From:	Jacqueline Pfeffer
To:	HAMMOND Joni; SAXTON LYNNE; REP Nosse; REP VegaPederson; Gabriela.GOLDFARB@oregon.gov; mult.chair@multco.us; mayorcharliehales@portlandoregon.gov; dan@portlandoregon.gov; nick@portlandoregon.gov; Amanda@portlandoregon.gov; novick@portlandoregon.gov
Subject:	Regarding Bullseye Glass - DEQ
Date:	Tuesday, March 15, 2016 08:20:01

Dear Sir or Ma'am,

First let me say that without Bullseye glass my small business will end. Without a reliable supply of sheet glass that is used in my art based business I will not be able to continue. The ripple effects of the decision made today will not only impact my ability to make a living, but an extreme amount of artists worldwide. The art glass industry is unique and we have very few options for our supplies. At this moment only three companies provide glass to our art community, one of which is Bullseye (and in my opinion is by far superior). Bullseye is making efforts to ensure their impact on the environment does not cause harm. If the statistics I have read are true I feel it is quite an injustice to institute "temporary" regulations that will inhibit their production. Imagine the chaos if the American population starts calling for all bottle production to cease within the US. That is what this decision will lead to. Every manufacturer of green glass bottles will need to be shut down. I cannot even begin to estimate or imagine how many American jobs would be lost.

Bullseye glass has a long history of responsible operation. I stand with Bullseye Glass in its efforts to continue operations as a responsible citizen of the social and business community of Portland, Oregon.

Regulatory decisions must be based on science, not political issues. A leading scientist, Dr. LaCourse, has said Bullseye's furnaces do not produce toxic chromium. We urge DEQ to rely on science and fact, and not to rush to impose these poorly written and misdirected rules.

Sincerely,

Jacki Pfeffer