

MINUTES
TROUTDALE CITY COUNCIL - REGULAR MEETING
TROUTDALE CITY HALL
104 SE KIBLING AVENUE
TROUTDALE, OR 97060-2099

7:00 P.M. -- AUGUST 13, 1991

ITEM #1: PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE, ROLL CALL, AGENDA UPDATE

Mayor Cox called on Councilor Thalhoffer to lead the pledge of allegiance.

City Recorder, Valerie Raglione, called the roll.

PRESENT: Cox, Fowler, Thalhoffer, Thompson, Wakeman

ABSENT: Bui, Schmunk

STAFF: Christian, Cline, Collier, Gazewood, Raglione, Wilder

PRESS: Steve Amick, Oregonian

GUESTS: Shirley VanGard, Joanna Moyer, Sally Wakeman, Ken Rust

Mayor Cox asked City Administrator Christian if there were any agenda updates.

Christian stated that Item #5 should be after Item #7 since 7 was a public hearing and there may be persons wishing to testify to that issue.

ITEM #2: CONSENT AGENDA

Mayor Cox called this agenda item.

Councilor Thalhoffer wished to ask questions regarding Item 2.3 - Skyland Pub liquor license.

Mr. Sassalos, 3395 SE Pelton introduced himself. He stated his family had been in the restaurant/bar business his entire life and he was very familiar with this type of business.

MOTION: Councilor Thalhoffer moved to approve the consent agenda as written [2.1 - City Council Minutes 7/23/91; 2.2 - Business License Report Month of July; 2.3 - New liquor license - Skyland Pub]. Councilor Thompson seconded the motion.

YEAS: 4
NAYS: 0
ABSTAINED: 0

Fowler - Yea; Thalhoffer - Yea; Thompson - Yea; Wakeman - Yea

ITEM #3: PRESENTATION: Commendations for Years of Service/City Employees

Mayor Cox called this agenda item. City employees present to accept their commendations were: Hope Reynolds - 5 years; Robert Gazewood - 5 years; Ron Dupas - 5 years; Sandra Davis - 10 years; Marion Berg - 5 years. Lee VanSpeybrock [unable to attend] - 5 years.

ITEM #4: PUBLIC COMMENT

Mayor Cox called this agenda item.

Shirley VanGard, Sweetbriar Lane, handed out a map with a listing of incidents during the past year of their fence being broken on the west side of the property. She reviewed each incident and the resulting problems from drivers leaving the scene, damage to trees, fences, trellis, personal injuries to drivers, utility poles. There were 3 incidences prior to her contact with Wilder regarding the problem. After contacting Wilder there had been six more incidents with no resolution to the problem. She stated that Wilder had done all he could and she had no problems with his actions. This was a County road and the City had contacted the Oregon State Speed Board as well as the County Engineer to look into the problems at this intersection.

She stated that the City made the request to the County to pursue Wilder's lead with doing something regarding the problem. (1) A new road and reconstruct the Cochran intersection - this would be 1-5 years before the situation could be addressed. (2) Reduce the speed limit to 35 mph? - the State controls the speed and there would be no action unless was requested through the City. (3) A Troutdale officer had stopped by and stated that in order to protect the children, they would have to be stopped from playing in the yard.

VanGard stated that they were constructing a fence, inside the current fencing, to allow placement of rocks in order to hopefully get action from the County to construct a guard rail. The County had been to the site and had liability concerns with placing a guard rail unless there was a reason to place the rail to remove the possibility of a hazard on the other side of the railing [i.e., rocks at 45 mph could cause an accident to be fatal]. She stated that their insurance premiums have raised due and they were told if there was one more accident -- they wouldn't be insurable. She stated her concerns regarding the necessity of a reduced speed limit in the area of Cochran/Troutdale intersection and hoped that a guard rail to prevent further damage or a possible injury to children in the yard or nearby area.

Jennings stated that the bulk of the liability would be with someone with their car out of control. If at all possible, have the County move the City up on the priority list and do whatever is necessary to help deal with the issue.

Christian stated that what was needed was authorization from Council to staff to request County and the State Speed Board to revisit the speed limit designations and authorization to request the County to move the City up on the priority list for due to the hazardous conditions.

MOTION: Councilor Thalsofer moved to authorize staff to send a letter to the County to study the feasibility of a guard rail as well as the design of the road - move the City up on the priority list and authorize submitting a request to the Oregon State Speed Board to revisit the speed designation to set it at 35 mph in the vicinity of Cochran/Troutdale Rd. Councilor Wakeman seconded the motion.

YEAS: 4

NAYS: 0

ABSTAINED: 0

Fowler - Yea; Thalsofer - Yea; Thompson - Yea; Wakeman - Yea

ITEM #6: **ORDINANCE: Imposing Water, Sewer, Street, Storm Water and Parks System Development Charges and Repealing Ord. #566.** [Tape 1, Side 2 2:00]

Mayor Cox called this agenda item and read the ordinance by title.

Wilder stated that the Parks portion of the ordinance would be addressed at the August 27 meeting; an overview of all the SDC's would be at held at the September 10 meeting with a public hearing. First reading of the ordinance would be scheduled for the September 24 meeting; Second Reading at the October 8 meeting; and the ordinance would be effective November 7th. For this meeting, he would be presenting water and wastewater elements of the Capital Improvement Program, as well as each of the proposed projects with its cost and estimated timing.

Wilder handed out a preliminary project listing for Council review. He asked if Council had any discussion to any of the projects listed. There were none. He stated it was important to note that the amount of monies assigned to each project are values that the City itself would be expected to incur not values or costs that other jurisdictions or private sector might incur. There was an opportunity to make comments and decisions at the time of the public hearing and final summary of the project(s).

Councilor Fowler stated that he noticed there was a Financial Consultant and asked who had employed him? The Council? City Manager? Or, whose direction.

Wilder stated that the consultant was employed within the limits of the contracting authority from amounts budgeted for those purposes.

Councilor Fowler stated that he was a member of the Budget Committee and didn't realize a consultant would be hired. He also hadn't heard his name nor seen anything that he was consulted on.

Wilder stated he was present and Councilor Fowler would be meeting him this evening. There were reasons for using a financial consultant 1) they were closer to the issues, current statutes; 2) higher level of knowledge; 3) better able to address political issues better than staff. There had been considerable criticism over the years regarding system development charges and this was one way to deflect some of the criticism - creating a level of independence that, sometimes, outside consultants do create.

Mayor Cox called for questions. There were none.

Christian asked if Wilder would review for Council why the SDC's were being revisited - after the last legislation.

Ken Rust, Public Financial Management, stated that they had been asked by the City to look at system development charges and what was currently collected for some of the utilities and perhaps establishing some new ones. He stated that State Laws passed in 1989 legislative session which came into effect 7/1/91 required all communities in the State that collect such charges do them pursuant to State law passed in 1989. Generally that required that an adopted methodology be established for the charges that there be some link between the charges collected and costs of providing service and capacity of improvements to those properties that created improvements. Thus giving an accounting for the monies in terms of how it is collected and what it is spent on. It can only be spent on capacity increasing improvements. In 1987 the homebuilders first introduced legislation which was vetoed by the Governor which then came back in 1989 in the form of a bill which passed and was signed by the Governor into law effective this year. Rust stated that communities throughout the State had gone through the exercise. An impetus had been the requirement that as of 7/1/91 if an agency is collecting the charges, in order to make sure that they are in full compliance and that you meet the standards that were codified into the statutes in the 1989 session.

Rust stated that in addition to the water and sewer charges, it also authorized charges for Parks, Roads, Stormwater facilities. These were new areas that the City felt were worth looking at to ensure recovering all costs and developed a system that was fair and equitable for all citizens today and in the future.

Wilder stated that Rust and his organization was involved in the rate setting for storm sewer charges -- was a sub consultant to Oakley Engineering when the storm sewer SDC's were established.

Councilor Fowler asked if the Park SDC's were to circumvent tax limitation law underwriting another form of collecting money from the same group of people?

Rust stated that the State law authorized collection of certain charges, including SDC's for Parks...

Christian stated that Ken Rust didn't have input into the Parks, that was the Budget Committee at one of the last meetings they held. The recommendation was made from the Committee to the Council that they visit the idea of charging systems development charges for Parks. It became obvious under Ballot Measure 5 that the City wouldn't be able to budget any monies for Capital [development of

parks]. It had nothing to do with operations and would not be charged to existing residents, it would only be applied i.e., systems development charges are applied now for sewer and water... to new developments that come into the City. The City was looking at added capacity in the Parks as well as water and sewer. This would not go to the existing residents.

Councilor Fowler stated that the Homebuilding Association had participated in SDC discussions in several communities - some had adopted SDC's so fast and with such little notice that interested parties only comment and only public hearing - we in common ground would be discussing possible litigation in several of these cases....

Wilder stated that it was interesting to note that when the last SDC ordinance was done, it was made available to Charlie Hale with the Homebuilder's Association months before it came up for discussion, asking them to contribute and get involved...they choose not to. He didn't know if it was because the Association felt it was a good ordinance or because they didn't want to participate. Wilder stated the City of Troutdale had included the Association in its process all along.

Rust stated that, as a sidenote, the Homebuilder's very much held it important to have legislation that would identify how to assign such charges and authorize the collection of such charges for all five of the public facilities that are now a part of the statute. At the time of the legislation the League of Oregon Cities was arguing the point that a couple of cities had made some poor judgment of the use of the monies - there wasn't a need for this type of legislation and that what it would do would just expand the number of communities that collect such charges because now there was clear authority to do it and it would create a sense of a level playing field...that is exactly what has happened. Now, more than ever all five charges being adopted by cities throughout the State and in conformance with the law with a bill sponsored and fought hard by the homebuilders. It is what they wanted. There will be problems as with all new legislation established.

Councilor Thalhoffer had no questions but stated it made sense to him to have SDC's for parks. He stated that it made sense as a method to build new parks and accommodate the increasing population being experienced. He felt it was a fair way to do it.

Councilor Thompson agreed. It made more sense to require developers to set aside a certain amount of property for parks. Frequently they had been too small to do anything with them. To collect a system development charge and put it to use wherever you want to use it.

Councilor Fowler stated he worked in the neighborhood of Troutdale City Park which is used about 90% by non residents - the residents basically keep away from there. Its the only park that needs patrol from the police.

Mayor Cox stated that a lot of residents go there. It was the beach that needed to be patrolled. Troutdale had as many parks percentage wise as Portland did. He stated he was proud of the park system.

Councilor Fowler stated that it was a good park system, he wasn't denying that.

Christian stated the beach was in Troutdale's jurisdiction and law enforcement responsibility was was to the City. The Council had wrestled with the decision this past budget whether to use general fund money to support two full time officers at the beach to patrol and maintain order or ignore the situation and look at responding only to the police calls. There was a certain amount of social conscience regarding where the money was coming from. It doesn't have to do with developed parks it just happens to be one of the situations that exists.

Councilor Thalsofer discussed the fee at Blue Lake Park and asked about charging non residents more for using the park?

Christian stated that couldn't be done. Blue Lake Park was more confined as far as controlling the in/out access. The beach area was much easier accessed due to the river and from across the road. The past two times concessionaires were advised there was no expressed interest in it. She stated the weather was a determining factor in the beach population and there were lots of days in the summer that wasn't beach going weather and therefore, the concessionaires didn't make as much as they may have thought they would in the previous years that bids were received and they had lost interest.

ITEM # 7: PUBLIC HEARING: Public Works-Special Public Works Fund Loan Application [Continued]. Tape 1, Side 2 17:00

- Open Public Hearing continued from 7/23/91 regular meeting - Mayor Cox opened to continue the public hearing at 7:55 p.m.
- Mayor Cox called for declarations, challenges, ex parte contact. There was none expressed.
- Summation by staff: Wilder stated that the program, needs, growth of the community and requirements both to deal with expansion and current pending issues of compliance for the expansion and improvements to the Treatment Plant. Also discussed was the request to the State for funding and the process for funding. Ken Rust was unable to attend the first public hearing and was asked to attend this meeting in order to summarize the issues that deal with finance and finance requirements as well as the process to construct the facility.
- Graphics were used for Ken Rust to explain some background information. Rust stated that his firm was asked to help the City look at ways to finance the expansion of the Treatment Plant. His firm did advising across the country regarding how to finance facilities.

Initially, it was felt that the City would secure financing by selling Revenue Bonds. Rust stated that it became clear that the project would provide clear passage for growth and development capacity that was sorely needed because the City was quickly reaching a constraint that would prohibit future growth - that allowed the State to become a participant in the project - a program called Special Public Works Fund sponsored by the Oregon Economic Development Department. The primary purpose is to assist in funding of infrastructure to support local economic development.

Rust stated that a major advantage of this program is that the City could borrow money at interest rates which is at a rate that the State borrows its money at. The State being a larger borrower/better credit rating/bigger name than the City. This loan will be at an interest rate approximately 6.5%...a very attractive long term interest rate for the loan. If Troutdale were to sell its own Revenue Bonds it would probably be at 7.1-7.3% This is a significant cost savings. The State program is also designed to reduce the size of the borrowing that the City would otherwise have to undertake. There is also a cost to borrow money 2-4% of par value. All factors considered it means increasing the amount borrowed by 12%-14% He stated that this program hadn't been held up by the State Treasurer's moratorium on GO bonds. This was the only State program that has issued bonds this year and isn't prohibited at this time. This was caught at a nice point in time and we were able to get in, not meet a notice requirement. This will get the money on the City's schedule and do it in a more efficient manner.

Rust discussed the size of the borrowing. The State loan that will be made will be \$3.39 million. That will pay for the plant and expansion envisioned by the City. If the City were to sell bonds for the project it would need to be about \$3.94 million taking into effect to pay for the reserve fund as well as the issuance costs. It will save money in terms of the size of the bond issued. Rust continued to discuss the differences between the City's selling bonds versus the State bonds. In terms of savings to the customer per ERU - it would be \$1.50/month. It will save the City and the City's ratepayers money and at the same time build improvements that will allow economic development to continue to occur in the City.

Rust discussed the key factors in the process. He stated that enough couldn't be stated about all employees involved in this process. He had done 40-50 financings in all sizes of communities but had never had one smoother than this one. There had been a tremendous amount of intergovernmental cooperation from the State and the City. That is very important to make these types of things work. The City had done a fantastic job in putting the application together and in making the case to the State as to why it was an important project. City staff did an excellent job preparing information on very short notice and impressed the State that this was a good deal and a place where the State should invest its money because it made sense not just to the City of Troutdale. To the State's credit they have a program that is really geared to help communities instead of trying to find out ways to save homeowners money - they look at ways for you to get the money in order to build projects. Quite frankly, Rust stated, it was a very refreshing program. It works, it works the way it is suppose to and is doing a job that is meeting its mandated.

Rust stated that staff both City and State have been instrumental in making this happen Christian, Wilder, Gazewood all had major roles in putting information together, responding to States requests, making a presentation to the State in Salem. The entire package had been done within a 6 week period of time. To have something like this move as smoothly and quickly doesn't happen by accident. It happens by people knowing and caring about what they are doing. There had been a lot of good work done on both sides of the table and it had made his job much easier ['almost superfluous']. He stated that the City had brought in the

consultants in a timely manner - early in the process - which allowed them the opportunity to say what needed to be done and helping direct the resources and allowed them to be used when it added value to the process without duplication.

Rust stated there was a commitment by the consultants, the State and the City to make it happen...make it happen quickly and in a manner which results in a win-win situation for everyone. The State will get more infrastructure built, the City will get it built when it needs to have it built and enjoy the fruits of the development which will occur from that and it will save money in the process. When you can do all those things in one program - it is a win-win.

Wilder stated that Rust had left out an important item. Fax machines, telephones and electronics.

Councilor Thalhofer stated he was very impressed by what looked to be a lot of people doing a lot of work for this.

Rust stated that he would like to repeat he worked with a lot of cities small and large and had never been involved in any single transaction where the level and quality of the effort was any better than in the City of Troutdale. He stated that, in fact, the City would embarrass a lot most cities many times our size in terms of the staff capability and it had really been a pleasure for him to work with us.

Christian thanked Council for the past years of actually believing in staff enough to budget and allow the purchases of electronics that everyone questioned initially. She stated that without those types of resources the time schedules would not have been reached.

- Public Testimony: Proponents - Stated above. There were no other comments.
- City Council Questions: Mayor Cox called for questions. None offered.
- Public Testimony: Opponents - Mayor Cox called for opponent testimony. None offered.
- City Council Questions: Mayor Cox called for questions. None offered.
- Recommendation by Staff: Stated above.
- Closed Public hearing: Mayor Cox closed the public hearing at 8:10 p.m.

ITEM #7A. ORDINANCE: Authorizing Acceptance of Loan from The Oregon Economic Development Department (SPWF), Authorizing the Execution of a Contract and Loan Documents and Declaring an Emergency. 569-0 [Tape 2, Side 3 8:02]

Mayor Cox called this agenda item and read the ordinance by title.

Christian read the Statement and Press Release from the State Oregon Economic Development Department which had been received late in the day. She stated that it was their preference that the Award and Press Release be released at the same time.

MOTION: Councilor Thompson moved to adopt the ordinance as written. Councilor Wakeman seconded the motion.

YEAS: 4

NAYS: 0

ABSTAINED: 0

Fowler - Yea; Thalhofer - Yea; Thompson - Yea; Wakeman - Yea

ELECTION RESULTS: Christian stated that the results of the August 13, 1991 election had been received by Valerie Raglione, City Recorder and asked that they be read by Mayor Cox.

Mayor Cox read the Yes votes as 237; the No votes as 1,143. After receipt of certified results from the County Elections Clerk staff will be presenting to Council an Ordinance accepting those results as well as repealing Ordinance #565.

ITEM #5: **RESOLUTION: Foreclosure of Delinquent Assessment Liens** [Tape 2, Side 3 00:00]

Mayor Cox called this agenda item and read the resolution by title.

Gazewood addressed this subject and stated that there was no longer a question of foreclosure that was applicable and therefore, no need for the resolution to foreclose. The property owner had come in and paid the two LID's which were past due. It included the water LID and sewer LID. The July 23, 1991 water and August 31, 1991 sewer payment were expected shortly.

ITEM #8: **STATUS REPORT: Road Transfer Memorandum of Understanding [MOU]** [Tape 2, Side 3 2:00]

Mayor Cox called this agenda item.

Wilder gave a brief report stating that the memo of understanding had taken approximately two years to get the County/State/City to this point. He stated it was a giant step in getting the issue resolved, however, yet to happen was the actual agreement itself. The State was drafting the agreement and hopefully would be before Council relatively soon. Construction of the project was hoped to be Spring, 1992 with design Fall, 1991.

Wilder stated that the intent was to transfer 257th Ave. [Graham Road] to the County, transfer the Columbia Scenic Hwy in the core area to the County, to make available to the City \$240,000 and the County to reconstruct as their contribution to the downtown road system ... under our direction, design, construction supervision. Basically, the City does the job and turns it over to the County [the

City acts as the County's agent to get it done the way the Troutdale community wants it... our standards and time schedule]. Ultimately the roadways are to be jurisdictions of the County.

Christian asked Wilder to expand on the issue of dealing with traffic, et cetera.

Wilder stated that there were broader issues than just the transfer. Part of the transfer dealt with Frontage Rd. that accessed the Treatment Plant which will be turned over to the City as a City road. The intersection which has been causing considerable concern, will be cleaned up as part of the agreement. The accesses become the City's and they will be through the 'to be signalized intersection'. Wilder indicated on the map - the intersection leading into the Factory Outlet center will be signalized and will soon be dedicated to the City - up to the first stop sign and the City road, Kendall extended and will be constructed - curves around and serves the balance of the property behind and was also addressed in the MOU.

Christian stated that the existing Frontage Rd. would be closed.

Wilder stated that broader issues such as the entire road transfer was at a stalemate. Troutdale's position remained secure in that it is believed the County was a better provider of road maintenance and road service for the arterial system and network. It was a possibility, should time warrant, that Troutdale, Wood Village and Fairview could move ahead with agreements such as the MOU to proceed with or without the larger City.

Wilder stated it was hoped this would be a project soon. The MOU assigned \$240,000 the State has budgeted and approved \$240,000 [allocated]. Multnomah County has agreed to discussions [not yet agreed upon] as much as \$260,000 to the process. The restrictions that apply to both of the moneys is that they be for road related and road approved purposes [not ornamental lighting, utility undergrounding, street trees, street furniture]. Cross walks may be possible - conduit for undergrounding of utilities could be possibilities.

Wilder stated that the City would be working quickly with the State and County to work towards a project that would get the City the most 'bang for the buck' from those two sources plus the City's own road funds.

Councilor Fowler asked if it would go next year rather than this year?

Wilder stated yes. Design work would be finished this year and the bidding process with the hopes of major tear out and replacement before the summer season and finish it in fall, after tourist season. That would mean the least disruption to the businesses downtown.

ITEM #9: DEPARTMENT REPORTS:

Mayor Cox called this agenda item.

- Finance:Gazewood had nothing to add. Mayor Cox stated that it was apparent the department had been busy by all the work that had been prepared.
- Public Safety:Chief Collier was on vacation.
- Community Development: Scott Cline stated there was a request for annexation to the City which was to be considered by the Boundary Commission 8/22/91. The staff report would be available by 8/15/91. Preliminary indications were for a recommendation to annex all property within the City's Urban Planning Area at this time [extend to Strebin Road current with the UGB.]

Christian stated she had several discussions with the Boundary Commission. She stated there was a new process whereby the applicant deals directly with the Boundary Commission who is responsible for staff reports, review and the City is left totally out of the process. There had been concern due to the jagged shape of the annexation. Christian stated that it made sense if the City was going to provide service to the small piece anyway, the facilities are in ground now [in preparation of providing service to the area] it was a logical extension of services to do it at one time. The City has a right to approach the Boundary Commission and state that it wasn't wanted -- should that be Council choice.

Mayor Cox asked if there had been any calls from the area in question? Christian stated there had been none to date. Cline had not received any calls either at this time.

Christian hoped that Boundary Commission staff would suggest to the Commission to look at several large tax lots in the area - currently the UGB bi-sected these - it was hoped the Commission would look at the full tax lot within the UGB and annexation at that time. It is a costly procedure to go back and request an UGB adjustment.

Cline stated there were a number of property owners in the Urban Planning area that had inquired as to the possibility because they had expressed interest in 'being inside the City', so they could do something with their property. That is the response that had been received.

Councilor Thalhofer asked, if successful, how much would that increase population?

Cline stated very limited because most of the land in the area is undeveloped. Within the total area there would be less than 50 residents.

- Public Works - Wilder had done a chart on the water rates change. There had been more calls than normal which was due mostly to 'summertime'. It was a statutory issue regarding the applicability of availability charges to be levied which were determined to be charges. That meant they had to be removed. That was the reason for the last rate change, as well as the minimum charge.

Wilder stated the average rate payer would see an average of \$1.70/more per month. A user of 6,000 gallons or less would actually pay less; it increased from that - if using 70,000 gals/mo; the bill would increase by approximately \$11.00/mo. In the summer people are seeing the high side average, not the low side.

Wilder stated if Councilors received calls, please refer them to staff who would explain the changes, hopefully to their satisfaction.

- City Attorney - Councilor Fowler stated since the Urban Renewal was defeated, what would the status of the consultant firm be?

Christian stated that a representative from the Urban Renewal Advisory Board was present and could address the question. The consultant's report and plan had been completed. It was Council decision to hear the Plan regardless of the Election results. The Advisory Board Committee felt that these were still worthwhile projects and they felt that Council should look at the Plan in terms of identified projects needing to be done. A work session with Council was discussed for the week of August 19. Due to the results of the elections, the meeting doesn't need to be that soon, however, should still be scheduled in order to hear the recommendations, reasoning and strategies for accomplishing the projects. She stated a lot of effort had been put in to coming to issues that needed concurrence.

After discussion, Christian stated the Committee would be consulted for convenient dates and Christian would check with Council for concurrence.

Christian discussed the cancellation of the 4-Cities meeting and rescheduling a convenient date/time/place. Camp Menuca 2:00 p.m., September 27 and break up late afternoon of September 28. She stated she would need Council to notify her within the next couple of days.

Councilor Fowler asked the status of the hired consultants regarding payment. Christian stated that the entire allocated amount had not been paid. The Committee had requested that they do revisions to the Plan as reviewed and the Committee directed them to revise specific portions of the Plan to conform with their recommendation to Council. As soon as revisions were completed [Thursday] their work would be completed. They are being paid on time and material basis and would be paid up to the date of completion.

ITEM #10 : COUNCIL CONCERNS AND INITIATIVES

Mayor Cox called for Council concerns.

Councilor Thalhofer asked again about dates that might be convenient for the joint meeting. A variety of dates were again discussed. It was decided to check with the Co-Chairman of the Committee and check back with Council on convenient dates.

Councilor Thalhofer inquired about the Metro Charter Review Commission meeting notice contained in his packet. He stated someone should be present from Council.

ITEM #11: ADJOURNMENT

Mayor Cox called this agenda item.

MOTION: Councilor Thompson moved to adjourn the meeting. Councilor Wakeman seconded the motion.

YEAS: 4
NAYS: 0
ABSTAINED: 0

Fowler - Yea; Thalsofer - Yea; Thompson - Yea; Wakeman - Yea

Sam K. Cox, Mayor

Dated: _____

ATTEST:

Valerie J. Raglione, CMC
City Recorder

F:\MINUTES\081391CC.MIN