



## **Workbook Summary**

### 2008 LRAPA Industrial Streamlining

Permitting programs - specifics known later - air quality

#### Brief description of rule proposal

Reviewing LRAPA's permitting titles for streamlining and stringency.

#### **Worksheets** nothing **1** Warm up severity rating 2 Basics Risk rating low → high 3 Stakeholders 4 Program 5 Environmental 6 Timing 7 Financial 8 Legal

Do

11	Political	
12	<u>Implementation</u>	

legislative session

9 Technical 10 Policy

2012	2013	2014
Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4
<start< th=""><th> Effective&gt;</th><th></th></start<>	Effective>	
	<advcom></advcom>	
	<notice end=""></notice>	
	EQC	

### Environmental

Schedule

The proposed rules have no direct correlation to the environment.

### The proposed rules involve

Compliance not involved not involved Penalties Permits, certifications not involved not involved involved State Implementation Plan not involved Land use rules

#### Ideal

What we want to happen.

Carrying out PPA commitment to bring LRAPA's SIP submissions current with EPA and determining stringency is at least equivalent to OARs.

# Reality

What we are trying to change.
Bringing LRAPA current with their SIP submissions.

### Consequences

What will happen if we don't change.

LRAPA will remain past due that could potentially lead to federally unenforceable rules

#### **Alternatives considered**

LRAPA can remain past due for another year or so.

#### Public involvement

There is no expressed interest in this proposal at this time. DEQ does not plan to appoint an advisory committee. We plan to ask the committee to provide advice.

### Research/data needed

Review of rulemaking package submitted by LRAPA to DEQ - for stringency.

### **Affected parties**

Business
Manufacturing
City/county/state
Individuals
Custom entry
Custom entry

#### **Models**

Previous joint LRAPA / DEQ rulemaking: Eugene/Springfield PM10 LMP, Oakridge PM10 and joint

not affected

Monday, October 15, 2(

1	Warmed	up	
	Action	Object	Driver
	carry out	a commitment in PPA	EPA direction
	Optional d	liscussion	
	Process in	nprovement	
			Monday, October 15, 2012

### Brief description of rule proposal

Reviewing LRAPA's permitting titles for streamlining and stringency.

Rulemaking type permanent

Chapter 340 divisions 200

### Strengths/weaknesses going into rulemaking

#### The proposed rule...

Had prior public input Is backed by science Is backed by data Supports sustainability Supports strategic directions Furthers DEQ priorities Would make DEQ's work easier Would reduce DEQ costs

#### ontion

operon	
definitely true	
does not apply	
does not apply	
does not apply	
definitely true	
definitely true	
does not apply	
does not apply	

#### Riskometer

1	
	6
	6
	6
1	
1	6
	6

Risk average

#### **Ideal -** What do we envision?

Short Carrying out PPA commitment to bring LRAPA's SIP submissions current with EPA and determining stringency is at least equivalent to OARs.

Long blank

### **Reality** - What are we trying to change?

Short Bringing LRAPA current with their SIP submissions. Long blank

### **Consequences -** What will happen if we do nothing?

Short LRAPA will remain past due that could potentially lead to federally unenforceable rules

Long If LRAPA remains past due, that may lead to additional work for DEQ staff in the event a lawsuit occurs, strains the partnership between LRAPA, DEQ and EPA.

### Alternatives to rulemaking already considered or to explore

Short LRAPA can remain past due for another year or so. Long blank

### Research or data needed to develop proposal

Short	Review of rulemaking packa	age submitted by	LRAPA to DE	Q - for stringe	ncy.	
Long	blank					
Models that	could be leveraged for	this proposal				
Short	Previous joint LRAPA / DEQ joint rulemaking contract be			eld PM10 LMP	, Oakridge	PM10 and
Long	blank					
			d Use/SIP			
			l use rules			
	6	State	e Implementat	ion Plan	Y	
Out of the so	cope for this proposal		Reasoni	ng		
•	Rs to be as stringent as LRAP	A's titles		essary/not app	olicable	
Compliance Penalties		n/a  •	Reduced	Involved	New	Expanded  O
Air quality						
Asbestos Lice	ense	•	0	0	0	•
Air Contamin	ant Discharge Permit	•	0	0	0	•
Air Quality Re	egistrations	•	0	0	0	•
Open Burning	g Letter Permit	•	0	0	0	•
Tanker Certif	ication	•	0	0	•	•
Title V permi	t	•	0	0	0	•
Vehicle Emiss	sions Certification	•	0	0	•	•
Enter custom	item here	•	0	0	0	•
Enter custom	item here	•	0	0	•	•
Enter custom	item here	•	0	0	0	•
Reminders						
TA7:11 1	re about overall impact to OA	Rs once rulemal	king nackage i	s reviewed (af	ter FMT a	nnroval)

### **Process improvement**

Monday, October 15, 2012

"The Legislative Assembly finds and declares that it is the policy of this state that whenever possible the public be involved in the development of public policy by agencies and in the drafting of rules. The Legislative Assembly encourages agencies to seek public input to the maximum extent possible before giving notice of intent to adopt a rule. The agency may appoint an advisory committee that will represent the interests of persons likely to be affected by the rule, or use any other means of obtaining public views that will assist the agency in drafting the rule." ORS 183.333

### **Affected parties**

			Previously	Number affected
	Not involved	Involved	unregulated	10s 100s 1,000s
Business	•	0	•	
Manufacturing	•	0	•	
City/county/state	•	0	•	
Individuals	•	0	•	
Custom entry	•	0	•	
Custom entry	•	0		

### Stakeholder complexity

● Low	0	Medium	0	O High
considerations or no opposition expected		some stakeholder oppositions expected		significant opposition expected
stakeholder		considerations or		considerations or
Straight forward		Multiple stakeholder		Complex stakeholder

#### **External stakeholder interest**

Selecting an interest level indicates the group to the left is a stakeholder.

Group	Interest	Riskometer
Regulated community	does not apply	6 7 8 9
Business and industry	does not apply	6 7 8 9
Environmental groups	does not apply	6 7 8 9
Public	does not apply	6 7 8 9
State legislators	does not apply	6 7 8 9
Federal environmental regulators	does not apply	6 7 8 9
Other state and federal agencies	does not apply	6 7 8 9
Local governments	does not apply	6 7 8 9
Tribal nations	does not apply	6 7 8 9

С	ustom entry		does not apply			6 7 8 9
С	ustom entry		does not apply			6 7 8 9
	keholder inform sponsible for o	ation engaging stakehold	ers, therefore these	Interest ave		6 7 8 9
Describe a  Describe h	No advisory Use a standi Reconvene a Convene a n ppointment st	ng committee a committee ew committee No. of meetings 0 crategy		Fiscal Policy Technical Implementati		all that apply) Scientific Rule language Legally required Custom entry
		gs/hearings dui etings/hearings	ing public noti	Public not	ice	
	Portland a			•		olic notice
	Regional	ica			-	notice, no hearing
	Regional	No. of meetings	ı	0		notice with hearing
Ontions	al hearing info	0 rmation			Re-not	ice
LRAPA will nee		he rulemaking package	e. Hearing and newspa	aper ad will be up	to LRAP	A and decided later - at
Reminde	ers					
Process i	improveme	nt				

Program				2008 LI	RAPA Industrial Streamlinin
Program r	name [	Permitting	g programs - specifics kno	own later	
Media	[	air		_	
Program o	onsequ	ences of	doing nothing		low
	-			Severity	6
	Loss of de	elegation		C	
<b>✓</b>	Failure to	keep com	nitment		
	Failure to	respond to	legislature		
	Increased	difficulty	doing business		
	Unclear a	dministrat	ve rules		
	Loss of re	putation			
	Enter cus	tom consec	quences here		
	Enter cus	tom consec	quences here		
Reviewing for s  Other DEC			lerations		
	Q progra		Some dependence on success of other		Fully dependent on success of other projects/programs of
Other DEC  Dependent	progra		Some dependence on		success of other projects/programs or
Other DEC  Dependen  Not depende success of o projects/programmer.	progra		Some dependence on success of other projects/programs or		success of other projects/programs or potentially controversi
Other DEC  Depender  Not depende success of o projects/prograno legislat	progra	nm consid	Some dependence on success of other projects/programs or legislation required		success of other projects/programs of potentially controversi legislation needed
Other DEC  Depender  Not depende success of o projects/prograno legislat  O Low	progra	nm consid	Some dependence on success of other projects/programs or legislation required		success of other projects/programs of potentially controversi legislation needed
Other DEC  Dependent  Not dependent success of oprojects/program no legislat  O Low  Optional de	ent on other rams or ion	nm consid	Some dependence on success of other projects/programs or legislation required	Complexity	success of other projects/programs or potentially controversi legislation needed  High

### **Process Improvement**

Monday, October 15, 2012

	dioxins, PCBs, and		duced by society (for example,
		hysical degradation and destruction of revesting forests and paving over critical v	-
		ndermine people's capacity to meet their onditions and not enough pay to live on)	basic human needs (for example,
Environme	ental data		
existing data No accuracy, a	ra; Leverage or methods; applicability or ncertainties;	Some uncertainty about leveraging existing data, it's accuracy or applicability; Data or methods need translating into common	Original or unique data; Potential sources of error; Challenging translation to common
Easy to expla	in in common uage	language; Potential for stakeholder mistrust	language; High probability for
Easy to expla		stakeholder mistrust	
Easy to explain lang  Include en	vironmental tion in:	stakeholder mistrust	probability for
Easy to explar lang  Include en considerate Committee Message re Proposal  Reminders This is a stream their amendm	wironmental tion in: ee charter map mlining rulemaking ents into their daily	stakeholder mistrust	does not apply  re; LRAPA has already implemente
Easy to explain lang  Include en considerate Committee Message in Proposal  Reminders This is a stream	wironmental tion in: ee charter map mlining rulemaking ents into their daily	stakeholder mistrust  Con  Con  g; need to review package if anything mo	does not apply  re; LRAPA has already implemente

Monday, October 15, 2012

#### Rationale for developing proposal now - drivers Consider any challenges to the rulemaking for each activity below that may occurs during a legislative session (Q1 of even years, Q1 and Q2 of odd vears.) **START END** 0tr Year Qtr Year Start 2012 4 **Advisory committee** 2013 2013 not involved **Rulemaking notice** 2013 1 2013 not involved 2 **EQC** Action 2013 Effective 2013 **Timing challenges** Difficult schedule, no No challenge in meeting Compressed or extended contingencies allowed, rule adoption timeframe for rule uncontrolled changes adoption to deadline likely $\bigcirc$ Low ○ Medium High **Include timing** does not apply rationale above in: Complexity Committee charter Message map **Proposal** Reminders **Process Improvement**

-				does not apply
inancial c	onsequences of doing n	othing	Severity	6
			Optional notes	
	Loss of program funding			
	Failure to address costs			
	Loss of federal funding			
	Insufficient funding			
	Failure to address undue by	urden		
	Enter custom financial cons			
	Enter custom financial cons	-		
ees		•		
ees	Action		DAS Fee Approval	
	Establish new fees	•	Does not apply	
	Establish new rees		not apply	
	I.,		Evennt under OR	S 201 55(2)(d)
	Increase existing fees	0	Exempt under OR	
	Increase existing fees  Decrease existing fees	0	Exempt under OR	
☐ ☐ Authority to	_	0		
Authority to	Decrease existing fees  adopt, amend or repeal fees:	ORS		SS 291.55(2)(m)
Fiscal im	Decrease existing fees  adopt, amend or repeal fees:	0	Exempt under OR	
Fiscal im	Decrease existing fees adopt, amend or repeal fees:	ORS	Exempt under OR	SS 291.55(2)(m)
Fiscal im Regul Small	Decrease existing fees adopt, amend or repeal fees: apact on: ated community	ORS  Impact  minor cost decrea	Exempt under OR	SS 291.55(2)(m)
Fiscal im  Regul  Small  Busin	Decrease existing fees  adopt, amend or repeal fees:  apact on: ated community business (50 emp or less)	ORS  Impact  minor cost decrea minor cost decrea	Exempt under OR	SS 291.55(2)(m)
Fiscal im  Regul  Small  Busin  Local	Decrease existing fees adopt, amend or repeal fees: apact on: ated community business (50 emp or less) ess and industry	ORS  Impact  minor cost decrea minor cost decrea minor cost decrea	Exempt under OR	Riskometer   2   4
Fiscal im Regul Small Busin Local	Decrease existing fees  adopt, amend or repeal fees:  apact on:  ated community  business (50 emp or less)  ess and industry governments  state or federal agencies	ORS  Impact  minor cost decrea minor cost decrea minor cost decrea minor cost decrea	Exempt under OR	Riskometer   2
Fiscal im  Regul  Small  Busin  Local  Other	Decrease existing fees  adopt, amend or repeal fees:  apact on:  ated community  business (50 emp or less)  ess and industry governments  state or federal agencies	ORS  Impact  minor cost decrea	Exempt under OR	Riskometer   2
Fiscal im  Regul  Small  Busin  Local  Other  Public  DEQ	Decrease existing fees  adopt, amend or repeal fees:  apact on:  ated community  business (50 emp or less)  ess and industry governments  state or federal agencies	ORS  Impact  minor cost decrea minor cost decrea minor cost decrea minor cost decrea minor cost increa no fiscal impacts	Exempt under OR	Riskometer    2   4   9   1   2   4   9   1   2   4   9   1   2   1   4   9   1   2   2   4   9   1   2   2   4   9   1   2   2   4   9   2   2   2   4   9   2   2   2   4   9   2   2   2   4   9   2   2   2   2   4   9   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2
Fiscal im  Regul  Small  Busin  Local  Other  Public  DEQ  Progr	Decrease existing fees adopt, amend or repeal fees: apact on: ated community business (50 emp or less) ess and industry governments state or federal agencies	ORS  Impact  minor cost decrea minor cost increa no fiscal impacts no fiscal impacts	Exempt under OR	Riskometer   2

voicing system  Develop new	☐ CHRIS		TRAACS
Access database	HazWaste Invoicing		UST Invoice.new
Access template	SWIFT		WQSIS
Custom entry	Custom entry		Custom entry
escription			
<u> </u>	in:		
·	in:		definitely not complex
clude description above  Committee charter  Message map		Complexity	definitely not complex
clude description above  Committee charter		Complexity	
Committee charter Message map		Complexity	
Committee charter Message map Proposal		Complexity	

# Reminders

**Process improvement** 

Monday, October 15, 2012

**Process improvement** 

11	Political	2008 LRAP	A Industrial Streamlining		
	Describe political considerations				
	Include political consideration below in:	Complexity	does not apply		
	Committee charter  Message map  Proposal				
	Reminders				
	Process improvement				
			Monday, October 15, 2012		

12	Implementation	2008 LRAP	A Industrial Streamlining
	Describe implementation considerations		
	Include description below in:  Committee charter  Message map  Proposal	Complexity	does not apply
	Reminders  Process improvement		
			Monday, October 15, 2012

