

**MINUTES**  
**Troutdale City Council – Regular Meeting**  
**Troutdale City Hall – Council Chambers**  
**104 SE Kibling Avenue**  
**Troutdale, OR 97060-2099**

**Tuesday, November 10, 2009**

**1. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE, ROLL CALL, AGENDA UPDATE.**

Mayor Kight called the meeting to order at 7:00pm.

**PRESENT:** Mayor Kight, Councilor Thomas, Councilor White, Councilor Kyle, and Councilor Daoust.

**ABSENT:** Councilor Hartmann (excused) and Councilor Wand (excused).

**STAFF:** Dave Nelson, City Administrator; David Ross, City Attorney; Rich Faith, Community Development Director; Elizabeth McCallum, Senior Planner; Erich Mueller, Finance Director; and Debbie Stickney, City Recorder.

**GUESTS:** See Attached List.

**2. CONSENT AGENDA:**

**2.1 MOTION:** A motion to approve the Annual Performance Evaluation of the City Administrator.

**MOTION:** Councilor Thomas moved to adopt the consent agenda. Seconded by Councilor Kyle. Motion passed unanimously.

**3. PUBLIC COMMENT:**

Patricia Anderson, Wood Village Resident, stated I'm here tonight to represent the Urban and Rural Reserves Citizens Advisory Committee which has been meeting for the past year and a half. A number of people on the committee have spent hundreds of hours looking at sewer, water, transportation, land use, and farming. We've had reports from agriculture, trash collectors, and everyone. We've ridden our bikes, walked, and driven through this area and came to conclusions about some of the areas as to whether they should be retained as rural reserves. I know that there is some concern in Troutdale about the land south of the City. We are looking at the farm land and the need to protect that land. We are looking at infrastructure and the difficulty and expense of providing services to that area. Your interests were represented and taken into consideration. If you have any questions I'd be happy to answer them.

Councilor Thomas asked is there anything happening with our request for the acres to the south of us?

Patricia Anderson replied as far as I know your request is under consideration. I'm just here to try to explain how the decision about keeping urban and rural reserves was made.

The Council thanked Patricia Anderson.

John Wilson, Troutdale Resident, stated I'm here to speak about the Mayor's expenses. I'm in support of the redecoration of his office. As far as the lunches go, I feel that the organizations that the Mayor has met with meet the criteria for reimbursement and I also feel that he should carry his own credit card because those reimbursements or expenses should be kept separate from personal business. I think the policy for reimbursement for expenses needs to be reviewed and updated. I feel that the word stipend is the wrong word to use for what we reimburse the Mayor monthly for. It should be changed to honorarium to better reflect what that money is given towards. I feel that for the work shop the Mayor should have been consulted about his expenses. I've talked to him and he states that he was not. I think this could have been handled in a much more professional way. I support the Mayor and his expenses.

**4. REPORT: Multnomah County Alternative Community Service Program – Update on their project at the former sewage treatment plant site.**

Gerald Welch, Manager of Alternative Community Service in the Department of Community Justice, stated we're an alternative community service program working in the Department of Community Justice. We assist our public safety initiative by offering work to offenders. The clients are sentenced to community service and to volunteer to provide labor to the community which reduces jail beds and can also reduce their fines. Any reduction to jail beds is a reduction in a budget. If we can get them out of jail and working in the community, then we save money in public safety. They do in fact volunteer but that word is in quotes. When they are standing before the judge and are told to serve 30 days in jail or do 40 hours of community service, they volunteer for it but they don't quite understand that until they get to us. Our clients are supervised by highly skilled crew bosses. We are not only interested in the work but we're interested in these people changing their behavior and learning the value good labor. Our clients come to us from judges, probation officers, and we have a few diversion programs such as Community Core and Operation Clean Slate which are to divert clients completely out of the system before they cost taxpayers money. Alternative Community Service by Oregon Statute works for public non-profit agencies. We have a long community partnership with the Forest Service. If you hike on trails in the Gorge then you've hiked on a trail that we've either built or that we maintain. We continue to clean the camp grounds, the picnic areas and almost every trail in the Gorge. We also work for Oregon Food Bank in their sorting center. We work for State Parks at Rooster Rock. We have a contract with Portland Parks. If you need assistance as you develop parks, we hope to prove our work to you so we can solidify a relationship to help you get this stuff done. The Mayor and I met down at CROPS (County Community Reaps Our Produce & Shares). We are very proud of that project. People said nothing would grow there; we now are celebrating the food that we are contributing to Oregon Food Bank and

SnowCap. The work that we provide is general labor. We use hand tools, we don't use a lot of motorized tools. What we've done has been with hand saws, loppers, and backs and muscles. We have about 450 to 600 clients. We are specialist in noxious weeds and invasive plants. That's one of the reasons that we started talking because if you have blackberries and you want to eradicate them, we don't just cut them we dig them up by the roots. We do a lot of trail maintenance and we do a lot of general clean up. We do that for agencies that don't have a work force. We do an awful lot of leaf raking in downtown Portland which really makes the parks look good. We have 7 crews of 10 people raking leaves from 8am until about 3:30pm. We also do some painting but if you use our painting then you have to know that we aren't skilled labor. After the Mayor and I met at CROPS he took us to the site, showed us around and asked if we thought we could make it look better. I said absolutely, we do this at 1,000 Acres and we're doing it right now at Animal Control. If you want to see something really interesting, go to Animal Control. They hadn't cleared the site next to them for 30 years. We've been going over there and cleaning it. We found a Sequoia and a Pacific Yew in there which is going to be a park setting by the time we are done with it. As we get further I think we'll be talking about which direction you want us to go. We have been contributing about 1 day a week, sometimes 2, because we've been using your site as a fall back. If we get pushed out of another area because of rain or whatever, then we'll come over here and cut some blackberries.

Gerald Welch showed the Council some before and after pictures of the work they have done of the former sewage treatment plant site (not submitted into the record).

Mayor Kight stated you guys have done great work. It's really phenomenal what's been accomplished in a fairly short time. Ultimately we want these people to come back into society and not be re-offenders. We will be cutting blackberries for a long time. I can't thank you enough.

Councilor Thomas stated great job, thank you.

Councilor White stated that looks like a lot of hard work considering you do it by hand. I like that you call them clients. Good work.

Councilor Daoust stated I watched your crew one day. They were doing really good work. What do you do with all of the blackberries?

Gerald Welch replied they are sitting on the site and I believe we are going to ask for a fire permit and slowly start burning them. We don't have any way to get them out of there. I'd ask for you help and ideas.

Councilor Daoust stated in the winter time, on a burn day, I think that would be a great way to dispose of them. I work for the Forest Service and manage the Noxious Weed program for Oregon and Washington, so I'm familiar with the work that your crew is doing in the Gorge.

**5. PUBLIC HEARING / ORDINANCE (Introduced 10/27/09):** An ordinance amending Chapter 1 of the Troutdale Development Code pertaining to water quality and flood management definitions and Chapter. 4.600, Flood Management Area, (Text Amendment No. 43) for compliance with the National Flood Insurance Program, and adoption of new digitized Flood Insurance Rte Maps and Flood Insurance Study.

Mayor Kight stated at the previous meeting, myself and Councilor White acknowledged that we do live in an area that is affected by this.

David Ross, City Attorney, replied we also acknowledged that there was no conflict.

Mayor Kight opened the public hearing at 7:27pm.

Elizabeth McCallum, Senior Planner, stated the subject text amendment was introduced to you at your hearing on October 27<sup>th</sup>. There are no changes to the amendments that are brought forward to you. We have provided you this evening a clean copy so that the strike-outs, the bold text, and the margin notes have been removed. I want to mention that the orange memo that you have with the clean copy states that we noticed in the original redlined version brought before you two weeks ago that the numbers of the definitions in Chapter 1.65 through 1.75 were out of sequence and have been renumbered correctly. That is the extent of my presentation and I encourage you to look at Exhibit A which contains Exhibits A, B and C. Exhibit C is the redline version of Attachment A of the proposed ordinance.

Mayor Kight stated we've spent quite a bit of time on this issue. For the viewing audience, can you explain the importance of having this particular document in place?

Elizabeth McCallum replied the proposed text amendments pertain to Troutdale Development Code Chapter 1, definitions that pertain to Vegetation Corridor and Slope District, and Water Quality and Flood Management Definitions. The focus of these amendments are on the Flood Management definitions. The National Flood Insurance Program, which is administered by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), has specific definitions. The amendments in Chapter 1 address the wording so they are more in alignment with how FEMA defines them. The other chapter of the Development Code with amendments as part of this proposed ordinance is Chapter 4.600 which is the Flood Management Area Standards. As a participant in the National Flood Insurance Program, the City is required to adopt specific development standards that meet the implementing goals of the National Flood Insurance Program which is what these standards provide. We have had standards since we've entered the program in 1988. They were revised in October of 2000 and became effective in November 2000 as part of the Metro Title 3 program which pertains to Water Quality and Flood Management. The amendments before you are strictly housekeeping and amendments that FEMA and the State Department of Land Conservation and Development identified to me and were necessary to be in compliance with the flood insurance program.

Councilor Thomas asked if we adopt the ordinance then I'm assuming it includes this handout?

Elizabeth McCallum replied correct, it would include the clean version.

David Ross stated Attachment A to the ordinance was included as Exhibit C to the October 27<sup>th</sup> staff report which is also contained in your packet tonight.

Mayor Kight stated this is a very complex, very detailed document that you've been preparing and working on for several months. I want to thank you for the extensive work and time that you've put in on this project. This is very important so the folks living in the flood plain can have insurance in case there is a flooding event.

Councilor White stated I have a concern that I felt that this should be a Measure 56 notification to property owners based on the fact that this could affect the value of their property. I was wondering why that wasn't conducted. I know notification was sent out to interested property owners but I think it should have been a mandatory notification.

Rich Faith, Community Development Director, replied we did consider that but we looked at the amendments, particularly at the permitted uses which is really what Measure 56 is intended to address, whether or not you are changing the uses. When we looked at the statute that affects Measure 56 notification, which has been codified in ORS 227, it basically says if you are going to be rezoning the property then that could potentially trigger a Measure 56 notification. However there are some specific definitions that they give for rezoning. What it says is for purposes of this section "property is re-zoned within the City either a: changes the base zoning classification of the property, which we're not doing; or b: adopts or amends an ordinance in a manner that limits or prohibits land uses that were previously allowed in the affected zone. When we went through the changes line by line that were occurring in the permitted uses section, we concluded that we are not limiting or prohibiting land uses that were previously allowed. There are a lot of changes and new language but the bottom line is that it doesn't constitute a rezone under this definition and doesn't require a Measure 56 notification.

Councilor White asked is there a chance that someone who didn't previously have to purchase flood insurance may have to under this new ordinance?

Elizabeth McCallum replied there are no new areas mapped by FEMA as the Special Flood Hazard Area 100 Year Flood Plain. It may seem like there's new areas mapped but there technically are no new areas mapped in the City of Troutdale.

Councilor White stated my concern was from the letter that we received from Peter Matske and that's why I thought it was worth bringing up.

Mayor Kight asked are there any public comments at this time?

There were none.

Mayor Kight closed the public hearing at 7:36pm.

**MOTION:** Councilor Daoust moved to adopt an Ordinance amending Chapter 1 of the Troutdale Development Code pertaining to water quality and flood management definitions and Chapter 4.600, Flood Management Area, (Text Amendment No. 43) for compliance with the National Flood Insurance Program and adoption of new digitized Flood Insurance Rate Maps and Flood Insurance Study. Seconded by Councilor Kyle.

**VOTE:** Councilor Thomas – Yes; Mayor Kight – Yes; Councilor White – Yes; Councilor Kyle – Yes, and Councilor Daoust - Yes.

**Motion Passed 5 - 0.**

**6. DISCUSSION:** A discussion about vacating a portion of a public accessway in CP Park.

Rich Faith, Community Development Director, reviewed his staff report (a copy of the staff report is included in the meeting packet).

Mayor Kight asked the developer purchased this property and at no cost to the City, we acquired it?

Rich Faith replied the developer purchased a larger piece of property which he subdivided and then out of that this mini park was carved out. To clarify, C.P. Park as it exists today is more than just tract A that was shown in the original plat. It also includes lot 5. So C.P. Park in its present form is a combination of tract A and lot 5. The area that we're talking about for vacation is a portion of tract A.

Mayor Kight stated my point is that the City didn't acquire the property by purchasing it as a condition of development.

Rich Faith replied that is correct.

Mayor Kight asked did the City invest money by putting in the pathway or was that done by the developer?

Rich Faith replied that's an excellent question and I'm not certain of the answer.

Mayor Kight asked is there another access point to this particular commercial development?

Rich Faith replied yes in terms of pedestrian ways, there is another one. If you look at Exhibit A, you'll see at the bottom of the right side is Charity Court. There is a similar pedestrian way which is currently in use.

Mayor Kight asked did they experience the same kinds of issues and problems?

Rich Faith replied we haven't gotten complaints about that.

Dave Nelson, City Administrator, stated it may have something to do with the relationship with the park and that pathway. The other walkway doesn't have direct park access.

Mayor Kight asked is it 840 square feet?

Rich Faith replied yes, it's a 14 by 60 foot piece of property.

Mayor Kight asked do we have any idea of the value of this property per square foot?

Rich Faith replied I have no idea.

Mayor Kight asked would the property owner to the east be willing to open it up, remove his fence, so that he could have access to the property? Otherwise if you leave it blocked off at both ends and he acquired the property through vacation then we essentially have the same tunnel for the miscreants to go in and do all kinds of activity. Could we make that a condition of acquiring that property?

Rich Faith replied I'm certain we could write that into any kind of purchase agreement. I'm sure that would be his intent, to seal that off permanently. I believe he'd want to put a full wooden fence across there. Whether or not he wants to remove the fence that is currently adjacent to this, I don't know. It would be logical that he would.

Mayor Kight asked what about the fence that's adjoining the property by Albertson's? I would assume that would remain in place.

Rich Faith replied I think he would extend his southern fence line to actually close that off. Then his fence would intersect with Mr. Ormond's fence on the west side.

Councilor Thomas stated I've had several opportunities to talk with Mr. McWilliams about this property and his intent was to incorporate that entire piece of property in his back yard and remove the cement. Also to close it off because the challenge right now is that it's just a garbage collection among other things.

Councilor White stated I'm sympathetic to the property owners. My only worry is that I've heard the same complaint from other parts of the City wherever there's a trail that abuts private property. I'm worried that we're setting a precedent here. Is there any risk there?

David Ross, City Attorney, replied I guess there's always a risk that someone would say if you're doing it for him then you should do it for me. But circumstances are always different. I'm not aware of any other circumstances where this has occurred. I'm told that this has been a persistent complaint at this location.

Mayor Kight asked what have other municipalities done so they don't invite vandalism and other behavior that's inappropriate? Is there a type of fencing, is there other things that have been tried other than doing vacation?

Rich Faith replied when this first came up there were several ideas put forward as alternatives to closing it off. There was clearing out some of the vegetation and trimming things up which we did so it was more visible from the street. The other idea was to add additional lighting to brighten it up and act as a deterrent. That was not acted upon. After cleaning it up we heard from some of these folks that it didn't seem to be taking care of the problem and that is when the Council directed us to close it off. One of the things that I think has been a contributing factor to the problem here is that when this was originally conceived as a connection between the park and the property to the south, I think the hope and desire was that however that piece of commercial property developed that it could be somehow integrated and provide for a good flow of traffic between the two sites. Unfortunately we didn't get what we were hoping for. But given the foot print and the size of the building the best that we could get is that this walk way took you to the back side of the Albertson's store. Even though the developer was willing to put a metal arch to frame the walk way so people would use it, it still wasn't an ideal situation because it's tucked behind the Albertson's store.

Councilor Kyle asked how long has this trail been blocked off?

Rich Faith replied since November 2003.

Councilor Kyle asked have the neighbors or anyone complained because they can't use that access?

Rich Faith replied I have not personally heard that. I can only give you second hand information that our prior Parks Supervisor said that he had heard from a couple of people that were disappointed that they could no longer use that. That is purely anecdotal because I didn't hear that but its information that was shared with me.

Councilor Daoust stated I used to live near C.P. Park and we used that trail a lot before it was closed off. It did get a lot of use from that entire neighborhood. Now because it's closed off, people are forced to either go south to the other trail or walk up along 257<sup>th</sup>. I don't know why this particular trail has such a problem because it's certainly not unique. There are other trails similar to it in the City.



Rich Faith replied a good example is the one that is behind the new Thriftway. There is a pedestrian connection between 34<sup>th</sup> Court and the back side of that commercial development. I don't think we get complaints about that.

Councilor Thomas stated you may recall that they were using 28<sup>th</sup> Street as their staging for the vehicles. They would leave the store, run down the trail, and jump into the car and go.

**MOTION: Councilor Thomas moved that the City initiate the vacation proceedings for this property. Seconded by Councilor Kyle.**

**VOTE: Councilor Thomas – Yes; Mayor Kight – Yes; Councilor White – Yes; Councilor Kyle – Yes, and Councilor Daoust - Yes.**

**Motion Passed 5 - 0.**

Mayor Kight asked will this be coming back?

Rich Faith replied yes we will begin the paperwork. The first thing that will have to be done is this will have to be scheduled to go to the Planning Commission.

Mayor Kight asked how many neighbors will you be notifying about this?

Rich Faith replied I believe that we are required to give notice to owners of the affected property. The affected property would be the lots abutting the area that's proposed to be vacated. We can certainly expand that if you think you would want others to be informed of that but at this point, the only ones we're required to notify are the abutting property owners.

Mayor Kight stated we've gotten ourselves in trouble in the past where people haven't been notified. The difference in this particular vacation is that the property has been fenced off for 6 years. But maybe at some point some of the neighbors expected that the fence would be removed. What's the pleasure of the rest of the Council?

Councilor Thomas stated I think the 2 property owners that we are required to notify would be enough. Otherwise, I wouldn't go more than 250 feet.

Councilor White stated I agree with that.

Councilor Kyle stated I would be more inclined to expand it to a larger area. There may be people in that area that would like to sound off at the Planning Commission about the vacation.

Councilor Daoust stated I think the farthest I would recommend going would be to the houses on SW Faith Court and those along SW 28<sup>th</sup> Street immediately across from the park.

Mayor Kight asked what about Hope Court?

Councilor Thomas stated if you do that then you are essentially within that 250 feet.

Mayor Kight asked is that right Mr. Faith? I'm guessing on Hope Court that would be lots 1 through 5, lots 14 through 17 on 28<sup>th</sup> Street, and lots 1 through 4 on SW Faith Court.

Rich Faith replied which is actually a lot more than that because that's been replatted. Exhibit B will show you that there are now 9 lots.

Mayor Kight stated I'd rather air on the side of notifying people as opposed to not notifying people and then having them find out later on and come back to the Council saying they were hoping the trail would be reopened.

**Rich Faith stated so for notification purposed we would provide notice to lots 14, 15, 16 & 17 of block 3 of C.P. Park which are those that are to the north of the park. Then all of the lots within block 4 which are those that surround Faith Court and are adjacent to the park. Then lots 1, 3 and 4 of block 5 and those are the properties that are immediately adjacent on the west side of the walk way.**

**Mayor Kight replied I would do lots 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5. I would do that whole cul-de-sac including 6 and 7.**

**Rich Faith asked all of the lots that have access off of Hope Court?**

**Mayor Kight replied yes.**

**Rich Faith stated so you are saying lots 1 through 7 of block 5.**

**The Council agreed.**

## **7. STAFF COMMUNICATIONS**

Dave Nelson, City Administrator, stated I believe that Mr. Warren the Public Works Director gave you copies of a draft Emergency Management Plan via e-mail. If you could please read that and provide any comments that you may have to Mr. Warren or myself. He is working on this draft along with our neighboring jurisdictions and he needs comments as soon as possible if you have any. You will be getting a final draft at probably the December 8<sup>th</sup> City Council meeting for review and adoption.

Mayor Kight asked what is the deadline on that?

Dave Nelson replied how about by Monday morning. The next issue is that the Public Works Department is working on doing some temporary relocation of the Tri-Met bus stops along Columbia River Highway because of the construction of the arch. We're

running into a bit of a struggle about relocating those temporary stops and we wanted to get some input about where you may or may not want those. One of the issues along Columbia River Highway and Dora is that it's blocking the view as you come down Dora and try to turn left onto Columbia River Highway. They have to relocate the stop at Plaid Pantry due to the construction. The bus layover site will temporarily be relocated from 2<sup>nd</sup> Street to Glenn Otto Park. Any input on where you'd like the temporary bus stops along Columbia River Highway.

David Ross asked what about the Handy gas station?

Dave Nelson replied that could replace the one near Plaid Pantry.

Mayor Kight asked how many stops are we looking at?

Dave Nelson replied I think they are looking at 2.

Councilor Daoust asked for how long?

Dave Nelson replied through about March.

Councilor Thomas asked would that be 1 stop on each side of the road?

David Ross replied I think it was 2 eastbound and 1 westbound.

Mayor Kight stated no matter what decision we give you tonight it's somewhat problematic. The Handy gas station has driveway entrances. If you get a couple of busses stacked up there's no way people can get in those. Sometimes there are 2 stacked up there. I think we've all seen it happen where there's more than 1 bus.

Dave Nelson replied not that often but it has happened. We're trying to think of a place that would work along the downtown corridor.

Mayor Kight asked how about right in front of Mayor's Square?

Dave Nelson replied that is the one we want to avoid because you can't see to get out of there. There could be a stop in front of the Discovery Block.

The Council agreed that one stop should be at the Discovery Block in front of the mural.

Mayor Kight asked for the second what about the spot in front of Handy's?

Dave Nelson replied I will contact the Handy's and ask if there's a good spot along there. We will work with those 2 locations.

Mayor Kight stated the default would be in front of River Trail's.

Councilor Daoust asked what's going on with the Glenn Otto layover site?

Dave Nelson replied it's been identified as a temporary layover site. There's still some discussions as to whether it would be a long term solution. We're still working with Tri-Met on whether it would be a long term or permanent location. It will probably come back before you sometime between now and March.

Councilor Thomas asked for the westbound stop what about somewhere around the 10 minute parking? It would be almost across the street. That area is mostly clear since you can only park there for 10 minutes.

Dave Nelson replied I will pass those locations on to Mr. Hultin.

Rich Faith, Community Development Director, stated something just occurred to me regarding the C.P. Park vacation. We did not discuss the commercial property to the south. Do you want them included in the notification?

The Council agreed.

## **8. COUNCIL COMMUNICATIONS**

Councilor Thomas stated with tomorrow being Veteran's Day, hopefully we will all take the time to thank those that have served in the past to keep us where we are today. For those of us that are Veteran's, thank you very much for the service that you've performed. Also keep in mind those that are currently serving, especially those in harm's way.

Mayor Kight stated yes we want to honor those that have served and are currently serving. The largest deployment of the Oregon Army National Guard since World War II is now deployed in Iraq and they are expected to return in April. There is another deployment that they are considering to go into Afghanistan. There is a candle light vigil that the City of Gresham is having at their Veteran Memorial Park on Powell Boulevard tomorrow night at 6:30. If you have time you might stop by to honor those folks and pray for our soldiers that are in active duty. Mt. Hood Community College is hosting College Night in Oregon, a free event to assist high school students in choosing a college and applying for financial aid for scholarships. This event will be held in every district in the state and at several community colleges throughout Oregon. Seminars offered will include choosing the right college, understanding the financial aid process, scholarship searches and scams, and completing and filing the FAFSA. In addition, 4 \$500 scholarships will be given away. The event will include free pizza and prizes. College Night in Oregon is taking place Monday, November 23<sup>rd</sup> from 6:30pm to 9:00pm in the Mt. Hood Community College Vista Dining Room. Another thing I wanted to bring to the attention of City Council is the new Transmission Line that will originate out of Castle Rock, Washington and will be crossing the Columbia River with the new 500 kilowatt substation in Troutdale to the Troutdale Reynolds Industrial Park (TRIP) property. It will be a near image of what we currently have in Troutdale. That will provide some jobs but a majority of the money will go toward property acquisition. This

is a two and a half year process before they start construction. Once they start construction, it is estimated to take 3 years. An update on the Centennial Arch is that all of the paperwork is done at this point and their expectation is that they'd start construction no later than December 7<sup>th</sup>.

Councilor Thomas stated I received a letter from the Mt. Hood Community College President John "Ski" asking me to be a part of the budgetary committee that they are setting up. It's a newly formed financial advisory committee. I didn't know if any other Councilors received that but I thought seriously about becoming part of that committee and wanted the Council's thought's were on that.

Mayor Kight replied I will let you know on that. I will call you tomorrow.

Councilor Daoust stated the City Council will be sending out Christmas cards this year. It's expected that we all sign them. Mayor Kight has already signed them, I will take them home and sign them and you will each have a turn at signing them.

Dave Nelson stated just a quick reminder that there will not be a Council meeting on November 24<sup>th</sup>. We have an Urban Renewal Agency meeting next week.

## **9. ADJOURNMENT**

**MOTION: Councilor Daoust moved to adjourn. Seconded by Councilor Thomas. Motion passed unanimously.**

Meeting adjourned at 8:24pm.

**Jim Kight, Mayor**

**Approved January 12, 2010**

**ATTEST:**

**Sarah Skroch, Deputy City Recorder**