TROUTDALE CITY COUNCIL

AGENDA

DECEMBER 13, 1977

- 1. Call to Order and Roll Call, Mayor and Deputy Recorder
- 2. Consent Agenda: Motion
 - 2.01 Administrator Appointed Acting City Recorder
 - 2.02 Finance Director/City Recorder
 - 2.03 Monthly Bills
 - 2.04 Minutes
 - 2.05 Halsey/Cerruti Washout: Charge to LID 1-76
 - 2.06 Repayment of HUD Water Loan: \$4,758
 - 2.07 Cerruti Garage
 - 2.08 Municipal Weatherization and Remodel
 - 2.09 Storm Drainage Improvements
 - 2.10 City Hall -- Sprinkler Program
- 3. Acceptance of Sandy Street, Administrator: Resolution <u>164</u>
- 4. Sanitary Sewer Pre-Payments, Administrator:
 - Lottery: Report
- Reserve Allocation: Letter and Resolution 168
- 5. Allegre Zone Change, Planner: Motion
- 6. Obrist Land Fill and Excavation: Planner: Motion
- 7. Strebin Road Study Area, Planner: Motion
- 8. Engineer's Report
- 9. Street Light Task Force Report, Weissenfluh:
 - Report
 - Resolution 169
- 10. Community Development Reports, Planner:
 - Non-Conforming Uses: Ordinance 254
 - Planning Commission & Design Review Report
 - Planning Commission Appointment: Motion
 - Staten Property: Motion
 - 257th Boulevard: Motion
 - East County TAC

11. Administrator's Report:

- 11.01 Reynolds Site Committee Report
- 11.02 Supplemantal Budget Hearing: Jan. 5, 1978
- 11.03 Water Questionnaire
- 11.04 Well #2 Pump House
- 11.05 Administrative Interns
- 11.06 Accounting and DP Study
- 11.07 Design Review and Landscaping
- 11.08 Sandee Palisades Easements
- 11.09 PSU Population Estimate
- 11.10 Sewer Consortium
- 12. Oral Communications and Adjournment

Mayor Robert M. Sturges called the meeting to order at 7:45 p.m.

1. ROLL CALL

Mayor Robert Sturges, Commissioners Finegan, Weissenfluh, Cox, Althaus Absent: Blandine Edwards and Frank Kaiser.

Staff: B. Jean, E. Liston, B. Freeman, D. Lee, L. Conrad, E. Murphy

Press: Sharon Nesbit= Outlook

Guests: 22

2. CONSENT AGENDA

2.01 ADMINISTRATOR/APPOINTED ACTING CITY RECORDER - Bob Jean

2.02 FINANCE DIRECTOR/CITY RECORDER - Discussion followed:

Comm. Finegan requested that Bob Jean outline the duties of the "Finance Director/City Recorder" and questioned the necessity of having such a "high powered person" for a "City Recorder" and voiced his opinion that he did not feel the time was right for such a sophisticated person/position.

Mr. Jean felt that it was necessary to have a Finance Director rather than just a City Recorder and to tie the two into one position due to the nature of the job and its requirements.

Comm. Finegan felt that a City Recorder with a background in Municipal accounting with a Salary range beginning at \$10,050 would be sufficient.

Mr. Jean suggested that the salary range be lowered and the name of Finance director be dropped. He also commented that the job would consume at least 60% of a full persons time but that if he were to do it, it would take 70% of his time because he was not qualified and was already acting Public Works director and Director of Community Development. He voiced the concern that due to the pace of the job, it was very important to hire a qualified person.

Discussion followed regarding the best way of investing money and the differences in doing it and that the difference in a qualified person investing money and the money earned would justify the higher salary,

Mr. Jean suggested that a person be found with a B. A. in Public Accounting and several years in Municipal accounting and to leave the salary at "Salary depending on qualification".

2.03 MONTHLY BILLS - Staff recommendation: to approve the monthly bills.

2.04 MINUTES - Staff recommended approval of the Movember 8th meeting minutes.

2.05 HALSEY/CERRUTI WASHOUT: Staff recommended that expenses for the related washout should be added to the LID 1-76 for final assessment.

2.06 REPAYMENT OF HUD WATER LOAN - \$4,758 - staff recommendation - repayment of \$4,578 from Water Department, Engineering Studies.

2.07 CERRUTI Garage - Mr, Jean reported that Mr. Cerruti had come in and that the matter had been taken care of: it was his opinion that a citation would not be necessary.

2.08 MUNICIPAL WEATHERIZATION AND REMODEL - Staff recommendation: acceptance. Adjust fund with the Supplemental Budget.

2.09 STORM DRAINAGE - The problem arises because there was no Budget appropriation. Staff recommendation: Drywells are being installed where clearly needed and charged to the Street fund for now. French drains are going to be installed by CETA crews in Sweetbriar with materials charged to the Sweetbriar Homeowners if on private property. Jackson Park Road clean-up will be done by the contractor for Leavit-NuPacific's offesite sewer line. A redefinition (no fee charge) of the sewer SDC to mean wastewater SDC including storm and sanitary runoff will be recommended in future.

2.10 CITY HALL - Sprinklers - Staff recommendation to go along with sprinkler installation per State Fire Marshall's program: new basement in 77-78, remodelled basement in 78-79 and upstairs in 79-80.

2.11 PLUMBING/MECHANICAL INSPECTOR - Staff recommendation to have a Plumbing and Mechanical Inspector with a detailed knowledge of Plumbing and Mechanical code inspection practices and the ability to cross train into structural code

elements. He is to be hired on a personal services contract for four months. A permanent position is to be discussed by Supplemental Budget Committee, Salary ran \$856 to \$1147.

Comm. Althaus moved to go with Comm. Finegan's suggestion regarding City Recorder with a B. A. preferred and several years experience and to leave the salary open, depending on qualifications. She also moved to approve the consent agenda. Comm, Cox seconded the motion,

> YEAS 4 NAYS 0 Motion carried

3. <u>ACCEPTANCE OF SANDY STREET RESOLUTION 164</u> resolution read out loud. Comm, Weissenfluh motioned and Comm, Finegan seconded (see attached).

> YEAS 4 NAYS 0 Motion carried

4. <u>SANITARY SEWER PRE-PAYMENT-LOTTERY</u> held at the Council's direction. The qualified applicants were Norma Greenlee, Franz Drinker, Pearl Sorenson, Dick Close, Frank Windust, and Paul Montecucco. The first name drawn was Frank Windust who needed 26 connections. Frank Windust has made good that activity. A letter from Norma Greenlee was in Council Packets. Mrs. Greenlee wished to make an appeal to Council so that she could purchase four sewer connections. In conjunction with that,Staff was in a position to estimate and allocate a reserve on the balance of what was available.

RESOLUTION 168: A resolution further allocating the City's sewer reserve, Resolution was read aloud by Mr. Jean, discussion followed. Request for mamendment to read "allocated at public hearing by City Council". Resolution so mamended and Comm. Althaus moved to adopt Resolution 168; Comm. Finegan seconded the motion.

> YEAS 4 NAYS 0 Motion carried

5. <u>ALLEGRE ZONE CHANGE</u> - Mr. Murphy, City Planner, read staff report. (see attached). Request is for a zone change from F-2 to R-10. Applicant is Howétta and Reid. The proposal is for a zone change so that applicant can subdivide into six large lots. Mr. Murphy pointed out that the Planning Commission had already approved the zone change without any conditions. Mr. Murphy also pointed out the Petition, read in June, 1977 before City Council, from and signed by land owners in Sandy Dell. (see attached petition).

Letter from Dr, Frank Packard also read aloud, (see attached) Slides of property in question shown and explained by Mr. Murphy. Discussion followed, Question arose as to whether or not the road could be dedicated to the City as a public street if it was improved to City Standards even though it is only 18 feet wide with no parking or curbs and sidewalks. It could be accepted as a public street if the owners can dedicate it as a public street. Legal research was being done to find out who owned land under the easement. The Council would then have to decide if it wanted to accept the street as a Public Street. In the interest of time Mr. Murphy was asked to summarize the subdivision staff report, since it was a Planning Commission matter, but Council discussed the two drawings which showed: 1) an R-10 subdivision the way it would look with a standard street and Cul-de-Sac with 10 lots, including the house which already is there; 2) The other drawing of the subdivision proposed which has six lots 30 to 40 thousand square feet, instead of 10,000 square feet. Two concepts were involved - both would be consistent with City codes. One may be more desirable. Letter dated November 21, 1977 from Mr. Murphy to developer summarizing the Planning Commission action and conditions put on the Plat was read aloud by Mr. Murphy, (see attached) Subdivision plat showed three options: It was the recommendation of the Planning Commission to accept Option #3.(see attached) Option #3 paved most of the area without infringing upon private property and gave the people the most opportunity for access and egress to the property. Option #3 proposes paving the area without creating an island and without creating any right angle intersection,

Discussion followed. Three possible options. Option #1 to improve road straight within the 30 ft. Sandy Dell Road easement and improve it to 18 feet and bring it out straight. Option #2 was to make more of a right angle turn. This would improve visability to the right and to the left, but would increase the turning movement that people would have to make. Option #3 was to do everything and pave the whole area; this would maximize the turning movement. Option #4, a compromise between Option #1, #2, and #3, creates a small island where the fire access is, and creates a turning movement of 40-60% instead of 90% and would provide better site clearance. Discussion followed.

Dr. Frank Packard brought up, as a point of information reference to an easement drawn up in 1923 that apparently stipulates that any changes in the operation of the Sandy Dell road as an easement to the people of Sandy Dell has to be agreed to not only by the predecessors of Allegre, on the top of the hill, but by the property owners and the successors of the property owners down in Sandy Dell.

The question of whether or not the easement can be dedicated is still unresolved, but for the subdivision to be approved there must remain an access held suitable by the Planning Commission regardless of whether or not it is dedicated, as per discussion by Mr. Murphy.

Discussion continued as per letter dated November 21, 1977, (see attached)

Letter dated November 14, 1977 from the City Engineer (see attached) was discussed with regards to Water Service, Sanitary Sewer Service, Site Drainage and Streets.

Mr. Jean discussed the proposed easement to be dedicated to the City, if indeed it can be dedicated.

Letter from Police Chief, co-signed by the Public Works Department, (see attached) discussed by Mr. Murphy. The Police Department, and the Public Works Department picked Option #2, as being more desirable from a traffic control standpoint.

Comm, Althaus brought up a concern regarding adequate drainage.

Duane Lee clarified what was meant by "constructing roads to City Standards". If the property over which the road is placed is not owned, there is a good deal of difficulty in maintaining that system. A potential problem does exist for the Public Works crews that may be asked to assist in that particular improvement. If the City is aware of possible difficulties, then it could possibly accept a less standard road. (i.e. curb standard-without curbs, drainage is more of a problem).

Comm. Althaus again brought up question of site drainage as per page two of Engineer's letters dated November 14, 1977. Explanation of paragraph in question given by Mr. Murphy.

Dr. Packard mentioned that a 18" culvert was installed in 1957 after a big washout in the road at a cost of \$1,600 to the people of Sandy Dell plus \$800 labor and installation.

Mr. Murphy continued with a discussion of the public drive vs. the private drive concept and mentioned that the Council needed to decide the policy. The Comprehensive Plan calls for no approval of new subdivisions unless on public streets approved to City Standards. Mr. Murphy asked Council to declare its preference or clarify its policy regarding non-dedicated streets. Mr. Murphy also mentioned that the problem of Storm Water drainage must be taken care of when the legal question was resolved as to who owned the 18⁴⁴ culvert.

Mr. Murphy was asked by Comm. Finegan as to his recommendation. Mr. Murphy commented that he preferred to discuss alternatives.

Mr. Jean interjected as acting Public Works Director recommended that no approval be given until wording on the easements is known, but suggested that if Council approves the Subdivision, the City would be in a position to accept the dedication of the street. Mr. Jean also requested that the easements clearly state the rights for purposes of maintenance.

MOTION: Comm. Finegan moved that the Allegre Zone Change be tabled until question of easement resolved, Comm. Althaus seconded the motion,

YEAS 4 NAYS 0 Motion carried

Matter tabled until next Council meeting.

As a point of order and as a courtesy to those who came to the hearing, Mr. Murphy brought to the attention of Council that the proponents and oponents had not yet had a chance to speak and that action had already been taken.

Mr. Jean mentioned that, as a point of order, a motion to table is not out of order at any point.

Mr. Murphy asked Council which easements it wanted to see. Council requested to see all easements,

Dr. Packard mentioned that Vern Cook, a resident of Sandy Dell volunteered to research the question of easements. Dr Packard stated he had just obtained copies of original easement from a Shirley Turner, a resident of Sandy Dell, which he would discuss at the next Council meeting.

PROPONENTS

Mr. Reid expressed his frustration with the Council in that requirements for zone change keep changing and requested a clear-cut list of what was expected from him,

Mr. Murphy stated that the discussion had gone beyond a preliminary hearing and that he felt that under the circumstances the problem had been resolved.

Mr. Jean requested clarification of what was required for a zone change had been provided. Preliminary Plat has been approved by Planning Commission but approval of a zone change is necessary prior to commencement of the project.

Comm. Althaus felt that burden was on the proponent not on the Council to resolve the problem of deed restrictions and easements, and that the proponents had not established the burden of proof for a zone change,

OPONENTS

Dr. Packard expressed his belief that the original easement of 1923 is still effective today. Dr. Packard spoke on behalf of the residents of Sandy Dell, Prior to the established Sandy Dell Property Owners Association the property owners had been assessed varing amounts for varing needs. Since its formation, the property owners have spent \$8000 on maintenance of road and is inadequate for the increasing traffic.

Mr. Jean summarizes the reasons for the motion being tabled: 1. To review the proposed easements. 2. Deed restriction. 3. The Storm Water Plan, rights of maintenance of operation.

Mayor Sturges expressed to Mr. Reid that it was his opinion and that of the Council that the problem of zone change is right on the line, either the zone change will be flatly denied or they will keep it alive if they can get answers to questions.

Mr. Reid asked if he provided all the answers, but if the residents of Sandy Dell still did not want the zone change, would he still be denied?

Mr. Jean, as a point of order, advised the Council to respond at this time.

Brian Freeman clarified that if the Council made a determination without real foundation that Mr. Reid could have legal recourse. Mr. Freeman said that there <u>might</u> have been an exclusive easement granted to the residents of Sandy Dell such that there could not be an increasing burden to the road. Suggested to Mr. Reid that he might do his own investigation to find out if the road can be dedicated or not. Then Council may make its determination.

BREAK IN MEETING 9:30

6. OBRIST LAND FILL AND EXCAVATION REPORT

Prior to the start of the staff report, Mr. Peter Blyth, Attorney for Obrist stated that he had a court reporter present.

Mr. Jean stated that the purpose of the meeting was to be only a report to Council on the compliance and progress to date on the operation of the Obrist Pit. This was a practice that had been discontinued by the previous Administrator but had been resumed by the present Administrator pursuant to the permit conditions, by Council direction at a hearing last April.

Mr. Jean stated that an article appeared in the Oregonian which was not the staff report. The staff report was a three page document which was handed out. Mr. Jean read the report. (see attached). Slides were shown and explained by Mr. Murphy, City Planner.

Discussion followed with Mr. Peter Blyth, Attorney for Don Obrist, Clarificantion on certain points presented in the slides was made by Mr. Obrist.

Charles Gray from the Department of Environmental Quality, presented his findings on the internal operations of the land fill Mr. Gray's report stated the following:

Mr. Obrist was on:

October 1972 cited for illegally disposing of solid waste, <u>In April of 1973</u> Mr. Obrist was sent a notice of violation regarding the receipt of solid waste from a Company called Troksic. Mr. Obrist complied with D.E.Q.'s request.

In July of 1973, D.E.Q. had to send a letter of violation regarding improper construction of solid waste disposal trenches, depositing of garbage in the land fill and improper landfill practices. He complied somewhat to D.E.Q.'s request although D.E.Q. was not completely satisfied with the compliance.

<u>In March 1976</u>, a letter of violation was sent regarding improper cross-sectional diking. (This is a necessary safeguard to control spontanious combustion and explosion from Methane gas.)

In June of 1976, there was a meeting with Mr. Blyth regarding the overall operation of the site, particularly the problem of the cross-sectional diking and tree stump disposal.

In October 1976, $D_+E_*Q_*$ sent a letter regarding a violation of improper tree stump disposal. $D_*E_*Q_*$ is not satisfied with his progress. Also there had been unauthorized receipt of wet garbage.

<u>In November 1976</u>, Mr. Obrist renewed his D E.Q. Solid Waste Disposal Permit with the exclusion of Public usage of the site. This was an attempt to control the reoccurrence of wet garbage which is a problem when land fill operations are improperly conducted.

<u>In December 1976</u>, a letter was sent regarding improper disposal of tree stumps. This was a follow-up of an earlier letter. He did comply with this notice.

<u>In May 1977</u>, D.E.Q. sent a notice of intent to assess Civil Penalties regarding unauthorized wet garbage, excessive internal slopes on working faces, poor compaction and inadequate cross-sectional diking. After this meeting was held and Mr. Obrist indicated he would try and comply, however, he did not and D.E.Q. sent a notice of Civil Penalties for the amount of \$100. Mr. Obrist paid this fine.

In closing, Mr. Gray stated: "Dealings with Mr. Obrist over the past years have been "on-again, off-again" and the D.E.Q feels that the landfill can be operated in a much more professional manner." Discussion followed and it was pointed out that it was very difficult to prevent wet garbage when people deposited it into the Contractors Bins,

Peter Blyth discussed the various problems that they have had over the years and his feeling of unfairness. He let it also be noted that he had a court reporter present. In general, it was his opinion that there was undue persecution towards Don Obrist. Also several of Mr. Obrist's neighbors were present to testify to the fact that they never had any trouble with the smell of garbage or otherwise. The neighbors were:

James Dale Crosby & Norma Greenlee

Brian Freeman pointed out that to require a quarterly report was not to be considered harrassment, and that the newspaper article did not reflect the content or intent of the report prepared by the City Staff.

Mr. Jean pointed out that the only thing the staff requested at the meeting regarding tenative action was if the Council tenatively agreed to the proposed change in the exception of the 3:1 Slope and if Don is to be required not to excavate below or beyond that plan as he has proposed. The remainder of points raised need to be reviewed in full with the entire record, which is considerable. Mr. Blyth does not agree and is upset with the way the matter is handled.

Mayor Sturges pointed out that he was as surprised as was the staff about the article in the Oregonian. He also pointed out his understanding that everyone was upset about the article. He also felt there was no reason, however, that the Council should not accept the staff recommendation.

Mr. Jean requested that the Council move to adopt, amend or disregard the staff report as presented.

Comm. Finegan requested an explanation of financial guarantees. Mr. Jean stated that the guarantees were insufficient and should be gone into fully at the meeting. What is necessary to obtain compliance by the dates involved might be for Mr. Obrist to buy some fill material. That cost needs to be calculated fully by the City Engineer, but in fact would not be an inexpensive proposition and could cost more than the present \$10,000 bond which probably would not be adequate to cover City costs should Mr. Obrist leave.

Comm. Finegan moved to set the date of the Obrist hearing as January 31, 1978. Comm. Althaus seconded.

YEAS 4 NAYS 0 Motion carried

7. <u>STREBIN ROAD STUDY AREA</u> Mr. Murphy stated that several months ago, staff came to council with the Strebin Area study preliminary analysis. At that time there were several critical questions that weren't clear: 1). Whether or not the Strebin Area could be served with Municipal services at all, primarily sewer. (At that time preliminary, it looked like it could not be served by sewer.) The Council directed the City Engineer to determine if the area could be served by sewer at a reasonable cost. 2). The next question was whether or not the property was viable farm land. The County Extension agent, an expert in truck crops, was asked to look at the property and give his opinion as to whether the Strebin property was viable as farm land. Those two questions have now been tentatively resolved.

Larry Conrad, Planning Intern, presented the findings as to the above questions. 1). The area can be served by City Water and Sewer. 2). The land is probably not viable as farm land. Based on information from Jack Carson, Clackamas Extension Service, and from the Multnomah County Plan and what it has proposed, and some additional research. The Chief factor in this report is how badly parcelized the study area already is. (see attached reports.) Mr. Conrad proceeded to discuss the reports. The purpose of this study was to find out if the City wanted to annex this property and what the cost to the City would be for municipal services. It was pointed out that the majority of the cost would probably be picked up by the developers. It was also pointed out that all but 40 acres does not appear to be viable as farm land.

Elmer M. Sturm brought to the attention of the Council the letter he had written dated November 25, 1977. His letter stated why he felt that the land wasn^{*}t suited to farming.

Mr. Jean suggested that the land could continue to be within limited use, Agricultural within the City limits until such time further clarification by LCDC is made. If it starts to be a 50-50 issue then that is the time when closer attention should be paid to the wishes of the owner and land user.

Mr. Murphy summarized by reading the conclusions from the staff reports.

Dick Walker requested to be included in the study area.

Comm. Finegan motioned that the Council accept the report and recommended to CRAG that the properties be designated as an Urban area. Comm. Weissenfluh seconded the motion.

YEAS: 4 NAYS: 0 Motion carried

8. <u>ENGINEER'S REPORT</u> Duane Lee gave the monthly report on the major projects underway in Troutdale (i.e., WELL No. 2, Future WELL No. 3, Design of Phase I Sewage Treatment Plant expansion, airport water and sewer project.) (see attached) Discussion followed. The Engineer requested that the City take some action towards Well #3,

Comm. Finegan moved that the City begin to study the land area for Well #3 and to give Lee Engineering the Authority to contact the property owners.

Comm. Weissenfluh moved to adopt the Engineer's report and Comm. Finegan seconded the motion,

YEAS: 4 NAYS: 0

S: 0 Motion carried

Due to a Council person having to leave, Item #10 was discussed, NON-CONFORMING USES Ordinance 254

10. <u>NON-CONFORMING USES</u> Mr. Murphy discussed the ordinance. The City was to be catagorized into districts with each district separated from all other geographic districts. Each to the mutual exclusion of the others. The land uses were there before the districts were, so a use that was nonconforming to that district often time arose. Since 1920, they have created a non-conforming use designation. Several attempts have been made to pass ordinances trying to get rid of the non-conforming uses but they stay nonconforming uses. Public Officials usually do not exert pressure to get them removed.

Mr. Murphy suggested that the whole concept was wrong and that perhaps the people don't want the two segregated into districts - the Comprehensive Plan looks at design and performance criteria and starts mixing these uses. That is the purpose of the Transitional Area.

The first reading of the Non-Conforming Ordinance was done.

Comm, Althaus had to leave early.

Item #9 was discussed. Comm. Weissenfluh summarized the report (see attached). Comm.Weissenfluh felt that the arterial levy had been voted down due to lack of information. Comm. Weissenfluh felt that the result of the Task Force was that it broke down arterial lighting down to residential lighting and that it was unanimous for residential lighting.

Olive Abegg spoke in favor of Street lighting,

The cost to each individual home owner was thought to be \$24/year, if a special district. The cost by a serial levy was thought to be \$18 per year. The cost per household declines with a levy but increases or holds with service districts which is a cheaper alternative to having the lights turned off.

It was the recommendation of the Task Force that neighborhood arterial lighting be incorporated into the tax base for a four year Tax levy at \$32,000 per year,

Resolution #170 was read by Comm. Weissenfluh and moved for adoption by him. Comm. Cox seconded.

YEAS: 3 NAYS: 0 Motion carried

Mr. Jean stated that if the levy passes, it would be his recommendation that at that point the City would spend the money in the Street funds accrued by the savings by not turning off the lights and not having to send out additional bills for April, May and June. There are sufficient funds to cover those three months. Thus, giving some encouragement to the voter.

Item #10 Design Review Report

257th Boulevard - Concept of Blvd. vs. Arterial. David Brands from Wilsey and Ham gave a report on the several possibilities. (see attached)

Mr. Brands feels that a boulevard concept would be best for 257th. Mr. Jean said that a boulevard concept would take some of the traffic from Buxton. This concept would do nothing to help the downtown area directly but would lessen the drainage done to downtown streets. Mr. Johnson said that a five lane road down S. W. Kane would make it a freeway right down a residential area.

Comm. Finegan said he likes the report given by Mr. Brands but would like some more input from Comm. Kaiser. Mr. Murphy talked to Comm. Edwards and she supports it.

Comm. Finegan moved to conside to continue to receive the study by Wilsey-Ham, Seconded by Comm. Cox.

YEAS: 3 NAYS: 0 Motion carried.

Agenda Item #10.4 Staten Property

Comm. Cox reported that leaving the personel home of the Staten's the offer of 35,000 he feels that it would be acceptable. Mr. Jean stated that the amount of property the Staten's would be 100'X 200' bank of the river to 100' back.

Comm. Cox moved to go ahead with BOR approval. Seconded by Comm. Finegan.

YEAS: 3

NAYS: 0 Motion carried.

Agenda Item #11 - Administrator's Report

Comm. Finegan moved to approve the administrative reports. Seconded by Comm. Cox.

Discussion followed. Staff was directed to pursue with Bureau of Outdoor Recreation.

Agenda Item #12 - Oral Communications and Adjournment.

The meeting was adjourned.

7,9

R.M. Sturges, Mayor

Robert W. Jean, Acting City Recorder