From:	INAHARA Jill
To:	VANDEHEY Maggie
Subject:	RE: RM-AQPerm: equations in division 220
Date:	Thursday, June 05, 2014 12:37:44 PM

Yes…thank you.

From: VANDEHEY Maggie
Sent: Thursday, June 05, 2014 12:24 PM
To: INAHARA Jill
Cc: GARTENBAUM Andrea
Subject: RE: RM-AQPerm: equations in division 220

Jill—

Equations are just like tables – if we amend the rule that originates the equation, we must include the equation. If we amend a rule that simply references an equation housed in another rule, we don't.

Does this help?

Maggie Vandehey, EIM
Department of Environmental Quality | 34000
811 SW Sixth Ave., Portland, OR 97204-1390 | vandehey.maggie@deq.state.or.us
503.229.6878 | In OR 800.452-4011 | 🖶 503.229.6730

The real voyage of discovery consists not in seeking out new landscapes, but in having new eyes.
ATTRIB. MARCEL PROUST, 1871-1922

From: INAHARA Jill
Sent: Thursday, June 05, 2014 11:35 AM
To: VANDEHEY Maggie
Cc: GARTENBAUM Andrea
Subject: RM-AQPerm: equations in division 220

Hi Maggie,

You asked me to include the equations that are referenced in division 220 since I am changing 220. I didn't make any changes to the equations. They are numbered 220-0150, 0160 and 0170. I do not have 0150 or 0160 listed in the amend OAR section. Do I need to even though I didn't change them?

Thanks,
Jill