|  |
| --- |
| **Action Items For Remaining RWG Meetings** |
| **WHAT** | **ADDITIONAL RWG DISCUSSION?** | **RESOLUTION** | **TASKS** | **DUE DATE** |
| **Intake Credit Rule** | No | -DEQ sent e-mail 1/22/10 with final language -Need to change boilerplate language in permits to allow intake credits- Need to determine how to do the calculations in the RPA IMD | -Develop section in policy paper (for general public, EPA, and EQC)-Jane/Les need to review language before going to Larry at DOJ. Jane is checking in w/ Neil about this. We may also want someone from OCE to review.-Update IMD | -Feb. 12 (Spencer)-XX/XX? (Andrea)-XX/XX? (Spencer) |
| **HHC Schedule** | No | -DEQ sent e-mail 1/22/10 with final language based on existing rule language, and does not include new definition language | -Develop section in policy paper-Jane/Les need to review language before going to Larry at DOJ. Jane is checking in w/ Neil about this. We may also want someone from OCE to review. | -Feb. 12 (Deb/Andrea)-XX/XX? (Andrea) |
| **Variance White Paper and Reg. Language** | Yes | -How much detail do we want in the White Paper? About the same content, just updated to match reg. language?-Will RWG provide formal comments now or after subsequent revisions? Recommend comments after additional set of revisions…-Will need significant input from EPA on MDV and BCA-Need to look at variance approvals from states outside GLI-Discussed toxics list for current assessment w/ Robb K., but we won’t be able to get info based on 175, unless we are able to plug in numbers ourselves—Karla says he cannot spend any time on this… May need to rely on SAIC and the 2004/2006 IR toxics list which would underestimate scope. | -Revise MDV and BCA based on EPA’s input—also need to slightly revise main variance provisions. EPA will need about a week to review our revisions.-Develop remaining issues list w/ DEQ’s recommendation-Update White Paper based on updated reg language. Deb recommends folding the White Paper into the policy paper--We need to provide more detail on non-contact cooling facilities, scope of pollutants (Michael Campbell may be able to assist), and alternative analysis. Optimally, it would be best to have this done before revisions to the MDV are made, but we can do concurrently given time constraints.-Jane/Les need to review language before going to Larry at DOJ. Jane is checking in w/ Neil about this. We may also want someone from OCE to review the white paper/policy paper ahead of the eventual IMD because of the PMP component. | -Feb. 12 (Andrea)-Feb. 19 (Andrea)-XX/XX? (Andrea)-Feb. 12 (Spencer)-XX/XX (Andrea) |
| **Other Implementation Tools** | Yes | -Based on RWG meeting 1/29/10, RWG will conference call to discuss implementation tools options and set up another face to face meeting on tools-Follow up on Ryan’s offset ideas-Follow up on Myron’s and Michael’s comments/suggestions-Follow up on FL restoration plans (Kathryn’s idea): Early indication from EPA that these restoration plans will probably not be particularly useful for OR, but we should still check it out | -Set up conference call for 2/16/10 (DONE). RWG members send tool ideas to Andrea by 2/5/10-Set up RWG meeting for 2/23/10 (asked ML for conf call # and room availability on 1/2/10)-Respond to Ryan’s offset proposals, FL/DE restoration plans, Michael’s and Myron’s comments-Develop sections on remaining tools in policy paper  | -ASAP (Andrea)-ASAP (Andrea)-Feb 19: before face to face meeting (Deb, Spencer, EPA?)-XX/XX ( Deb?) |
| **Fiscal Impact Statement** | Yes | -Need to work w/ Maggie, Adam, etc. on what is needed here-Set up another RWG + non-NPDES face to face meeting to discuss—March?-IRT review | -Complete paperwork  | -3/1/10 (Andrea) |
| **Human Health Criteria Table** | Yes | -Most likely RWG review can be accomplished via e-mail-Anticipate taking 3 solid weeks to complete given criteria complexity and making the table more user friendly-IRT, RWG, permit writer review | -Draft criteria table-Final criteria table | -3/1/10 (Andrea)-3/15/10 (Andrea) |
| **Non-NPDES RWG Rule-making Items** | No | -Finalize non-NPDES options and develop language- 2 more meetings remaining--not yet scheduled (mid-March?)-IRT review-We’ll need to further develop this section | -Draft language-Final language (internal review completed) | -XX/XX (??--Gene/Koto/Josh, Kevin, Jennifer, Tiffany)-5/9/10 |

**\*Table does not include all intermediary steps**

**\*\*This schedule is set to meet the April 15 due date for SOS submission in order to have an October EQC Rule Adoption. The non-NPDES meeting changed the SOS date to May 15.**

**\*\*\* All final products should be reviewed by IRT before going to SOS, correct?**