# MINUTES TROUTDALE CITY COUNCIL - REGULAR MEETING COUNCIL CHAMBERS TROUTDALE CITY HALL 104 SE KIBLING AVENUE TROUTDALE, OR 97060-2099

### 7:00 P.M. ~ NOVEMBER 10, 1992

| 1. | PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE, ROLL CALL, AGENDA UPDATE | : |
|----|--|---|
| -  |  |   |

Mayor Cox called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. and called on Councilor Bui to lead the pledge of allegiance.

City Recorder, Raglione, called the roll.

| PRESENT: | Bui, Cox, Fowler, Schmunk, Thalhofer, Thompson, Wakeman                            |
|----------|--|
| ABSENT:  | Wakeman  |
| STAFF:   | Christian, Cline, Collier, Galloway, Gazewood, Raglione<br>Jennings, City Attorney |

PRESS:

GUESTS: Len Malmquist, Patti Polly, Frank Grande, Connie Ryba, Michael Martin, Dalton Williams, Patrick Donaldson, Karen Burger-Kimber, David Ripma, Walt Postlewait, Carolyn Taylor, Ms. Barry, Ms. Ewald, David Olson

Mayor Cox asked City Administrator Christian if there were any agenda updates. Christian stated there were none.

|   |    | - | <br>- |   | - |    |    | -  |   | - |    | -  |    |   | <br> | <br> | <br> | <br> | <br> | <br> | <br>- | <br> | <br>F.  |
|---|----|---|-------|---|---|----|----|----|---|---|----|----|----|---|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| 1 | 2. |   | С     | O | N | SE | EN | IT | A | G | El | NI | )A | : |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | È.      |
| - |    |   | <br>- |   |   |    |    | -  |   | - |    | -  |    |   | <br> | <br> | <br> | <br> | <br> | <br> | <br>- | <br> | <br>L . |

Mayor Cox called this agenda item.

City Recorder, Raglione stated the minutes of October 27, 1992 were not available at this time.

# MOTION: Councilor Schmunk moved to approve the consent agenda excluding the minutes of October 27, 1992 [2.1 - Accept Minutes 9/22/92; 2.2 Business Licenses

# - Month of October, 1992; 2.3 Resolution: Accepting Updated Personnel Rules & Regulations]. Councilor Thompson seconded the motion.

### YEAS: 5 [Bui, Fowler, Schmunk, Thalhofer, Thompson] NAYS: 0 ABSTAINED:0

Christian included comments to Public Safety 2000 recommendation - letter from Connie Ryba. No final report had been issued to date. Ripma, City representative, was in attendance if Council had questions. She stated the City of Fairview had signed the letter, City of Wood Village was to take under consideration the following evening. There was no action scheduled until after the final report was published.

Councilor Bui, though he was pleased with the work of the Public Safety 2000 committee, he stated the final report was not out. He was concerned that Multhomah County was not included on the list and felt they should be since they were a participant.

# MOTION: Councilor Bui did not support action until, and unless the County was included and the final report was submitted. Councilor Fowler seconded the motion.

### **DISCUSSION:**

*David Ripma*, 4220 S Troutdale Rd. stated that Public Safety 2000 had met regarding the wording for the scheduled release which was delivered to Public officials, 11/16/92. A Press Conference was already scheduled for the following Tuesday. Due to the release, there had been an 'urgency' placed on this issue.

Ripma stated he had concerns with the failure to include the County, however, had been told that the letter would be changed to address this concern. He stated his second concern was that the letter stated support of all the recommendations of Public Safety 2000. He stated the recommendations in general, but there were those that he didn't support. He strongly urged the City should see the final report before signing the letter. The Committee stated they would accommodate by saying the letter publicly expresses the **work** of the Public Safety 2000 Committee.

Councilor Bui still wanted to see the actual corrected letter first.

*Patrick Donaldson,* 221 NW 2nd. Ave., Citizens Crime Commission spoke. He stated his support and that it had been an oversight that the County hadn't been included. The purpose of the letter was to reflect the backing of all the jurisdictions included. The conflict was due to the scheduled press conference for the following Tuesday a.m. and Wednesday. The letter was to be printed in its entirety to bring closure to the issue. There was a time constraint for the Committee.

Mayor Cox stated the letter could be FAXed which would include two fundamental changes: MC be added, and strike the third line, replaced with word findings. Line 5 would need to strike recommendations and replace with findings. Multnomah County should include Gladys McCoy's signature.

Councilor Thalhofer couldn't support it since the final draft report had not yet been read. There were changes to be made and Council hadn't read. Council didn't wish to sign something that had not been read.

One solution might be worth considering is letter asking for mayor signature. Reword letter, fax to council then render a decision later in the week.

Fowler agreed. Saw no problem.

MOTION: Councilor Bui moved to modify his motion to authorize the Mayor to sign on behalf of the Council, if the Mayor was satisfied with the changes as stated. Councilor Fowler seconded the modification to the motion.

YEAS: 5 [Bui, Fowler, Schmunk, Thalhofer, Thompson] NAYS: 0 ABSTAINED: 0

3. PUBLIC COMMENT: Please restrict comments to non-agenda items at this time.

Mayor Cox called this agenda item.

*Patricia Polly,* 410 SE Penguin Place and Frank Grande spoke stating problems with the process of the Parks Advisory Board [PAB] concerning allocations of funds that was recently passed in the bond issue. Polly had participated in the presentations of the bond issue in several public meetings held throughout the City. They were a success, and the bond passed. It was now time to determine the actual use of the funds, as bonds would be issued.

Polly stated it was important to remember that the citizens gave their trust and it was imperative to use it wisely. The Parks Advisory Board asked for Council direction to assist in determining a structure for the Board in order to set policies and procedures for allocating the funds received from the Parks Bond Fund.

Grande stated since the Bond has passed, there appeared to be confusion as to how decisions would be made in prioritizing the expenditures of money and which park areas were to be considered in what order. There had been little or no attention given to the Parks Advisory Board membership until these funds had been approved. Now that there was money to be spent, there was considerable interest in the Board.

The November 5 meeting was conducted as though Columbia Park was the only agenda. There was more interest at that meeting in Columbia Park, and the votes went that way. There was \$200,000 for first two years issuing bonds and it was prudent that a focused, fair process be used to allocate the funds as they were received, as wisely as possible. Grande again requested Council to give direction and cohesion to the Parks Advisory Board.

Mayor Cox stated each area would be receiving a specific amount of the monies from the Bond. The decisions were made during the original meetings as to what amount would be allocated to each facility.

Grande stated people should be able to discuss what is to be done with the money, digest it and come to decision about what the money should be used for.

Polly agreed that a cohesive process would ensure everyone understands the requests as well as how to best serve the community as a whole. Polly stated it wasn't appropriate to have funding without a public process. She stated it was Council authority to advise the members of PAB and they were asking for help.

Councilor Thalhofer agreed and he supported the need for structure regarding membership of the Board since they represented all of the community rather than just a specific area. People should be allowed to testify before the Committee, however, not have the vote.

Polly stated she understood that the PAB does have discretionary authority regarding Parks bond money.

Councilor Thalhofer stated the PAB makes recommendation to Council and Council makes the final decision. Councilor Bui agreed.

Mayor Cox stated Council, during the presentations, had a list of parks and anticipated amounts to fund the improvements/acquisitions of properties. He believed it would be a disservice to the City is that was altered.

Grande stated the recommendations should address a clear, definitive solution for citizens input, review of the input, procedures to voting and presentation to Council for final closure.

Polly stated there wasn't any specific membership. After the City requested interested persons to submit an application form, those that were interested were included on the Parks Advisory Board mailing list. During the recruitment for help to make the presentations for the Bond, out of 27 persons contacted, there were only a couple that assisted with the presentations. Now there was considerable special interest coming out of the wood work claiming to be members since there was that \$600,000 to spend.

Christian stated that one of first things that Mayor Cox asked is specific accounting of money for parks -- that was 10 years ago when only \$5-10,000 was in the fund at one time due to donations only. The bond sale had now changed that.

Councilor Thompson asked how a Board without a regular membership could advise on how to spend money?

Mayor Cox stated that was the purpose of the citizens involvement group. Council appointed in 1986 two Council members, Bui and Schmunk, as members to the citizens involvement group in order to begin the Citizens Advisory Committee. The Study Committee would be the same group of people from Council, Schmunk and Bui, David Ripma [Planning Commission], Patti Polly [Parks Advisory Board], Karen Burger-Kimber [Citizens Advisory Committee], Janet Renfro [Citizens Advisory Committee], and at large representatives would be Ron Burgin, Don Lloyd. He stated that the same group would also be given charge to set standards for the PAB. He asked if that settled the issue?

Grande and Polly both stated yes.

Councilor Bui stated Carolyn Taylor, Friends of BeaverCreek, was also in attendance and asked if that would be equitable?

Taylor stated yes and she was very pleased with the direction.

Karen Burger-Kimber, 1675 SW Cherry Park Rd. gave a report on negotiations for Columbia Park with GSL, Mike Nelson. [Copy available at office of City Recorder.]

Mayor Cox voiced concern that there hadn't been anything in writing prior to making decisions?

Burger-Kimber, stated she was maintaining an open communication with Nelson and facilitating the community involved, as requested. The property for the park was taking much longer than thought.

Mayor Cox stated there should have been something in writing before going ahead.....

Burger-Kimber stated she understood her role was to negotiate with Nelson.

Councilor Schmunk expressed concern with the negotiations with Nelson for property. Paying the County anything for the property was unheard of since they shouldn't even consider asking for any money for that property.

Councilors Schmunk, Thompson and Thalhofer expressed concern that Council hadn't authorized Burger Kimber to negotiate with Nelson at all to this point. In making a presentation before the PAB -- to decide spending money all in one place wasn't even a decision to be made in this manner.

Burger-Kimber stated there were motions made and voted on with the exception of Grande who abstained.

Councilor Bui asked if Stannard was present?

*Bruce Stannard,* 3475 SE Elizabeth Place, stated that the break down of money was correct. He stated that they went overboard giving \$100,000 in that area. He didn't know the costs would be that much for the property. He understood that the property should be enough to allow for a good sized park, to get as much land as possible and with best resources get a nice sized park. The property should be adequate to handle a little league, trails, trees, around water tower get a couple more areas, preserve area around trees/tower. When developer called and asked for direction, we gave it - buy as much as you can afford. That's what happened. He didn't feel there was a group trying to undercut the Parks Advisory Board. As Chairman, you always need people you can count on. When a city is growing, such as Troutdale, it is important to look to some formality, house cleaning, and take the opportunity to get things in line. The builder expressed a desire to know where we are going on the property, in order to know what to do.

Councilor Thalhofer stated the Board should make recommendation to Council and the Council should review and determine if that's how it should go. The Council would be the final decision making body on this issue.

Councilor Bui stated it was typical in dealing with the County that the longer there were negotiations, the more changes arose. In dealing with Edgefield, the same type of trickery occurred. He then asked for clarification regarding seconds to the motions made. He asked if there was a second to every motion made?

Stannard stated it would have been difficult for Jodi to hear or know who made motions or seconded. Karen made a lot of the motions simply because a lot of us aren't familiar with how to get the ball rolling. It wasn't intended to appear as a take over of the meeting.

Mayor Cox asked the pleasure of the Council?

Councilor Thompson expressed concern about the discussions of people negotiating with property owners. If someone negotiates for the City, they should be appointed by the Council after the decisions are made, about how the money will be spent. The City hasn't given any direction to do this. There may not be any commitment, Columbia Park may not be place to spend discretionary funds. There has been no consideration by Council. He was very concerned that the PAB was willing to have City commit the money without even discussing it.

Mayor Cox asked for suggestions?

Council consensus was to determine what the Parks Advisory Board consists of, i.e., state the duties, formalize a structure in order to make recommendations to Council for consideration after review by the CAC and Planning Commission have reviewed for approval or disapproval [regarding GSL].

Stannard asked that a Council member attend the meetings as a member.

Councilor Fowler stated there was a need to develop a structure for all the boards and commissions [i.e., PAB, CAC, Planning Commission].

Councilor Thompson stated it was difficult to have people serve in the positions on the committees when there were no clear guidelines as to expectations, duties, authorities, or who they were to report to.

Mayor Cox stated it was decided at the last meeting and a study committee made up of Councilors Bui, and Schmunk; Ripma, and Polly from the Planning Commission; Burger-Kimber, Renfro from the Citizens Advisory Committee; Ron Burgin, and Don Lloyd for Citizens at-large. It would be the Study Committee that should establish the criteria of what is wanted and needed on these committees.

Councilor Thompson stated it was Council responsibility to decide the responsibilities, not this committee's responsibility.

Christian stated there was need to move on to agenda items, there were people present that had been scheduled. She asked to table the issue, and send someone with Nelson to the next meeting.

MOTION: Councilor Bui moved to appoint the members to a Study Committee Councilors Bui, and Schmunk; Ripma, and Polly from the Planning Commission; Burger-Kimber, Renfro from the Citizens Advisory Committee; Ron Burgin, and Don Lloyd for Citizens at-large and have them go to work as soon as possible to establish policies and procedures for the Parks Advisory Board and Citizens Advisory Committee. Councilor Thalhofer seconded motion.

#### DISCUSSION:

Councilor Thompson agreed if the intent was that the study committee bring back to Council any of the results as a recommendation for Council action. He stated it was Council responsibility to formalize any of the recommendations made.

### YEAS: 5 [Bui, Fowler, Schmunk, Thalhofer, Thompson] NAYS: 0 ABSTAINED: 0

**Dalton Williams,** 648 E Columbia, expressed concerned regarding the input to Council. He believed there was a lack of understanding on the part of Council, not taking the opportunity to digest what Burger-Kimber had reported. He stated Council was jumping to conclusions that other boards or committees were commanding Council to do anything about any issue. Comes as objection by Council regarding coming across offensive. Resolution 609R established the CAC. Item 9, members present at a scheduled meeting constitute a quorum. If show up, can make a motion on the part of the committee to present an item to Council or Planning Commission. It was in black and white, plain

english, what the purpose of the CAC was suppose to be. To respond as Councilor Schmunk as to input to the CAC, is inappropriate. This document tells you, if you had read it, what the recommendations are. There are no demands and no orders -- only recommendations. The Parks Advisory Board should be adopted under the same type of ordinance.

Mayor Cox stated the PAB was formulated for recommendation of money donated - it originally took the strain off Council to decide how every penny should be spent. The CAC was the same way until the ORS made the changes for zoning issues.

| · 1  | DEVIEW FOR ADDOUAL OF LIQUOD LICENCE DENEWALC  | $C_{4-}C_{5-}C_{5-}$ |
|------|--|----------------------|
| - 4. | REVIEW FOR APPROVAL OF LIQUOR LICENSE RENEWALS | Staff 1              |
|      |  |                      |

Mayor Cox called this agenda item. [• Edgefield Gardens Inc.[Brewery], Edgefield Gardens Inc. Winery; • Texaco Food Mart; • Tad's Chicken & Dumplins; • The Brass Rail; • Burns Bros. Truck Stop Package; Burns Bros. Truck Stop Lounge; • Plaid Pantry #137; • Troutdale Thriftway; • Skyland Pub; • King Lam Restaurant; • Flying J Travel Plaza; • Troutdale General Store; • Shirley's Troutdale Cafe]

### MOTION: Councilor Bui moved to approve the renewals of the liquor licenses, as written. Councilor Thompson seconded the motion.

YEAS: 5 [Bui, Fowler, Schmunk, Thalhofer, Thompson] NAYS: 0 ABSTAINED: 0

 

 5a.
 PUBLIC HEARING/ORDINANCE: Adopting 1991 Edition of The Uniform Code with Gresham Amendments as the 1992 Troutdale Fire Code

### PUBLIC HEARING:

.1: Open Public Hearing

Mayor Cox called this agenda item and read the ordinance by title. The Council meeting was recessed at 8:31 p.m. and the Public Hearing was opened at 8:33 p.m.

.2: Declarations, Challenges, Ex Parte Contact

Mayor Cox called for Declarations, Challenges, Ex Parte Contact.

Councilor Bui stated he had been on Gresham's Master Plan Task Force.

.3: Summation by Staff

Cline stated initially he had apprehensions, however, the qualified service and cooperation exceeded any expectations he could have had. Malmquist, Fire Marshall, and Joe Parrott, Fire Chief, had all been very helpful during the past 5 months. There had been established a good, cooperative working relationship.

Cline stated the Council adopted the last fire code in 1990. The issue before Council was to consider adopting the 1991 Uniform Fire Code, with Gresham amendments, as Troutdale Fire Code. This would be consistent and would enforce the same code throughout all four jurisdictions. The Code had been sent out last week for review.

*Malmquist, Gresham's Fire Marshall, stated the* recommended document was before Council. As stated, it was recommended only. He did encouraged Council to adopt for local concerns and needs. The proposed Code was hoped to meet the needs of Troutdale. State adoption of UFC must be adopted. They were hoping to try to extend Gresham's exempt jurisdiction status to the three cities which would enable Gresham to be the State Fire Marshall for the cities. In order for the exemption status, the City must require the same as what the State has.

Malmquist stated the remainder are local amendments. To clarify what the requirements are - i.e., road support load for largest piece of equipment - weight given so it is known. He stated Appendix 2F was recommended to be adopted. There were no fees charged, but he stated Portland does charge. There was a penalty fee for numerous calls, criminal citations were allowed in order to seek enforcement, if needed.

Councilor Bui asked how it related to Reynolds for 2nd response.

Malmquist stated they were the initial responder.

Councilor Bui asked if they were under the same fire code?

Malmquist stated loosely, however, they must comply with the State.

.4: Public Testimony: Proponents

None offered.

.5: City Council Questions

None offered.

.6: Public Testimony: Opponents

None offered.

.7: City Council Questions

None offered.

.8: Rebuttal

None offered.

.9: City Council Questions

None offered.

.10: Recommendation by Staff

Cline stated the staff recommendation was for Approval as presented.

.11: City Council Questions

None offered.

.12: Close Public Hearing Process.

Mayor Cox closed the Public Hearing Process at 8:40 p.m.

5b. ORDINANCE: Adopting A Revised Uniform Fire Code For the City of Troutdale Prescribing Regulations to Govern Conditions Hazardous to Life and Property from Fire or Explosion and Repealing Ordinance No. 536-0.

Mayor Cox called this agenda item and read the ordinance by title.

MOTION: Councilor Thompson moved to pass the ordinance adopting the Revised Uniform Fire Code for the City of Troutdale Prescribing Regulations to Govern Conditions Hazardous to Life and Property from Fire or Explosion and Repealing Ordinance No. 536-0 -- 1991 Edition of the Uniform Code with

### Gresham amendments as the 1992 Troutdale Fire Code. Councilor Fowler seconded the motion. YEAS: 5 [Bui, Fowler, Schmunk, Thalhofer, Thompson] NAYS:0 ABSTAINED: 0

| 6. | REPORT: Wastewater Management, Inc. |
|----|-------------------------------------|
|    |                                     |

Mayor Cox called this agenda item.

Jim Jennings stated that would be removed as an agenda item. It had been withdrawn by Wastewater Management.

| 7. REQUEST: Parking Issue - Kendall Street |  |
|--|--|

Mayor Cox called this agenda item.

Neil Handy, 146 Historic Columbia River Highway, 202 W Columbia corner of Kendall/Columbia River Highway was before Council to request an exception to over street parking requirements for businesses located west of Kendall in Troutdale. There were no parking requirements on the east side off street, however, the west side they were required. Handy was asking for an exception for the building located at 202 W. Historic Columbia River Highway.

Handy stated that the building on 202 W Historic Columbia River Hwy was presently scheduled to accommodate a florist shop and small antique retail market. The remodeling was being done; there was zero impact. It was currently unknown when the work would begin on Columbia Highway. Kendall had a limited access to Historic Columbia River Hwy. Therefore, it would help considerably to grant an exception to the parking requirements at this time.

Mayor Cox favored Council granting the exception. He stated the parking wasn't a problem when the Chicken Inn was there.

Councilor Fowler stated the Planning Commission should handle this issue first. Council shouldn't review until/unless the Planning Commission had reviewed and made their recommendations.

Cline stated Handy was not asking for a variance, only an exception to the Code.

Councilor Fowler stated there were two accesses to property and several acres would be involved. It would be ridiculous to make only one access to properties [shopping center or whatever could be developed on 5 acres]. He again stated it should first go before the Planning Commission for a street vacation and the proper notices, or whatever.

Cline stated a street vacation would require public hearings and would go before the Planning Commission.

Councilor Fowler stated the entire site should be reviewed by the Planning Commission.

Cline had stated he could appear before Council for a request to waive the Code, that was a Council decision.

Handy stated it doesn't make sense to pave something that no one knows what they are going to do with it yet. Kendall was up in the air with the road construction that is planned in the near future. His desire was to bring business to the City now. He was concerned that any work could be torn up in 6 months.

Councilor Schmunk asked if there are any decisions made as far as roadway now.

Galloway stated there was no impact on Kendall by reconstruction of the highway extending as far west as Halsey.

Councilor Schmunk: Will have impact on it where it is?

Handy stated the width of the highway wouldn't change from the existing. There were 2-3 cars per day, if that. There was no traffic flow.

Councilor Schmunk asked if there would be any improvement made to Kendall?

Galloway stated none was planned at this time. The curb returns giving access into Kendall or driveway drop could be taken at this time. No reconstruction of Kendall itself is expected.

Councilor Fowler stated if this was before the Planning Commission they would notify all adjacent property owners. That being the case, Windust would be present to testify.

Handy stated he wasn't asking to close Kendall, just shift parking from the east side to west side. The City had an easement through the middle of the street anyway 16 - 18' wide.

*Greg Handy,* 1105 SW Halsey stated the request was to make an exception to the Code, not a variance. It would allow the business people to move in, occupy the space, have temporary parking before the street is developed. The request had nothing to do with street vacation.

Councilor Fowler asked if they were stating they would be satisfied with a temporary permit?

Handy stated sure.

Councilor Thalhofer stated if could be worked out on annual basis.... and asked Cline for his comment pertaining to this subject. He asked that Council receive a memo expanding and/or pursuing temporary type permission and make recommendation regarding a review process.

Cline is land use issue which could be referred to get recommendation from the Planning Commission. There currently was not a process to allow for that procedure.

Jennings stated the fundamental issue to be addressed was could City Council vary the requirements of the Development Code at all? Jennings stated it was reviewed but not looked specifically at issue of what power does City Council have to vary a requirement of the Planning Development Code. Can Council by resolution or agreement or temporary license, vary requirements of Development Code? If the Code doesn't address, Council may need to make procedure and it could require an amendment. The Planning Commission can't vary, not a legislative body.

# MOTION: Councilor Thompson moved postponement for two weeks. Councilor Schmunk seconded the motion.

Mayor Cox stated that due to Thanksgiving week there weren't any agenda items yet. He was asking to cancel the second meeting for November.

Jennings and Cline were asked to meet with Handy within next two weeks to advise Handy of the best course.

Councilor Thalhofer stated expedite business in city when it was starting - if it means a meeting, even a brief meeting, he believed that was the Council's charge.

# MOTION: Councilor Thompson amended his motion to read at next regular council meeting. Councilor Schmunk seconded the amendment to the motion.

Councilor Fowler stated it would like to see it done, but do it the full length of Columbia River Highway. He stated there weren't any properties on the highway to comply with parking requirements and if a change was made it should be made in the entire area.

#### YEAS: 5 [Bui, Fowler, Schmunk, Thalhofer, Thompson] NAYS: 0 ABSTAINED: 0

8. RESOLUTION: Accepting a Dedication of 2.6 acres of Land within the Mountain Vista Subdivision for Public Park Purposes

Mayor Cox called this agenda item. He then read the resolution by title.

Cline gave brief explanation of this item.

# MOTION: Councilor Fowler moved to adopt the resolution accepting a dedication of 2.6 acres of land within the Mountain Vista subdivision for public park purposes. Councilor Bui seconded the motion.

### YEAS: 5 [Bui, Fowler, Schmunk, Thalhofer, Thompson] NAYS: 0 ABSTAINED: 0

9. RESOLUTION: Accepting the November 3, 1992 Certified Election Results from the Director of Elections, Multnomah County

Mayor Cox called this agenda item. He then read the resolution by title.

City Recorder, Raglione stated that this item should be tabled to the next regular Council meeting. There had not been sufficient time since the election for the certified results to be received by the Director of Elections.

| 1 |   |    | <br> |    |   |    |   |   |   | -  |    | <br> | <br> | <br>_ | <br>- | <br>  |   |   | <br> | <br> | <br> | <br> | <br> | <br> | <br>- | <br>- | <br> | <br> | <br>r.         |
|---|---|----|------|----|---|----|---|---|---|----|----|------|------|-------|-------|-------|---|---|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|----------------|
| ļ | 1 | ). | А    | PF | ю | IN | T | M | E | ΓV | S: |      |      |       |       |       |   |   |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |      |      | ţ.             |
| - |   |    | <br> |    |   |    |   |   |   |    |    | <br> | <br> | <br>_ | <br>_ | <br>_ | _ | _ | <br>  | <br>_ | <br> | <br> | <br><u>L</u> . |

Mayor Cox called this item.

Galloway spoke to the issue of the Solid Waste Advisory Council representative stating that a Council representative as well as a citizen was needed for the solid waste committee. Ms. Barry and Ms. Ewald were both present and had volunteered to be representatives on the Solid Waste Committee. Staff member, Lee Mascolo, was recommending Ms. Barry as the city representative and Ms. Ewald as the alternate.

Councilor Bui asked about Council representative and who it was.

Mayor Cox asked who on Council wished to be the representative to the solid waste committee?

Councilor Bui stated he was willing to accept unless someone else was willing to serve.

# MOTION: Councilor Schmunk moved to appoint Councilor Bui as the Council representative and Ms. Barry as citizen representative with Ms. Ewald as the citizen representative alternate. Councilor Fowler seconded the motion.

YEAS: 5 [Bui, Fowler, Schmunk, Thalhofer, Thompson] NAYS: 0 ABSTAINED: 0

Mayor Cox stated the City would also be accepting applications for a Bike Committee Representative. The Citizen Advisory Committee study committee was already discussed.

|    |     |         |          |         |         |         |      | <br> | <br> | <br> | <br> | <br> | <br> | î - 1 |
|----|-----|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| 11 | DEE | PARTME  | NT PE    | DODIC   | •       |         |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | F     |
|    |     |         |          |         | -       |         |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | Γ.    |
|    |     |         |          |         |         |         |      | <br> | <br> | <br> | <br> | <br> | <br> | 100   |
|    | •   | Finance | e - Noth | ing add | itional | l to re | port |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |

• Public Safety - Thalhofer asked about recent incidents of attacks. He voiced concern about children since it is happening in broad daylight. Is there anything citizens could do to help assure children safety?

Collier stated information was passed on to schools, groups, employees, press. The awareness level was very high. The real key is knowing neighbors. In each attempt, victim screamed, ran to an adult.

• Community Development 1] Tri Met formed group hired David Evans & Assoc. for immediate application of service for Columbia Corridor Assn. Next spring they would be looking at improving service in Columbia Corridor. 1] forest service process for 1,000 gateway for park entering national scenic area. The DEA providing consulting service for that.

- Public Works Nothing additional to report.
- City Attorney Nothing additional to report.
- Executive Nothing additional to report.

## 12. COUNCIL CONCERNS AND INITIATIVES

Councilor Bui stated David Olson was present and would make a brief presentation.

The committee would be making a final recommendation on what cable regulatory efforts should be in Multnomah County. Gene Bui was representing Troutdale and Fairview. It was recommended to have a singular cable regulatory commission -- Consolidated Cable Communications System. There were a series of functions occurring - 8 person citizen board; Board will take activities related to Cable information. There would be a savings, though not immediately. There would be an addition to the Portland staff, a cable regulator will move to the Portland office. Savings will be a #1 concern: consultants looking at cable issues; both have separate legal advisors. The consortium will reduce by 1. New passage of SB 12 and addition of cable rate regulatory may allow additional savings of consortium between \$50-\$80,000 in the future.

\_\_\_\_\_

*David Olson,* Cable Franchise Mgmt Office, City of Portland. Voiced his appreciation of the hours Bui had volunteered to this issue. The result was no reduction in regulatory response, but with lower cost structure. There was a very capable, quality group of people. Troutdale would be well

represented. Renewal and rate regulations will also be aided by the consortium and joining of efforts by everyone.

Councilor Bui asked that Council review for approval of the final report. Jennings will review the agreement going before to all the jurisdictions involved for signature. Bui stated it should be finaled during the month.

# MOTION: Councilor Bui moved to accept the report on the part of Troutdale. Councilor Thompson seconded the motion.

#### YEAS: 5 [Bui, Fowler, Schmunk, Thalhofer, Thompson] NAYS: 0 ABSTAINED: 0

Councilor Schmunk stated there was a Parkway meeting scheduled for 11/19/92.

Mayor Cox asked Council pleasure for next meeting date.

# MOTION: Councilor Bui moved to postpone the November 24, 1992 regular scheduled meeting. Councilor Thompson seconded the motion.

#### **DISCUSSION:**

Councilor Thalhofer spoke against motion. When people conducting business should accommodate. He favored having at least a short meeting.

Mayor Cox stated it was originally thought to have a temporary permit for a period of 1 year and iron out in that time.

Councilor Schmunk called for the question.

#### YEAS: 4 [Bui, Fowler, Schmunk, Thompson] NAYS: 1 [Thalhofer] ABSTAINED: 0

13. ADJOURNMENT.

MOTION: Councilor Thompson moved to adjourn. Councilor Schmunk seconded the motion.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

YEAS: 5 [Bui, Fowler, Schmunk, Thalhofer, Thompson] NAYS: 0

## **ABSTAINED: 0**

The November 10, 1992 regular City Council meeting adjourned at 9:35 p.m.

Sam K. Cox, Mayor Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

ATTEST

Valerie J. Raglione, CMC City Recorder F:MINUTES\111092CC.MIN