From: GARTENBAUM Andrea
To: "Spenillo, Justin"; LANIER Robbye
Subject: RE: draft SIP submittal - LRAPA
Date: Thursday, July 24, 2014 11:11:02 AM

I didn't plan to include LRAPA's documentation of anything; only DEQ's documentation because DEQ's documentation shows that we followed all requirements for SIP rulemaking. Is this correct?

From: Spenillo, Justin [mailto:Spenillo.Justin@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, July 24, 2014 11:08 AM
To: GARTENBAUM Andrea; LANIER Robbye
Subject: RE: draft SIP submittal - LRAPA

Thanks Andrea.

I think it looks ok. The main differences that I noticed were the addition of the "stringency" section, and the multiple adoptions that you mention below. With those, I think there were some documentation issues with past LRAPA adoptions, but were resolved with this go around (if I remember correctly, it was the Eugene Springfield LMP in 2012/2013 – right Robbeye?) so you should have all of the proper documentation for those.

So, yes, those documents that you have provided should be fine.

Justin

From: GARTENBAUM Andrea [mailto:GARTENBAUM.Andrea@deq.state.or.us]
Sent: Wednesday, July 23, 2014 3:56 PM
To: LANIER Robbye; Spenillo, Justin
Subject: draft SIP submittal - LRAPA

Hi, Before I mail the LRAPA permitting SIP submittal, I'd like both of your eyes on the draft letter and attachment cover page. This submittal is slightly different from others because we had two DEQ rulemakings (adopted at the same EQC meeting) and three LRAPA rulemakings (adopted by the LRAPA board in 2008, 2010 and 2011). Let me know if you think these are missing anything.

Andrea Gartenbaum
Rules Group Lead
Operations Division
Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
503-229-5946
gartenbaum.andrea@deq.state.or.us