REGULAR PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING CITY OF BROOKINGS DECEMBER 3, 1968

The meeting was called to order at 8:00 o'clock P.M. by Chairman Jack Holte.

Planning Commissioners present were Cal Gorte, Bill Landis, Jerry Ross and Richard Olsen.

Reporters present were Dick Keusink and Marge Barrett.

The minutes of the September 24th meeting were read and approved.

Jerry Swanson presented a proposed subdivision for Gene Colegrove. This subdivision is located on Highway 101 South. MOTION by Cal Gorte to accept this subdivision. The motion was seconded by Bill Landis, which was unanimously accepted.

The plans for the New Rest Home were presented by Lee Fountain. MOTION was made by Bill Landis to accept these building plans. The motion was seconded by Cal Gorte, and was unanimously passed.

Lee Fountain gave the building reports for the months of October and November. In October there were 5 permits issued with a valuation of \$237,377 and a fee of \$393.50. For October, 1967 there were 6 permits issued with the valuation of \$17,710 and a fee of \$68.00. For the month of November there were 5 permits issued for the valuation of \$10,300 and a fee of \$44.00, and for November, 1967 there were 8 permits issued for the valuation of \$14,135 and a fee of \$68.00.

Lee Fountain read a letter from the State Fire Marshall, a copy is attached hereto.

The Crest Motel asked for permission to paint the words 100% Colored T.V. on their paved slope property which abutts the sidewalk. This sign to be approximately 20' wide. After some discussion a MOTION was made by Bill Landis recommending that Lee Fountain issue a permit to the Crest Motel to paint this sign on their property. Motion was seconded by Jerry Ross. Commissioners Holte, Ross, Landis and Olsen were in favor of the motion. Commissioner Gorte voted against the motion. Since the majority voted in favor of the motion, it was declared to have passed.

Marvin Gloege of the Bureau of Governmental Research was present and presented the land use map on zoning to the Commissioners. Mr. Gloege explained that this survey will consist of three parts, land use, streets and community facilities. The land use classifications comprehensive plan is attached hereto. The land use plans were discussed between the Commissioners and Mr. Gloege. Mr. Gloege then presented the preliminary street plan and some discussion followed.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:45 P.M.

Jack Holte, Chairman

ATTEST:

Marjorie B. McKernan, Secretary

Street Functions and Standards Comprehensive Plan Brookings Area

A. Highway:

Function: To carry traffic from one community to another; to carry through traffic.

Access Conditions: Intersections at grade with direct access to adjacent property. Where possible, access control through use of parallel frontage roads.

Traffic Features: Channelization to control turning movements at intersections. Traffic signals at major intersections. Stop signs for all other intersecting streets. Pedestrian crosswalks at grade. Parking prohibited near intersections. Sidewalks needed in urban areas to separate pedestrian from vehicular traffic.

B. Arterials:

Function: To carry traffic to and from major traffic generators such as downtown, the port, state parks, major industries, schools, and the airport; to carry traffic to and from the major residential sections of the community; to carry traffic to and from major outlying rural areas.

Access Conditions: Intersections at grade with direct access to adjacent property.

Traffic Features: Traffic signals at major intersections. Stop signs for all other intersecting streets. Sidewalks needed in urban areas to separate pedestrian from vehicular traffic.

Planning Features: When possible should be located at residential neighborhood boundaries; should be located within neighborhoods only when nedessary to provide service to major traffic generators.

C. Collectors:

Function: In residential areas, to carry traffic from minor streets to the arterial system and to function as primary traffic carriers within a neighborhood; in commercial and industrial areas, to provide access to commercial or industrial properties.

Access Conditions: Intersections at grade with direct access to adjacent property.

Traffic Features: Traffic controls such as stop and yield right-of-way signs for intersecting minor streets to discourage through traffic on the minor streets. Traffic control features to discourage major through traffic on residential collectors. Sidewalks needed to separate pedestrian from vehicular traffic in urban areas.

Planning Features: Residential collectors should function as an arterial only for traffic with an origin or destination in a neighborhood. Commercial or industrial access streets should function to serve local commercial or industrial traffic and through traffic should be discouraged.

D. Minor Residential Streets:

Function: To provide access to abutting residential property.

Access Conditions: Intersections at grade with direct access to adjacent property.

Traffic Features: Use of stop signs to discourage through traffic.

Planning Features: Use of design to discourage continuous or unobstructed traffic flow in residential areas.

Street Development Standards

Type of Street	Minimum Right-of- way Width	Minimum Pavement Width	Moving Lanes	Minimum Daily Traffic Volume
Highway	150	48	4	5,000
Arterial	70	44	2-4	1,000
Collector:				
Residential	60	36	2	500
Commercial or Industrial	60-80	40	2	
Minor Residential				
Continuous	50	36	2	
Short (and cannot be extended)	50	28	2	

OFFICE OF STATE FIRE MARSHAL

TO:

ALL BUILDING OFFICIALS

DATE: OCTOBER 8, 1968

FRO

OFFICE OF STATE FIRE MARSHAL

SUBJECT: PLANS REVIEW REQUIREMENTS OF ORS 479.155

As a result of the amendments to the Tort Law claims, which now allows that all public officers may be held liable for any torts or wrongful actions, it seemed advisable to clarify the authorities and responsibilities of public officers under the fire protection laws of the State.

An interpretation of some of these authorities and responsibilities is provided by Attorney General Opinions No. 6491 and 6512, and we are enclosing a copy for your information.

You will note that on Pages 6 and 7 of No. 6491 the opinion refers to the approval of proposed construction plans as required by ORS 479.155 and any necessary action by local officials to discharge their responsibilities under the statute. Although the opinion refers to fire officers we are advised that in relation to building plans approval this opinion also includes building officials.

We have given considerable thought to this opinion in an effort to determine how we can most effectively abide by these regulations without creating unnecessary delay or confusion in obtaining plans approvals and permits for proposed construction projects.

We do not feel that this interpretation of State law should be considered as prohibiting local building officials from examining proposed construction plans, or from approving preliminary plans, or from issuing a permit to build contingent upon approval of this office.

In other words, we feel that the responsibilities of the building official would be discharged if the applicant for a permit for proposed construction of a type requiring approval by this office is notified of the State requirement and if onsite inspection approvals and/or final construction approval is delayed or refused until the necessary State plans review has been obtained.

In order to expedite the discharge of such duties this office has prepared and will make available to all building permit or plans review agencies a public notice of the requirements of ORS 479.155, which should be posted in plain view in permit issuing or plans review offices. In addition, we are providing

Head to Promise Commission