From:	Spenillo, Justin
To:	GARTENBAUM Andrea
Subject:	RE: Draft SIP submittal for LRAPA open burning
Date:	Wednesday, June 18, 2014 11:02:40 AM

Submitted for approval is fine. I checked with the past submittals.

From: GARTENBAUM Andrea [mailto:GARTENBAUM.Andrea@deq.state.or.us]
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2014 10:53 AM
To: Spenillo, Justin
Subject: RE: Draft SIP submittal for LRAPA open burning

The letter and the verbiage on page two, where we say Attachment 3 includes "provisions submitted for approval".

Is that verbiage correct? Instead, should this say "provisions submitted for approval and incorporation into the SIP"? Adoption into the SIP? Something else?

Andrea

From: Spenillo, Justin [mailto:Spenillo.Justin@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2014 10:50 AM
To: GARTENBAUM Andrea
Subject: RE: Draft SIP submittal for LRAPA open burning

With regard to "I'm particularly interested in knowing if DEQ's instruction/request to EPA for this type of SIP revision is correct."…. let me know the pages this is on and I can take a look.

From: GARTENBAUM Andrea [mailto:GARTENBAUM.Andrea@deq.state.or.us]
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2014 5:01 PM
To: LANIER Robbye; Spenillo, Justin
Subject: Draft SIP submittal for LRAPA open burning

Robbye and Justin,

I compiled the draft SIP submittal for LRAPA open burning rules. Before submitting it to EPA, I'd like to give you both the chance to see if this looks correct. In Adobe, view "bookmarks" for easy navigation through the document. I'm particularly interested in knowing if DEQ's instruction/request to EPA for this type of SIP revision is correct.

Thanks,

Andrea Gartenbaum
Rules Group Lead
Operations Division
Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
503-229-5946
gartenbaum.andrea@deq.state.or.us