



Oregon Department of Environmental Quality

Oct. 16-17, 2013

**Oregon Environmental Quality Commission meeting
Rulemaking, Action item: M**

Increase most water quality permit fees 2.9 percent to address program costs

DEQ recommendation to the EQC

DEQ recommends that the Environmental Quality Commission:

Adopt the proposed PERMANENT rules in Attachment A as part of chapter 340 of the Oregon Administrative Rules.

Overview

Short summary

DEQ proposes increasing most water quality permit fees by 2.9 percent.

Brief history

In 2002, DEQ convened the Blue Ribbon Committee on Wastewater Permitting to recommend improvements to DEQ's water quality permit program. The committee included industry, environmental and local government representatives. In 2004, the committee published a report containing a variety of recommendations, including increasing fee revenue by no more than three percent each year to address increasing program costs. The annual fee increase recommendation was adopted into law in 2005. To address increasing program costs, DEQ implemented fee increases in 2007, 2008, 2010, 2011 and 2012 and proposes this 2013 permit fee increase of 2.9 percent.

Regulated parties

Regulated parties include individuals, private businesses and government agencies.

Permit fees would increase for most National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System, Water Pollution Control Facility, and Water Pollution Control Facility -Onsite septic system permits. The fee increase would not affect:

- Suction dredge permits. These fees are set in statute and can only be changed by the Oregon Legislature.
- Graywater permits, in an effort to encourage graywater reuse.
- Small offstream mining operations under permit WPCF 600. There are no application fees or annual fees for this type of permit.

Statement of need

What problem is DEQ trying to solve?

DEQ is proposing a 2.9 percent fee increase to cover increased costs of running its water quality permitting program in fiscal year 2014. Based on a comparison of the 2013-15 Governor's Balanced Budget and the 2011-13 Legislatively Adopted Budget, the estimated water quality program costs would increase 7.1 percent per full-time employee each fiscal year during the 2013-15 biennium.

DEQ analyzed expected cost increases per full-time employee for fiscal year 2014 relative to the costs for fiscal year 2013 to establish the amount of the proposed increase for fiscal year 2014, July 1, 2013, to June 30, 2014. The projected increases can be attributed to projected increasing costs of salaries, benefits and services and supplies, such as rent and utilities. The combined effects of the budget categories shown in the table below represent a total increase of 7.1 percent in projected costs for fiscal year 2014.

Budget Category	Percent of Total Budget Fiscal Year 2014	Projected Cost Increase Fiscal Year 2014
Personnel services (salaries, benefits)	72.24%	7.96%
Services and supplies (rent, utilities)	16.65%	8.42%
Contract	1.13%	3.01%
Special payment	0.50%	8.50%
Indirect services (management, business services)	9.48%	-0.12%
Total	100%	7.10%

How would the proposed rule solve the problem?

The proposed rule solves only part of the problem of increased program costs. Oregon Revised Statute 468B.051 authorizes the Oregon Environmental Quality Commission to raise fees annually. The annual amount may not exceed the anticipated increase in the cost of administering the permit program or three percent, whichever is lower. The estimated program cost increase of 7.1 percent for fiscal year 2014 is greater than the maximum three percent allowed by law.

Applying the three percent fee increase in the 2013-15 Governor's Balanced Budget would result in permit fees supporting 62 percent of the water quality permit program budget. This proposal recommends the lower 2.9 percent increase to meet the Blue Ribbon Committee's recommendation in its 2004 report that funding for the permit program budget be 60 percent permit fees and 40 percent public funds through federal and state General Fund. This is consistent with how the 2012 fee increase was calculated.

How will DEQ know the problem has been solved?

The proposed 2.9 percent fee increase represents a partial solution to increased water quality program costs.

The three percent fee increase limit in law may not keep pace with program cost increases. This is a statutory rather than an administrative rule issue that is outside the scope of this proposal.

An additional solution would be to reduce program costs, primarily through reducing personnel services costs such as salaries and benefits and indirect services costs such as management and business services, as these categories are approximately 80 percent of the total program budget. Reducing program costs is outside of the scope of this proposal.

Request for other options

During the public comment period, DEQ requested public comment on whether to consider other options for achieving the rules' substantive goals while reducing negative economic impact of the rule on business.

Federal relationship

"It is the policy of this state that agencies shall seek to retain and promote the unique identity of Oregon by considering local conditions when an agency adopts policies and rules. However, since there are many federal laws and regulations that apply to activities that are also regulated by the state, it is also the policy of this state that agencies attempt to adopt rules that correspond with equivalent federal laws and rules..."

Relationship to federal requirements

There are no applicable federal requirements. The proposal alters only the fee amounts for:

- Oregon's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System and Water Pollution Control Facility permitting programs under OAR 340-018-0030(5)(c)
- Issuance of On-site Sewer Permit under OAR 340-018-0030(5)(d)
- Issuance of NPDES and WPCF Permits that regulate wastewater discharges from industrial and municipal sources.

Rules affected, authorities, supporting documents

Lead division

Water Quality

Program or activity

Surface Water Management

Chapter 340 action

Recommendation	Division	Rule	Title	SIP/Land use*
amend	45	75	Permit Fee Schedule	Land use
amend	71	140	Onsite System Fees	Land use

* Land use – DEQ State Agency Coordination Program considers this rule, program or activity a land use program.

Statutory authority

ORS 454.625, 468.020, 468.065

Statute implemented

ORS 454.745, 454.755, 468.065, 468B.035, 468B.050, 468B.051 and 468B.195

Documents relied on for rulemaking [ORS 183.335\(2\)\(b\)\(C\)](#)

Document title	Document location
Blue Ribbon Committee Report on Key Enhancements to the Oregon Wastewater Permitting Program – 2004	http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/pubs/reports/blueribbonrpt.pdf
Cost factors approved through the state's budget process	DEQ Headquarters
Compensation plan changes	DEQ Headquarters
Fee increase calculations	DEQ Headquarters
DEQ 2011-13 Legislatively Approved Budget	DEQ Headquarters
DEQ 2013-15 Governor's Balanced Budget	DEQ Headquarters
DEQ's water quality permit database	DEQ Headquarters
Application and annual fee invoice records	DEQ Headquarters
Oregon Revised Statutes 468B.051	http://www.leg.state.or.us/ors/468b.html

Statement of fiscal and economic impact

[ORS 183.335 \(2\)\(b\)\(E\)](#)

Statement of Cost of Compliance

1. Impacts on general public

Though DEQ cannot determine the extent to which the proposed fees will affect each consumer, DEQ expects some impact on the public, primarily through an increase to the costs of goods and services offered by permit holders.

2. Cost of compliance on small businesses (50 or fewer employees). [ORS 183.336](#)

For this section, DEQ used Oregon Employment Department information to calculate the impact of the proposed fee increases on small businesses. In 2006, the Oregon Employment Department found that 96 percent of Oregon businesses were small businesses. Although DEQ cannot determine the extent to which the 2.9 percent fee increases will affect each permit holder, DEQ expects that the fee increase will have an impact on small businesses. Fiscal impact to a small business depends on the type of permit issued, but application fee increases would range from \$6 to \$1,469 and annual fee increases would range from \$2 to \$2,724.

a) Estimated number of small businesses and types of businesses and industries with small businesses subject to proposed rule.

DEQ estimates 3,000 wastewater permit holders are small businesses, and would be affected by the 2.9 percent fee increase.

The types of small businesses holding wastewater permits include: food processors, mining operations, dairies, fish hatcheries, smelting/refining operations, timber processing, wood products manufacturing, retail operations, seafood processors, gravel mining, wineries, seasonal fresh pack operations, petroleum hydrocarbon clean-up operations and vehicle and equipment wash water operations.

The types of small businesses holding WPCF Onsite septic system permits include: machine shops, offices, retail stores, recreation vehicle parks, mobile home parks, private camps, golf courses, churches, resorts, restaurants, gas stations, markets, taverns and industry.

b) Projected reporting, recordkeeping and other administrative activities, including costs of professional services, required for small businesses to comply with the proposed rule.

The proposed rules do not require additional administrative activities.

c) Projected equipment, supplies, labor and increased administration required for small businesses to comply with the proposed rule.

The proposed rules do not require additional equipment or administration requirements.

d) Describe how DEQ involved small businesses in developing this proposed rule.

The proposal to allow DEQ to seek an up-to-three percent annual fee increase to account for program cost increases originated with the Blue Ribbon Committee. The committee represents the wastewater stakeholder community, and

includes small businesses. DEQ met with the committee on May 23, 2013, provided a summary of the proposed rule, and gathered input.

3. Impact on large businesses (all businesses that are not small businesses under #2 above)

DEQ estimates 150 wastewater permit holders are large businesses and would be affected by the 2.9 percent fee increase. DEQ anticipates that for these businesses, the fee increase is small compared to the overall yearly operating costs of permit holders.

4. Impact on other government entities other than DEQ

a. Local governments

For this section, a local government is defined as 1) a group of local government functions within a jurisdiction that each hold water quality permits (e.g., City of Portland – including Portland School District and Port of Portland – is counted as one local government); or 2) a single organization within a jurisdiction, if only one local government function holds a permit (e.g., includes but is not limited to water districts, cities, towns, ports, sanitary districts, library districts, counties and school districts). This rulemaking will increase water quality permit fees by 2.9 percent for 361 local governments that hold approximately 653 permits. Fiscal impact to local governments depends on the type of permit issued, but application fee increases would range from \$6 to \$1,469 and annual fee increases would range from \$2 to \$2,724.

b. State agencies

Twenty-one Oregon state agencies hold about 137 water quality permits. Fiscal impact to state agencies depends on the type of permit issued, but application fee increases would range from \$6 to \$1,469 and annual fee increases would range from \$2 to \$2,724.

5. Impact on DEQ

The proposed 2.9 percent fee increase will generate approximately \$145,000 during fiscal year 2014 to cover increased water quality program costs.

Advisory committee

DEQ met with the Blue Ribbon Committee, an advisory committee, in May 2013 and considered the committee's recommendations on this fiscal and economic impact statement.

In compliance with [ORS 183.333](#), DEQ asked for the committee's recommendations on:

- Whether the proposed rules would have a fiscal impact,
- The extent of the impact, and
- Whether the proposed rules would have a significant impact on small businesses and compliance with [ORS 183.540](#).

Housing cost

To comply with [ORS 183.534](#), DEQ determined the proposed rules would have an effect on the development cost of a 6,000-square-foot parcel and construction of a 1,200-square-foot detached single-family dwelling on that parcel.

A builder of a 6,000-square-foot parcel would be required to pay \$243, which is a \$7 increase from the existing fee of \$236, for a construction stormwater permit, if the parcel is part of a common plan of development disturbing one or more acres. A builder of a 6,000-square-foot parcel that is not part of a common plan of development disturbing one or more acres would not be required to obtain a construction stormwater permit, and consequently would not be required to pay the permit fee. While the fee would not likely have significant impact on new housing projects, the fee could affect projects by altering construction costs that are agreed upon between a builder and the buyer. DEQ estimates that home builders would likely pass the permit cost to home buyers.

Land use

“It is the Commission's policy to coordinate the Department's programs, rules and actions that affect land use with local acknowledged plans to the fullest degree possible.”

[ORS 197.180](#), [OAR 660-030](#)

Land-use considerations

To determine whether the proposed rules involve programs or actions that are considered a *land-use action*, DEQ considered:

- Statewide planning goals for specific references. Section III, subsection 2 of the DEQ State Agency Coordination Program document identifies the following statewide goal relating to DEQ's authority:

Goal	Title
5	Open Spaces, Scenic and Historic Areas, and Natural Resources
6	Air, Water and Land Resources Quality
11	Public Facilities and Services
16	Estuarial resources
19	Ocean Resources
- [OAR 340-018-0030](#) for EQC rules on land-use coordination. Division 18 requires DEQ to determine whether proposed rules will significantly affect land use. If yes, how will DEQ:
 - Comply with statewide land-use goals, and
 - Ensure compatibility with acknowledged comprehensive plans, which DEQ most commonly achieves by requiring a [Land Use Compatibility Statement](#).
- DEQ's mandate to protect public health and safety and the environment.
- Whether DEQ is the primary authority that is responsible for land-use programs or actions in the proposed rules.

- Present or future land uses identified in acknowledged comprehensive plans.

Determination

DEQ determined that the proposed rules identified under the ‘Rules affected, authorities, supporting documents’ section above **affect**:

- Oregon’s National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System and Water Pollution Control Facility permitting programs (OAR 340-018-0030(5)(c))
- Issuance of On-site Sewer Permit under OAR 340-018-0030(5)(d)
- Issuance of NPDES and WPCF Permits is an existing activity identified in the DEQ State Agency Coordination Program as a land-use program.

DEQ’s statewide goal and local plan compatibility procedures adequately cover the proposed rules. Oregon Administrative Rule 340-018-0050(2)(a) ensures compatibility with acknowledged comprehensive plans through submittal of a Land Use Compatibility Statement.

Stakeholder and public involvement

Advisory committee

DEQ convened the Blue Ribbon Committee on May 23, 2013. The 16-member committee includes industry, environmental and local government representatives. The committee recommended that DEQ continue with its proposal. Following the meeting, the committee reviewed the fiscal impact statement, specifically impact on small businesses.

EQC prior involvement

DEQ shared information about this rulemaking with the Oregon Environmental Quality Commission in the Director's Dialogue Aug. 21, 2013.

Public notice

The Aug. 1, 2013 *Oregon Bulletin* published the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking with Hearing for this proposed rulemaking.

On July 22, 2013 DEQ:

- Posted notice on DEQ’s webpage <http://www.deq.state.or.us/regulations/proposedrules.htm>.
- E-mailed notice to:
 - Interested parties through GovDelivery.
 - Key legislators required under ORS 183.335. Key legislators included:
 - Senator Jackie Dingfelder, Chair, Senate Committee on Environment and Natural Resources
 - Representative Jules Bailey, Chair, House Committee on Energy and Environment

- Members of the advisory committee.
- Mailed the notice by U.S. Postal Service to 3,613 interested parties.

Public hearings and comment

DEQ held one public hearing. The comment period closed on Aug. 23, 2013, at 5 p.m. DEQ received 10 public comments. The summary of comments and DEQ responses section below addresses each public comment. The commenter section below lists all people who provided comments on this proposal.

Presiding Officers' Record

The presiding officer convened the hearing listed in the table below; there were no attendees.

	Hearing 1	Hearing 2	Hearing 3
Date	Aug. 20, 2013		
Time convened	6 p.m.		
Time adjourned	6:30 p.m.		
Address line 1	811 SW Sixth Ave.		
Address line 2	Portland, OR 97204		
City	Portland		
Presiding officer	Chris Clipper		
Staff presenter	Chris Clipper, Program Analyst, Water Quality		
Attendees in person	0		
Attendees through iLinc	Not applicable		
Oral comments	Not applicable		
Written comments	Not applicable		

Summary of comments and DEQ responses

For public comments received by the close of the public comment period, the following organizes comments into two categories with cross references to the commenter number. DEQ's response follows the summary. Original comments are on file with DEQ.

- Comment** Opposition to the proposed increase The proposed fees, during a downturn in the economy and combined with the cost of doing business, represent a hardship and are opposed. Instead of increasing fees, DEQ should look towards efficiencies within existing programs or cut/reduce existing programs and services to reduce costs.

DEQ received seven comments in this category from commenters 1-7 listed in the *Commenter* section below.

Response DEQ acknowledges the fee increase may represent a hardship to businesses, especially small businesses. DEQ also agrees that increasing efficiency is important. Some examples of recent program efficiencies include: an electronic system for reviewing monthly monitoring reports for major facilities and working with local government to reduce duplicative construction stormwater permitting. DEQ is also working towards electronic reporting of permit data and will continue to look for and prioritize program efficiencies. Even with these efficiencies, program costs are predicted to increase 7.1 percent in fiscal year 2014.

- 2 **Comment** Support for the proposed increase. The proposed 2.9 percent increase in permit fees is supported. Some commenters noted reservations about supporting the proposal due to DEQ performance related to Blue Ribbon Committee metrics. Performance metrics are outside of the scope of this rulemaking.

DEQ received three comments in this category from commenters 8-10 listed in the *Commenter* section below.

Response DEQ appreciates the support for the proposed fee increases. Also, though outside the scope of this rulemaking, DEQ is working with the Blue Ribbon Committee to address performance metrics.

Commenters

Comments received by close of public comment period

The table below lists 10 people and organizations that submitted comments on the proposed rules by the deadline for submitting public comment. Original comments are on file with DEQ.

Commenters 1-7 submitted comments under category one in the *Summary of comments and DEQ responses* section above.

- | | | |
|---|--------------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | Commenter | Arnold Meier |
| | Affiliation | CPA |
| 2 | Commenter | Robert Van Creveld |
| | Affiliation | Edgewater NW |
| 3 | Commenter | Carl Patenode |
| | Affiliation | City of Drain |
| 4 | Commenter | Thomas Harmon |
| | Affiliation | (Not Provided) |
| 5 | Commenter | John Orueta |
| | Affiliation | Ana Reservoir RV Park LLC |
| 6 | Commenter | Michael Maas |
| | Affiliation | Siskiyou Field Institute |

7 **Commenter** Don Stonebrink
Affiliation (Not Provided)

Commenters 8-10 submitted comments under category two in the *Summary of comments and DEQ responses* section above.

8 **Commenter** Janet Gillaspie
Affiliation Oregon Association of Clean Water Agencies

9 **Commenter** Tracy Rutten
Affiliation League of Oregon Cities

10 **Commenter** Mark Landauer
Affiliation Special Districts Association of Oregon

Implementation

Notification

The proposed rules would become effective Nov. 1, 2013, if adopted by the commission. DEQ would notify:

- Permit holders through invoices
- Advisory committee members
- Agents who administer permits on DEQ's behalf
- Interested parties through GovDelivery, using the same list as the public notice period
- DEQ regional and water quality program staff

Systems

- Website – All applicable information, including tables, forms and fact sheets, would be updated.
- Database – All applicable fees would be updated.

Five-year review

Requirement ORS 183.405

The state Administrative Procedures Act requires DEQ to review **new** rules within five years of the date EQC adopts the proposed rules. Though the review will align with any changes to the law in the intervening years, DEQ based its analysis on current law.

Exemption

The following exemptions from the five-year rule review apply to all of the proposed rules:

Amendments or repeal of a rule. ORS 183.405 (4)

Permit Fee Schedule

- (1) The fee schedule for onsite sewage disposal system permits, including WPCF permits, and graywater reuse and disposal system WPCF individual permits is found in OAR chapter 340, division 071.
- (2) The department has established fees for various industrial, domestic and general permit categories. The industrial and domestic permit categories and fees are listed in Tables 70B and 70C. The general permit categories are defined in OAR 340-045-0033 and the fees are listed in Table 70G.
- (3) The department must consider the following criteria when classifying a facility for determining applicable fees. For industrial sources that discharge to surface waters, discharge flowrate refers to the system design capacity. For industrial sources that do not discharge to surface waters, discharge flow refers to the total annual flow divided by 365:
- (a) Tier 1 industry. A facility is classified as a Tier 1 industry if the facility:
 - (A) Discharges at a flowrate that is greater than or equal to 1 mgd; or
 - (B) Discharges large biochemical oxygen demand loads; or
 - (C) Is a large metals facility; or
 - (D) Has significant toxic discharges; or
 - (E) Has a treatment system that will have a significant adverse impact on the receiving stream if not operated properly; or
 - (F) Needs special regulatory control, as determined by the department.
 - (b) Tier 1 domestic facility. A facility is classified as a Tier 1 domestic facility if the facility:
 - (A) Has a dry weather design flow of 1 mgd or greater; or
 - (B) Serves an industry that can have a significant impact on the treatment system.
 - (c) Tier 2 industry or domestic facility: does not meet Tier 1 qualifying factors.
- (4) New-permit application fee. Unless waived by this rule, the applicable new-permit application fee listed in Table 70A, 70C or 70G (available on the department's website or upon request) must be submitted with each application. The amount of the fee is based on the facility category and type of permit (e.g., individual vs. general).

(5) Permit modification fee. Permit modification fees are listed in Tables 70A and 70C (available on the department's website or upon request). They vary with the type of permit, the type of modification and the timing of modification as follows:

(a) Modification at time of permit renewal:

(A) Major modification — involves an increase in effluent limitations or any other change that involves significant analysis by the department;

(B) Minor modification — does not involve significant analysis by the department.

(b) Modification prior to permit renewal:

(A) Major modification — involves an increase in effluent limitations or any other change that involves significant analysis by the department. A permittee requesting a significant modification to their permit may be required by the department to enter into an agreement to pay for these services according to ORS 468.073. ORS 468.073 allows the department "to expedite or enhance a regulatory process by contracting for services, hiring additional staff or covering costs of activities not otherwise provided during the ordinary course of department business;"

(B) Minor modification — does not involve significant analysis by the department.

(6) Annual fees. Applicable annual fees for General and Industrial permit holders may be found in Tables 70G and 70B (available on the department's website or upon request). Annual fees for domestic sources may also be found in Table 70C (available on the department's website or upon request), and consist of the following:

(a) Base annual fee. This is based on the type of treatment system and the dry weather design flow;

(b) Population-based fee. A permit holder with treatment systems other than Type F (septage alkaline stabilization facilities) must pay a population-based fee. The applicable fee may be found in Table 70D (available on the department's website or upon request);

(c) Pretreatment fee. A source required by the department to administer a pretreatment program pursuant to federal pretreatment program regulations (40CFR, Part 403; January 29, 1981 and amendments thereto) must pay an additional annual fee plus a fee for each significant industrial user specified in their annual report for the previous year. The applicable fee may be found in Table 70E (available on the department's website or upon request).

(7) Technical activities fee. Technical activity fees are listed in Tables 70F and 70H (available on the department's website or upon request). They are categorized as follows:

(a) All permits. A permittee must pay a fee for NPDES and WPCF permit-related technical activities. A fee will be charged for initial submittal of engineering plans and specifications. Fees will not be charged for revisions and re-submittals of engineering plans and specifications or for facilities plans, design studies, reports, change orders, or inspections;

(b) General permits. A permittee must pay the technical activity fee shown in Table 70H (available on the department's website or upon request) when the following activities are required for application review:

(A) Disposal system plan review;

(8) For permits administered by the Oregon Department of Agriculture, the following fees are applicable until superseded by a fee schedule established by the Oregon Department of Agriculture:

(a) WPCF and NPDES General Permits #800 for Confined Animal Feeding Operations Filing Fee — \$50;

(b) Individual Permits:

(A) Filing Fee — \$50;

(B) New applications — \$6,280;

(C) Permit renewals (including request for effluent limit modifications) — \$3,140;

(D) Permit renewals (without request for effluent limit modifications) — \$1,416;

(E) Permit modifications (involving increase in effluent limit modifications) — \$3,140;

(F) Permit modifications (not involving an increase in effluent limitations) — \$500;

(G) Annual compliance determination fee for dairies and other confined feeding operations — \$705;

(H) Annual compliance determination fee for facilities not elsewhere classified with disposal of process wastewater — \$1,885;

(I) Annual compliance determination fee for facilities not elsewhere classified that dispose of non-process wastewater (e.g., small cooling water discharges, boiler blowdown, filter backwash, log ponds) — \$1,180.

(c) Annual compliance determination fee for facilities that dispose of wastewater only by evaporation from watertight ponds or basins — \$705.

(9) A surcharge in the amount listed below is imposed on municipalities that are permittees as defined in 2007 Oregon Laws chapter 696, section 2. The surcharge is imposed to defray the cost of conducting and administering the study of persistent pollutants discharged in the State of Oregon required under 2007 Oregon Laws chapter 696, section 3. A permittee subject to the surcharge must pay one half of the surcharge on or before July 15, 2008 and the other half of the surcharge on or before July 15, 2009.

Each municipality will pay a surcharge based on a dry weather design flow in millions of gallons per day (mgd) as follows:

less than 5 mgd = \$6,975.

5 mgd to 9.9 mgd = \$13,950.

[ED. NOTE: Tables referenced are not included in rule text. [Click here for PDF copy of table\(s\).](#)]

Stat. Auth.: ORS 468.020, 468B.020 & 468B.035

Stats. Implemented: ORS 468.065, 468B.015, 468B.035 & 468B.050

Hist.: DEQ 113, f. & ef. 5-10-76; DEQ 129, f. & ef. 3-16-77; DEQ 31-1979, f. & ef. 10-1-79; DEQ 18-1981, f. & ef. 7-13-81; DEQ 12-1983, f. & ef. 6-2-83; DEQ 9-1987, f. & ef. 6-3-87; DEQ 18-1990, f. & cert. ef. 6-7-90; DEQ 10-1991, f. & cert. ef. 7-1-91; DEQ 9-1992, f. & cert. ef. 6-5-92; DEQ 10-1992, f. & cert. ef. 6-9-92; DEQ 30-1992, f. & cert. ef. 12-18-92; DEQ 20-1994, f. & cert. ef. 10-7-94; DEQ 4-1998, f. & cert. ef. 3-30-98; Administrative correction 10-22-98; DEQ 15-2000, f. & cert. ef. 10-11-00; DEQ 2-2002, f. & cert. ef. 2-12-02; DEQ 7-2004, f. & cert. ef. 8-3-04; DEQ 5-2005, f. & cert. ef. 7-1-05; DEQ 11-2006, f. & cert. ef. 8-15-06; DEQ 5-2007, f. & cert. ef. 7-3-07; DEQ 8-2008, f. 6-27-08, cert. ef. 7-1-08; DEQ 7-2010, f. 8-27-10, cert. ef. 9-1-10; DEQ 9-2011, f. & cert. ef. 6-30-11; DEQ 15-2011, f. & cert. ef. 9-12-11; DEQ 6-2012, f. f. 10-31-12, cert. ef. 11-1-12

OAR 340-045-0075

Permit Fee Schedule

Table 70A
Industrial NPDES and WPCF Individual Permit Application and Modification Fees

DEQ Class	New Permit Application Fee ¹	Major Modification at Permit Renewal	Major Modification Prior to Permit Expiration	Minor Modification	Permit Transfer
Tier 1	\$52,111 <u>50,642</u>	\$13,091 <u>12,722</u>	\$26,015 <u>25,282</u>	\$912 <u>886</u>	\$82 <u>80</u>
Tier 2	\$10,487 <u>10,191</u>	\$3,328 <u>3,234</u>	\$5,199 <u>5,052</u>	\$912 <u>886</u>	\$82 <u>80</u>
Special WPCF permits issued pursuant to OAR 340-045-0061	\$497 <u>483</u>	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$82 <u>80</u>

1. New permit applications must include the annual fee specified in Table 70B in addition to the new permit application fee.

Table 70B
Industrial NPDES and WPCF Individual Permit Annual Fees

Type	Description	NPDES Tier 1	NPDES Tier 2	WPCF Tier 1	WPCF Tier 2
B01	Pulp, paper, or other fiber pulping industry	\$18,222 <u>17,708</u>	N/A	\$16,912 <u>16,435</u>	N/A
Food or beverage processing - includes produce, meat, poultry, seafood or dairy for human, pet, or livestock consumption					
B02	Washing or packing only	N/A	\$2,533 <u>2,462</u>	N/A	\$2,330 <u>2,264</u>
B03	Processing – small. Flow ≤ 0.1 mgd, or 0.1 < flow < 1 mgd for less than 180 days per year	N/A	\$3,787 <u>3,680</u>	N/A	\$3,583 <u>3,482</u>
B04	Processing – medium. 0.1 mgd < Flow < 1 mgd for 180 or more days per year, or flow ≥ 1 mgd for less than 180 days per year	N/A	\$5,344 <u>5,193</u>	N/A	\$5,140 <u>4,995</u>
B05	Processing – large. Flow ≥ 1 mgd for 180 or more days per year	\$18,222 <u>17,708</u>	\$16,011 <u>15,560</u>	\$16,912 <u>16,435</u>	\$15,805 <u>15,360</u>

Table 70B

Industrial NPDES and WPCF Individual Permit Annual Fees

Type	Description	NPDES Tier 1	NPDES Tier 2	WPCF Tier 1	WPCF Tier 2
Primary Smelting or Refining					
B06	Aluminum	\$18,222 17,708	\$16,011 15,560	\$16,912 16,435	\$15,805 15,360
B07	Non-ferrous metals utilizing sand chlorination separation facilities	\$18,222 17,708	\$16,011 15,560	\$16,912 16,435	\$15,805 15,360
B08	Ferrous and non-ferrous metals not elsewhere classified	\$10,421 10,127	\$8,208 7,977	\$9,111 8,854	\$8,006 7,780
B09	Chemical manufacturing with discharge of process wastewater	\$18,222 17,708	\$16,011 15,560	\$16,912 16,435	\$15,805 15,360
B10	Cooling water discharges in excess of 20,000 BTU per second	\$10,421 10,127	\$8,208 7,977	\$9,111 8,854	\$8,006 7,780
Mining Operations – includes aggregate or ore processing					
B11	Large (over 500,000 cubic yards per year or involving chemical leaching)	\$18,222 17,708	\$16,011 15,560	\$16,912 16,435	\$15,805 15,360
B12	Medium (100,000 to 500,000 cubic yards per year)	N/A	\$5,605 5,447	N/A	\$5,401 5,249
B13	Small (less than 100,000 cubic yards per year)	N/A	\$1,706 1,658	N/A	\$1,502 1,460
All facilities not elsewhere classified which dispose of process wastewater (includes remediated groundwater)					
B14	Tier 1 sources	\$18,222 17,708	N/A	\$16,912 16,435	N/A
B15	Tier 2 sources	N/A	\$3,526 3,427	N/A	\$3,325 3,231
B16	All facilities not elsewhere classified which dispose of non-process wastewaters (for example: small cooling water discharges, boiler blowdown, filter backwash)	N/A	\$2,361 2,294	N/A	\$2,157 2,096
B17	Dairies, fish hatcheries and other confined feeding operations on individual permits	N/A	\$2,066 2,008	N/A	\$1,864 1,811

Table 70B

Industrial NPDES and WPCF Individual Permit Annual Fees

Type	Description	NPDES Tier 1	NPDES Tier 2	WPCF Tier 1	WPCF Tier 2
B18	All facilities which dispose of wastewater only by evaporation from watertight ponds or basins	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$1,370 1,331
Timber and Wood Products					
B19	Sawmills, log storage, instream log storage	\$5,111 4,967	\$2,900 2,818	\$3,802 3,695	\$2,695 2,619
B20	Hardboard, veneer, plywood, particle board, pressboard manufacturing, wood products	\$5,404 5,252	\$3,194 3,104	\$4,096 3,981	\$2,991 2,907
B21	Wood preserving	\$4,573 4,444	\$2,361 2,294	\$3,263 3,171	\$2,157 2,096

Table 70C
Domestic NPDES and WPCF Individual Permits

Description	Type	Classification Criteria (Based on Average Dry Weather Design Flow, or as defined in 40CFR)	Class	New Permit App.Fee ¹	Base Annual Fee, 5 year permits	Base Annual Fee, 10 year permits	Additional Annual Fees	Major Modification	Minor Modification
Nondischarging lagoons	E	N/A	Tier 2	\$3,398 3,302	N/A	\$1,085 1,054	Additional fees include population and pretreatment fees. See tables 70D and 70E for determination of these fees.	\$1,740 1,691	\$912 886
Lagoons that discharge to surface waters	Db	Flow < 1 mgd	Tier 2	\$6,710 6,521	\$1,301 1,264	N/A		\$3,398 3,302	\$912 886
	C2b	1 mgd ≤ Flow < 2 mgd	Tier 1	\$33,221 32,285	\$3,429 3,332	N/A		\$16,651 16,182	\$912 886
	C1b	2 mgd ≤ Flow < 5 mgd	Tier 1	\$33,221 32,285	\$4,698 4,566	N/A		\$16,651 16,182	\$912 886
	Bb	5 mgd ≤ Flow < 10 mgd	Tier 1	\$33,221 32,285	\$6,755 6,565	N/A		\$16,651 16,182	\$912 886
	Da	Flow < 1 mgd	Tier 2	\$6,710 6,521	\$1,846 1,794	\$1,713 1,665		\$3,398 3,302	\$912 886
Treatment systems other than lagoons	C2a	1 mgd ≤ Flow < 2 mgd	Tier 1	\$33,221 32,285	\$5,830 5,666	\$4,994 4,853		\$16,651 16,182	\$912 886
	C1a	2 mgd ≤ Flow < 5 mgd	Tier 1	\$33,221 32,285	\$8,590 8,348	\$7,755 7,536		\$16,651 16,182	\$912 886
	Ba	5 mgd ≤ Flow < 10 mgd	Tier 1	\$33,221 32,285	\$12,775 12,415	\$11,939 11,603		\$16,651 16,182	\$912 886
	A3	10 mgd ≤ Flow < 25 mgd	Tier 1	\$33,221 32,285	\$19,928 19,366	N/A		\$16,651 16,182	\$912 886
	A2	25 mgd ≤ Flow < 50 mgd	Tier 1	\$33,221 32,285	\$42,282 41,090	N/A		\$16,651 16,182	\$912 886
	A1	≥ 50 mgd	Tier 1	\$33,221 32,285	\$71,943 69,915	N/A		\$16,651 16,182	\$912 886
Septage alkaline stabilization facilities	F	N/A	Tier 2	\$912 886	N/A	\$374 363	N/A	N/A	\$414 402

Table 70C
Domestic NPDES and WPCF Individual Permits

Description	Type	Classification Criteria (Based on Average Dry Weather Design Flow, or as defined in 40CFR)	Class	New Permit App. Fee ¹	Base Annual Fee, 5 year permits	Base Annual Fee, 10 year permits	Additional Annual Fees	Major Modification	Minor Modification
Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System	Phase 1	See 40 CFR §122.26	N/A	\$18,449 17,929	\$4,153 4,036	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$1,603 1,558
	Phase 2		N/A	\$826 803	\$850 826	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$1,603 1,558
Underground Injection Control	Various	As defined in 40 CFR parts 9, 144, 145 and 146	N/A	\$10,487 10,191	N/A	\$2,157 2,096	N/A	N/A	\$912 886

1. New permit applications must include the annual fee in addition to the new permit application fee.

Table 70D
Domestic NPDES and WPCF Annual Population Fee

Population range	Annual fee
500,000+	\$96,654 93,930
400,000 to 499,999	\$73,941 71,857
300,000 to 399,999	\$51,227 49,783
200,000 to 299,999	\$28,512 27,708
150,000 to 199,999	\$23,060 22,410
100,000 to 149,999	\$15,188 14,760
50,000 to 99,999	\$9,526 9,258
25,000 to 49,999	\$4,281 4,160
15,000 to 24,999	\$2,437 2,368
10,000 to 14,999	\$1,588 1,543
5,000 to 9,999	\$966 939
1,000 to 4,999	\$289 281
100 to 999	\$54 52
0 to 99	\$0

Table 70E

Annual Pretreatment Fees

Description	Fee
Pretreatment Fee	\$1,657 1,610
Significant Industrial User	\$553 537 per industry

Table 70F

Technical Activity and Other Fees

Activity	Fee
New or substantially modified sewage treatment facility	\$7,623 7,408
Minor sewage treatment facility modifications and pump stations	\$828 805
Pressure sewer system or major sewer collection system expansion	\$581 565
Minor sewer collection system expansion or modification	\$165 160
New or substantially modified water pollution control facilities using alkaline agents to stabilize septage	\$828 805
Permit transfer	\$82 80

Table 70G
General NPDES and WPCF Permits

Number	Type	Description	New Permit Application Fee ¹	Annual Fee
100-J	NPDES	Cooling water/heat pumps	\$213 207	\$483 469
200-J	NPDES	Filter backwash	\$213 207	\$483 469
300-J	NPDES	Fish hatcheries	\$338 328	\$483 469
400-J	NPDES	Log ponds	\$213 207	\$483 469
500-J	NPDES	Boiler blowdown	\$213 207	\$483 469
600	WPCF	Offstream small scale mining – processing less than five cubic yards of material per day, or less than 1,500 cubic yards per year	\$0	\$0
		Offstream small scale mining – processing 1,500 to 10,000 cubic yards of material per year	\$213 207	\$0
700-PM ²	NPDES	Suction dredges	\$0	\$25
900-J	NPDES	Seafood processing	\$213 207	\$483 469
1000	WPCF	Gravel mining	\$213 207	\$483 469
1200-A ³	NPDES	Storm w -Water: Sand, gravel, and other non-metallic mining	\$826 803	\$850 826
1200-C ³	NPDES	Storm w -Water: Construction activities – one acre or more	\$826 803	\$850 826
1200-C ³	NPDES	Storm w -Water: Construction activities – less than one acre and part of a common plan of development disturbing one or more acres	\$243 236	\$0
1200-CA	NPDES	Storm w -Water: Construction activities performed by public agencies – one acre or more	\$826 803	\$850 826
1200-COLS ³	NPDES	Stormwater: Industrial stormwater discharge to Columbia Slough	\$826 803	\$850 826
1200-Z ^{3,4}	NPDES	Storm w -Water: Industrial	\$826 803	\$850 826

Table 70G
General NPDES and WPCF Permits

Number	Type	Description	New Permit Application Fee ¹	Annual Fee
1400-A	WPCF	Wineries and seasonal fresh pack operations whose wastewater flow does not exceed 25,000 gallons per day and is only disposed of by land irrigation.	\$213 207	\$283 275
1400-B	WPCF	Wineries and small food processors not otherwise eligible for a 1400A general permit.	\$338 328	\$483 469
1500-A	NPDES	Petroleum hydrocarbon clean-up	\$338 328	\$483 469
1500-B	WPCF	Petroleum hydrocarbon clean-up	\$338 328	\$483 469
1700-A	NPDES	Vehicle and equipment wash water	\$471 458	\$483 469
1700-B	WPCF	Vehicle and equipment wash water	\$471 458	\$483 469
1900-J	NPDES	Non-contact geothermal heat exchange	\$471 458	\$483 469
2401	WPCF	Tier 1 graywater reuse and disposal system for residential systems not exceeding 300 gallons per day, or equivalent specific geographic area graywater reuse and disposal area permit	\$50	\$40
2402	WPCF	Tier 2 graywater reuse and disposal system for systems not exceeding 1,200 gallons per day, or equivalent specific geographic area graywater reuse and disposal area permit	\$534	\$50
Other			\$471 458	\$483 469

1. New permit applications must include both the new permit application fee and the first year's annual fee.
2. A person registered under the 700-PM permit may pre-pay \$90 for permit coverage through 2014 in lieu of the \$25 annual fee.
3. Some of these permits are administered by public agencies under contract with DEQ.
4. This permit incorporates the 1300-J permit.

Table 70H

General Permit Activity and Other Fees

Activity	Fee
Disposal system plan review ¹	\$519 504
Site inspection and evaluation ¹	\$1,298 1,261
Permit Transfer	\$82 80

1. These fees apply when these activities are required for DEQ's review of the application.

Onsite System Fees

- (1) This rule establishes the fees for site evaluations, permits, reports, variances, licenses, and other services the department provides under this division.
- (2) Site evaluation and existing system evaluation fees are listed in Table 9A. [Table not included. See ED. NOTE.]
- (3) Permitting fees for systems not subject to WPCF permits are listed in Table 9B and Table 9C. [Table not included. See ED. NOTE.]
- (4) WPCF permit fees. Fees in this section apply to WPCF permits issued pursuant to OAR 340-071-0162. WPCF permit fees are listed in Table 9D. [Table not included. See ED. NOTE.]
- (5) Innovative or Alternative Technology or Material Review fees are listed in Table 9F. [Table not included. See ED. NOTE.]
- (6) Material Plan Review fees are listed in Table 9F. [Table not included. See ED. NOTE.]
- (7) Sewage Disposal Service License and Truck Inspection fees are listed in Table 9E. [Table not included. See ED. NOTE.]
- (8) Contract county fee schedules.
 - (a) Each county having an agreement with the department under ORS 454.725 must adopt a fee schedule for services rendered and permits issued. The county fee schedule may not include the department's surcharge established in section (9) of this rule unless identified as a department surcharge.
 - (b) A copy of the fee schedule and any subsequent amendments to the schedule must be submitted to the department.
 - (c) Fees may not exceed actual costs for efficiently conducted services.
- (9) Department surcharge.
 - (a) To offset a portion of the administrative and program oversight costs of the statewide onsite wastewater management program, the department and contract counties must levy a surcharge for each site evaluation, report permit, and other activity for which an application is required in this division. The surcharge fee is listed in Table 9F. [Table not included. See ED. NOTE.] This surcharge does not apply to sewage disposal service license applications, pumper truck inspections, annual report evaluation fees, or certification of installers or maintenance providers.
 - (b) Proceeds from surcharges collected by the department and contract counties must be accounted for separately. Each contract county must forward the proceeds to the department in accordance with its agreement with the department.
- (10) Refunds. The department may refund all or a portion of a fee accompanying an application if the applicant withdraws the application before any field work or other substantial review of the application has been done.

Attachment A

Oct. 16-17, 2013, EQC meeting

[ED. NOTE: Pages 15 of 23 are not included in rule text. [Click here for PDF copy of table\(s\).](#)]

Stat. Auth.: ORS 454.625, 468.020 & 468.065(2)

Stats. Implemented: ORS 454.745, 468.065 & 468B.050

Hist.: DEQ 10-1981, f. & ef. 3-20-81; DEQ 19-1981, f. 7-23-81, ef. 7-27-81; DEQ 5-1982, f. & ef. 3-9-82; DEQ 8-1983, f. & ef. 5-25-83; DEQ 9-1984, f. & ef. 5-29-84; DEQ 13-1986, f. & ef. 6-18-86; DEQ 15-1986, f. & ef. 8-6-86; DEQ 6-1988, f. & cert. ef. 3-17-88; DEQ 11-1991, f. & cert. ef. 7-3-91; DEQ 18-1994, f. 7-28-94, cert. ef. 8-1-94; DEQ 27-1994, f. & cert. ef. 11-15-94; DEQ 12-1997, f. & cert. ef. 6-19-97; Administrative correction 1-28-98; DEQ 8-1998, f. & cert. ef. 6-5-98; DEQ 16-1999, f. & cert. ef. 12-29-99; Administrative correction 2-16-00; DEQ 9-2001(Temp), f. & cert. ef. 7-16-01 thru 12-28-01; DEQ 14-2001, f. & cert. ef. 12-26-01; DEQ 2-2002, f. & cert. ef. 2-12-02; DEQ 11-2004, f. 12-22-04, cert. ef. 3-1-05; DEQ 7-2008, f. 6-27-08, cert. ef. 7-1-08; DEQ 10-2009, f. 12-28-09, cert. ef. 1-4-10; DEQ 7-2010, f. 8-27-10, cert. ef. 9-1-10; DEQ 9-2011, f. & cert. ef. 6-30-11; DEQ 6-2012, f. f. 10-31-12, cert. ef. 11-1-12

OAR 340-071-0140

ONSITE SYSTEM FEE SCHEDULE

Table 9A	
Site Evaluation and Existing System Evaluation Fees	
New Site Evaluation fees. Fees in this section apply to each system for which site suitability is evaluated.	
Single family dwelling - First lot	\$680
Single family dwelling - Each additional lot evaluated during initial visit	\$680
Commercial facility with a design capacity of 1,000 gpd or less	\$680
Commercial facility with a design capacity of 1,001-1,500 gpd	\$856
Commercial facility with a design capacity of 1,501-2,000 gpd	\$1,032
Commercial facility with a design capacity of 2,001-2,500 gpd	\$1,208
Commercial facility with a design capacity of 2,501-3,000 gpd	\$1,384
Commercial facility with a design capacity of 3,001-3,500 gpd	\$1,560
Commercial facility with a design capacity of 3,501-4,000 gpd	\$1,736
Commercial facility with a design capacity of 4,001-4,500 gpd	\$1,912
Commercial facility with a design capacity of 4,501-5,000 gpd	\$2,088
Commercial facility with a design flow greater than 5,000 gpd	\$2,304
Site Evaluation Report Review fee	\$640
Existing System Evaluation Report fee	\$640

Table 9B

Permitting Fees for Systems Not Subject to WPCF Permits. Effective January 4, 2010 to January 2, 2011

		Gray water waste disposal sumps	Holding tanks	Standard subsurface, Absorption trenches in saprolite, Evapotranspiration - absorption, Redundant, Seepage trench, Steep slope	Alternative treatment technologies, Capping fill, Pressurized distribution, Tile dewatering	Recirculating gravel filter, Sand filter (commercial or residential)	Plan Review fees for commercial facility systems.
Construction-Installation Permit fees.							
For systems with a design capacity of less than 600 gpd		\$364	\$702	\$819	\$1,027	\$1,235	\$0
For systems with a design capacity of 600 gpd but not more than 1,000 gpd		\$364	\$702	\$819	\$1,027	\$1,235	\$299
For systems with a design capacity of 1,001-1,500 gpd		\$442	\$780	\$897	\$1,105	\$1,313	\$351
For systems with a design capacity of 1,501-2,000 gpd		\$520	\$858	\$975	\$1,183	\$1,391	\$403
For systems with a design capacity of 2,001-2,500 gpd		\$598	\$936	\$1,053	\$1,261	\$1,469	\$455
Reinspection fee	\$100						
Pump Evaluation fee. For all permits that specify the use of a pump or dosing siphon except for sand filter, Alternative treatment technologies, Recirculating gravel filter, and pressurized distribution systems	\$52						

Table 9B

Permitting fees for systems not subject to WPCF permits. Effective January 3, 2011

		Gray water waste disposal sumps	Holding tanks	Standard subsurface, Absorption trenches in saprolite, Evapotranspiration - absorption, Redundant, Seepage trench, Steep slope	Alternative treatment technologies, Capping fill, Pressurized distribution, Tile dewatering	Recirculating gravel filter, Sand filter (commercial or residential)	Plan Review fees for commercial facility systems.
Construction-Installation Permit fees.							
For systems with a design capacity of less than 600 gpd		\$448	\$864	\$1,008	\$1,235	\$1,520	\$0
For systems with a design capacity of 600 gpd but not more than 1,000 gpd		\$448	\$864	\$1,008	\$1,235	\$1,520	\$368
For systems with a design capacity of 1,001-1,500 gpd		\$544	\$960	\$1,104	\$1,313	\$1,660	\$432
For systems with a design capacity of 1,501-2,000 gpd		\$640	\$1,056	\$1,200	\$1,391	\$1,712	\$496
For systems with a design capacity of 2,001-2,500 gpd		\$736	\$1,152	\$1,296	\$1,469	\$1,808	\$560
Reinspection fee	\$100						
Pump Evaluation fee. For all permits that specify the use of a pump or dosing siphon except for sand filter, Alternative treatment technologies, Recirculating gravel filter, and pressurized distribution systems	\$64						

Table 9C

Other Permitting Fees for Systems Not Subject to WPCF permits. Effective January 4, 2010 to January 2, 2011

		Field Visit required	No Field Visit required
Minor Alteration Permit	\$215		
Major Alteration Permit	\$449		
Minor Repair Permit - Single Family Dwelling	\$215		
Major Repair Permit - Single Family Dwelling	\$449		
Minor Repair Permit - Commercial Facility	\$377		
Major Repair Permit - Commercial Facility	\$819 or the applicable fee in Table 9B, whichever is lower.		
Permit Denial Review	\$352		
Permit Transfer, Reinstatement, or Renewal		\$423	\$124
Authorization Notice		\$507	\$130
Authorization Notice Denial Review	\$640		
Renewal of hardship authorization for temporary dwelling		\$330	\$100
Alternative system inspection - Holding tanks	\$312		
Alternative system inspection - Other alternative systems listed in Table 9B	\$429		
Annual report evaluation - Holding tanks	\$25		
Annual report evaluation - Commercial sand filters, recirculating gravel filters, and alternative treatment technology	\$50		
Variance from onsite system rules	\$2,080		

Table 9C

Other Permitting Fees for Systems Not Subject to WPCF Permits. Effective January 3, 2011

		Field Visit required	No Field Visit required
Minor Alteration Permit	\$264		
Major Alteration Permit	\$552		
Minor Repair Permit - Single Family Dwelling	\$256		
Major Repair Permit - Single Family Dwelling	\$535		
Minor Repair Permit - Commercial Facility	\$464		
Major Repair Permit - Commercial Facility	\$1,008 or the applicable fee in Table 9B, whichever is lower.		
Permit Denial Review	\$352		
Permit Transfer, Reinstatement, or Renewal		\$520	\$152
Authorization Notice		\$624	\$160
Authorization Notice Denial Review	\$640		
Renewal of hardship authorization for temporary dwelling		\$330	\$100
Alternative system inspection - Holding tanks	\$384		
Alternative system inspection - Other alternative systems listed in Table 9B	\$528		
Annual report evaluation - Holding tanks	\$30		
Annual report evaluation - Commercial sand filters, recirculating gravel filters, and alternative treatment technology	\$60		
Variance from onsite system rules	\$2,080		

Table 9D

WPCF Permit Fees

		Application filing fee (all systems)	Permit processing fees for onsite systems with a design capacity of 1,200 gpd or less.	Permit processing fees for onsite systems with a design capacity over 1,200 gpd:	Plan Review fee.	Annual Compliance Determination fee.
New application		\$72.70	\$576.560	\$2,878.2,797		
Permit renewal (involving request for effluent limit modifications)		\$72.70	\$287.279	\$1,440.1,399		
Permit renewal (without request for effluent limit modifications)		\$72.70	\$145.141	\$718.698		
Permit modification (involving increase in effluent limitations)		\$72.70	\$287.279	\$1,440.1,399		
Permit modification (not involving an increase in effluent limits)		\$72.70	\$216.210	\$718.698		
For commercial facilities with a design capacity less than 600 gpd					\$0	
For commercial facilities with a design capacity of 600 - 1,000 gpd					\$275	
For commercial facilities with a design capacity of 1,001 - 1,500 gpd					\$324	
For commercial facilities with a design capacity of 1,501 - 2,000 gpd					\$372	
For commercial facilities with a design capacity of 2,001 - 2,500 gpd					\$420	
For commercial facilities with a design capacity of 2,501 - 3,000 gpd					\$492	
For commercial facilities with a design capacity of 3,001 - 3,500 gpd					\$540	
For commercial facilities with a design capacity of 3,501 - 4,000 gpd					\$588	
For commercial facilities with a design capacity of 4,001 - 4,500 gpd					\$635	
For commercial facilities with a design capacity of 4,501 - 5,000 gpd					\$683	
Commercial facilities with a design capacity greater than 5,000 gpd					\$718	
Single family dwelling					\$145	
Onsite sewage lagoon with no discharge						\$863.839
Treatment Standard 1 or better systems with design capacities less than 2,500 gpd						\$360.350
Treatment Standard 1 or better systems with design capacities of 2,501 - 20,000 gpd						\$718.698
Holding tanks, if by the date specified by the department, the owner does not submit written certification to the department that the holding tank has been operated the previous calendar year in full compliance with the permit or that the previous year's service logs for the holding tanks are not available for inspection by the department						\$287.279
Holding tanks, if by the date specified by the department, the owner submits written certification to the department that the holding tank has been operated the previous calendar year in full compliance with the permit and that the previous year's service logs for the holding tanks are available for inspection by the department.						\$31.30
Other systems with design capacities less than 20,000 gpd						\$360.350
Other systems with design capacities greater than 20,000 gpd						\$718.698

\$504
~~490~~

Table 9E

Sewage Disposal Service License and Truck Inspection Fees

New 3-year business license	\$355 per year
Renewal of business license	\$320 per year
Transfer of or amendments to license	\$200
Reinstatement of suspended license	\$250
Pumper truck inspections - First vehicle, each inspection	\$100
Pumper truck inspections - Each additional vehicle, each inspection	\$50

Table 9F

Other Fees

Innovative or Alternative Technology or Material Review	\$1,600
Material Plan Review	\$480
Department surcharge.	\$60

