12:45 would probably work (we should be at lunch by then and I should be around). The sign-in sheets from yesterday are under today’s sheets at the room entrance, and the comment forms (in order, and the numbers do not always correspond to the order…which you’ll see) and written testimony (in order, but not numbered/assigned) are on a table by my seat in the room.

I’ll try to gather it all together  

The list of commenters, and the general support/oppose note:

1. Elwood Patawa – support
2. Kat Brigham – support *– submitted letter*
3. Curtis W. Martin – oppose (encourage to reopen process)
4. Jack Giffen, Jr. – support
5. Ryan Bransetter – support
6. (J.) Michael Read – oppose
7. Ron Bittler – oppose (want specific implementation plan by Clean Water Act tool)
8. Chuck Mickelson – oppose (municipal issues – city of Ontario)
9. Steve Griffith – [check tape] – *USDA – oppose?*
10. Brandy Humphries – support
11. Don Gentry – support
12. Peggy Browne - oppose
13. Tracey Liskey - oppose
14. Doug Krahmer (**check spelling with staff and commenter**) – oppose
15. Barry Bushue  - oppose
16. Jennifer Shmikler – oppose
17. Joe Hobson – oppose
18. Stephanie Eisner – (support removal of toxics – have concerns with proposed rules/variances) oppose
19. Liz VanLeeuwen – oppose*, supports Ag as lead agency for water issues, not DEQ*
20. Mark Mellbye – oppose, *keep ODA as point agency*
21. Jon Kane – support
22. Marc Whitman – support, *encouraged more meetings and work with large manufacturers for reduction*
23. Bobby Begay – support
24. Janet Gillaspie and Chris Fick – oppose, *specific concerns with portions of rules and especially variances, general support to reduce toxics – want specific implementation plans by categories of pollutant and Clean Water Act tools*
25. Dan Hanthorn - oppose
26. Lauren Goldberg – support
27. Kathryn VanNatta – oppose (in part) – *noted that NWPPA will present specific points and ideas for solutions on implementation for point sources*
28. Terry Witt – *OFS supports clean water.* Opposes this rulemaking.
29. Steve Higgs – oppose (Perkins Coe law firm, representing Klamath Falls) – city supports underlying concepts and toxics reduction in general – *wants specificity on policy direction*
30. Aja DeCoteau – support
31. David Liberty – support
32. Teresa Huntsinger – support
33. Brett Vandenheuvel – support (*mentioned personal health and environmental justice/right to catch and eat fish*) – *noted flaws in rules and perception that it will not actually reduce toxics but that the state can accomplish reductions*
34. Karla Kay Edwards – oppose – *challenge to science of 175 grams per day fish consumption rate and that there will be no human health benefit from rules. Presented recommendations for commission consideration.*
35. Sheri Wadekamper – oppose (*ODA as sole authority for ag-related water issues*) – also asked EQC to rescind land-application permit given to Hermiston
36. Bill Hoyt – COMPLETED FORM, DID NOT COMMENT

Thanks!

* Stephanie