

MINUTES  
TROUTDALE CITY COUNCIL - REGULAR MEETING  
COUNCIL CHAMBERS  
TROUTDALE CITY HALL  
104 SE KIBLING AVENUE  
TROUTDALE, OR 97060-2099

---

7:00 P.M. ~ APRIL 9, 1991

ITEM 1: PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE, ROLL CALL, AGENDA UPDATE

Mayor Cox called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. and called on Councilor Thompson to lead the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mayor Cox called on City Recorder Raglione to call the roll.

**PRESENT:** Bui, Fowler, Schmunk, Thalsofer, Thompson

**STAFF:** Christian, Cline, Collier, Gazewood, Raglione, Wilder

**PRESS:** Web Ruble, Oregonian

**GUESTS:** Shirley Prickett, Joanna Moyer, Dave Simpson, ODOT, Walt Postlewait, Jim Wakeman, Sally Wakeman, Kris DeSylvia

Mayor Cox asked Christian if there were any Agenda Updates. There were none.

ITEM 2: CONSENT AGENDA:

Mayor Cox called this agenda item.

Raglione called Council attention to a memorandum outlining minor changes/additions to the minutes that were delivered with Council packets.

**MOTION:** Councilor Schmunk moved to approve the Consent Agenda [2.1 Accept Minutes 3/26/91 - Regular Session; 2.2 Accept Business License Report - March, 1991; 2.3 Accept Bills - March, 1991]. Councilor Thompson seconded the motion.

YEAS:   5

NAYS:   0    
ABSTAINED:   0  

**Bui - Yea; Fowler - Yea; Schmunk - Yea; Thalhoffer - Yea; Thompson - Yea**

**ITEM 3: PUBLIC COMMENT:**

Mayor Cox called this agenda item.

Kris DeSylvia, 1371 S.W. McGinnis, queried Council on the process to be used for filling a recent vacancy [Jacobs] on the Council. She asked if it would be an election process?

Mayor Cox stated that this item was still in decision-making. The process was expected to be discussed later in the meeting. He stated that either way, the newspaper would have a notice on this item.

**ITEM 4: REPORT: I84/181st Avenue to Sandy River Columbia River Highway** [Tape 1, Side 1 5:06]

Mayor Cox called this agenda item.

Christian stated that Dave Simpson, Oregon Dept. of Transportation was present and if Council wished, he would make a verbal presentation on the packet materials submitted previously to Council.

Dave Simpson, Oregon Dept. of Transportation described the project to widen the freeway from the east end of 181st interchange project to the Troutdale interchange to six lanes and reconstruct the interchanges along the way. The Sandy Boulevard interchange in Fairview would be replaced with a full interchange at 207th Avenue. The County had a project to work to connect the 207th interchange and the 223rd arterial. The State would reconstruct the 238th interchange in Troutdale to a standard diamond type interchange configuration. The widening to the freeway would end at the west end of the Troutdale interchange.

The east bound lane would drop off at the exit to the Burns Bros. area and the entrance going westbound would be - the 3rd lane would pickup and begin.

Simpson stated that work was also planned for the two bridges that carry Graham Road and Marine Drive underneath the freeway to increase the clearances and sight distance. Currently there is 14' and they would be brought up to 17' and the alignment of Graham Road would be straightened out. There will also be signals at each of the ramp terminals there at the off ramps and each of the on ramps would be signaled due to the increased traffic that there is.

Simpson stated that the eastbound/westbound exits would be removed that are east of 238th now. They are too close to the ramps. This was due to safety problems that they now have. The project was funded for construction in 1993 for the 181st to 223rd and 1995 for the 223rd and Troutdale section.

Councilor Fowler asked about the 'Orient Express' exit?

Simpson stated that there was a future exit for the Mt. Hood Parkway, if that is put in?

Councilor Fowler, yes.

Simpson stated that as of now it was planned to come off in the area just east of the 238th interchange. The State would have to re-design what has now been planned for 238th. He stated that they hadn't entered into the detailed design for 238th, however, by the time it would be done the planned to have a strong feeling as to whether or not the Parkway would come in order to eliminate tearing construction up later if the Parkway does go in.

Councilor Fowler asked if 238th wasn't in the design now?

Simpson stated that it had been designed in a conceptual level to get an idea of costs and to get an idea of traffic moves and ensure there are enough lanes planned. He stated there was a slight realignment of the on ramp that would be required if the Parkway went in at that point. It would only be the eastbound on ramp and westbound off ramp. Simpson stated construction wouldn't occur prior to there being an answer on the Parkway.

Councilor Thalhofer asked about the removal of the exit in front of the Dog Pound...he stated it was probably the best exit and speedest to get into Troutdale. Since that would be removed, Troutdale would actually lose an exit?

Simpson stated that was correct. There was no way to leave that exit since it was too close to the existing on ramp.

Councilor Thalhofer asked if there was any way to give Troutdale one more?

Simpson stated there would be a way to construct it physically, however, the Department wouldn't spend that kind of money to do it because the traffic numbers don't show a justification to have that exit. The design for the next twenty years indicates that the 257th would adequately handle the traffic. He stated that if the exit was left in an over/under to the on ramp could be constructed - a braid and tunnel. However, again -- the cost would be over \$5 million to do it and there simply wasn't enough need to justify spending that much of the public funds.

Councilor Thalhofer asked about the figures regarding once the Factory Outlet opened up?

Simpson stated those figures were added in to the traffic numbers to find out if any redesign would be needed. So far, traffic section hadn't seen any need to make significant changes to the design. In the future, there may be need to increase the number of lanes off of the Troutdale exit.

Councilor Thalhofer stated he hoped they were right. It looked like there would be a nightmare down there in the future once the Outlet opened - traffic wise. The number of people might be awesome.

Simpson stated that so far, the numbers predicted don't show a need. It has been found out before that growth was faster than expected and changes had to be made. There is always the chance that they would have to come back and do something different there. Making the Frontages Roads one way down there might be one way - however, the businesses down there have strongly resisted that idea before. They would rather have traffic going both directions there.

Mayor Cox called for further questions. There were none. Mayor Cox thanked Dave Simpson for his presentation.

**ITEM 5: REQUEST: Operation Wheels (Boeing)**

Mayor Cox called this agenda item.

Christian stated that Mr. Strange didn't appear to be in the audience. She stated that the letter before Council was self-explanatory. This was a Boeing employee community outreach program. They were asking that rather than the City auction off bicycles that the City collects during the year [i.e., lost/abandoned/returned] that are declared surplus, the City contributed them to this community outreach program to be refurbished and distributed to needy children.

Mayor Cox called for questions.

Councilor Bui asked if any were residents of Troutdale?

Christian stated she had no idea.

Councilor Bui asked Chief of Police Collier how many bicycles there normally were?

Chief Collier stated there were currently 7 or 8.

Mayor Cox stated they were not usually in good repair.

Wilder stated that the bike sale portion of the auction usually realized \$200-\$300.

**MOTION: Councilor Bui moved to donate bicycles taken into possession during the year to the Boeing employees association Operation on Wheels, for their use. Councilor Thompson seconded the motion.**

**DISCUSSION:** Christian stated that in terms of found property, particularly by the Police Dept., the City would have to keep them a certain amount of time and come before Council with a surplus

property listing. Council must declare the items surplus before they can be turned over. The designation of this donation will be noted at the time it comes before Council.

**YEAS:   5**

**NAYS:   0**

**ABSTAINED:   0**

Bui - Yea; Fowler - Yea; Schmunk - Yea; Thalhoffer - Yea; Thompson - Yea

**ITEM 6:      REQUEST: Council Direction -- Authorization to Pursue Transfer of Title of Park Properties from Multnomah County to the City of Troutdale.** [Tape 1, Side 1 16:27]

Mayor Cox called this agenda item.

Cline stated this was basically a housekeeping measure. It had recently come to the attention of staff through recordation of plats that certain lands were recorded under ownership of Multnomah County. Some of the parcels had been treated as city parks for several years [Lewellyn Park and several tracts along BeaverCreek Canyon Greenway]. Cline stated that the lands were dedicated to the 'public' on the plat and the tax assessors office determined 'public' as Multnomah County.

Cline stated that measures had been taken to ensure all future parks dedicated by developers through the plating process would be specifically dedicated to the City of Troutdale and recorded as such.

Cline stated that in order to address the current problem, staff was asking for authorization to pursue transfer of 5 parcels of land from the County to the City. The County had expressed their desire to transfer the properties to the City.

Cline asked that staff prepare a letter for Mayor's signature to the County, requesting title to the properties be transferred to the City. After receiving the Mayor's letter, County staff would present it to the Board of Commissioners for approval.

Councilor Thompson asked how it came about that the County determined that the 'public good' was only the County and not the City of Troutdale on these properties?

Cline stated that he had much discussion with the County regarding this over the past few weeks... In particular, with the amount of subdivisions that the City has had with park land dedications. Cline stated that in checking state statutes concerning dedication - the City is in process of revising our procedures to ensure that this doesn't occur again. Technically, something can be dedicated to the public - its a matter of interpretation when that is recorded. They have to record the property as belonging to somebody. Since the Board of Commissioners had actually signed on the face of the plat [because all plats must be approved and recorded in the County] it was the determination of the assessor's office that 'public' in this case was Multnomah County. Cline stated that this occurred approximately 10-12 years ago in some cases.

Councilor Thompson stated that someone in the assessor's office took it upon themselves to assign it to the County rather than the City then.

Cline stated that was his understanding.

**MOTION:** Councilor Thompson moved that the City so adopt a policy of writing a letter to the County Board of Commissioners requesting that these park lands be deeded back to the City of Troutdale, for whom they were originally intended. Councilor Thalhoffer seconded the motion.

**YEAS:**   5  

**NAYS:**   0  

**ABSTAINED:**   0  

**Bui - Yea; Fowler - Yea; Schmunk - Yea; Thalhoffer - Yea; Thompson - Yea**

**ITEM #4.**

[Tape 1, Side 1 21:26] Christian asked to go back to Item #4 - Dave Simpson pointed out to her that Council should have had a resolution in the packet formally approving the I84 improvements. She asked if Council wanted the resolution at the next meeting, direction staff to prepare a resolution...

Mayor Cox asked if consensus would suffice?

Councilor Schmunk stated that consensus should be sufficient. The report was only a draft and not a final.

Mayor Cox asked Council members for purpose of determining a consensus.

Bui - Yea; Fowler - Yea; Schmunk - Yes; Thalhoffer - Yea; Thompson - Yea

**COUNCIL CONSENSUS APPROVAL OF IMPROVEMENTS I-84**

**ITEM 7: AUTHORIZATION: Request to Grant Street Name to #1 Sturges Drive [Tape 1, Side 1 22:40]**

Mayor Cox called this agenda item.

Cline stated that a request had been received from Bob Sturges, 1075 SW 257th Avenue, Troutdale. Cline stated that recently a section of the street known as Sturges Drive [westward extension of (northern section) Cherry Park beyond 257th] was constructed. The street extends through the 1300 block and then dead ends. It was constructed to provide access to Columbia Crest subdivision. Cline stated that Sturges was the only residence which is currently accessed off of the right-of-way. The request from Sturges was to change his address to #1 Sturges Drive.

Cline stated that Chapter 12.2.4 T.M.C. establishes a uniform system for house numbering. In that, all properties south of Columbia River Highway and west of Buxton are to carry the SW prefix designation for any address. Sturges Drive was dedicated by the plat and was so dedicated as SW Sturges Drive. In addition, the numbering system begins along Buxton/Troutdale Road corridor. Progressing further west, every 400 feet, blocks change to another higher number.

Cline stated that in this particular instance, the home lies in the 1600 block. He is on the north side of the road and in re-addressing the property it was staff recommendation that it carry the designation of 1601 SW Sturges Drive.

Cline stressed that there is importance in maintaining a uniform numbering system. The City is part of the Metropolitan Emergency System and participate in 911. If there are numbers that are out of sequence - we can't guarantee there would be emergency response to that number. For that reason, it was staff recommendation the address be 1601 SW Sturges Drive.

Councilor Bui asked if the right-of-way may, at some point, go through?

Cline stated there was a section which was reserved for that purpose along the Columbia Crest addition. A lot would depend on what happened to the County Farm and properties developing further to that site. The property to the north, that remained a possibility but no guarantee that the road would continue in a direct western alignment that is currently there. It could go northward and there may need to be a re-addressing of the property in the future.

Councilor Bui stated that wouldn't be Cherry Park Road it would now all be Sturges Drive.

Cline stated at this current time, it is. There is an existing Cherry Park with the same address ranges where the high school is located. This was designated as being a different name - in this case Sturges Drive.

Mayor Cox stated that the first paragraph of the request from Sturges states that there will be no others facing that road.

Councilor Schmunk stated it had no bearing on what might be west of the property if the street should go through.

Councilor Thompson stated it also had no bearing on what the correct address would be according to the grid pattern.

Councilor Schmunk stated that emergency people ask the City to renumber streets so it is with the grid system. She stated that in keeping with ordinances and the system that the City had it should still be 1601 SW.

Jennings stated that as a point of reference Ordinance 12.24.010 talks in terms of shall. Anytime there is language 'shall' that is an absolute mandatory you must, there is no choice. If the language stated 'may', where you talk about street numbering then you would have room for discussion.

Councilor Schmunk and Thalhoffer stated that it should remain with the grid system set out. Bui, Thompson and Fowler agreed.

Councilor Schmunk asked what the current addressing was?

Cline stated it was 1075 SW 257th. It had not been reassigned yet.

Councilor Schmunk asked if he technically lived on Sturges Drive now? And when he changed his address he would have to change it to 1601 SW Sturges Drive?

Cline stated it was accessed off of that yes.

Christian stated that when Columbia Crest was platted, there needed to be a name off of Cherry Park because they bought it and it was a dedicated roadway to serve the entry into the subdivision. The rest of the driveway is right-of-way at this point and not a road. He does have to have an address and it is accessed off of Sturges Drive. Since the request was made, it required staff to seek Council direction.

#### **COUNCIL CONSENSUS - 1601 SW STURGES DRIVE**

#### **ITEM 8: RESOLUTION: Accepting Halsey Waterline Extension and Authorize Release of Retainage [888-R] [Tape 1, Side 2 00:00]**

Mayor Cox called this agenda item.

Wilder stated that Council had authorized contract execution with Colt Construction for the building of the emergency Halsey waterline project. Mr. Colt had a 45 day construction time line and finished it in 15 days. It was performed in an excellent, expeditious fashion. There were a few small punchlist items. Staff was asking Council acceptance of the facilities and authorize release of retainage when the punchlist is completed.

Mayor Cox read the resolution by title.

**MOTION: Councilor Thompson moved adoption of the resolution. Councilor Bui seconded the motion.**

**YEAS:   5**

**NAYS:   0**

**ABSTAINED:   0**

Bui - Yea; Fowler - Yea; Schmunk - Yea; Thalhoffer - Yea; Thompson - Yea



**ITEM 9: REQUEST FOR DIRECTION/Basketball Hoops/Hearings Officer [Tape 1, Side 2 1:38]**

Mayor Cox called this agenda item.

Cline addressed this stating that there had been previous Council discussions concerning a hearing officer. As a continuation of Code enforcement, the Community Development department had been sending out notices of violation under Chapter 8.28. There were many nuisances which include basketball hoops in a public right-of-way, high grass and weeds. Cline stated any notice of violation sent out required [per Code] the provision be which states they can request an appeal for a hearings officer to the Director of Community Development. However, when that happens, since there is no designated hearings officer the only choice is to take the issue to Council. Cline stated he currently had 4 requests pending concerning basketball hoops. There had been a significant increase in notices sent out. Cline stated he was requesting direction on how these situations should be handled now, as well as in the future. Is an amendment to the ordinance necessary with language removing the hearings officer from the current ordinance? Is Council preference to appoint a hearings officer?

Jennings stated there were other issues where a hearings officer could serve other than nuisance abatement i.e., towed vehicles; neighborhood dispute resolution. Options on who could serve as a hearings officer are as wide as Council deemed. A trained Judge, an attorney, a private person, some portion, or all, of City Council.

Jennings stated there would be a fair number of appeals, if Council considered themselves as a body act as a hearings officer there would be a number of times sitting outside of regular City Council to accommodate the requests.

Councilor Fowler stated that this was previously discussed regarding Planning/Building issues. He wasn't in favor of anything of that sort.

Jennings stated this would strictly be for nuisances, tows, neighborhood dispute resolution. He stated any planning/zoning hearings officer needed specialized training and this was exclusive of those types of issues.

Councilor Thalhofer supported selecting a hearings officer, including neighborhood disputes.

Christian clarified that a program would need to be devised for neighborhood dispute resolutions. That wouldn't be included at this time.

Councilor Thalhofer stated that there should be a hearings officer for nuisance enforcement.

Councilor Schmunk asked if the Building Official had acted as a hearings officer before?

Jennings stated that the hearings officer would be the prosecutor and couldn't serve notice of violation and then prosecute those served.

Councilor Thompson thought that the hearings officer should be someone independent of staff.

Mayor Cox favored the concept of a retired attorney, or someone with legal background.

**MOTION: Councilor Thalhoffer moved to appoint a hearings officer for all things called for in the current ordinances in the Code. Councilor Thompson seconded the motion.**

**DISCUSSION:**

Councilors Thalhoffer and Thompson stated that a proposal for procedures could be brought back to Council.

Jennings stated that a part of the motion could stipulate that staff look for resources of where to find someone and report back on who is available [what type of requirements/credentials/criteria/process of selection and a listing of persons that could possibly fill those] and what costs may be. Council could review options and make decisions on these issues at a later meeting.

**YEAS:   5**

**NAYS:   0**

**ABSTAINED:   0**

Bui - Yea; Fowler - Yea; Schmunk - Yea; Thalhoffer - Yea; Thompson - Yea

Councilors Thalhoffer and Schmunk agreed that staff needed direction.

Councilor Thalhoffer asked Jennings to give language regarding direction he would need to have.

Jennings wanted a sense of resources to review and outlined options as: with legal training; without legal training; City Council level; outside government - he asked for ideas?

Councilor Schmunk stated Jennings letter included in the packet - she didn't believe it needed to be an attorney per se - there were individuals that work with the legal system which would be qualified. She did prefer someone with knowledge of the legal system and somewhat easily accessible. She didn't feel it was necessary that they be on City staff.

Jennings stated no, City staff was out.

Councilor Schmunk stated any City employee.

Councilor Thalhoffer wasn't clear as to how much direction Jennings needed.

Jennings outlined - acceptable cost; availability issue; familiar with legal issues.

Christian stated staff could present to Council some written information for Council to pick and choose. There were hearings officers around. Credentials and job descriptions could be reviewed and presented soon. Christian asked that Council consider a charge for appeal. Fees for appealing Planning Commission decisions/Council decisions and it didn't need to cover the entire cost but should defray them.

Councilor Thalhofer agreed and stated it would decrease the amount of frivolous appeals.

Christian would survey fees and Council would choose what they wanted.

**ITEM 10: RESOLUTION: Providing for Budget Transfers and Making Appropriation Changes for FY 1990-91 [889-R] [Tape 1, Side 2 15:29]**

Mayor Cox called this agenda item.

Gazewood presented the resolution and background information. This had been for the purchase of a rear dump trailer for \$6,000. Grit and bar screens needed to be transferred to landfills accepting solid waste materials. The existing hauler was no longer permitted to transport solid waste materials and was now necessary for the City to take over the function. The purpose of the request is to allow a vehicle to the City for that to be done.

Gazewood stated it didn't increase any additional appropriation - the existing contingency is appropriated and it moved it into an authorized line item for purchase.

Councilor Fowler asked what it would be towed with and where would it be hauled?

Wilder stated a standard pickup to Hillsboro. The existing franchise hauler took care of this until the transfer center and other disposal sites asked him to stop since it is prohibited waste at this point in time. Metro was trying to establish an area-wide process for grit and screens which is at least 1 year away. The City had no other way to move the material cost effectively. The City would spend much more than \$6,000 to hire another hauler to haul it away.

Christian stated that the hauler must be qualified through DEQ.

Councilor Fowler asked how often it would be hauled?

Wilder stated one every week or two weeks depending on the amount.

Councilor Fowler asked if that was a cubic yard or so?

Wilder stated about 1 1/2 yard.

Councilor Fowler asked if that was about 3,000 to 4,000 lbs behind a 1 Ton pickup?

Wilder stated he didn't know what it would weigh. It was drained and would be relatively dry.

Councilor Fowler asked if there was insurance and liability on the trailer?

Wilder stated it would be covered under the city's standard policies.

Gazewood stated no increases were expected and the city's overall insurance with the league ran approximately \$53,000/yr for all coverage including administrative fees. He stated slight increases may be forthcoming but not attributable to an increase in equipment items, just an increase in the insurance industry.

Mayor Cox read the resolution by title.

**MOTION: Councilor Bui moved approval of the resolution. Councilor Thalsofer seconded the motion.**

YEAS:   5  

NAYS:   0  

ABSTAINED:   0  

Bui - Yea; Fowler - Yea; Schmunk - Yea; Thalsofer - Yea; Thompson - Yea

**ITEM 11: DEPARTMENT REPORTS:**

Mayor Cox called this agenda item.

Public Safety Chief Collier thanked the Council and Pam Christian for allowing him to attend the National Academy. He also thanked all city staff and volunteers that helped to make the new police facility a reality.

Finance - Gazewood had nothing to add to the report.

Community Development - Cline added comments regarding the construction industry in the City. The first quarter of this year showed a significant increase and was currently 75% of the value Gresham was doing. Submissions of plans reviews for the past two weeks were more than the past two years combined had been.

Public Works - Wilder handed out monthly charts. He discussed attendance at a recent policy advisory board meeting with Bui to allocate project funds. He stated one project looked good for funding.

City Attorney - Nothing further.

Executive - Christian discussed the Council interviews for the vacancy on Council. There was a listing of possible questions the selection committee could ask. She stated that in advertising a vacancy, a resume should be solicited as well as 3 suggested questions provided to Council. She looked to Council for direction.

Councilor Fowler discussed the possibility of an election process and stated he liked that idea.

Councilor Bui agreed that the Council was a policy body and it might be well to allow the community to decide who should fill the vacancy. He favored the election process.

Councilor Schmunk asked if the same election procedures would be required and whether or not the City would have anything else on the ballot because it would affect the cost of an election process?

Christian stated it depended on who would participate in the election. If the City was the only one on the ticket [probably a mail in ballot] the costs could be as high as \$1600-\$1800. If it is shared with the school district or another elective body is active in the same election, the costs would be shared.

Councilor Schmunk was concerned about whether or not the remaining time left to complete the term would be worth the costs that could be involved.

Councilor Fowler stated it would almost be the same amount of time as the Mayor term.

Christian stated that meeting the necessary time frames could put it to the August election. If the referendum was successful, Troutdale would have the referendum on the ballot regarding the Urban Renewal Agency. It would have to occur in August and that wouldn't be known until the petitions were turned in. However, it wouldn't be known until the last day petitions would have to be filed.

Discussion ensued regarding percentages of persons voting, costs of election process, what type election [i.e., mail in or not].

Councilor Thalhofer stated he was in favor of the election process.

**MOTION: Councilor Bui moved that the vacancy be filled by the election process. Councilor Fowler seconded the motion.**

Councilor Thalhofer asked Jennings if there was any reason why Council couldn't fill the vacancy through an election that he knew of.

Jennings stated no.

Councilor Thompson had concerns regarding costs but agreed to having it done by election.

Councilor Schmunk stated that she would vote no because there was a short amount of time before the position would require an election anyway.

**YEAS:   4**

**NAYS:   1**

**(Schmunk)**

**ABSTAINED:   0**

Bui - Yea; Fowler - Yea; Schmunk - Nay; Thalhofer - Yea; Thompson - Yea

Christian stated that a work session had been agreed upon but a date for it was pending. There were immediate issues that required discussion and direction from Council.

After discussion of times and dates for individual conveniences it was decided that April 20, 1991 at 9:00 a.m., Council Chambers would be set for the next Council work session.

Raglione reminded Council that there was a 6:30 p.m. budget meeting prior to the regular Council meeting on April 23.

**ITEM 11: COUNCIL CONCERNS AND INITIATIVES** [Tape 2, Side 3]

Mayor Cox called this agenda item.

Councilor Schmunk discussed recent activities with the I84-26 meetings. The five options were reviewed [3 on Hogan and 2 on Kane] which were eliminated to 2 on Hogan and 1 on Kane. Kane runs outside of Troutdale [depressed]. At the end of the meeting, it was decided that the eastern route would be revisited.

Wilder placed the new drawing [purple lined] before the Council. As a member of the Technical Advisory Committee, he hadn't seen the new route either.

Councilor Schmunk stated there had been considerable opposition from the audience that thought the CAC should revisit the eastern route. Since beginning there were 5 original members left on the CAC. The eastern route was totally dismissed by the public. One citizen at large that had been through all the meetings was in the audience, Jim Wakeman.

Wilder stated that the 238th intersection departure was pretty much the same location as the other routes in the past. Instead of going west of the correction facility it lies east and joins 257th at Cherry Park and 257th where the new Columbia Crest subdivision lies. It goes past the High School on the east side of 257th through currently vacant property; through the middle of a 76 lot subdivision under construction; crosses Stark Street approximately where BeaverCreek goes under Stark; parallels west of Troutdale Road at about the edge of the flat area that belongs to the College right before it drops off into BeaverCreek; and goes on out past the intersection of Division then nearly straight south into parts of Gresham until it reaches Hwy. 26.

Wakeman spoke to the issue. He didn't know how the route came into being and it had the same problems as it did 3 years ago and wasn't a viable route.

Mayor Cox asked if it was cost prohibitive the first time through?

Councilor Schmunk stated that it was extremely expensive and so was the Kane option. It would mean more meetings.

Councilor Thalhofer asked if rationale for this option had been discussed at the meeting? When it was first before the Council it was stated that 80% of the reason was to move 26 traffic and 20% commuter traffic... then came back later and stated 80% Gresham traffic and 20% Hwy 26 traffic. The further east it would go, the less impact on Gresham traffic there would be. It didn't seem to follow the rationale or criteria they original told Council about. He requested the minutes from the meeting that the State discussed this with Council.

Wakeman stated through the Hogan route it would move approximately 30% of the traffic between 26 and 84; and assist in alleviating in 70% of the local traffic. The further east it moves, the less effective it is.

Councilor Fowler stated it could always be turned down again.

Councilor Thompson couldn't understand how this could happen at the CAC.

Councilor Schmunk stated that was a good question. Someone wanted to look at the eastern and it was brought up to look at. She didn't, as a CAC member, know about it. The staff and someone else cooked it up.

Councilor Fowler stated that a representative from the State Highway had been before Council about 1 1/2 years ago and gave the same line of bull. Fowler stated that the City had once stated not to go through here and the response was yes, but we thought maybe you would change your mind. So, they were back for the third attack et cetera. As long as Gresham wants to push it over here, they will do what they can to push it over here.

Wakeman stated that members had gone onto the committee quite late, after it had all been discussed and more less resolved by the City of Troutdale. They started pushing for it again and were yielded to and the suggestion has again come up. He stated the process wasn't correct and the Chairman more or less instituted the purple lines. There was comment that possibly the federal people would object to the process because this route was dismissed so early on, as well as the Gresham group wanting to push it into Troutdale and let Troutdale take the majority of the impact.

Councilor Schmunk stated that a considerable amount of the audience wanted to revisit the eastern route from the earlier meetings.

Mayor Cox stated as he had before that if 26 was only in Troutdale we could have the whole thing.

Councilor Thompson felt it was highly outrageous and very suspect that it happened in the last meeting and totally avoided procedures going on for two years. He found it very offensive.

Councilor Thalhofer asked when they would be before Council to approve the third one?

Councilor Schmunk stated she had no idea. The map had just gotten to City Hall and she had asked for it to be in City Hall as fast as it could get here.

Wakeman stated it was very tentative and very suspect.

Councilor Schmunk stated that she had asked it to be put on the Agenda for an open meeting for the 23rd meeting. She had asked that it be removed from the Agenda since this occurred. She felt it best to wait and see what happened.

Councilor Fowler had a problem he felt was bad faith on the part of the City. It dealt with the McMenamin appeal from the April 9 meeting regarding water, sewer and various SDC's which came to no agreement and the suggestion was made to give McMenamin 30 days to bring comments back. All of a sudden we have problems of an illegal water hookup and we hand deliver a message to the County and County jumps on McMenamin - 5 days or shut his water off when this Council had given 30 days to McMenamin. Something is wrong. He had 30 days from the last meeting to come back to Council for Council to make the decision...

Councilor Thalhofer stated the water wasn't involved in that appeal.

Jennings stated that it was not 30 days but 2 weeks from this meeting. The response is due on April 16th. The water issue, to which Councilor Fowler talked, had nothing to do - and wasn't discussed at all at that hearing. That dealt with SDC's for roads, sewers and the waterlines for some specific area. Multnomah County had been improperly connected to a water line for some period of time and was improperly allowing McMenamin to use water. Different issue. McMenamin framed the appeal, the City didn't frame what was discussed at the last hearing. He laid out the issues. It was impossible for staff to guess what other things might take place in the mean time. Staff didn't take the appeal to Council, McMenamin did.

Councilor Fowler stated SDC's were discussed based on water, because water was the last thing added to the County Farm, talking about how much the horses used -- the water was a portion of what was being discussed. All of a sudden, that's settled it has been pulled out of any...

Jennings, no. That had nothing to do. 1) County allowed McMenamin to use water in violation of a specific ordinance which has nothing to do with SDC's; 2) the SDC issue is still on the table - none of it has been removed.



Concern over the ownership was discussed. Cline stated that a partition was filed to separate the property and his understanding was that McMenamin owned the property and there was additional property he looked at purchasing.

Councilor Fowler stated there were three other organizations using water off of the property [jail, child's home, another project, horses on far end].

Jennings stated that they were different hookups.

Councilor Fowler was very unhappy with it and felt that it was bad public relations. He felt it tells if we really want business in Troutdale.

Councilor Bui discussed the community block grant discussed by Wilder earlier by the CDBG to build a parkway in front of the new police station. Regrettably the kiosk and public restrooms and bus facility. It dealt with not only Troutdale citizens but people outside of the area they have turned it down. HUD says they don't want that kind of thing in the program. He didn't know how that issue will stand after further discussion.

**ITEM 12: ADJOURNMENT.**

Mayor Cox called this agenda item.

**MOTION: Councilor Schmunk moved to adjourn. Councilor Thompson seconded the motion.**

**YEAS:   5**

**NAYS:   0**

**ABSTAINED:   0**

Bui - Yea; Fowler - Yea; Schmunk - Yea; Thalsofer - Yea; Thompson - Yea

The meeting adjourned at 8:25 p.m., April 9, 1991.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Sam K. Cox, Mayor

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Valerie J. Raglione, CMC  
City Recorder

