From: GOLDSTEIN Meyer
To: INAHARA Jill; DAVIS George; FELDON Leah; MONRO David
Subject: air toxics temp 2016 - draft rules
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2016 11:29:52

On the draft rules:

I made style and punctuation changes in the rules, mostly about passive language. I also broke some large sections into smaller ones. The document is on SharePoint, linked below. I made the text colors different you could see my suggested changes. My edits are in orange. I can correct the colors after you review my edits. We can also reject my changes and go back to the previous version.

I sent a copy to Garrahan for his review.

http://deqsps/programs/rulemaking/aq/aqtemprule2016/docs/airquality2016temp%20rules.docx

---

From: INAHARA Jill
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2016 19:01
To: DAVIS George <DAVIS.George@deq.state.or.us>; GARRAHAN Paul <Paul.GARRAHAN@state.or.us>; FELDON Leah <FELDON.Leah@deq.state.or.us>; MONRO David <MONRO.David@deq.state.or.us>
Cc: GOLDSTEIN Meyer <Goldstein.Meyer@deq.state.or.us>
Subject: where are we?

Hi Everyone,

Just wanted to update you on schedule:

1. The **rules** are on SharePoint (everyone, please use this version) in the EQC preparation topic, as are all the other EQC documents except the Response to Comments.
    a. George has emailed a version to Paul for his review.
    b. Again, for Leah and Dave's review…..please use SharePoint!
    c. After Paul's final review, George, (sorry!) please send his comments to Meyer and Meyer will merge Paul's comments with the SharePoint document. The rules are in pretty good shape unless George decides to go in a different direction……again!
    d. George – I need you to finalize the rules, incorporate all comments, and tell Meyer when you are done so he can review.
    e. David Farrer has reviewed this draft and is in agreement with the approach to use annual and daily acceptable source impact levels. We also explained the dispersion factor concept and he was okay with that; however, that approach has changed somewhat and David has been notified of the change and asked to reply with his approval.

2. The **Response to Comment** is being reviewed by Sarah, Brian, Sue, and Dave M.
    a. I have not heard from anyone but Sue so George (sorry again!) will incorporate everyone's comments.
    b. George will let Leah and Dave know if we haven't received comments by 8:00 AM on Wednesday so reviewers can be encouraged to get comments to George ASAP. (George has to add something to the RTC on how the annual ASIL is protective of the daily max ASIL for the Tier 1 CAGMs)
    c. Leah, Dave and Paul will need to review next. George will also send to David Farrer to review the health comments again but I'm not sure if David will have a chance to review. His email said he's out till noon tomorrow.

d. Same drill as above, Meyer will merge both David's (if any) and Paul's comments.
e. George – please finalize.

3. The **Staff report** has been updated to include the public comment period.
    a. The Response to Comment document will go in here when it is done and there is a place holder for it so George, please add it after the review of the RTC is complete.
    b. Leah, Dave and Paul need to review the staff report.
    c. George – please email a copy to Paul and have Meyer incorporate his comments as above.
    d. After the staff report is finalized by George (sorry again and again!), please tell Meyer.

4. The **white elephant** has been drafted by George and I have reviewed it and posted it on SharePoint.
    a. Dave and Leah need to review it next but can probably hold off if this is not part of the EQC package.
    b. Once Dave and Leah are done reviewing, George will send to Paul for review, same drill as above.
    c. Leah will decide whether the white elephant should be made available for public consumption or if we'll use it to prepare for EQC.

5. The EQC package is due to Stephanie by 1:00 on Wednesday, 4/13. GOOD LUCK!

Sorry to abandon you. Feel free to call me if needed.
Thanks so much everyone!
Jill

P.S. Sorry, George!