**Appendix 11**

**Fast Track Checklist**

**… (a checklist) for Minor SIP Revisions**

**Purpose:** To provide a checklist to help inform EPA Region 10’s determination of whether a SIP revision is appropriate for Fast Track processing. Minor SIP revisions often are non-controversial, do not need legal or additional technical review, and need minimal explanation to support EPA’s action on the SIP. The purpose of Fast Track processing is to identify SIP revisions that can be developed with less EPA involvement and processed in less than 12 months of receipt of the SIP submittal.

**Process:**

1. State project lead completes the checklist.
2. State project lead submits checklist to EPA R10 project manager in Air Planning Unit (APU).
3. EPA R10 project lead reviews checklist and discusses with state project lead, if needed.
4. EPA R10 project lead provides a recommendation to APU Team Lead and Manager.
5. Determination made and transmitted to EPA R10 project lead.
6. EPA R10 project lead communicates decision to State project lead and EPA/State SIP Coordinators and APU Manager communicates decision to State Manager.

Note: The EPA R10 project lead may determine at any time that a SIP revision initially deemed appropriate for “Fast Track” will no longer be processed as “Fast Track.”

**Fast Track Checklist:**

**Title**

Provide title and brief narrative of SIP Revision:

**Grants Pass Limited Maintenance Plans for CO**

Grants Pass was reclassified by EPA to attainment for the 8-hour CO standard in August 2000. The area is due for the second maintenance plan that will fulfill the final maintenance planning requirements of the Clean Air Act. The Grants Pass second maintenance plan qualifies for the Limited Maintenance Plan (LMP) approach because it satisfies all the requirements outlined in the Limited Maintenance Plant Option for Nonclassifiable CO Nonattainment Areas (Paisie memo, 1995).

**Type of SIP**

(In this section: Yes = more likely to be Fast Track.)

**Yes No**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **X** |  | Is this a Limited Maintenance Plan for CO or PM? (note: SIPs that remove or revise control requirements are not appropriate for limited maintenance plan approval) |
| **X** |  | Is this a Second 10-Year Maintenance Plan? (whether or not the plan qualifies for Limited Maintenance Plan approval)  |
|  | **X\*** | Has the area being addressed in the maintenance plan maintained the same monitoring network since its last attainment / maintenance plan approval?  |

**\***Measured CO levels were so low that the monitor was removed with EPA approval at the end of 2005. The alternative monitoring includes tracking increase in emissions as reported every three years through the Statewide Emission Inventory which is submitted to EPA for inclusions in the National Emission Inventory (NEI).

**Effect on Emissions**

(In this section: No = more likely to be Fast Track.)

**Yes No**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **X** | Does this affect emissions allowable under the SIP? |
|  | **X** | Does this revise an emission limit or applicability criteria? |
|  | **X** | Does this add exemptions or exceptions to an emission limit or applicability criteria? |
|  | **X** | Does this revise a test method? |
|  | **X** | Does this revise an averaging period? |

**Incorporation by Reference (IBR):**

(In this section: Yes = more likely to be Fast Track.)

**Yes No**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **X** | Does this update IBR dates for (circle all that apply): federal regulations, state regulations, local regulations, guidance, test manual, other (describe)? |
|  | **X** | If this updates IBR for PSD or Part D NSR, does it adopt ALL PSD and Part D NSR requirements WITHOUT CHANGE that have been promulgated by EPA since the State/Local’s last IBR update?  |

**Other:**

(In this section: No = more likely to be Fast Track.)

**Yes No**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **X** | Does this provide for or revise “director’s discretion” provision[[1]](#footnote-1)? |
|  | **X** | Does this provide for or revise an “excess emission” provision or a provision that otherwise excuses excess emissions? |
|  | **X** | Does this involve revisions other than recodifications or renumbering? |
|  | **X** | Does this SIP revision rely on an Exceptional Event Determination? |
|  | **X** | Is this required for or being submitted to meet a Consent Decree deadline? |

Other reasons why the State/Local agency believes the SIP revision is appropriate for “Fast Track” processing (include narrative explanation): \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. A “director’s discretion” provision allows the director or other official of the State/Local agency to modify requirements or excuse or exempt a source from requirements. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)