#### State of Oregon

Department of Environmental Quality Memorandum

**Date:** April xx, 2012

**To:** Environmental Quality Commission

**From:** Dick Pedersen, Director

**Subject:** Agenda item xx, Rulemaking: Caption for Water Quality Certification Procedures for Klamath River Restoration Project

April 25, 2012 EQC meeting

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Why this is important** | Existing rules are not clear about how DEQ would evaluate a` dam removal project should DEQ need to decide whether or not to certify that the project will comply with water quality standards. |
| **DEQ recommendation and EQC motion** |  DEQ recommends that the EQC adopt the proposed Klamath-specific rule clarifying DEQ’s 401 certification procedures for a potential dam removal project, as shown in Appendix A.  |
| **Background and need for rulemaking** | The U.S. Secretary of Interior will determine whether the federal government will remove four dams on the Klamath River, one of which, the J.C. Boyle dam, is in Oregon. If the J.C. Boyle dam is removed under a federal license or permit, the responsible agency will be required to apply for a water quality certification from DEQ under Section 401 of the federal Clean Water Act. If the Secretary of Interior decides to remove the J.C. Boyle dam, the state of Oregon will be asked to concur with that decision. DEQ counsel recommends that a clear state regulatory pathway is important to enabling Oregon’s Governor to concur with a removal decision. The timing of this rulemaking was based on the expectation that the Governor’s concurrence would be requested in spring 2012. The Secretarial decision has since been delayed and may not be made until late summer 2012.The proposed rule is needed to clarify DEQ’s policy and procedures for evaluating whether to certify that the removal of J.C. Boyle dam will comply with water quality standards for the Klamath River. DEQ’s current certification rules do not specify how DEQ would evaluate a project like dam removal, which, when it occurs, will cause some short term water quality impacts but expected to result in long term water quality and fish protection benefits. See the issue paper provided in attachment D for additional information. |
| **Effect of rule** | The proposed rule clarifies DEQ’s authority and intent to allow a time schedule for the dam removal project to comply with water quality standards if DEQ makes the findings specified in the rule based on the information provided by the applicant. The purpose of the time schedule is to provide time for recovery of short term water quality impacts associated with dam removal activities at the J.C. Boyle Dam. The proposed rule requires DEQ be able to conclude based on information provided by the application that dam removal activities will not cause an exceedance of a water quality standard beyond the period for meeting standards specified in the time schedule. The time schedule will be included in the water quality certification. In addition, the rule specifies the findings that DEQ must make in order to allow the time schedule and certify the dam removal as a restoration project. Conditions will be placed in the certification to protect water quality to the maximum extent practicable during the dam removal process and to ensure that the expected long term water quality and fish habitat improvements outweigh the short term water quality impacts. DEQ will only use this rule if the Secretary of the Interior decides that the J.C. Boyle Dam should be removed pursuant to the Klamath Hydroelectric Settlement Agreement (KHSA) and a dam removal agency applies for certification.The proposed rule will benefit state and federal agencies by clarifying regulatory procedures. It is not anticipated that small businesses or local communities will be affected by the proposed rule. This rule is separate from the federal government’s decision whether or not to remove the dam and does not affect that decision.See the issue paper provided in attachment D for additional information. |
| **Commission authority** | The commission has the authority to take this action under ORS 468.020, 468B.030, 468B.035 & 468B.048 |
| **Key issues** | The primary issue in developing this rule was to determine the regulatory mechanism by which DEQ could certify that the dam removal project knowing it will likely cause some short term water quality impacts. DEQ and the stakeholders DEQ consulted agree that the objective is to allow the project if DEQ can conclude that the long term water quality benefits will outweigh any short term impacts. This is a situation that the dam removal has in common with other restoration projects. Through discussions with our legal counsel, other states and EPA, DEQ determined that it can legally provide for a time schedule in the certification by which water quality standards must be met and is the best approach for this type restoration project where the water quality impacts are not from an NPDES-permitted point source. The proposed rule clarifies DEQ’s authority and intent to use a time schedule should a certification for the Klamath dam removal be requested and should DEQ decide it will grant the certification based on the findings specified in the rule.The advisory committee discussed several issues relevant to the KHSA, future decisions regarding the removal of the dam and DEQ’s Section 401 certification process. DEQ and the advisory committee considered the following issues in considering options and developing the proposed rule:1. The Section 401 application, based on a detailed plan for removal, will be submitted and completed only after a decision has been made to remove the J.C. Boyle Dam.
2. Technical issues regarding [fill in to give a flavor of what these might be] will have to be evaluated during the Section 401 certification process. Detailed scientific information will not be available until this stage of the process. This rule specifies the findings that DEQ must make at the time of Section 401 certification.
3. Committee members agreed with the DEQ’s stated objective to allow short term impacts in order to facilitate restoration if DEQ can make a finding that the project will achieve long term river improvements that outweigh the short term impacts.
4. Committee members generally agreed with the objective of minimizing water quality impacts during the dam removal process to the maximum extent practical.
5. The KHSA, which includes provisions for the potential removal of the J.C. Boyle Dam, is a contentious issue in the Klamath Basin.
6. This rulemaking will facilitate the administrative process related to DEQ’s interactions with PacifiCorp (the current owner of the dam) and the Dam Removal Entity (DRE).
7. The Governor supports the KHSA and may need to rely on this rule to support the state’s concurrence.
8. DEQ is not responsible for deciding whether or not to remove the dams. Rather, DEQ’s rule is focused on how to protect water quality to the extent feasible through the Section 401 certification process and to ensure that short term water quality impacts do not present an obstacle to dam removal, if US Dept. of Interior decides to remove the dams.
 |
| **Public outreach** | DEQ assembled a local advisory committee that included federal and state agencies, an environmental group, a fishing association and a county commissioner. The committee provided input on the options evaluated, the issue paper, the proposed rule and the fiscal and economic impact statement. See the issue paper provided in attachment C for additional information.DEQ accepted public comment for 45 days and held a public hearing in Klamath Falls. Two people attended the hearing and five people submitted public comment. Please see the summary of public comment and agency responses in attachment B. |
| **Next steps** | If the proposed rules are adopted, DEQ will file the rule record with the Oregon Secretary of State.  |
| **Attachments** | Proposed rules (redline)Public comment and agency responsesHearing Officer’s reportIssue Paper: Water Quality Certification Procedures for Klamath River Restoration Project |
| **Available upon request** | Relationship to Federal Requirements questionsStatement of Need and Fiscal and Economic ImpactLand use evaluation statement |
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