

Concurrence Reviews for Final Rulemaking Package

Title of Rulemaking: Title V Operating Permit Fee Increases Authorized in Statute

Contact person/phone: Esther Westbrook, 503-229-5374

Date routed: Friday, October 26, 2012

Reviewers responses requested by: Thursday, November 1, 2012 – BY NOON

Lead Administrator response requested by: Thursday, November 1, 2012 - COB

Reviewers:

Please review the Final Rulemaking package for the following:

- Uri: content is clear, technically sound, and accurate; program and regional coordination has been satisfied; package is well written
- Mark: interests of program or region are adequately addressed
- Paul: legal issues are adequately resolved; proposed rulemaking is legally sufficient (within authority, consistent with statute, enforceable); rules conform to rule writing standards
- Nicole: procedural requirements are satisfied (including notice); proposed rules are consistent with other rules/statutes and meet standards for rule writing; package is well written
- Maggie: procedural requirements are satisfied; identify quality problems (selective review)
- Andrea: rulemaking is consistent with previous Title V fee increases
- Andy: issues are adequately addressed; internal and external stakeholders have been adequately involved; package meets quality standards

Please return your completed concurrence review form to Esther via email or by saving it in the rulemaking folder on deqh1. Andy should review all concurrence reviews before approving submittal of the final rulemaking package to the Director.

Reviewer: Andrea Curtis	Date: October 30, 2012
Concur	
X	
Concur with comment	
Non-concur, with explanation	

Lead Administrator:	Date:
Approve submittal of final rulemaking package to the Director	