

# CITY OF Troutdale

## AGENDA

**TROUTDALE CITY COUNCIL  
REGULAR MEETING  
COUNCIL CHAMBERS  
TROUTDALE CITY HALL  
104 SE KIBLING AVENUE  
TROUTDALE, OR 97060-2099**


---

**7:00 P.M. -- October 13, 1998**

- (I) 1. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE, ROLL CALL, AGENDA UPDATE
- (A) 2. CONSENT AGENDA:
  - 2.1 Accept Minutes: Regular Session - September 8, 1998
  - 2.2 Business Licenses: Month of September, 1998
  - 2.3 Liquor License - Gorge-Us-Pizza Haus, Skyland Pub, Troutdale Chevron
  - 2.4 MOTION: A Motion to approve real estate transactions between the City and Frank A. Windust Jr. et al.
  - 2.5 RESOLUTION: A Resolution recognizing the completion of capital improvements associated with the Beaver Creek Bank Stabilization project and accepting them into the City's fixed asset system.
  - 2.6 RESOLUTION: A Resolution recognizing the completion of capital improvements associated with the 1998 street improvements (SE Dora Avenue and SE Harlow Avenue) and accepting them into the City's fixed asset system.
  - 2.7 RESOLUTION: A Resolution accepting a dedication of public right-of-way at SE Sandy Avenue from School District #7.
  - 2.8 RESOLUTION: A Resolution recognizing the completion of the 12-inch water main relocation associated with the I-84 railroad bridge relocation, and accepting this water main into the City's fixed asset system.
  - 2.9 RESOLUTION: A Resolution granting a quitclaim deed of an access and water line public utility easement to Joanne Maurine Connall, the legal property owner of 2077 Historic West Columbia River Highway, Troutdale, Oregon, County of Multnomah.
  - 2.10 RESOLUTION: A Resolution accepting the dedication of a 6 foot wide public access and water utility easement from Joanne Maurine Connall, the legal owner of 2077 Historic West Columbia River Highway, Troutdale, Oregon, County of Multnomah.

- (I) 3. PUBLIC COMMENT: Please restrict comments to non-agenda items at this time.
- (A) 4. PUBLIC HEARING/ORDINANCE (Introduced 9/22/98): An Ordinance repealing Title 6, Animals, and adopting a new Title 6, Animals, Chapter 6.04. Hanna
- (A) 5. DISCUSSION: Future extension of S.W. Latourell Place. Faith
- (I) 6. DEPARTMENT REPORTS:
 

6.1	Finance	Gazewood
6.2	Public Safety	Berrest
6.3	Community Development	Faith
6.4	Public Works	Galloway
6.5	City Attorney	Sercombe
6.6	Executive	Kvarsten
- (I) 7. COUNCIL CONCERNS AND INITIATIVES
- (A) 8. ADJOURNMENT.

  
 Paul Falhofer, Mayor  
 Dated: 10-6-98

MINUTES  
Troutdale City Council - Regular Meeting  
Troutdale City Hall  
Council Chambers  
104 SE Kibling Avenue  
Troutdale, OR 97060-2099

October 13, 1998 7:00pm

**I. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE, ROLL CALL, AGENDA UPDATE**

Meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m. by Mayor Thalhofer. Mayor Thalhofer called on Councilor Smith to lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mayor Thalhofer asked for the roll to be called.

City Recorder Martinez called the roll.

PRESENT: Thalhofer, Smith, Thompson, Kight, Regelein, Daoust, Ripma (7:22)

STAFF: Allen, Berrest, Faith, Galloway, Gazewood, Hanna, Kvarsten, Martinez.

GUESTS: Donna Edgley, Barb Adams, Heather Munson, Rebecca Wagner, Aaron Kammeyer, Fabian Monroy, Chris Regelein, Leslie Daoust.

Mayor Thalhofer asked are there any agenda updates?

Kvarsten replied item 2.4 on the consent agenda, a motion to approve real estate transactions between the City and Frank A. Windust Jr. et al will be postponed until the October 27<sup>th</sup> Meeting. There will be an executive session on that matter prior to the meeting. We have no other changes to offer this evening.

**2. CONSENT AGENDA:**

**2.1 Accept Minutes: Regular Session - September 8, 1998**

**2.2 Business Licenses: Month of September, 1998**

**2.3 Liquor License - Gorge-Us-Pizza Haus, Skyland Pub, Troutdale Chevron.**

**2.4 MOTION: A Motion to approve real estate transactions between the City and Frank A. Windust Jr. et al.**

**2.5 RESOLUTION: A Resolution recognizing the completion of capital improvements associated with the Beaver Creek Bank Stabilization project and accepting them into the City's fixed asset system.**

**2.6 RESOLUTION: A Resolution recognizing the completion of capital improvements associated with the 1998 street improvements (SE Dora Avenue and SE Harlow Avenue)**

- and accepting them into the City's fixed asset system.
- 2.7 **RESOLUTION: A Resolution accepting a dedication of public right-of-way at SE Sandy Avenue from School District #7.**
- 2.8 **RESOLUTION: A Resolution recognizing the completion of the 12 inch water main relocation associated with the I-84 railroad bridge relocation, and accepting this water main into the City's fixed asset system.**
- 2.9 **RESOLUTION: A Resolution granting a quitclaim deed of an access and water line public utility easement to Joanne Maurine Connall, the legal property owner of 2077 Historic West Columbia River Highway, Troutdale, Oregon, County of Multnomah.**
- 2.10 **RESOLUTION: A Resolution accepting the dedication of a 6 foot wide public access and water utility easement from Joanne Maurine Connall, the legal owner of 2077 Historic West Columbia River Highway, Troutdale, Oregon, County of Multnomah.**

Mayor Thalhfer called this item and read the consent agenda.

**MOTION: Councilor Thompson moved adoption of the consent agenda. Councilor Kight seconded the motion.**

**YEAS: 6  
NAYS: 0  
ABSTAINED: 0**

**3. PUBLIC COMMENT: Please restrict comments to non-agenda items at this time.**

Mayor Thalhfer called this item.

Barb Adams stated I am a volunteer with Parks and Salmon Measure 66. The park systems have been underfunded for many years. We have many park systems that have sewage systems that need to be built, we have erosion problems. Another problem is population growth, we have added one new campground in the last 20 years and we have not added any new state parks. We are not keeping up with our growing population. Measure 66 takes 50% of lottery revenue, dedicates half of that to parks and half to salmon, the protection and restoration of the habitat.

Councilor Kight asked how were the parks funded in the past?

Adams replied parks are funded with a gas tax. At that time they had money. That was voted off the gas tax and it was strictly dedicated to roads. Since that time the trend in the legislature was cut. Parks were squeezed down to \$2 million a year. Currently parks raise more than 80% of their own money through fees and other things. The general fund only has to come up with 20% of the budget for parks, but they weren't even coming up with that.

Councilor Kight stated let me tell you why I will not support this. I am concerned with the continued dependance the State of Oregon has on their lottery dollars. We are starting to see a backlash now. Hood River was approached about putting a gambling casino in. There was a ground swell at least in that community not to have a gambling casing near a community. They realized the cost to the community, it draws the life blood out of a community. Troutdale, in a personal way, has experienced that. The cost of gambling in our private citizens comes at a very high cost. This is a great cause, who can be against parks, salmon, education and so forth, but the continued dependance upon gambling is taking this state

down the wrong road. I would rather see you go back to the State Legislature and get a portion of the gas tax back so you would have a base for your operations, improvements and infrastructure.

Adams replied if we thought we could have done that we would have. I am not a fan of gambling myself, but the fact is that we do have the lottery and that is why we have a fifteen year limit. We too feel that the state will move away from the lottery at some point.

Mayor Thalhofer stated I am also opposed to the lottery and the State living on gambling revenue. Until they revamp the taxes in this state to provide revenue for the parks and salmon, I would be in favor of your measure. I think the whole tax structure in this state needs to be revamped so that we do provide money for the necessary items that are a concern to all of us in the state.

Councilor Daoust stated it was mentioned on Channel 2 news that Governor Kitzhaber has gone on record as opposing this ballot measure. One of his reasons was the increased reliance on lottery funds. His second reason was that it would take away funds from schools, what is your response to his second reason?

Adams replied this would be less than 1% of the budget for the State of Oregon, that leaves 99% of the budget. Out of that 99%, if we can't find the money for schools then we have a much bigger problem than the lottery. Our legislature, instead of grabbing the bull by the horns and taking care of education, has gotten involved with the lottery as a way out. It's the legislature's responsibility to fund education. If they can't do it with 99% of the state budget after this passes, then there is a large problem.

Donna Edgely stated I am a volunteer with Reynolds Neighbors for Kids and introduced Heather Munson, a student at Reynolds High School. I want to take just a few moments to discuss the bond measure that is on the November ballot, Measure 26-98. The growth in east county, specifically in Reynolds School District is still continuing, where it is starting to slow down in other places, it is still happening here. Our enrollment is projected from 1997- 2002 to add 1700 more students and we don't have a place for them. Where are we going to put another 1700 kids? What we propose to do with this bond levy is build another elementary school. The largest part of this growth is another 900 elementary students. We need to add a building at Reynolds High School to take care of the enrollment and curriculum changes that are happening there. We want to remodel Reynolds Middle School, the building is very old and the site is an excellent site. The three things driving the facilities committee are the enrollment changes, growth and the inability to buy more land. We looked at the existing facilities and land that we already own and how we can make better use of what we already have. This bond also adds teaching space, cafeterias to four of the schools, improve athletic facilities at Reynolds High School, add parking at Reynolds High School and the rest of the bond measure goes to continued long term maintenance that we started in 1995. The extra building at the high school is a arts and communication building. 51% of the students at Reynolds High School take arts and communication classes. The building will add sixteen classrooms plus the theater.

Heather Munson stated I am a junior at Reynolds High School. I am involved in softball and I am a thespian. Ever since I have been a young girl I have went to see the productions put on at Mt. Hood Community College. I never knew how much was put into the planning and practicing. Know being involved in the productions, working back stage and on the stage with them, I know how much you have to do. You put in about 250 hours. It is very difficult having to travel every day to the college to practice, sometimes they do not like us using all of their things. For the last play we only had one rehearsal and we really need more time than that. It would be a great help if we could build our own theater.

Mayor Thalhoffer stated that the Parkrose High School has an outstanding new performing arts center. The Reynolds High School has a good drama department and music department. Congress passed a bill recently providing money for schools. It will add more teachers and reduce class sizes to aid learning. Do you know anything about this?

Edgely replied I don't know how many teachers that means for Oregon.

Councilor Daoust stated I would like to thank both of you for being here tonight. My daughters are in there second high school play. I know what it means to have to go to Mt. Hood Community College. I appreciate the effort of the high school to allow grade school kids in their plays. The largest number of students increasing in the school district of the 1700 students will be elementary, almost 930 elementary students. That sounds like almost two elementary schools worth of students?

Edgely replied you have to look at where the growth is. It is happening mostly in the middle of the district and at the east end. The proposal is to turn the district administration building (that is behind Reynolds Middle School) into a 600 student elementary school. That leaves 300 students that the other schools would absorb with boundary changes.

Councilor Regelein stated I would like to lend my support on the measure. I put two kids through Reynolds High School, at that time it was 2,000 students in a school designed for 1600 and we are now up to 2400. The performing arts center is long overdue.

Councilor Kight stated the first time I walked into the doors at Columbia High School I could not believe my eyes. It was quiet, no doors on the class rooms. It was an impressive campus. That was when Reynolds High School was open further to the west, then we combined High Schools and the enrollment went to 1800 kids and you are looking at going to 2400. I support the bond measure. What I am concerned about is your approach is almost to conservative. I wonder if we shouldn't have an additional high school.

Edgely replied that the projections don't substantiate two high schools.

Councilor Thompson stated I strongly support the bond measure.

Councilor Ripma stated I compliment you for coming this evening, I know the arts center is really needed and I am with you all the way.

Councilor Smith stated my kids were in the first graduating class at Columbia. I remember when Sweetbriar school was first built, it sat vacant for several years, now we are overloaded. Is there anything scheduled for upgrading Sweetbriar or Troutdale Elementary Schools?

Edgely stated that Troutdale will not have any changes to the building as far as expanding it. The City of Troutdale has graciously let us have four portables on the property. Sweetbriar at this point is not scheduled for any addition to the building.

Mayor Thalhoffer stated thank you for coming. As you can see we all support your bond measure.

**4. PUBLIC HEARING/ORDINANCE (Introduced 9/22/98): An Ordinance repealing Title 6, Animals.**

**and adopting a new Title 6, Animals, Chapter 6.04.**

Mayor Thalhoffer called this item, recessed the City Council Meeting and opened the Public Hearing at 7:48pm and called on Jack Hanna.

Hanna stated we are here for the second reading on the Troutdale Animal Control Ordinance. There have been no changes or amendments made to the original Ordinance. This is primarily an update of an Ordinance that has not been changed since 1981. Multnomah County has had four or more amendments to the Ordinance since we last adopted it.

John Rowton of Multnomah County stated I was here for the first reading of the Ordinance and there have been no changes or amendments made. We have two committees that are looking at two issues that touch on the Ordinance. One of the issues is noise control in commercial kennels, the other is an issue regarding the grandfathering of some exotic reptiles mainly pythons and boas. Those are the only two areas that we can foresee any possible change.

Councilor Kight stated last time you came before this Council, one of my concerns was the number of calls that Multnomah County Animal Control as well as the City of Troutdale and myself have received from people who have lost pets as a result of attacks of coyotes. We asked you to come up with a possible list of folks who could handle that problem and if you would in fact consider being the routing or the traffic manager for those calls and that you would refer people to contractors that could handle that on an individual basis.

Rowton replied the list is a short one. Animal Damage Control is willing to sit down with the City and talk about it on a contract basis on a per issue basis. We are willing within the bounds of that to act as the dispatcher for them.

Councilor Kight stated that these animals are now working in packs and they are becoming much more aggressive and are acclimating to the community. My ultimate concern is that at some point we take an active role at looking at this problem and not ignore it, because to ignore it, as these animals become more comfortable around humans, the potential is there for damage or injury to small children.

Rowton replied there is a public safety issue here no question. We don't have the resources to deal with it. We will help you find a contractor and we are willing to use our resources to dispatch the contractors.

Councilor Kight stated if you would be willing to continue to find other contractors that would be willing to handle this problem I think Troutdale would be willing to listen to see what they could do.

Rowton replied if we can find a solution here it is a solution that can be used in other areas.

Mayor Thalhoffer stated it looks like the USDA is our solution at this point.

Rowton replied at this point they are certainly willing to talk about it and may be willing to modify there ways of doing it. We did talk to them about live trapping and they did not say no.

Mayor Thalhoffer stated in the Ordinance it talks about dogs barking for ten minutes.

Rowton stated the old Ordinance stated if you could hear it off the property bounds it was in violation,

the new Ordinance states if it barks continuously for ten minutes or intermittently over a thirty minute period. The purpose of the time frames is if your neighbor has a problem with your barking dog it does two things. It causes them to have a guide, it also says that they have to document it. This is a better control factor.

Mayor Thalhoffer referred to the section of the Ordinance that talks about the classification of potentially dangerous dogs and the level III behavior. When a dog is legally confined it is hard for me to see how the owner could be responsible if the dog happens to bite somebody when the dog is confined legally.

Rowton replied very few of those kinds of bites are classified. When we classify a dog in an instance like that it is usually a repeat of the behavior. Out of 850 bites last year, I think there were about 120 dogs that were actually classified. On the question of liability and responsibility however, there are several insurance companies that will argue that point with you. That is the one thing that we try to impress upon owners, even if your dog is safely confined in your own yard and it happens to bite the visiting neighbors kid, there is liability question there.

Councilor Daoust stated that Channel 2 News reported on a case in SE Portland, where they are trying to define what a recurring nuisance is.

Rowton replied that case went under the old Ordinance. The new Ordinance actually helps to define that. The case they were talking about on Channel 2 News was under the old Ordinance where there was no definition of how long a dog could bark for. I really can not discuss this because it goes to hearing on Friday.

Councilor Kight stated I have three cats and in anticipation of the vote of this Council, I have now licensed my cats. Under exemptions from licensing and waiver of fees, for those folks that are over 65 and deemed by the director to be under financial hardship, the cost of licensing up to two animals, they can get up to a fifty percent reduction in that fee. Is that correct?

Rowton replied that is correct.

Councilor Kight asked what about those folks that are struggling on a fixed income or disability?

Rowton stated that is why the extra line was added and is a change in the new Ordinance. Now the director may make a decision in these cases.

Mayor Thalhoffer closed the Public Hearing and reconvened the City Council Meeting at 8:10pm.

Councilor Ripma asked did we receive any letters of any kind?

Kvarsten replied no.

**MOTION: Councilor Ripma moved adoption of the Ordinance. Seconded by Councilor Kight.**

**YEAS: 7  
NAYS: 0  
ABSTAINED: 0**



**5. DISCUSSION: Future extension of S.W. Latourell Place.**

Mayor Thalhofer read this item and called on Mr. Faith to come forward.

Faith reviewed the staff report contained in the packet. This is not a land use decision that I am asking of you. There is no specific development proposal that has been put before us. I would ask that you look at this in light of the fact that the property is zoned R-5, and any use that is allowed in a R-5 district is a candidate for how this property is developed. We are merely trying to determine if any development proposal that the Burlingame's put forward needs to include the extension of this sub-street as part of that proposal.

Councilor Kight stated if you look at Lucas Avenue as it is right in front of the middle school, there are two dark lines, is that an easement or something that runs just to the East of Sundial Avenue?

Faith replied that is a 16' wide track of land that was deeded to the City by the Mackeys quite some time ago.

Councilor Kight asked do we need that as a utility easement?

Faith replied there is a water main that runs through it.

Councilor Kight asked so you could not build on that?

Faith stated no. It is a City owned strip of land.

Councilor Kight stated that you said the one piece of property on 26<sup>th</sup> Street and Latourell Place and Lucas Avenue, it is almost like an island isn't it?

Faith stated there is one existing dwelling their that is surrounded on three sides by streets. With the extension of Latourell Place it would create additional lots that would face the same situation.

Councilor Kight stated logically there is no purpose to extend Latourell Place.

Faith stated that was the feeling of the Planning Commission when they approved this, that it was going to create difficulty with extensions of Latourell and that perhaps that would need to be considered for a street vacation at some time in the future.

Councilor Kight asked does the R-5 zoning mean manufactured houses?

Faith replied the R-5 district allows manufactured home parks and manufactured home subdivisions, single family subdivisions down to 5,000 square foot lot sizes.

Councilor Daoust asked is the whole property zoned R-5?

Faith replied it is really split zoning. The northern portion which approximately would be the extension

of 29<sup>th</sup> street to 28<sup>th</sup> street, north of that line is zoned R-5, south of that line is general commercial.

Councilor Daoust asked is there any potential use for that stub?

Faith replied the extension of that would not preclude dwelling sites to be put there, but they would not be the easiest to market or ideal because you would have double frontage of street. Because of that there might be other desirable uses. For example I could foresee a better use of that might be as a small neighborhood park.

Councilor Daoust stated it could potentially be used as an access street to a small park if the Burlingames decided that they would be willing to put a park in there.

Faith stated currently we do not have the requirement for dedication of park land as part of the subdivision.

Councilor Daoust stated the connections are made without Latourell Place, connecting 28<sup>th</sup> with McGinnis down to Stark truly meets the circulation needs that the City has with roadways. I really don't see Latourell Place as being needed for any kind of connection to other streets.

Faith replied that is our feeling as well. Upon the construction of Lucas we have taken care of what the purpose of Latourell Place was originally designed for.

Councilor Ripma asked what are the Burlingame's planning to do?

Faith stated there is no specific proposal. They have entertained some kind of residential development of this property.

Councilor Ripma stated if they want to do anything but extend the street they would have to come here to ask. Our official on record is that street should be extended. I agree it doesn't look logical to extend it. I do not want to make a decision until I know what they are proposing. I would rather decide later. Couldn't we do that?

Faith replied the way I would view this is if the Council did not instruct me that this street does not need to be extended, then I would be bound by our Transportation System Plan which calls for the extension of the street. I would then need to inform the Burlingames that regardless of what kind of development they do here, they will need to incorporate in that design the extension of this street. If they object to that then their recourse would be an appeal to the Planning Commission, or appeal the decision to the City Council.

Councilor Ripma stated I don't think that is unreasonable in this case. The park idea is an excellent use of the land between the two streets. Aren't they asking you to come before us and get this requirement removed? Every time you talk to them they ask why do we have to extend that street, they are objecting to the extension of that street. In effect you are trying to clarify this issue so they don't have to extend the street. I want to know what they are proposing before I grant them that. This is my position.

Faith stated the Burlingames did not ask me to bring this matter before you. I elected to do that as much for my benefit as for theirs. They are getting more serious about developing this property and obviously they are trying to determine what is going to have to be done in conjunction with that. It was there felling

that this street no longer needs to be extended and I have to agree with their reasoning. But I did not feel comfortable making that decision knowing that this was reflected in our Transportation System Plan for extension. I felt this was a matter that the Council should give some guidance on. That is the reason I elected to bring this before you.

Councilor Kight stated it seems we have three stub streets that I can figure out and it depends on how this piece of property to the South East develops on Stark and 257<sup>th</sup>. Are they also saying that they don't want to complete the stubs on 28<sup>th</sup> as well as McGinnis?

Faith replied in our preliminary discussion we have indicated that the connection between 29<sup>th</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> was imperative. McGinnis Avenue would also need to be extended to the south to intersect with the extensions of 29<sup>th</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup>.

Councilor Kight asked would McGinnis in fact go directly north and south?

Faith stated we have not talked about the design of that.

Councilor Kight stated that Councilor Ripma brings up a good point. It is so hard to anticipate what is going to happen until you actually see it come before the Planning Commission or Council. Until we see a design or layout and how it affects or impacts the development, all we are looking at is a plain sheet of paper.

Faith stated in terms of the owners of the property, they are going to be investing money to hire engineers, designers. Going into this they want some certainty in terms of what requirements they are going to have to meet.

Councilor Kight stated with computers they can design this in a matter of minutes. Couldn't they in fact design it to have Latourell Place continue on in the north south direction and also design it without it in their and then make their case with the Planning Commission?

Faith replied generally when they present those what they are asking is, is this acceptable? Can we build it this way? And it still boils down to a fundamental question. Would we allow them to design and build a development without extending this street, or must it be extended. I am not sure what answer I am suppose to give them.

Councilor Kight stated we have been in this situation before. We had a developer come before the City Council for the property that is north of the college and there was a stub street to the north of that piece of property. The developer made a case that he did not want to finish off that stub street. We asked him to do a traffic impact study and of course it didn't work out. With a 200 unit apartment complex and only one way in and one way out. It is hard to anticipate exactly what the developer is going to put in. Maybe you can present it to them that the City Council would like to see what kind of development that you would like to go in there.

Faith stated with a subdivision it is not your decision.

Councilor Kight stated you were looking for direction.

Faith stated if they present a subdivision that goes to the Planning Commission for final decision. It would

only come to you on an appeal. I guess I am still asking this fundamental question. In whatever is taken before the Planning Commission or if it is just a matter that is handled administratively by staff, must we hold them to the extension of Latourell Place or not.

Councilor Ripma stated the direction I would give is we would decline at this point to exempt them from the current requirement to extend Latourell Place. But I personally invite them to submit a plan that shows no extension of Latourell place and bring it to us and make a case for no extension based on their plan. That is what I am asking for. I think it is clear and it is fair and I don't mean to over burden them. They don't need to come up with alternate plans as far as I am concerned. They can come with one that doesn't show an extension of Latourell Place and I think I am a reasonable person and if it looks good to me I will waive our current requirement to extend it.

Mayor Thalhofer asked in that scenario it would go to the Planning Commission, is that correct?

Faith replied a plat would go to the Planning Commission.

Councilor Ripma asked but they could bring it here and make a case couldn't they?

Allen stated they would be coming before the Council to ask for modification to the Transportation System Plan. If it's not modified that plan applies with the requirement to put the street through, or their application to be denied for not complying with it.

Councilor Daoust stated let me try to repeat what I have heard. We will allow them to design a street layout without Latourell Place being extended as long as they also bring in another design with it extended.

Councilor Ripma stated no, that is not what I said. I invite them to come in with a plan to not extend Latourell Place.

Faith stated at this point I would tell them that any plan that you intend to bring through the normal land use permitting process would need to show the extension of Latourell Place in compliance with the City's Transportation System Plan and if they want to something other than that it will need to go through an amendment of our Transportation System Plan to get the approval of the City Council. I believe I now have my direction.

## **6. DEPARTMENT REPORTS**

Mayor Thalhofer read this item and called on Mr. Gazewood.

Gazewood reviewed portions of his department report.

Berrest stated I have met several times with representatives of the Reynolds School District, they are asking for a police program or police presence at the junior high school. Presently we are not able to offer any full time program. What we are going to try to do is have a presence there as often as we can. There is a growing demand of our services.

Faith stated I would like to draw your attention to a matter that appears for a first time in my monthly report. Urban Planning Area pre-annexation land use plans. Faith reviewed this portion of his report.

**7. COUNCIL CONCERNS AND INITIATIVES**

Mayor Thalhofer called this item.


Councilor Daoust stated I am reminded of a very successful program and that is the recreation program. What caught my eye as I was reading through the staff reports was the Friday night basketball and the fact that it's gone in just three weeks from 50 kids to 100 kids. That is a huge success.

**8. ADJOURNMENT**

**MOTION: Councilor Ripma moved to adjourn the meeting. Councilor Kight seconded the motion.**

**YEAS: 7  
NAYS: 0  
ABSTAINED: 0**

Meeting was adjourned at 9:07pm.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Paul Thalhofer, Mayor

Dated: 1/14/99

ATTEST:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Debbie Stickney, Acting City Recorder

# CITY OF TROUTDALE

## PUBLIC ATTENDANCE RECORD

October 13, 1998  
CITY COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING

PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING

NAME <input type="checkbox"/> (please print) <input type="checkbox"/>	ADDRESS	PHONE #
Tom Galloway	City Hall	665-5175
Donna Edgley	300 SW Martine Ct	666-1857
Bart Adams	Puka & Salmon 60	279-8343
Heather Munson	19402 NE IRVING CT.	667-9077
REBECCA WAGNER	18989 NE MARINE DR #.18	661-7922
Aaron Hammeyer	2847 SE Lewellyn	665-3744
Fabian Monroy	4550 SW Murray Blvd.	643-7934
Chris Reselein	26707 SE Starq	674-9565
Leslie Davoust	2725 SW Hope Cir	665-6375