From: GOLDSTEIN Meyer
To: INAHARA Jill; WESTERSUND Joe; FELDON Leah; HNIDEY Emil
Cc: artglass2016
Subject: RE: for your review: draft agenda for Friday"s fiscal adv comm meeting
Date: Tuesday, May 24, 2016 10:57:38 AM

I don't know the background on this or what meeting we're talking about. But, there is no reason the public can't comment on what I am guessing is a fiscal impact statement. That statement does legally have to be in the public notice document so we do have to show it to the public. There is nothing that requires us to take any action in response to the public comments specifically about the fiscal. But if we get some I think we should include a response in our responses to comments.

Again, I'm not sure what kind of meeting this is. If its not a rule hearing, but some sort of informational meeting, its up to us to decide whether, how, and for how long to take public comments. The only meeting where we are legally required to allow the public to comment is at the actual rulemaking public hearing. The public meeting law gives the public the right to attend, but not to participate in, our meetings. The rulemaking hearing is an exception to that because it is the statutory opportunity for the public to provide input.

The public does not have a right to speak or participate in advisory committee meetings. It is discretionary with us or the committee whether to allow the public to participate in any way in an advisory committee meeting. The public only has the right to attend advisory committee meetings.

Please see this information:
http://deqsps/programs/rulemaking/SitePages/Advisory%20committees.aspx

---

From: INAHARA Jill
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2016 6:47 PM
To: WESTERSUND Joe; FELDON Leah; HNIDEY Emil
Cc: artglass2016; GOLDSTEIN Meyer
Subject: RE: for your review: draft agenda for Friday's fiscal adv comm meeting

Yes, we want to give people a chance to comment about the fiscal statement and the meeting they just sat through. It just looked like in your note that you were inviting comment on the fiscal during the public notice period. Meyer needs to weigh in on that.

From: WESTERSUND Joe
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2016 6:12 PM
To: INAHARA Jill; FELDON Leah; HNIDEY Emil
Cc: artglass2016; GOLDSTEIN Meyer
Subject: RE: for your review: draft agenda for Friday's fiscal adv comm meeting

Thank you for your comments & quick review, Jill.

My understanding is that we usually leave time at the end for audience members who want to say something. I was thinking that, for this meeting, I could encourage them to say something relevant

to the fiscal since that's what we'll have been talking about. I'm happy to describe that 'audience comment' section differently if there's a better way.

-Joe

From: INAHARA Jill
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2016 6:03 PM
To: WESTERSUND Joe <WESTERSUND.Joe@deq.state.or.us>; FELDON Leah <FELDON.Leah@deq.state.or.us>; HNIDEY Emil <HNIDEY.Emil@deq.state.or.us>
Cc: artglass2016 <artglass2016@deq.state.or.us>; GOLDSTEIN Meyer <Goldstein.Meyer@deq.state.or.us>
Subject: RE: for your review: draft agenda for Friday's fiscal adv comm meeting

This looks good, Joe! I only had one comment….I don't think we take comment on the fiscal and economic impact statement. Meyer? I remember hearing that we don't change the fiscal as a result of public comment, that that piece is not "open" for comment. The way you worded your **Note**, I took it as meaning they could comment on the fiscal. If that was not your intent, you may want to clarify a bit.

> Opportunity for audience member comments and questions about the fiscal impact of the proposed rules
> **Note:** the main opportunity for public comment will be in the public comment period (approx June 15 to July 29, 2016) and at the public hearing (mid-July 2016).

I'm pretty sure I'll be able to attend on Friday, Joe. If not, I will let you know ASAP. I'll reread the rules to try and remember what we did! I'll watch the committee and make sure people who have comments get a chance. I'll try and also draw others out if they aren't talking. This is assuming you have room at the table. If not, I'm happy to be an observer/helper.

Thanks!
Jill

From: WESTERSUND Joe
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2016 5:54 PM
To: INAHARA Jill; FELDON Leah; HNIDEY Emil
Cc: artglass2016
Subject: for your review: draft agenda for Friday's fiscal adv comm meeting

Hi All,

I'm writing to ask for your comments on my draft agenda for Friday's fiscal advisory committee meeting:
http://deqsps/programs/rulemaking/lq/airtoxics2016/docs/artglass2016%20ac%20agenda.docx

The agenda includes one attachment you haven't seen yet (attached as a Word doc above). The idea

behind including that is to add sideboards to the discussion on reducing small biz impacts.

I'm planning to facilitate the meeting (let me know if that's not the plan) and this is my first time at one of these. I appreciate any advice you have about how to structure and run the meeting.

If possible, **please send me your feedback by 5pm tomorrow (Tuesday)**. I hope to email this to the committee and ask Emil to post it to the website then.

-Joe

Joe Westersund
Dry Cleaner Program Coordinator
Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
811 SW 6th Avenue, Portland, OR 97204
(503) 229-6240 office
westersund.joe@deq.state.or.us
Usual Schedule: 8am - 5pm, M-F