From: Carol Carson
To: HAMMOND Joni
Subject: Bullseye Glass Company
Date: Monday, March 14, 2016 19:33:55

Bullseye glass has a long history of responsible operation. Bullseye understands the public interest and supports stronger environmental standards for our industry. To that effect, the company has already began the process of installing 99% efficient baghouses on furnaces that melt Chromium.

Regulatory decisions must be based on science, not political issues. A leading scientist, Dr. LaCourse, has said Bullseye's furnaces do not produce toxic chromium. We urge DEQ to rely on science and fact, and not to rush to impose these poorly written and misdirected rules.

Scientific evidence shows BUllseye's use of the compound is not harmful. Nevertheless, DEQ wants to restrict Bullseye from using Cr(III) for an extended period of time. They are essentially basing these rules off an assumption of guilt without any supporting evidence.

Neither DEQ or EPA have required any other US producer, of green glass, to entirely and arbitrarily stop their production without notice and perform the kind of extensive testing and modeling that DEQ is asking for. A typical green bottle manufacturer uses 1,000 times more Cr(III) per year than Bullseye Glass. A bottle producer, with emission controls, would melt more Cr(III) each day than Bullseye uses in a year.

These newly proposed regulations are based on politics and fear, not science. I stand with Bullseye Glass in its efforts to continue operations as a responsible citizen of the social and business community of Portland, Oregon.

Sincerely,
Carol Carson