

MINUTES
Troutdale City Council – Regular Meeting
Troutdale City Hall – Council Chambers
219 E. Historic Columbia River Hwy.
Troutdale, OR 97060-2078

Tuesday, October 14, 2014

1. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE, ROLL CALL, AGENDA UPDATE.

Mayor Daoust called the meeting to order at 7:00pm.

PRESENT: Mayor Daoust, Councilor Ripma, Councilor Thomas (via phone), Councilor White, Councilor Allen, and Councilor Wilson.

ABSENT: Councilor Anderson (excused)

STAFF: Craig Ward, City Manager; Ed Trumpke, City Attorney; Scott Anderson, Chief of Police; and Sarah Skroch, Deputy City Recorder.

GUESTS: See Attached List.

Mayor Daoust asked is there an agenda update?

Craig Ward replied there are no amendments to the published agenda.

Councilor Ripma stated I'd like to ask a question about the agenda. Agenda Item 5 is a presentation and discussion about the Springwater Area and proclamation but we only have the proclamation. This is a very important issue that the Council has never discussed. Are we going to have a discussion about this before the proclamation?

Mayor Daoust replied that's exactly why I changed it from just a proclamation, which I have the authority to make as a Mayor, to a discussion so we could discuss it tonight.

Councilor White stated I share Councilor Ripma's concern because I tried to get the minutes from the September 10th Parks Advisory Committee Meeting but they haven't been approved yet because there isn't normally such a rush. I would be in favor of postponing this.

Mayor Daoust stated the discussion did come up but I disagreed to postpone it. This is non-binding. All this does is set the stage for future discussion where those kinds of things can be brought up at a future work session. I'd like to continue this when we get to Agenda Item 5.

2. OATH OF OFFICE: Haley Sapp, Reserve Police Officer

Mayor Daoust administered the Oath of Office to Reserve Officer Haley Sapp.

Chief Scott Anderson stated we have an amazing young lady among us tonight. I'm so proud of her. She was #1 out of 54 candidates which is an amazing feat but if you looked at her background you wouldn't be surprised. Haley comes to us with quite an academic record. She is in the 4th week at the Clackamas County Reserve Academy which will be complete in February 2015. Haley graduated co-valedictorian at Sandy High School in 2009 which included a lot of classes that were college level. She also has an Associate's Degree from Mt. Hood Community College and if you talk to her about her academic success she will be quick to point out with all humble attitude that she had to struggle in her senior year to make sure that she didn't get a B. She got straight A's throughout her whole high school career and had over a 3.5 GPA in college. She volunteered at church and vacation bible school for many years. She enjoys running with her boyfriend and has run many races including 2 half marathons and the Portland Marathon in 2012. She's been training in Martial Arts and closing in on her brown belt. She has quite a bit of success already and I'm very proud of her. She also knows that this community holds high standards for police officers. She understands that performance and conduct expectations are quite high because that's what our community deserves. My job is to keep her as safe as I can and I take that very seriously. I'm going to do that with policy, training, and the best equipment we can buy. She will have the support of the entire staff at the police department, she'll have the support of our City Council, and our other staff members. We really appreciate you for supporting her because now she really needs it when things get tougher. Eventually she will be out on the streets with a coach and she's going to find out what life as a police officer is really like. We're glad to have her because I know she'll be one of the best in judging situations and making those assessments and providing our community with the safety they deserve. Please help me congratulate Haley Sapp.

3. CONSENT AGENDA:

3.1 ACCEPT MINUTES: September 9, 2014 Regular Meeting, September 9, 2014 Work Session, September 23, 2014 Regular Meeting and September 30, 2014 Special Meeting.

MOTION: Councilor Ripma moved to adopt the consent agenda. Seconded by Councilor Wilson. The motion passed unanimously.

4. PUBLIC COMMENT: Public comment is limited to comments on non-agenda items.

Lynne Walker, Troutdale Resident, came before the City Council with some concerns. She read a letter to the Council as well as showed pictures, all of which are attached as Exhibit A to the minutes.

Sam Barnett, Troutdale Resident, came before the City Council to support re-election of Councilor Ripma and Councilor Allen.

5 PRESENTATION/DISCUSSION: The Springwater Area Proclamation.

Mayor Daoust stated we started to talk about this earlier. This is a non-binding proclamation that I could have simply just signed but I personally opened the door for

discussion so we could discuss it tonight. I would like to have Cathy go through her presentation to explain what this is and then answer questions from the Council. I don't want to get into any long discussion where we bring out the pros and cons of a parks district.

Cathy Sherick, Springwater Parks & Community, stated I've been living and working in East County for over 20 years. Here with me tonight is Dave Becker who is on the Troutdale Parks Advisory Committee and Michelle Brouse Peoples who has worked with me for a number of years and lives in Gresham. The Troutdale Parks Advisory Committee has seen this proclamation and voted at their September meeting to unanimously approve it and move it forward. We're here tonight to introduce the Springwater concepts and that's all that they are is concepts in this non-binding proclamation. This proclamation was borrowed from the statewide proclamation for parks and natural areas that was done by Governor Kitzhaber. It's aligned with the values expressed in the Parks Master Planning document. Dave and I were in Fairview earlier and their advisory committee is taking a look at it and then it'll go to their council. We plan to do the same thing with Wood Village and Gresham. We are a group of inter-local citizen volunteers who've been engaged in regional parks and natural areas issues and discussions about a district for nearly 20 years. Recent meetings have included Dave as a Troutdale Parks Advisory Member as well as Parks and Recreation staff from Troutdale. We are here to invite the citizens, the Council members, and anyone that would like to be at the table to join us in these discussions about the concept for the Springwater Area. Why are we doing a proclamation? Because it sets the stage for this larger discussion. A district cannot happen without the involvement of elected officials and the governments of the cities. Obstructing the conversation and saying no to a district is not how democracy actually works. We have to start somewhere and the Springwater area is somewhere. It has to be a conversation between leadership and constituents and it has to begin with our values. The proclamation is about our values. What is needed for our community to attract business, eco-tourism, and green jobs? How is recreation, community events, and the opportunity to get outdoors to support health and well-being as citizens going to happen? What in the end will protect our way of life, sustain our natural resources, and our parks and community livability? We can't have the discussion about that district without a recognition of those values and those values are stated in the proclamation. We are only here to have the proclamation establish the basis for the discussion. I'm happy to answer any questions.

Dave Becker, Parks Advisory Committee, stated I think this is a great idea.

Mayor Daoust asked could you describe the area involved that the name Springwater would cover?

Cathy Sherick replied this is a name that we assigned to the area to describe it so that we would have something for people to hang on to. The area would be from 162nd Avenue from the river to the north, to the school district lines for Centennial/Gresham/Barlow to the south, and potentially the Sandy River to the east.

Councilor Ripma asked are you proposing a separate taxing district with its own governance for the area you just outlined to levy taxes to the citizens who reside in that district, which you are proposing would include the citizens of Troutdale, who have funded our parks well, and also include the citizens of Gresham, who have not? You speak of democracy but in a district like you just outlined, if every citizen in town voted against it we could still be forced into it if we were out voted by the other cities who have not adequately funded their parks. Do you have an answer for that? What do we in Troutdale get but more taxes.

Cathy Sherick replied I'm not proposing anything but a discussion about it.

Councilor Ripma stated a proclamation of its beauty and desirability is a statement in favor of it by this Council, binding or not and I object.

Mayor Daoust replied this isn't binding. I could have read it, signed it, and we'd be on our way but I decided not to. I didn't want to have campaign speeches here. All the proclamation does is say we're a part of this bigger area, these volunteers that are willing to do some due diligence and look into options for everybody about how we could fund and sustain our parks. That's all they're doing.

Councilor Ripma stated this is something we should discuss.

Mayor Daoust replied we will later, this sets the stage for a future work session where we discuss those options. For people that want due diligence, to hear all the options, to make better decisions for the future, all this does is gather more information. The thing that raises my voice is if you look at the alternative for Troutdale just maintaining our parks by ourselves, I think I can safely sit here and say it's unsustainable. All you have to do is look at the budget graphs that go down. Can Troutdale sustain our parks system into the future? I would say no we cannot.

Councilor Ripma stated I want us as a council to decide whether it's even a good idea to go forward with it. The deficit in parks is not in Troutdale, it's in other cities. This is going to levy taxes onto Troutdale citizens who've already paid for adequate parks. It's putting the cart before the horse. We shouldn't proclaim its beauty in Troutdale for our citizens before we have a chance to even talk about it. Ed, can you confirm that this isn't binding?

Ed Trompke replied it's not binding.

Councilor Wilson stated we've voted as a group not to raise our taxes on our citizens through our utility bills to help take care of our parks, we've resisted that by trying to find different ways. What I don't understand is why there has to be a proclamation for them to move forward. This is the first that I've formally heard about this. I feel that before we lend our support that they ought to come to us with how it's going to benefit our citizens. If it's going to cost our citizens \$8.50 a month to maintain the parks then I might as well tax my own city through the utility bills and take care of my own parks.

Cathy Sherick replied that comment about the cart before the horse, the proclamation is only to establish the values of the future discussion that yes indeed these values are what the Council shares with the larger region. What we do want is the agreement on the values. The values that the governor put forth in July about our regional statewide parks and green spaces and our environmental protections. It's about the values that have been part of the Oregon Values and Beliefs Survey, it's about the values that we hold in the state. It really is the basis for future discussion. It's not about taxes, it's not about a district, it's about the Springwater Area and the environmental values of that area.

Councilor Wilson stated your idea is to have a self-taxing district when it gets to the very end.

Michele Brouse Peoples replied we don't know that yet. This is an acknowledgement that there is a need. It's nothing more than that. Other than passionate volunteers wanting to keep a quality of life and values that you have before you on that proclamation. We would not propose how to fund it. We are requesting help with a solution.

Councilor Wilson stated the public campaign information that was on your website says "implement a highly effective and large grass roots campaign/organizing effort; voter information; media, education and outreach; and new media: Facebook, Twitter". Where is all of this stemming from?

Cathy Sherick replied the goal would be to go to voters.

Councilor Wilson asked to form this district?

Cathy Sherick replied we don't know if that's what we're really going to go to the voters with.

Mayor Daoust stated you could go to the voters with other funding solutions. There may be some other funding solutions that do not require a property tax and there could be funding solutions that could bring more dollars into Troutdale. I'm not trying to bring out all the pro's and con's now because now is not the time. We're not necessarily keyed into a property tax, but it could end up there for a public vote. Let the public vote and tell us whether they want parks that are at a higher standard than they are now. We may not be talking about a property tax, it could be a 5% tax on a can of pop or some other funding mechanism where we gain more money on top of the budget we already put into parks so that we can do more work in Troutdale. If we didn't see that end result then it would probably be the end of the discussion.

Councilor Ripma stated I would feel more comfortable waiting until we know much more about this before proclaiming its virtue and wisdom. It might turn out that it isn't a good deal for Troutdale but it might be for Gresham. Our people feel very taxed right now.

Councilor White stated Councilor Ripma brings up a very valid point, if this makes it to a ballot we could very easily be outvoted. We're not against parks. If you look at Troutdale as a region, we're blessed with natural features that are worth protecting within our

boundaries. I don't want to be told what artwork is going into our parks, if we can or can't smoke in our parks, if there will be cameras in the parks, or if there will be fees in the parks. You lose your voice when you become part of a district.

Mayor Daoust stated this is just due diligence to start a study. Usually this Council does not get in the way of due diligence, usually we strive for more information before we make a decision.

Councilor Ripma replied if this said just that, but it doesn't. If it was a joint development study agreement with various cities in that area I'd be all of it, that's due diligence. But this is a proclamation before it's even started to lead to a district concept. I acknowledge your ability to make the proclamation, you're not asking for the Council's vote on it so I can't stop you but I am advising that there are people in Troutdale who would not see this as a wise thing to do and I think we ought to be more cautious.

Ed Trompke stated this is a proclamation by the Mayor. The bottom paragraph is what is enforced which is: I, Doug Daoust, Mayor want to recognize the Springwater Area and its regional environmental designation in support of volunteer efforts to protect our way of life through stewardship of natural resources, support citizen health and wellbeing, healthy outdoor activities and the growth of our local economy. That part of it doesn't say a lot other than set the stage for later discussions. The whereas clauses are just findings that in the Mayors personal opinion support what he wants to do as the proclamation. They're really not binding. The proclamation itself isn't binding on the City and probably isn't even binding on the Mayor if he wanted to vote against doing something later on at a future meeting. It really is a moral support issue. The deeper thing that I was pondering is that recently the Council passed a strong home rule resolution and that pulls power back from other local governments who's rules and regulations wouldn't be enforceable in the City without consent. More than that there are two 1970's cases about two governments providing the same services in the same physical area. Twice the Oregon Supreme Court has said it's not supposed to happen because you're not supposed to have two sets of taxes for the same purpose. I'm not sure whether or not these folks have talked to an attorney about this or not because I'm not sure that they can form a district that includes city land within its boundaries without the city's consent.

Cathy Sherick replied we're very well aware that it will take the cooperation and work with the elected bodies of all 4 Cities and that is why we started with the values that everyone shares in all of these communities.

Councilor Allen stated we have a large investment in our parks and they are very important to us. We want to have a say in our own parks.

Mayor Daoust replied we're not saying that.

Councilor Allen stated if a district goes through we'd probably have a minority say.

Councilor Wilson stated as we get farther along, if Troutdale decides to opt out of the district, then we can. Is that correct?

Cathy Sherick replied as far as I know.

Councilor Ripma stated we could always opt out but the costs to do that are phenomenal. We'd find it prohibitively expensive and a bad deal to get out of. The time to make the decision correctly is now and due diligence is required now.

Mayor Daoust stated this is the first time that I've heard this council cut short a discussion.

Councilor Ripma replied I want a longer discussion. Let's do a work session after the election.

Mayor Daoust stated I fully realize that there are pros and cons about this. I fully realize that there are citizens in Troutdale that would not agree with this. That doesn't mean you cut short the discussion because you know those things. We make decisions on this Council with due diligence and do enough study where we can make a decision later. That's the way we normally work and I'm not hearing that tonight. I don't know that we're even ready for a work session. If we wanted to have a work session in the middle of December to discuss this further would we have any more information to be more productive than we are tonight?

Cathy Sherick replied to answer your question, no we're not going to be any more ready for a work session in December than we are tonight. We're working on trying to get elected officials in this region to agree to a set of values. We're starting the conversation based on our values and that's my understanding of how we do it in Oregon. The information sheet that's been referenced is from the webpage that we started. It's incomplete, it doesn't have all the information on it, and again it's only trying to provide some context to give people an idea of what we're trying to discuss. All of these discussions require a lot more time and energy of a lot more people. I'm hoping by doing this proclamation we can make that invitation to everyone to come to the table to have the discussion. We're just here tonight to talk about the proclamation and we want to take a very similar proclamation to Fairview, Wood Village, and Gresham. We want to have everyone agreeing to a values set. Hopefully then we can move the discussion into the next phase. Everyone could agree to this and it could die.

Councilor White stated typically something like this would start at Council and we'd refer it to the Parks Advisory Committee or the Citizens Advisory Committee for review. I think we should review the minutes for these discussions and maybe review this with the Citizens Advisory Committee. Then let's look at reading this proclamation.

Mayor Daoust stated we did send this to the Parks Advisory Committee.

Councilor White replied we didn't refer it to them.

Mayor Daoust asked did the Parks Advisory Committee take up this subject without us telling them to?

Craig Ward replied it's my understanding that this group approached the Parks Advisory Committee directly and asked to be put on the agenda and that request was honored.

Councilor Allen stated I wanted to point out that this was a campaign issues in 2010, 2012, now again in 2014, and it sounds like it will be again in 2016. This is not a new issue. It's been repackaged as "for the kids". Troutdale has a recreation program and if you want to help families, don't increase their financial stress by taxing them more. If you look at this Council, we're supportive of the parks but we're supportive of the investment, time, and energy that we're putting into our own parks. We don't want to give that up. That's why we're not wanting to make a statement that may be seen as wanting to move forward.

Mayor Daoust stated we all love our parks and that's exactly why this would be a great idea. Three years from now we're going to be laying off parks staff I guarantee it because our budgets are going down.

Councilor Thomas stated I don't know that a proclamation is necessary to continue with due diligence and moving forward.

Mayor Daoust called for a 10 minute break at 8:15pm and reconvened the meeting at 8:26pm.

6 DISCUSSION: Local Public Safety Coordinating Council Justice Reinvestment Report.

Chief Anderson stated it's my privilege to introduce a portion of the Local Public Safety Coordinating Council (LPSCC) for Multnomah County who are here this evening to present to you the Justice Reinvestment briefing. In attendance tonight are Nan Waller, Multnomah County Circuit Court Judge; Suzanne Hayden, Executive Director of the Citizens Crime Commission and the Chair of the Justice Reinvestment Steering Committee; Abbey Stamp, Executive Director of Multnomah County LPSCC; Linda Yankee, Chief Deputy Multnomah County Sheriff's Office; Lily Yamamoto, Justice Reinvestment Project Manager; and David Main, from the Gresham Office of Multnomah County Probation. I'm going to turn this over to Abbey and Suzanne to make the presentation.

Abbey Stamp stated Chief Anderson has passed out a copy of a PowerPoint presentation. This is a presentation that we've given to Portland City Council, Multnomah County Board of Commissioners, and Gresham City Council. Our intent is to make sure that everyone in the entire County has a good understanding of what we're doing in the public safety sector around House Bill (HB) 3194 which passed last session which is around Justice Reinvestment. We'll do a brief overview of what that bill is and how our work through LPSCC and the Steering Committee that Suzanne chairs has rolled out so far to date.

Abbey Stamp and Suzanne Hayden reviewed the PowerPoint presentation which is attached as Exhibit B to the minutes.

Abbey Stamp stated we have a team of data analysts that provide a monthly report on who's eligible, who's in the program, what we'll be able to track, what kind of services people need, and what types of services are needed that we don't have yet. We're collecting all of this information and we're hoping it will help craft and form the next biennium because they don't know yet how much money we'll be eligible to apply for through the State because the prison forecast isn't out just yet. It will help us understand Multnomah County's needs and how to continue to grow and beef up this program.

Judge Nan Waller stated I'm the presiding Judge in Multnomah County. I want to tell you that the collaborative that we've been involved in that has gotten us this far has truly been remarkable. For the past 18 months at 7:00am every Friday we've had major public safety assistance players coming together. The reason that's important to know is that in order for this to work we have to get buy in from all parts of the public safety system. The reason that we've done that is because we all understand the responsibility that we've each had in keeping the public safe and in making sure that when we are using our resources, whether it's a prison bed or its services in the community or a probation officer, that we are doing so in a way that will accomplish that goal for both the short term and long term. For the long term, we know that we'll have people back in our communities and when they come back to our communities then we want them to do well. We want them to become productive, take care of their families, pay child support if they're not parenting, and we want them to be employed. From a judicial perspective when doing settlement conferences or sentencing an individual there's nothing more frustrating than to have a criminal case coming in front of you and for the negotiation to have been done, and when you say can you tell me something about this person and the response is if you would just follow the deal. Sometimes I'll just turn to the defendant ask them what would you like to tell me about yourself because I know in that moment in time when I have a person in front of me is the opportunity to make a difference. To have them understand the importance of what we're doing and that there are going to be consequences if they fail to follow through on court orders. If they do follow through on court orders then they have a possibility of having a life that is more successful than what they've been living. What this project has done is provide judges with better upfront information about an individual. It provides an opportunity for a judge to sit down with the district attorney, the defense attorney, the probation officer, and the defendant and have a discussion about what are the things if we are going to keep this person safely in the community, what needs to be done, what services do they need such as drug and alcohol treatment, and how quickly can we get them into treatment. Not that yes they need treatment and we hope they can find it out in the community. Instead when we do a sentencing we're going to have the probation officer at the sentencing for people who are out of custody and will be taking that person by the hand and moving them to the next step. Why is that important? Because we know that getting people on track quickly, having enough intensive supervision when they first come out of custody and making sure that the services they are in are the right services. That's being a good steward of public resources. We're not simply hoping that we have them in the right services, we're making decisions that are informed by the assessment and work done by the defense lawyer and the district attorney so we are really targeting those people with the right services in place can safely stay in the community, intensely monitor for the first 120 days, and then hopefully we'll be able to move them to a less intense level of supervision not the people

who should go to prison because it's not safe. We've just started with our first judicial sentencing conferences over the past month. So far, the judges that have been involved have been very pleased with the fact that they're getting better information, able to make sentencing decisions, and help people through the process in a way that we believe is going to be successful in keeping them on track.

Linda Yankee stated the Sheriff's Office plays 2 roles in this process. As you've been hearing from my partners about informed decision making and having all the information in front of the judge when they do sentencing, the way we make sure that happens is we have dedicated resources within the jails so that when the defense attorney comes in to see their client or when their probation officer comes in to conduct various assessments on the individual to determine what needs that they have as far as housing, treatment, and any other needs, it's our job to make sure that we facilitate those visits with the inmate as soon as possible so that we aren't using up resources from public defenders and our probation officers waiting to see that inmate. The other piece that the Sheriff's Office and local police agencies are partaking in is that when the offenders are in the community, if they violate their probation their probation officer has a plethora of enforcement agencies working together to work quickly to go pick that person up and bring them back into jail.

Councilor Allen asked do you know how much it costs to incarcerate a year of prison for the type of person that this program would be for?

Judge Waller replied I believe it's around \$30,000.

Councilor Allen stated I believe I heard that you'd be checking to make sure the program is working?

Judge Waller replied yes absolutely. We have a tremendous data team that has come together from all of these public safety entities. A tremendous hurdle that I think few communities have done at this level of sophistication of really looking at the data so that we're all going to be confident when we're saying this is what's happening with the people going through this program.

Councilor Allen stated this sounds really great. What most people care about are that they don't become a victim of crime again. It sounds like you're looking at reducing costs and being more effective and that meets the bottom line. I appreciate the work you are doing.

Judge Waller stated the other thing you should be aware of is HB 3194 also would have a dedicated amount of money that will go to victim's services so we're really keeping a balance.

Councilor Wilson asked generally how long is the assessment period and what kind of costs are you looking at?

Judge Waller replied we've set some pretty firm timelines because we want to keep everything moving so we aren't having people sitting in jail which is costly. 21 days is our

first deadline. This is an opt-out program so it's assumed that everyone is going to have the assessment done unless after the advice of their lawyer they opt out. The assessments are done within 41 days. To give you some perspective our guidelines for resolving felony cases, non-sex crimes which are not eligible for this program, is 120 days. That is the date that we're working against that we're trying to resolve all criminal, felony cases within and we're working very hard to maintain all of those timelines.

Councilor Wilson asked when they get out and go to become employed, do you have classes for them to take about how to interview and how to write a resume? Do you help them learn how to get back into the system?

David Main stated a lot of this is wrap around services. One of those wrap around services is employment. There are contracts that the County has established with WorkSource and SE Works that really work to get these individuals in for resume building and career building. The ultimate goal is to get them somewhere that they can actually start a career and get to a point where they can survive and start paying back restitution and child support and becoming stable.

Councilor White asked is mental health part of the assessment?

Linda Yankee replied it depends on the needs of the individual. When we talk about getting employment for an individual that might not be achievable until we get them through drug treatment first. That's where Judge Waller talks about it not being a cookie cutter approach because everybody has different needs.

Abbey Stamp replied part of the assessment process has mental health indicators and if the assessor through the Department of Community Justice identifies that something else is going on and they need more information about mental health, we did leave a carve out of some of these dollars to have more clinical assessment which adds to the package of information for the judicial settlement conference and then that becomes part of intensive supervision or probation down the road which is more intensive than traditional mental health counseling.

Mayor Daoust asked can somebody volunteer to be in the program if they meet some of your criteria?

Abbey Stamp replied people can't volunteer, they need to be eligible and have committed certain crimes. They are flagged in the District Attorney's office through the indictment process. It's very cut and dry, you're either eligible or not and if you are eligible then you're in unless you opt out. Perhaps this could be expanded in the next biennium once we figure out what the funding will be. With all of the political players involved in this process it would be a big discussion to make sure that everybody lends a different perspective from the public safety system combined into what kind of eligibility to create.

Mayor Daoust asked for those that qualify, is the 120 day intensive supervision something longer than you would normally do?

Abbey Stamp replied when folks traditionally get placed on probation they have an assessment done and they are placed on a level of supervision based on their risk and need. There are 4 levels of supervision: low, medium, high, and very high. Because this is a presumptive prison population and not business as usual, the collaborative came up with everybody gets this 120 day intensive supervision because that's what feels right. After 120 days the Department of Community Justice will do their reassessment and identify if someone needs to continue at intensive supervision or if they've done well and can be moved down to a different level.

David Main stated let's say you have 2 individuals that committed the exact same crime. Based on their risk assessment, they may risk at completely different levels, one may be a low and one may be high. The individual that was low risk would go to a level 4 supervision and the individual that was high would go to level 2. In this program everyone that's eligible for MCJRP probation would automatically be given high and we'd supervise them at a higher standard than what the individuals at the low or even at high would have historically gotten for that first 120 days. At the end of the 120 days if they are doing well in their supervision they'd get transferred to a normal probation officer and be re-risked. If there are issues then we can extend the intensive supervision for an additional 90 days.

Mayor Daoust asked how long would you follow these individuals?

Judge Waller stated the 120 days of intensive supervision is part of the overall probation period. Generally probation for this population would be in the 3 to 5 year range. They'll still be on probation for the length of probation that the judge determines is appropriate given the risk and needs of the individual. The length of probation supervision will be determined by the judge sentencing based upon the recommendation coming out of the judicial settlement conference and the risk needs assessment. We will continue to look at our data. My hope is that we'll continue to follow people after they're off of probation to see what happens next.

Mayor Daoust asked is there any County money that will be targeted that these people could utilize like if they don't have enough money to pay for housing or health care of things like that?

Judge Waller replied if they are part of the Multnomah County Justice Reinvestment Program then there is a fund of money through the justice reinvestment grant that the County received to purchase those things that people need. There are contracts with various community providers for the kinds of services that we think people are going to need such as housing, treatment, parenting classes, employment, mentors, those are the kinds of things that we'll be able to provide that we wouldn't have necessarily had all the resources to provide full range of wrap around services.

Councilor Wilson asked as far as the reinvestment funding, is there a finite amount of money or is it a continuing program? Where is that money coming from?

Suzanne Hayden replied the funding is based on savings. It comes out of the State Department of Corrections and it's based on the savings year over year that we didn't

build a prison. I brought a letter that we sent in partnership with the other Counties in this area urging the Governor to fully fund the Justice Reinvestment. (A copy of the letter can be found in the meeting packet)

Councilor Allen asked are our law enforcement personnel affected by this? Do they have any concerns?

Chief Anderson replied you can imagine that some police officers were skeptical in the beginning, including myself. Having been through the process and seeing what is going to happen if we do nothing it is a bleak picture. Locally you had a discussion about taxes, imagine that on a statewide basis with prison buildings. Our part in this really is to work with the probation and parole officers and when somebody gets off track in the program then Gresham Police, Troutdale Police, Fairview Police, Portland Police, and the Sheriff's Office will be working together to do the round ups. A portion of the \$5,000 grant we received will be used to pay officers the overtime to be ready to go instead of being called out and having to wait. We don't want to wait to try to track someone down after 2 weeks. We want to know that we're in good contact with the parole and probation officers which we already have a great working relationship. If you ask me for my personal opinion, if we do nothing I think we're going to be irresponsible. I think this is best that we all work towards collaboratively to see what impact we can have. I believe we've worked very hard to get to where we are. In a couple years down the road we'll know what the results are.

7. STAFF COMMUNICATIONS

Craig Ward stated I have two items this evening. I attended a meeting yesterday of The Gorge Hubs working group. We were informed that there is a sort of pending battle coming. The working group has been asked to participate in that and I expect there will be a request to the Mayor. The Gorge Commission is concerned that the efforts of the Bike Hub working group should fall under their authority and that they shouldn't proceed without their endorsement. The request of the working group is to the Regional Solutions team to help mediate this confusion that exists over the relative roles of the Gorge Commission and the local governments which in theory are exempt as urban communities. Really the effort that the Hub is working on is within the urban areas. I wanted you to be aware about it should you hear more about that. The Governor's Regional Solutions Team is prepared to service in the role to sort of broker a conversation about the relative responsibilities for these kinds of activities.

Craig Ward stated I wanted to remind you that we do have an Urban Renewal Meeting next Tuesday night. There are some items that are significant issues and it would be nice to make sure that we have a quorum present.

8. COUNCIL COMMUNICATIONS

Councilor Ripma stated I would like to thank the League of Women Voters and East Metro Economic Alliance for conducting candidate debates that included Troutdale races. They were excellent and are available through Metro East Community Media for On Demand replay and the public should check them out. Also, tomorrow night the AFSCME

Employee Union for the City of Troutdale is conducting a candidate's forum from 7:00-9:00pm at the Sam Cox Building in Glenn Otto Park.

Councilor White stated I was invited to attend a fund raiser for the Trauma Intervention Program (TIP). This is a program of trained volunteers who are called on by police and fire officials when a tragedy occurs, usually a death. I was really pleased to see the level of involvement that our City has in this group. Carol Hassler of our Budget Committee and Officer Jason Gates were recognized as volunteers for TIP. Troutdale Detective Greg Vining's wife June is the Executive Director and our own Chief of Police Scott Anderson gave a very touching tribute to the TIP staff and volunteers to honor their much appreciated support at the tragic shooting at Reynolds High School. It was very well attended by Troutdale Police Officers and several Councilors and City Staff. We were also pleased and surprised by a short visit from Officer Jeff Potter who is recovering from a very serious motorcycle accident.

Councilor Allen stated our Officer's go the extra mile to make Troutdale the livable place that it is. There is going to be a fundraising event for Office Potter who was injured in an off duty motorcycle accident on June 19, 2014. It will be a silent auction and Texas Hold 'em game on Sunday, October 26th with registration beginning at 5:30pm at Reynolds High School and the buy in is \$60.

Mayor Daoust stated I wanted to update you on the meeting with Simon (Troutdale Outlet Mall). Matt Wand who is representing Eastwinds and myself went to New Jersey last Thursday and Friday to meet with Simon Outlet Mall Group to talk with them face to face about a road access straight through the Outlet Store to provide access to our Urban Renewal Area. The discussion went well. I don't know that everybody showed all of their cards at the table, but for a first conversation we got some indications that they were satisfied with the cash flow of the Troutdale stores which would indicate that they're not looking to spend much money expanding or refurbishing. What they did say is that they would accept another proposal which we thought was positive. The proposal would probably be somewhat of a reincarnation of what we did 10 years ago when the Council approached Simon to put a road through the middle. We spent a fair amount of money to make that happen but nothing ended up happening. We don't want to repeat what happened 10 years ago and the main difference from back then that we tried to emphasize with Simon was that this time we have a developer that is more engaged. We may have to reincarnate what plowing a road through the middle of their stores means such as how many stores will be removed, how do we end cap it, if we put an arch over the entrance, etc. This wouldn't be an reincarnation of 10 years ago, it would be adding to that like maybe letting them build a 2nd story on both sides of the opening where they could place their administrative offices and open up some retail space. For a first discussion we came out of there feeling pretty good. They appreciated that we spent 12 hours on an airplane just to go talk with them face to face.

Mayor Daoust stated we have the If I Were Mayor contest going on for Grade School, Middle School, and High School. Next Monday I have a meeting with some Cub Scouts to talk with me about what it means to be a Mayor. Next Wednesday I'll be at a Key Communicator Meeting with the Gresham Barlow School District. On October 24th the

Infusion Gallery is having a Reach for the Stars celebration at the Troutdale House and I would encourage you to go. The last thing I have is a new idea. I heard from Shane Bemis about Gresham taking a pretty bold approach in what they approved that took effect last August which was a guarantee of a 66 day application process for industrial projects. On their industrial land they are making the move that nearly cuts the state mandated review time of 120 days by nearly half. Gresham is trying to guarantee by codifying it and putting it in their code. We've talked in this City about making it better for developers to come in and to feel like we're going to get on things and not let them drag out. This is a request for staff to look into this and see if we can follow suit.

Craig Ward replied we are already looking into it. I certainly agree that it's something we need to evaluate. I would point out that the Council's already charged the Planning Commission with reviewing all of our codes to make them more development friendly. We have a staff of 2 and they're actively engaged in that. We'll come back to you with a memo of how they're doing that and how it might apply to us.

9. ADJOURNMENT

MOTION: Councilor Ripma moved to adjourn. Seconded by Councilor Wilson. Motion passed unanimously.

Meeting adjourned at 9:28pm.

Doug Daoust, Mayor

Approved November 25, 2014

ATTEST:

Sarah Skroch, Deputy City Recorder

Exhibits referenced in these minutes can be located in the meeting packet or in the microfilmed copy of the minutes.