# MINUTES BROOKINGS PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING OCTOBER 1, 1991

The regular meeting of the Brookings Planning Commission was called to order at 7:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers at Brookings City Hall on the above date with the following Commission members and staff in attendance.

Ted Freeman, Jr.
Earl Breuer
Clarence Branscomb
John Herzog
Jeff Holmes

John Bischoff, Planning Director Holly Perin, Secretary

Commissioner Krebs and Commissioner Soiseth were absent.

#### MINUTES

By a unanimous vote (motion: Commissioner Breuer, second: Commissioner Herzog) the Planning Commission approved the minutes of the September 3, 1991 Planning Commission regular meeting.

## THE PLANNING COMMISSION TOOK THE FOLLOWING ACTION IN THE PUBLIC HEARINGS

1. By a unanimous vote (motion: Commissioner Breuer, second: Commissioner Holmes) the Planning Commission tabled until the next regular meeting for written information on the cost of moving the pole, a request for a variance to allow a reduction of 6 feet in the travel way width in the upper portion of the access road to the proposed Hewitt RV Park due to the location of a telephone pole, submitted by Edward Hewitt.

This action was taken following comments presented in favor of the request by the following persons:

- (a) Al Byland, (architect for Ed Hewitt), Sparks, Nevada.
- The following persons spoke with questions or comments regarding the request:
  - (a) Larry Anderson, 1708 Arch Lane, Brookings, Oregon.

Rebuttal was given by:

(a) Ed Hewitt, Reno, Nevada.

- 1A. By a unanimous vote (motion: Commissioner Herzog, second: Commissioner Holmes) the Planning Commission tabled until the next regular meeting the Final ORDER and Findings of Fact Document for File No. VAR-2-91 (due to the request for variance being tabled).
- 2. By a unanimous vote (motion: Commissioner Breuer, second: Commissioner Herzog) the Planning Commission approved a request for a minor partition of a 3 acre parcel located on the west side of Dawson Road just south of the intersection with Holmes Drive, to create three lots of  $1.47\pm$ ,  $1.09\pm$ , and  $.44\pm$  acres in size; in an R-1-6 zone; submitted by Lee and Fern Van Duzee.

No one spoke in favor of, or in opposition to this request.

2A. By a unanimous vote (motion: Commissioner Branscomb, second: Commissioner Breuer) the Planning Commission approved the Final ORDER and Findings of Fact Document for File No. M3-9-91.

#### PROPOSITIONS AND REMARKS FROM COMMISSION MEMBERS

Commissioner Breuer stated that he feels that there is nothing wrong with a Local Improvement District, (LID however, he did not agree with the concept of the Deferred Improvement Agreement (DIA) which obligated people to participate in a LID without a chance to vote on the issue. He also asked why the citizens on one street were made to pay for street improvements while those on another street were given street improvements through grant money. He felt that possibly LID's should be given matching funds for such improvements. He felt that the Commission should make some type of recommendation to the City Council concerning DIAs and a Capitol Improvement Fund and asked what the other Commissioners felt on the Commissioner Branscomb said he had a copy of a capitol improvement program from Medford. There followed considerable discussion of the DIA its implications and its feasibility. Commission asked staff to call the League of Oregon Cities to determine if other jurisdictions have something similar to the DIA and to supply a copy of the ordinance allowing DIAs.

Commissioner Branscomb stated that the Planning Commissioner Training program in Eugene was very good and helpful.

Chairman Freeman read a letter from Commissioner Krebs concerning comments on the Comprehensive Plan Review Order meeting which was held on September 30, 1991.

wp51\files\minutes\october.91

#### REPORT OF PLANNING DIRECTOR

The Planning Director stated that the request for an annexation which should have been on tonight's agenda was pull off the agenda because of concerns that developed at the last minute and that it should be on next months agenda. He also stated that staff would bring a proposed amendment to Section 148 <u>Annexations</u> to correct a condition that places the burden of proof on the staff rather than the applicant.

### **ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business before the Planning Commission, the meeting was adjourned at 8:27 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

BROOKINGS PLANNING COMMISSION

Ted Freeman, Jr., Chairman