

MINUTES
Troutdale City Council – Regular Meeting
Troutdale City Hall – Council Chambers
219 E. Historic Columbia River Hwy.
Troutdale, OR 97060-2078

Tuesday, May 27, 2014

1. ROLL CALL, AGENDA UPDATE

Mayor Daoust called the meeting to order at 7:00pm.

PRESENT: Mayor Daoust, Councilor Ripma, Councilor Anderson, Councilor Thomas, Councilor White, Councilor Allen, and Councilor Wilson.

ABSENT: None.

STAFF: Craig Ward, City Manager; Sarah Skroch, Deputy City Recorder; Ed Trompke, City attorney; and Steve Gaschler, Public Works Director.

GUESTS: See Attached.

Mayor Daoust asked are there any updates to the agenda?

Craig Ward replied there are no amendments to the published agenda.

2. CONSENT AGENDA:

2.1 ACCEPT MINUTES: May 6, 2014 Work Session

2.2 RESOLUTION: A resolution approving an IGA between the East Multnomah Soil and Water Conservation District and the City of Troutdale for expansion of the StreamCare project on City property.

2.3 RESOLUTION: A resolution authorizing the City Manager to enter into an Exclusive Negotiating Agreement with Eastwind LLC.

MOTION: Councilor Anderson moved to approve the Consent Agenda. Seconded by Councilor Thomas. Motion Passed Unanimously.

3. PUBLIC COMMENT: Please restrict comments to non-agenda items at this time.

Thomas Young and Roger Clausen, Troutdale Cherry Park Presbyterian Church, stated we appreciate you enhancing the reputation of Troutdale as a cycling friendly community and that you value the contribution that cyclists are making to our community and the environment. We are hosting a Blessing of the Bikes Ceremony in our parking lot on Sunday, June 22nd at 12:30. We will be offering free hot dogs and hamburgers beginning at 12pm. We are going to include a moment of silence to remember those who have been

killed while cycling in the Portland area. We invite all of you to participate. I would like to ask the Mayor to come and say a few words. We plan to make this an annual event, and maybe in the future we could hold it in Mayors Square. We will conclude the ceremony with a ride for those who are interested.

4. RESOLUTION: A resolution adjusting the storm sewer utility fee and rescinding Resolution No. 2216.

Steve Gaschler, Public Works Director, stated coming before the Council and asking you to impose a rate increase on our citizens, who we both work for, is hard for me to do and is something that I don't take lightly. I appreciate the position that it puts you in as elected officials. I want to let you know that I take costs seriously. It is something that we look at every day. Every day I challenge my crews to go out and come up with ways to provide the service at a lower cost and potentially a better way. We think we are good stewards of the money and the resources. Any decision I make on how I am going to spend the taxpayers money, I look at as if it is my own money; how would I approach this if this were my money and how would I make that decision. I ask my staff to do the same thing.

Steve Gaschler gave an overview of two projects that public works has recently been working on, Sandy River Waterline project and the Fire Hydrant repair project, that are examples of how public works has been able to save the City some money.

Steve Gaschler stated I have looked at ways to save additional costs. I have looked at staffing levels. Staffing levels, in my opinion, are all at standard or below. We struggle a lot of days just to put a team on the field. I am not asking for additional staff, but it would be difficult to eliminate any staff at the levels we have now in my opinion. We are on call 24/7/365; I have to have two people available at all times. When you are at standard or minimum sometimes it is tough to have those two bodies available and be able to pull that duty. They will get called out in the middle of the night and then they are expected to be back in the office the next day working their same shifts. We ask a lot of them. I think you have a lot of good people here and I thoroughly enjoy working with them and I am honored to be here in my position.

In your packet I have provided you with a rate comparison table. I think it is something that is interesting to look at. I don't get too hung up on that because there are so many variables that you're really not comparing apples to apples. Every community is different, the systems are different, they are different ages, the cost models are different, their sources are different, their discharge points are different, and their treatment plants are different. That is why you get such a variation on rates throughout those communities. To say you are the lowest provider, if it was for all the right reasons such as being able to deliver the service at the lowest cost because you were the best and most efficient, then I think it would be great to say that, but I don't think that is really what guides most of that. Most of it has to do with those given factors that we really don't have a lot of control over.

I want to talk a little about the value of this service. The water we provide, I think our citizens count on knowing that when they open that spigot they have clean safe water provided to them for their families and their businesses. Once they use that water they count on us to take that water away and dispose of it in an environmentally friendly

manner that meets the requirements of our permit and they count on that. Also the storm surface water, we get roughly 4' of water here a year and there is a lot of engineering and a lot of thought that goes into development so that the guy downstream doesn't get flooded because of a new development upstream. In addition to the flow of the water you also have water quality issues now. If you've ever seen a discharge pipe coming off an urban area into a creek it is basically washing down all of the streets and roofs and if it isn't treated that water coming out of that pipe gets dumped right into the streams which causes all kinds of problems.

When you manage these systems you want to be ahead of the curve and doing preventative maintenance. If you get yourself into the position where you are behind the curve and you are starting to replace systems when they fail, I think that puts you in a very bad position. It costs you more money because you can't manage when you do it. You put your customers out of service for a longer period of time and you also run the risk of damaging property when some of these systems fail. I think it is very important for us to fund these utilities in a way that we can manage them and try to stay ahead of the curve and replace these things before they fail.

If there are no questions or comments, I would like to start with the discussion of the cap issue first.

Councilor Thomas stated in order to save time I would like for us to go straight to Option 3 which keeps the cap in place. While it may not be directly tied to this, I think it would be best to send the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) back to the Planning Commission (PC) for their review. I talked with our PC Chair and he liked that idea.

Councilor Allen stated that was the one that was concerning me the most because of the state of our businesses at this time.

Councilor Ripma stated Option 3 has a rate increase but keeps the cap.

Councilor Thomas stated I think it goes up 4%.

Councilor Ripma stated at this time I would prefer that also. I have heard too much negative reaction about removing the cap right now. I think it deserves to be studied. We had a presentation on it but I didn't realize the drastic difference it would make to businesses, churches and schools in their rates. I think perhaps some adjustment to the cap should be considered at some point, but for now I would support what Councilor Thomas proposed.

Councilor White stated I agree.

Councilor Anderson stated the cap needs some work. We have an inequity in the system, but we have no plan to communicate to a business that their stormwater rate could go from \$26 a month to \$1,200 a month. I don't think we have a plan. If we do have a plan I would hope it would involve either Steve or Craig, or both, going out to the affected businesses to explain the situation to them. I don't know that a letter or a phone call would

suffice an increase that egregious. That said, there is an imbalance. I think we need to take a look at this and study it and move quickly off of - will we or won't we, and then move to - in case we do, how will we do it. The point is not lost on me that we are going to be drawing down this fund.

Councilor Wilson asked are we doing a water study?

Steve Gaschler replied we haven't started the water study yet. Right now we are doing the road study, which really doesn't affect the utility rates other than I think we are going to have to do something with roads. I think we will have four issues on the table eventually to look at.

Councilor Wilson asked weren't you wanting to make a package presentation to us on everything?

Steve Gaschler replied that is what I intend to do on the overall rates for a long-term view instead of this year to year increase. I think we need to start looking longer term and what is coming down the road, and what we do now and what our options are. The cap plays into that but it complicates it. I was hoping to get some direction on which way you wanted to move on the cap and a way to approach that.

Mayor Daoust stated these are critical functions within the City and we need to address that. Everybody relies on the water, sewer and storm sewer systems. If we need to address rate increases, we need to address it. That is part of our role as a Council. I think we had some discussion on the table to consider the Option 3 resolution. Before we jump into that are there any other comments?

Councilor Anderson stated I think the issue in Portland on Friday and Saturday is top of mind when we are thinking of rate increases. They are not popular but they are really not popular when you don't do them and all of a sudden your system implodes. In talking to some folks about this rate cap and its origins, I would advise going back and looking at the history of when the rate cap was introduced and then discuss it with the businesses. I don't want to get into it now because it is too detailed, but I would advise you to do a little research. I do think we need to study it.

Councilor Wilson stated I absolutely agree.

Councilor Anderson stated with that being said I would entertain Councilor Thomas' idea.

Mayor Daoust stated I am sensing that the Council is okay with the Option 3 resolution. The other issue about staff wanting more direction on the rate cap, we can openly discuss that after we adopt a resolution, if there are any additional comments. And the part about sending the master plan back to the PC, is also a separate discussion.

Councilor Allen stated on the rate cap I was concerned that we had a number of businesses that I felt like we would be putting out of business and we already have a high vacancy rate which is concerning to me.

MOTION: Councilor Thomas moved to adopt a resolution adjusting the storm sewer utility fee and rescinding Resolution No. 2216, which is Option 3 in our packet. Seconded by Councilor Wilson. *(Option 3 raises the rate per square foot of impervious surface by 4%, but keeps the cap at \$25.27 for non-residential customers.)*

VOTE: Councilor Allen – Yes; Councilor Wilson - Yes; Councilor Ripma - Yes; Councilor Anderson - Yes; Councilor Thomas – Yes; Mayor Daoust – Yes; Councilor White - Yes.

Motion Passed 7-0.

Mayor Daoust asked, Steve do you need some additional direction on the rate cap tonight?

Steve Gaschler replied I think I will bring it back to you at a work session. There are a lot different options to look at.

Mayor Daoust stated you are correct there are a lot of options. In talking to some business owners about this they said it was probably too low and they would be willing to consider an increase.

Steve Gaschler asked before we have that work session, is it okay if Craig and I go out and approach some of these businesses and have a discussion with them?

Council approved of staff approaching businesses and discussing the storm water rate cap.

Mayor Daoust stated Councilor Thomas also suggested sending the storm water master plan back to the PC. We need to discuss that because that is not something we normally do.

Councilor Thomas stated the reason I suggested that is because there are a number of the PC members that believe that the fees and the SDCs are driven by the master plan and they would like an opportunity to look at it.

Mayor Daoust stated it has been brought up a couple of times about what the PC should do or could do. I've been on the Council for 17 years and there are things that we are asking the PC to do now that we have not previously asked them to do and that is to look at master plans and capital improvement lists. If we are going to rely on the PC to be more involved with master plans and capital improvement lists, we really need to talk about that. I know that they are willing and capable. It is an extra burden on the PC in addition to the business they normally take on. I also get the sense that they are willing to take on additional work, but I am a little uncomfortable that we are leaning on the PC more than we use to.

Councilor Anderson stated the more eyes that can be on these rates and master plans the better as far as I am concerned. In our packet tonight we have a PC recommendation on one of the items and that makes me feel better about my subsequent vote, whatever direction I decide to go. If they are willing to do it I have no problem with it as long as it doesn't interfere with their stated goal as a commission, which is primarily land use. I don't get the sense that it will interfere.

Councilor White stated my understanding of what is going on with planning is when Title 13 got forwarded to Council they saw how hard we struggled with that issue and that it took us over a year to resolve it. I think they were happy with the result and the efforts that we gave that piece of work. I think they felt a little guilty for just handing it off to us. The Chair has made a commitment that he wants to do some heavy lifting instead of passing it on. We have run into the problem where Metro was basically accusing our Council of not listening to our citizen groups, so if something is passed through they want to have their heart and soul on it. I am glad that we are doing this; it takes some weight off of our shoulders. We still have the final approval, but I agree with what Councilor Anderson said, it is nice to see what our experts think of it before it comes to us because it is an area that they live and breathe a lot more than we do.

Councilor Thomas stated they understand the zoning and the requirements in the City.

Mayor Daoust stated I have full confidence in the PC. I am just questioning the process and how they fit into the process. We already have the master plans approved right?

Steve Gaschler replied yes.

Mayor Daoust stated now we are saying we want to send one of them back to the PC. From your perspective how does that affect the process for where we are at right now?

Steve Gaschler replied as far as the work that we have done and the rate analysis, I don't think that will affect it. We actually have two storm master plans, there is the north basin and the south basin and they are two separate documents that were done at two different times. If we took that to the PC for their review we would make a presentation, answer questions they have and then I am not sure what would come out of that meeting since it is a document that was already approved/adopted. I am not sure where it gets you. Maybe there would be an amendment to one of those master plans. I am not sure what that would look like. I guess it could be similar to what happened with the Sewer Master Plan where there could be a project they don't want in the Plan and they would make that recommendation to the Council and you would decide whether you agree with that recommendation or not. The master plan is a 20 year plan and some of the projects may not happen in those 20 years. The rate analysis that we did only went out 10 years I believe.

Councilor Allen stated we have a number of families and residents and a number of businesses that are in economic distress at this moment. Our rates that we vote on are set by our capital improvement plans. To have another group look at that and vet it and give us a recommendation, I would appreciate that help. I have tried to do that myself but

it is a difficult thing to do. I would take the assistance. I know it is not normal but at this point and time in our economic situation I would do it.

Councilor Thomas stated I don't think it has to be decided tonight as to whether we send the master plan to the PC. If you want to talk with the Chair of the PC and staff and think about it, I would be okay with that.

Councilor Allen asked isn't it the capital improvement plan what drives that rates?

Councilor Thomas replied both.

Councilor Allen asked isn't the real concern the rates?

Mayor Daoust replied yes. I talked with Tanney quite a bit after the last meeting so I have a good feel for where he is coming from. If we are going to turn the Storm Water Master Plans, both of them, back to the PC I am not sure what that will accomplish, but maybe the CIP will give them another opportunity to see if there are projects that are included that do not make sense.

Councilor White stated I think it might be wise since Steve is new and they are just getting to know each other, maybe just a clearing of the air. I think it will be a short meeting and we can get this back in front of us. Just as a precaution it is a good idea to make sure that both sides, the interworking between Steve and planning is in tack. I think it is important that we do this.

Mayor Daoust stated so then we recommend to staff that this be done.

Steve Gaschler asked so that would be the two storm water master plans?

Mayor Daoust stated I think that is what we are talking about, right?

No member of the Council voiced an objection or different opinion.

Mayor Daoust stated along with that would be the storm water capital improvement list, I assume.

Steve Gaschler replied there is a segment of it that deals with the storm water. We could visit those items also.

5. RESOLUTION: A resolution adjusting the sanitary sewer utility fee, confirming the average flow rate for an equivalent residential unit and rescinding Resolution No. 2217.

Steve Gaschler, Public Works Director, stated in this utility fund there are 5.95 FTEs. The monthly proposed increased for an equivalent residential unit is \$1.32 per month. The yearly increase for an equivalent residential unit is \$15.84. The estimated annual revenue increase to this fund based on this 4% increase would be \$101,000. The non-residential use is based on estimated equivalent residential units assigned at the time of

development or opening of the business. The beginning fund balance in the FY 2014-15 Budget is \$1,187,000. The ending funding balance in the proposed FY 2014-15 Budget is \$1,050,000. The needed capital improvements total \$4,817,000 in collection pipelines, improvements and replacements. After we do our video inspection this year we will know a lot more about what we need to attack in the near term. We have \$3.9 million in pump station and forced main replacement and improvements that we need to look at. The first thing we are looking at there is the replacement of the Beaver Creek Lift Station which we had a failure on last year which caused us quite a bit of a problem. We want to avoid that from happening again. I believe that station is about 30 years old.

Councilor Anderson asked are we going to do some work out of this fund this year?

Steve Gaschler replied yes. What we are doing this budget year is just the design engineering. Next budget we will have a good construction estimate and cost to move forward.

Councilor Anderson asked the cameras are this year?

Steve Gaschler replied yes. That is not a capital project. That is an operation and maintenance function. I believe it is a \$45,000 contract. We will get about 1/3 of the City done for that cost.

MOTION: Councilor Anderson moved to adopt a resolution adjusting the sanitary sewer utility fee, confirming the average flow rate for an equivalent residential unit and rescinding Resolution No. 2217. Seconded by Councilor Wilson.

VOTE: Councilor Allen – Yes; Councilor Wilson - Yes; Councilor Ripma - Yes; Councilor Anderson - Yes; Councilor Thomas – Yes; Mayor Daoust – Yes; Councilor White - Yes.

Motion Passed 7-0.

6. RESOLUTION: A resolution adjusting the water commodity fee and the water installation charge, confirming other water related fees and rescinding Resolution No. 2218.

Steve Gaschler, Public Works Director, stated the water utility fund consists of 4.8 FTEs. The monthly increase proposed is \$0.11 per 1,000 gallons. We estimate that the average user uses 7,000 gallons per month which would be a \$0.80 increase per month based on a usage of 7,000 gallons. Some people use more some people use less. You pay for the water that you use. The average yearly increase, based on a consumption of 7,000 gallons, would be \$9.61/year. The estimated annual revenue increase to this fund would be \$60,000. The non-residential use is also based on actual consumption. There is no average for businesses because it varies from business to business. The beginning fund balance in FY 2014-15 is \$596,000. The ending fund balance in the proposed FY 2014-15 budget is \$413,000. Needed capital improvements from the CIP include: the

connectivity projects to improve system flow performance at \$3.8 million, and the well and water reservoir improvements at \$3.2 million.

Mayor Daoust stated there is no more precious resource than water. We all saw what happened in Portland last week with just some testing they did.

Councilor Anderson asked do you have any idea what the master plan called for the increase to be this year?

Steve Gaschler replied I believe it was 12%.

Councilor Anderson stated our existing master plan called for this Council in this coming fiscal year to increase the water rate by 12%. Personally, if 4% is enough, great. There is no more precious commodity than water.

Councilor Allen stated we have known about the corrosion in the water tanks for years now. How does this affect the prospects of fixing our corrosion problem?

Steve Gaschler replied the corrosion problem is in all three of the reservoirs. One of them is worse. The estimates right now are \$250,000 to go in and drain that reservoir and clean it and recoat it. This year we are going to get the engineering on what it will take to do that, and then we will get some estimates. The first \$250,000 will deplete our reserve funds by about half. So we probably only have enough to do one reservoir. We also have some work that we need to do on the wells. The 4% is just to keep up with the cost of living and inflation. In my opinion we are kind of in a hole and I want to start working my way out of the hole. This 4% is just trying to keep us even.

Councilor Allen asked are we into irreversible or cost prohibitive corrosion?

Steve Gaschler replied that is not my understanding. The divers were just here. I haven't read the report but the engineers have read it, and no one has come to me and said we have a big problem. There are problems that we know are there that we need to address, but it isn't dire by any means.

MOTION: Councilor Wilson moved to adopt a resolution adjusting the water commodity fee, confirming other water related fees and rescinding Resolution No. 2218. Seconded by Councilor Anderson.

VOTE: Councilor Allen – Yes; Councilor Wilson - Yes; Councilor Ripma - Yes; Councilor Anderson - Yes; Councilor Thomas – Yes; Mayor Daoust – Yes; Councilor White - Yes.

Motion Passed 7-0.

Mayor Daoust stated I would like to take Agenda Item #9 out of order since there are folks in the audience to make the presentation.

9. REQUEST: A request for financial assistance for transportation (buses) for SummerFest.

Nell Simien, Chair of the SummerFest Sponsorship Committee, stated we have pulled together some really heavy hitters for sponsorship such as Waste Management, Westin, GMC, KIA, Port of Portland, Plaid Pantry, US Bank, Target, Les Schwab and Walmart. The busses that we have procured are the First Student buses. They will be going back and forth through town down to the park. Since this is a City community function we thought that having the City's name on the busses would be good advertising and it would show the other businesses that the City is as committed as they are.

Jon Brown, SummerFest Co-Chair, stated we are asking for this because we have a lot promises out there; we have a lot of people saying they will cut us a check. At the same time we are trying to pay for entertainment, equipment rental and we just don't have the money at this time. What we are asking for is \$1,000 to get us some beautiful signs for the buses.

Nell Simien stated all these sponsors have responded and are committed to sending us the checks, but they have to go through their accounting department. The fact that we just got started at the end of March and we have these companies already committed is pretty good. We are half way through meeting our fundraising goal. We will also be receiving vendor fees and parade fees. We have in-kind contributions from the Outlook and Leamy Printing. Multnomah County Sheriff's Office has volunteered their services for traffic control. Waste Management is helping out with recycling, dumpsters and they are also contributing some money.

Councilor Wilson stated the City of Troutdale makes a huge in-kind contribution for SummerFest by getting the parks and the streets ready, and providing police.

Councilor Anderson stated I have heard that SummerFest is in great hands this year and I have heard that it is going to be one of the best, if not the best one ever. It is not hard to see why. The work that you have done in the time that you have done it, I know how hard that is. I would be glad to support this; it is the right thing for us to do.

Councilor Allen stated for these businesses to make this kind of commitment this late into the fiscal year shows very strong community support. I am impressed.

Mayor Daoust asked you are requesting \$1,000?

Jon Brown replied yes.

Councilor Wilson stated I agree with the \$1,000. I thought we might consider the same amount that we gave to the Kiwanis, \$1,500.

Councilor Anderson stated it is about fairness. Ideally, I think there should be budgeted monies for events and it should be a staff level decision. I agree with Councilor Wilson, we should be fair and equitable.

MOTION: Councilor Thomas moved that we honor the assistance for being a sponsor at \$1,500 for Troutdale SummerFest. Seconded by Councilor Anderson.

VOTE: Councilor Allen – Yes; Councilor Wilson - Yes; Councilor Ripma - Yes; Councilor Anderson - Yes; Councilor Thomas – Yes; Mayor Daoust – Yes; Councilor White - Yes.

Motion Passed 7-0.

7. PUBLIC HEARING / ORDINANCE (Introduced 4/22/2014 and 5/13/2014): An ordinance adopting a new Public Facilities Plan as an implementing element of Comprehensive Land Use Plan Goal 11 Public Facilities and Services in partial fulfillment of Periodic Review Task 3.

Mayor Daoust read the ordinance title.

Craig Ward stated we adopted a work plan four years ago to satisfy the State's requirements for Periodic Review of our Comprehensive Plan. The Public Facilities Plan (PFP) was 20 years old then. It is seriously out of date. Since it was last adopted we have adopted master plans for the utilities that are affected by and required by statute to be incorporated into the PFP. This document, the PFP, is one of two actions tonight. One is adopting the PFP and the second is to adopt text amendments to the Comprehensive Plan that basically reference the PFP. What we did in this PFP was essentially roll up and incorporate within it the project elements that were addressed in the utility master plans. There is flexibility under the Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) to expand the PFP to include other facilities and services such as libraries, police, fire and administrative, but those are not incorporated within this document. It is a minimalistic document in the sense that it addresses the requirement of the OARs and no more. The summary of the plan elements are shown in my staff report, which includes: Goals and Policies; Facility Descriptions and Future Needs; CIP and Project Maps (which makes up the bulk of the document); Financing and Implementation; and Additional Public Facilities and Services.

Mayor Daoust asked is there an issue with the Storm Sewer Master Plan going back to the Planning Commission in regards to this ordinance?

Craig Ward replied only if they change it. If they do make a change and it is judged to be inconsistent with this document, at some future point it would make sense to come back and amend the document. We are required to go through this review of the document periodically, which is what periodic review is about. It does not say that we cannot come back on our own and amend the Comprehensive Plan if we feel that it is necessary. If we do not adopt these amendments it would be a contradiction of the work plan we submitted to the DLC and there may be consequences to that so I would not recommend that you defer the adoption of this while the PC or the Council reconsiders master plans that are the basis of this document.

Mayor Daoust stated I am not recommending that either.

Mayor Daoust opened the Public Hearing at 8:11pm and asked is there anyone here to testify on this issue?

No testimony received.

Mayor Daoust closed the Public Hearing at 8:12pm.

MOTION: Councilor Thomas moved that we adopt an ordinance adopting a new Public Facilities Plan as an implementing element of Comprehensive Land Use Plan Goal 11 Public Facilities and Services in partial fulfillment of Periodic Review Task 3. Seconded by Councilor Wilson.

VOTE: Councilor Allen – No; Councilor Wilson - Yes; Councilor Ripma - Yes; Councilor Anderson - Yes; Councilor Thomas – Yes; Mayor Daoust – Yes; Councilor White - No.

Motion Passed 5-2.

8. PUBLIC HEARING / ORDINANCE (Introduced 4/22/2014 and 5/13/2014): An ordinance adopting text amendments to Comprehensive Land Use Plan Goal 11 Public Facilities and Services in partial fulfillment of Periodic Review Task 3.

Mayor Daoust read the ordinance title.

Craig Ward stated this ordinance addresses Goal 11 Public Facilities and Services by referring to the fact that we have an adopted PFP and making some very simple statements regarding what that imposes. It replaces any existing text in the existing Comprehensive Plan. It is a very simple minimalistic approach to satisfying the statutory requirements for Goal 11.

Council had no questions.

Mayor Daoust opened the Public Hearing at 8:14pm and asked is there anyone here to testify on this issue?

No testimony received.

Mayor Daoust closed the Public Hearing at 8:14pm.

MOTION: Councilor Wilson moved to adopt an ordinance adopting text amendments to Comprehensive Land Use Plan Goal 11 Public Facilities and Services in partial fulfillment of Periodic Review Task 3. Seconded by Councilor Thomas.

VOTE: Councilor Allen – No; Councilor Wilson - Yes; Councilor Ripma - Yes; Councilor Anderson - Yes; Councilor Thomas – Yes; Mayor Daoust – Yes; Councilor White - Yes.

Motion Passed 6-1.

9. REQUEST: A request for financial assistance for transportation (buses) for SummerFest.

This item was heard after Agenda Item #6.

10. STAFF COMMUNICATIONS

Craig Ward stated Friday was an interesting experience for our staff. While the City of Portland issued a boil water order we are not on the City of Portland water system and yet we received a lot of calls. Clearly people don't know that we are not on the Portland water system and that we rely on wells for our water and they were not affected. We explained that to those folks who called. I give a lot of credit to Amy Pepper and the staff at City Hall for responding to all of the questions. They did so in a very professional manner. They updated our Face Book page, our Web Page and our voicemail system. I want to thank all of them for doing an outstanding job.

11. COUNCIL COMMUNICATIONS

Councilor Allen stated I was informed by some folks that were trying to email me that they had a difficult time locating the council's contact information on our website. When I looked at our home page I didn't see a way to get to it either. Can we have a link put on our home page for the council's contact information?

Craig Ward stated we will look into this and make the change.

Councilor Anderson stated maybe we can get a redesign on our website. It could look a lot better. Technology has come a long way. It not as expensive as it used to be. I support looking into the redesign of the website.

Councilor Thomas stated I had a chance to go to the Black Swan Youth Theatre Sound of Music production at Mt. Hood Community College. I was very impressed. I would encourage folks to get involved. I think their ages are from 5 to 18.

I still haven't see the ordinance for chronic nuisances on the agenda.

Ed Trompke stated the Mayor has tentatively scheduled a work session for that issue.

Mayor Daoust stated we have a list of 15 anticipated work sessions for the remainder of the year. Within that list is the topic of chronic nuisances. The double fire service coverage is another topic. Issues that we have brought up in the past are on the list of work session topics. Not all of the topics have been scheduled yet but we are working on it.

I would like to add a sixth voting member to the Historic Landmarks Commission to add more depth. I am proposing, if the Council agrees, to put that on the consent agenda for the next meeting. **No objection voiced from the Council.**

Last week I went to the Multnomah County Commissioners Meeting where they were discussing selling the Pig farm property. They reached the point of saying they were going to go forward with approving the sale of the Pig farm to Mike McMenamain. The deal is not closed yet. There are still some steps that have to be completed on the wetland area and we still need to deal with the 242nd right-of-way. But it was a very positive meeting.

The Fairview City Council requested that we consider adopting a resolution that they just passed in April. It is Mayor Weatherby's hope that the cities would put in writing that we want to communicate, cooperate, and collaborate better. Maybe we can put it on the agenda for the next meeting.

Councilor White stated I don't know if this topic is on the list of work sessions, but I wanted to bring up the idea of expanding the Urban Growth Boundary in Troutdale.

Mayor Daoust replied it is on the list.

12. ADJOURNMENT:

MOTION: Councilor Wilson moved to adjourn. Seconded by Councilor Anderson. Motion passed unanimously.

Meeting adjourned at 8:30pm.

Doug Daoust, Mayor

Approved June 24, 2014

ATTEST:

Debbie Stickney, City Recorder