From:	HNIDEY Emil
To:	WESTERSUND Joe
Cc:	artglass2016; PALERMO Jaclyn
Subject:	RE: ArtGlass2016 - EQC Packet GovDelivery
Date:	Friday, September 16, 2016 4:27:05 PM

I made edits to the GovDelivery notice. Look it over and make any changes you think are needed.

Thanks.

Emil

From: WESTERSUND Joe
Sent: Friday, September 16, 2016 4:05 PM
To: HNIDEY Emil <HNIDEY.Emil@deq.state.or.us>
Cc: artglass2016 <artglass2016@deq.state.or.us>; artglass2016 <artglass2016@deq.state.or.us>
Subject: RE: ArtGlass2016 - EQC Packet GovDelivery

Looks like the GovDelivery notice needs to be revised for these few points. Let me know if you prefer to do that or would like me to.

-Joe

From: CALDERA Stephanie
Sent: Friday, September 16, 2016 3:25 PM
To: WESTERSUND Joe <WESTERSUND.Joe@deq.state.or.us>; HNIDEY Emil <HNIDEY.Emil@deq.state.or.us>
Cc: artglass2016 <artglass2016@deq.state.or.us>; PALERMO Jaclyn <PALERMO.Jaclyn@deq.state.or.us>
Subject: RE: ArtGlass2016 - EQC Packet GovDelivery

Thanks, all.

I am waiting for confirmation back from the director on his approval...and, looking at timing, that may mean we don't post/issue for GovDelivery until Monday. I will send the PDFs as individual files to commissioners regardless of when I get the okay, but know that the web team typically needs at least an hour to do their work and we may not hit that if I don't hear from Pete soon.

I would encourage anyone posting to just link to the EQC meetings page - that way if we make any modifications to the direct-posted PDFs they links are still valid. That page is: http://www.oregon.gov/deq/EQC/Pages/EQCMeetings.aspx

Joe, you are correct that the commission can not take any public comment on the proposed rules at the Sept. 29, 2016, special meeting (starting at 9 a.m. in room EQC-A at headquarters). If they chose to do so, they would have to officially re-open their public comment period (they have the authority to do this, but it's not advised by DOJ) and make that re-opening known...again, not a path they are likely to take nor have they indicated they are interested in that path at this time. I will let you know ASAP if I receive any information otherwise.

That restriction is based on the OARs and ORSs that govern commission business - the commission may

not take public comment on any rule proposal for which a comment period has been held and closed. The commission may, at any time, reopen a public comment opportunity, but the agenda for this meeting is strictly to consider the rule proposal and includes no public comment opportunity.

I am working away from the office and can't access SharePoint - if you need me to review anything please send it in an email.

Thanks!
- Stephanie

From: WESTERSUND Joe
Sent: Friday, September 16, 2016 3:14 PM
To: HNIDEY Emil
Cc: artglass2016; PALERMO Jaclyn; CALDERA Stephanie; artglass2016
Subject: RE: ArtGlass2016 - EQC Packet GovDelivery

Hi Emil,

Thank you for putting this together. A couple of comments:

- If the rules are posted as a separate document from the staff report, can you include links to both?
- Last I heard (a week ago, from Stephanie?) EQC will not accept public comment at the hearing, because we already took public comment for this rulemaking. Do you have newer info?
- Do we have confirmation that the EQC meeting is 9am Sept. 29, 2016, EQC-A?

Stephanie, can you confirm those last two?

-Joe

From: HNIDEY Emil
Sent: Friday, September 16, 2016 2:58 PM
To: WESTERSUND Joe <WESTERSUND.Joe@deq.state.or.us>
Cc: artglass2016 <artglass2016@deq.state.or.us>; PALERMO Jaclyn <PALERMO.Jaclyn@deq.state.or.us>
Subject: ArtGlass2016 - EQC Packet GovDelivery

Hello Joe,

I put together a draft GovDelivery for when the EQC packet is ready/gets posted. It's rough because I'm unsure of how much information you feel should be shared with this notice. I've placed it on the SharePoint.

Emil