
Concurrence Reviews for Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

Title of Rulemaking: New Source Review, Particulate Matter and Greenhouse Gas Permitting					
Requirements, and Other Permitting Rule Updates					
Contact person/phone:Jill Inahara, 5001					
Date routed:					
Reviewers responses requested by: email	•				
Lead Administrator response requested by: email	······				
Reviewers: Your comments are summarized and addressed below: • Name of Commenter: How changes were made in response Please return your completed concurrence review to the contact of Lead Administrator should review all concurrence reviews before aut Rulemaking.	lesignated above (in hard copy or via e-mail.				
Ruleinaking.					
Reviewer: Joanie Stevens-Schwenger	Date: 08/30/10				
Joni Hammond					
Keith Andersen	·				
TANDA MANGOLVAN					
Concur No comments were received from any reviewers.					
Concur with comment					
Non-concur, with explanation					
Lead Administrator: Andy Ginsburg	Date: 10/15/10				
Authorize publication of Notice of Proposed Rulemaking					



INAHARA Jill

From:

INAHARA Jill

Sent:

Wednesday, August 18, 2010 8:47 AM

To:

STEVENS-SCHWENGER Joanie; HAMMOND Joni; ANDERSEN Keith; GINSBURG Andy

Cc:

PAPISH Uri

Subject:

Concurrence Review

Hi Everyone,

Here is the link to SharePoint where all of my documents are for the February EQC meeting. I am still trying to track down some costs for asphalt plants for the Fiscal and Economic Impact statement.

Please remember to turn on track changes when you add your comments. I will be out on vacation next week but hope to be able to get on email so please let me know if you have any questions. I need comments back from you by 9:00 on Monday, August 30. Thanks very much for your review!

Jill

Click here

							*
						•	
	,						
•							,
							•
				•			
•					÷		
						•	
				,			•
•				•			
				÷			
						e.	
	·						
			•				
		, i					
			•				
		·					
		•					

Concurrence Reviews for Final Rulemaking Package

Note: Provide the information requested. Delete highlighted, italicized text.

- E-mail this form with the Final Rulemaking package to the reviewers noted below to complete the concurrence review process.
- Revise the instructions to reviewers to meet your needs, but assign all specified review roles.

Title of Rulemaking: New Source Review, Particulate Matter and Greenhouse Gas Permitting Requirements,

and Other Permitting Rule Updates
Contact person/phone: <u>Jill Inahara/5001</u>
Date routed: Friday, December 10, 2010

Reviewers responses requested by: Monday, December 20, 2010

Lead Administrator response requested by: Wednesday, December 29. 2010

Reviewers:

Please review the Final Rulemaking package for the following:

- Project Lead's Manager: content is clear, technically sound, and accurate; program and regional coordination has been satisfied; package is well written.
- Participating EMT members: interests of program or region are adequately addressed.
- DOJ Program Attorney: legal issues are adequately resolved; proposed rulemaking is legally sufficient (within authority, consistent with statute, enforceable); rules conform to rule writing standards
- Division Rules Coordinator: procedural requirements are satisfied (including notice); proposed rules are consistent with other rules/statutes and meet standards for rule writing; package is well written
- Agency Rules Coordinator: procedural requirements are satisfied; identify quality problems (selective review)
- Lead Administrator: issues are adequately addressed; internal and external stakeholders have been adequately involved; package meets quality standards.

Please return your completed concurrence review to the contact designated above via e-mail. Lead Administrator should review all concurrence reviews before approving submittal of the final rulemaking package to the Director.

Reviewer: Joni Hammond	Date:	
Concur		
Concur with comment		
Non-concur, with explanation		
ı ·	A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-	
Reviewer: Joanie Stevens-Schwenger	Date:	
Concur		
Concur with comment	·	

Non-concur, with explanation	
Reviewer: Keith Andersen	Date:
Concur	
Concur with comment	
Non-concur, with explanation	
Reviewer: Paul Logan	Date:
Concur	Date.
Concur with comment	
Non-concur, with explanation	
Reviewer: Maggie Vandehey	Date:
Concur	
Concur with comment	
Non-concur, with explanation	
Lead Administrator: Andy Ginsburg	Date:
Approve submittal of final rulemaking package to the Director	