

## Concurrence Reviews for Final Rulemaking Package

**Title of Rulemaking: Title V Operating Permit Fee Increases Authorized in Statute**

**Contact person/phone: Esther Westbrook, 503-229-5374**

**Date routed: Friday, October 26, 2012**

**Reviewers responses requested by: Thursday, November 1, 2012 – BY NOON**

**Lead Administrator response requested by: Thursday, November 1, 2012 - COB**

### Reviewers:

**Please review the Final Rulemaking package for the following:**

- Uri: content is clear, technically sound, and accurate; program and regional coordination has been satisfied; package is well written
- Mark: interests of program or region are adequately addressed
- Paul: legal issues are adequately resolved; proposed rulemaking is legally sufficient (within authority, consistent with statute, enforceable); rules conform to rule writing standards
- Nicole: procedural requirements are satisfied (including notice); proposed rules are consistent with other rules/statutes and meet standards for rule writing; package is well written
- Maggie: procedural requirements are satisfied; identify quality problems (selective review)
- Andrea: rulemaking is consistent with previous Title V fee increases
- Andy: issues are adequately addressed; internal and external stakeholders have been adequately involved; package meets quality standards

**Please return your completed concurrence review form to Esther via email or by saving it in the rulemaking folder on deqh1.** Andy should review all concurrence reviews before approving submittal of the final rulemaking package to the Director.

<b>Reviewer:</b> <b>Paul Garrahan</b>	<b>Date: 10/29/12</b>
<b>Concur</b>	
<b>Concur with comment</b> See email communication sent along with this form.	
<b>Non-concur, with explanation</b>	

<b>Lead Administrator:</b>	<b>Date:</b>
<b>Approve submittal of final rulemaking package to the Director</b>	