From: Garrahan Paul
To: INAHARA Jill; MONRO David; DAVIS George; FELDON Leah
Cc: GOLDSTEIN Meyer
Subject: RE: where are we?
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2016 09:17:39

Rules look good. (sorry, George, about not catching the day/week distinction in rule 9080)

Paul Garrahan
Oregon Department of Justice
971.673.1943 (Tue, Thu, Fri) (Portland)
503.947.4593 (Mon, Wed) (Salem)
503.929.7553 (Mobile)

From: INAHARA Jill [mailto:jill.inahara@state.or.us]
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2016 7:01 PM
To: MONRO David; DAVIS George; FELDON Leah; GARRAHAN Paul
Cc: GOLDSTEIN Meyer
Subject: where are we?

Hi Everyone,

Just wanted to update you on schedule:

1. The **rules** are on SharePoint (everyone, please use this version) in the EQC preparation topic, as are all the other EQC documents except the Response to Comments.
    a. George has emailed a version to Paul for his review.
    b. Again, for Leah and Dave's review…..please use SharePoint!
    c. After Paul's final review, George, (sorry!) please send his comments to Meyer and Meyer will merge Paul's comments with the SharePoint document. The rules are in pretty good shape unless George decides to go in a different direction……again!
    d. George – I need you to finalize the rules, incorporate all comments, and tell Meyer when you are done so he can review.
    e. David Farrer has reviewed this draft and is in agreement with the approach to use annual and daily acceptable source impact levels. We also explained the dispersion factor concept and he was okay with that; however, that approach has changed somewhat and David has been notified of the change and asked to reply with his approval.

2. The **Response to Comment** is being reviewed by Sarah, Brian, Sue, and Dave M.
    a. I have not heard from anyone but Sue so George (sorry again!) will incorporate everyone's comments.
    b. George will let Leah and Dave know if we haven't received comments by 8:00 AM on Wednesday so reviewers can be encouraged to get comments to George ASAP. (George has to add something to the RTC on how the annual ASIL is protective of the daily max ASIL for the Tier 1 CAGMs)
    c. Leah, Dave and Paul will need to review next. George will also send to David Farrer to review the health comments again but I'm not sure if David will have

a chance to review. His email said he's out till noon tomorrow.

d. Same drill as above, Meyer will merge both David's (if any) and Paul's comments.
e. George – please finalize.

3. The **Staff report** has been updated to include the public comment period.
   a. The Response to Comment document will go in here when it is done and there is a place holder for it so George, please add it after the review of the RTC is complete.
   b. Leah, Dave and Paul need to review the staff report.
   c. George – please email a copy to Paul and have Meyer incorporate his comments as above.
   d. After the staff report is finalized by George (sorry again and again!), please tell Meyer.

4. The **white elephant** has been drafted by George and I have reviewed it and posted it on SharePoint.
   a. Dave and Leah need to review it next but can probably hold off if this is not part of the EQC package.
   b. Once Dave and Leah are done reviewing, George will send to Paul for review, same drill as above.
   c. Leah will decide whether the white elephant should be made available for public consumption or if we'll use it to prepare for EQC.

5. The EQC package is due to Stephanie by 1:00 on Wednesday, 4/13. GOOD LUCK!

Sorry to abandon you. Feel free to call me if needed.
Thanks so much everyone!
Jill

P.S. Sorry, George!

*****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE*****

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

****