA Jill; DAVIS George
Cc: artglass2016
Subject: info for art glass permanent rule fiscal impact
Date: Monday, May 02, 2016 5:33:41 PM

Hi Jill and George,

I'm starting on the art glass permanent rule fiscal impact statement. It sounds like I need to compare these two situations:

- Scenario 1: Costs if no temp or permanent art glass rules adopted (and current DEQ/EPA NESHAP 6S applicability interpretation)
- Scenario 2: Costs if permanent art glass rule adopted (and current DEQ/EPA NESHAP 6S applicability interpretation)

Before I reach out to the 5 companies involved for their input, is there data DEQ already has that you can forward to me? Data that would be helpful:

- How many employees each company has
- How many total furnaces they have
- How many of those furnaces are subject to NESHAP 6S
- Other info about furnace throughput, which furnaces use metal HAP, which ones use chrome
- Who is my best contact for estimating permitting costs?
    - Bullseye and Uroboros if subject to NESHAP 6S will already be required to get Title V. Just need to estimate incremental additional permitting cost from art glass rules
    - Other 3 wouldn't need any permit without this rule, will now be required to get ACDP. Need to estimate total cost of new ACDP

Thank you for any info you can forward.

-Joe

Joe Westersund
Dry Cleaner Program Coordinator
Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
811 SW 6th Avenue, Portland, OR 97204
(503) 229-6240 office
westersund.joe@deq.state.or.us
Usual Schedule: 8am - 5pm, M-F