From:	PALERMO Jaclyn
To:	GARRAHAN Paul; INAHARA Jill
Cc:	PALERMO Jaclyn; WESTERSUND Joe; FELDON Leah; artglass2016; SWAB Christopher; KAUTH Dave; DAVIS George; GRUNOW Greg; GARRAHAN Paul
Subject:	RE: art glass permanent rule: suggestion to lower applicability threshold & make statewide
Date:	Monday, June 13, 2016 8:10:26 AM

As Jill pointed out, Al Hooten of Alchemy Glass said it's still better to have a rule that regulates these small sources because they are still required to comply, even though DEQ might not be able to regulate this group. He acknowledged that it would just be a deterrent but that should be enough for most to cease operations. I might be mistaken, but I believe this is the first time that DEQ would intentionally add something to the rule that is known to be unenforceable, which seems like a policy directional shift. One that we should really think about and discuss further. Before drafting up language, who would the appropriate person(s) to weigh in on this shift?

-Jaclyn

-----Original Message-----
From: Garrahan Paul [mailto:paul.garrahan@state.or.us]
Sent: Monday, June 13, 2016 7:08 AM
To: INAHARA Jill
Cc: PALERMO Jaclyn; WESTERSUND Joe; FELDON Leah; artglass2016; SWAB Christopher; KAUTH Dave; DAVIS George; GRUNOW Greg; GARRAHAN Paul
Subject: Re: art glass permanent rule: suggestion to lower applicability threshold & make statewide

To answer Jill's question--Yes, you could say in the notice you're contemplating extending coverage to these additional sources and asking for public comment on that.

Paul Garrahan
Oregon Department of Justice
971.673.1943<tel:971.673.1943> (Tue, Thu, Fri) (Portland) 503.947.4593<tel:503.947.4493> (Mon, Wed) (Salem)
503.929.7553<tel:503.929.7553> (Mobile)

On Jun 11, 2016, at 8:16 AM, INAHARA Jill <jill.inahara@state.or.us<mailto:jill.inahara@state.or.us>> wrote:

Hi Everyone,

I'll answer for Joe because he probably has better things to do this weekend! Yes, it was unanimous that the whole committee (missing Mark Riskedall) wanted us to apply the colored art glass rule to the whole state and to lower the applicability threshold. I'm pretty sure Mark would agree with the rest of the committee.

Yes, we also encouraged committee members to include this in their comments during the public notice period. The reason why I think that is too late is because we won't be putting these smaller manufacturers on notice that they would be affected. I don't think we could make such a big change as a result of public comment because we wouldn't be giving these small sources appropriate notice. If we included it now in the public notice, they would know they could be regulated. Is it possible to say we are considering this as a possibility and then add it before we go to EQC, Paul?

I think it would be easy to get a cost estimate for a small baghouse for the fiscal and economic impact statement. I'm not sure if we would require source testing for those types of sources.

I'm a little concerned about waiting for the risk-based permitting program to regulate these small glass manufacturers. It would give them at least 2 more years of operating uncontrolled even if we put them in the first group. And since we don't know how we would set applicability thresholds for the whole program, I'm guessing we would just add them as a source category because I doubt very much that we would use such low production levels

to bring in any other sources into the program.

I know this will be a resource issue. Chris gave me a list of glass manufacturers in the whole state but I'm having problems with my VPN so I don't know how many potential sources this is. I'll forward that on after sending this email. I think Chris's list would give us an approximate idea of how many additional sources would be affected. Abe Fleishman of Northstar thought Katie McClintock of EPA had a list of these very small glass melters but she doesn't. The committee discussed the lack of resources. Al Hooten of Alchemy Glass said it's still better to have a rule that regulates these small sources because they are still required to comply, even though DEQ might not be able to get out to them right away. I think it would be fairly easy to develop a general permit for these sources.

Please let me know if you have any other questions.
Thanks,
Jill

From: FELDON Leah
Sent: Friday, June 10, 2016 5:41 PM
To: WESTERSUND Joe; PALERMO Jaclyn; INAHARA Jill
Cc: artglass2016; DAVIS George
Subject: RE: art glass permanent rule: suggestion to lower applicability threshold & make statewide

Thanks, Joe.

Jaclyn is lead, but I would recommend that we consider this and involve George Davis since he has the "implementation lens."

One question to start off and then let's get a meeting scheduled (and you probably won't be able to involve me due to my schedule, but that is okay as long as all of you connect)…

Was this recommendation one that every committee member agreed to by consensus?

Also, did we encourage committee members to submit their comments during the notice and comment period? (Another place where we can consider suggestions like these since this is somewhat out of the fiscal scope of work.) That might be the best time to consider given the time crunch.

Thanks, Leah

From: WESTERSUND Joe
Sent: Friday, June 10, 2016 5:01 PM
To: FELDON Leah; PALERMO Jaclyn; INAHARA Jill
Cc: artglass2016
Subject: art glass permanent rule: suggestion to lower applicability threshold & make statewide

Hi All,

FYI- at today's art glass fiscal advisory committee meeting, the committee suggested that the 10 tpy applicability threshold be lowered (perhaps to ~100 lbs), and the rule be made applicable statewide.

Apparently there are a growing number of people essentially making glass out of their garages, and committee members feel those emissions might be significant for the makers and their neighbors.

Jill thought that, if we wanted to consider these changes, it might have to be before public comment (ie next Wednesday 6/15).

Leah and Jaclyn, let me know if you're interested in sketching out what that type of change would look like.

-Joe

Joe Westersund
Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
811 SW 6th Avenue, Portland, OR 97204
(503) 229-6240 office
westersund.joe@deq.state.or.us<mailto:westersund.joe@deq.state.or.us><mailto:westersund.joe@deq.state.or.us>
Usual Schedule: 8am - 5pm, M-F

*****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE*****

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

****