



CITY OF TROUTDALE

"Gateway to the Columbia River Gorge"

CITY COUNCIL – WORK SESSION

Troutdale City Hall - Council Chambers
219 E. Historic Columbia River Hwy. (Lower Level, Rear Entrance)
Troutdale, OR 97060-2078

Tuesday, June 23, 2015

Immediately following the Regular Meeting, which starts at 7pm

Mayor

Doug Daoust

City Council

David Ripma

Eric Anderson

Larry Morgan

Glenn White

Rich Allen

John Wilson

City Manager

Craig Ward

1. Roll Call

2. Discussion: Visionary Park plans, schedule, and funding options.

Erich Mueller, Finance Director

3. Discussion: Potential parking lot improvements for Property ID R320571

Steve Gaschler, Public Works Director

4. Adjourn


Doug Daoust, Mayor

Dated: 6/16/15

City Council Regular Meetings will be replayed on Comcast Cable Channel 30 and Frontier Communications Channel the weekend following the meeting - Saturday at 2:30pm and Sunday at 9:00pm.

Further information and copies of agenda packets are available at: Troutdale City Hall, 219 E. Historic Columbia River Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.; on our Web Page www.troutdaleoregon.gov or call Debbie Stickney, Recorder at 503-674-7237.

The meeting location is wheelchair accessible. A request for an interpreter for the hearing impaired or for other accommodations for persons with disabilities should be made at least 48 hours before the meeting to: Debbie Stickney, Recorder 503-674-7237.

**Troutdale City Council Work Session
Troutdale City Hall – Council Chambers
219 E. Historic Columbia River Hwy.
Troutdale, OR 97060-2078**

Tuesday, June 23, 2015

1. Roll Call

Mayor Daoust called the meeting to order at 8:45pm.

PRESENT: Mayor Daoust, Councilor Morgan, Councilor White, Councilor Allen, and Councilor Wilson.

ABSENT: Councilor Ripma (excused), Councilor Anderson (excused).

STAFF: Steve Gaschler, Acting City Manager; Ed Trompke, City Attorney; Erich Mueller, Finance Director; and Debbie Stickney, City Recorder.

GUESTS: See attached.

2. Discussion: Visionary Park plans, schedule, and funding options.

Erich Mueller, Finance Director, stated in the staff report are some background materials, some of the concept layouts of the proposed Visionary Park, a visual of the statue sketch, and a process of where we are. The City has been in process of working with Multnomah County on the jurisdictional transfer of the right-of-way. We expect at some time in the coming months that we will receive that right-of-way transfer. The City has right-of-ways all over the City that aren't parks. Simply receiving the right-of-way won't magically create a park. The project costs have been very preliminarily outlined in a previous work session and budget discussion and I've included those in the brief staff report. From a funding standpoint, something we could potentially get money from the General Fund, the Parks Department, or there may be a possibility of being able to fund part of it through the new Community Enhancement Program. As it currently is described or envisioned it wouldn't be able to qualify to be paid for by the SDC fund but the SDC fund could provide financing as it has done for other projects.

Rip Caswell, Owner of Caswell Gallery, stated I see an opportunity at that corner because it has high visibility and good exposure to set an eastside gateway into Troutdale. I believe if it's done with stonework and accents, it could be a really wonderful tribute to the men who built the scenic Columbia River Highway 100 years ago. I have a passion that I really want to sculpt Sam Hill and Sam Lancaster. I think they were visionaries that left us a wonderful legacy and I think they're fitting. I'm surprised a monument hasn't yet been created in their honor. I think this Visionary Park is a great opportunity to place at that location and clean up a real eyesore in Troutdale. I understand that budgets are a concern and all of the things that you're going through. If there's a place to put it, I will personally promise that I will finish and produce that sculpture without asking for money from the City or to take anything out of your General Fund. If I could afford the land, I would do it or if I even understood how to do it. It's a complicated piece with a lot of things

happening on it. My fear is that it'll just continue to be a dilapidated eyesore. There is a building on it that I can't do anything about and it's a real hazard. If anyone was to go inside of it, it's a danger. It is adjacent to my property and I'd like to see it cleaned up and gone. I think it's a worthwhile investment. I understand there are funds in the Parks that might be able to be used. I've gifted pieces in the past, the trout on the arch, I found funders to gift the big trout in the City park, I gifted the seal on the corner of the entrance into downtown, I gifted bronzes to the City Hall, and I guess why change that policy. I'll do what I can to gift this to the City, if I have to take out a loan. I just need a place to put it and I think it'll be a wonderful tourist draw. It'll make an impact and beautify the City of Troutdale. My goal has always been to leave Troutdale better than I found it. I would like to ask for you to help me see that vision through.

Councilor Morgan stated thank you Rip for everything you've done. There's 2 ways that we're going to build to the future, through tourism and TRIP. Last week I spoke to Senator Wyden's office and Senator Merkley's office and they are hopefully going to be here for the ribbon cutting for the 100 Year Anniversary. This will tie in not only with that but also for the future. Laurie Monnes Anderson said there were funds available and there are still funds available in a Historical account to preserve art and history in the State of Oregon. My number 1 recommendation is to urge staff to call Senator Monnes Anderson's office to see how much money is available and what funds we could use for that. This is the State of Oregon's highway and they care about it deeply and preserving the arts is earmarked for this fund. I know this is a unique opportunity because it's the 100 Year Anniversary and it only happens once. I know there's been a lot of talk about delaying it but this is our opportunity to talk about how interested we are in doing that. There is money in the Parks SDC fund for parks. This might be a priority discussion for the Council. Would it be worthwhile to amend our Parks Master Plan to accommodate this? Then looking to the Enhancement Fee to see if there's any money that could be used for that. I think there's some funds available whether it be grant funds either federal, statewide, or local; as well as other funds. This is important to me and I think it's important to Troutdale.

Mayor Daoust stated related to the historical art grant that Senator Monnes Anderson brought up, I think Craig Ward has already started to look into that.

Councilor Wilson stated this project doesn't qualify for the SDC funds for Parks.

Mayor Daoust stated your recommendation is that we could fund the establishment of the park, the rock work and ground work, to get it ready for the statue either from the Parks Department and the General Fund using contingency transfer or possibly a grant from the Community Enhancement Program. It seems to me that a contingency transfer is the easiest way to fund the \$60,000 it would take to create the ground work to put a generous donation of a statue. I'm somewhat amazed that you'd be willing to donate such a costly statue to the City. I would hope we can have some recommendation on what to do with the site tonight.

Councilor Allen stated people generally want a nice place to live, especially if it comes at a good value. I see this as a project that will beautify the town and does come at a good value and still makes Troutdale an affordable place to live. The only thought that I have outside of that is that if we do plan on using any portion of Parks Funds I would like to get the thoughts of our Parks Advisory Committee (PAC) on that, even if we're looking at other funding options.

Mayor Daoust stated that's a good idea. What comes to my mind is the timeline we're under. We have a window of opportunity here to get things done and how fast the PAC could address this issue given their schedule.

Councilor Wilson stated we're still paying for the arch. I feel that there are other things we should be using the money for. That's just my opinion, it's nothing against you Rip. I really appreciate everything you've done and may do in the future but I just don't feel this is the right thing to do at this point.

Councilor Morgan asked building the statue or preparing the park?

Councilor Wilson replied preparing the park.

Councilor White stated I have another angle to approach this. I know there's pressure for money and I think that's always going to be the case. To not accept Rip's gift would be like New York not accepting the Statue of Liberty because they didn't have a place to put it. I value this man, his integrity, and what he's already done for Troutdale. It wouldn't be the same town without his talent and most of us get to drive by and see it for free. Other cities would kill to have you in their community. With that said I want to throw out an idea. We've had roads that were too steep to pave and the City vacated the land and each property owner got some of the land. I think that property was probably originally part of your property but it was lost due to encroachment from the highway. I love the project because it also makes Troutdale the starting point for everyone that's going to come visit. My idea to save cost is for us to clear that land, get rid of that derelict building that has zero value, and donate the land for that sculpture. It goes back to being a part of the original property. Like how now some of the businesses are taking care of the planters. It helps the City out because we're spread pretty thin. I know you'd be an excellent steward of that land. It's not needed for anything that I can see. There are no plans to widen the scenic highway. If that works I think it would be easier for us from a financial angle to do that approach then it would be for us to come up with hard cash. I'm still willing to look at donations and funding through the fund that Laurie Monnes Anderson mentioned. I'd like your input to see if that's something that would work.

Rip Caswell replied if it was prepped to the point that all I had to do was the stonework, which would probably be the most expensive part, I can do that. It's just getting it to that point. The surveying, the sidewalks, the water issues, those are things that would be so complicated for somebody like myself to try to get permits for and try to sort through the process. I've tried to do it once before and I spent a lot of money and got into a big maze that I couldn't figure out and I had to quit. I don't ever want to go into that process again. If it means putting rocks down and building beautiful things, I can do that, the rest of it's too complicated for me.

Mayor Daoust stated it's an intriguing idea and we do need staff input on donating the land. My only thought about that would be that we would be transferring the cost of the stonework and the site prep to Rip on top of the donation he's already making.

Steve Gaschler replied the County's going to vacate that to the City at no cost, they're either going to donate some money or contribute to building the sidewalk. Typically a transfer of 1 jurisdiction to another is a liability and they usually have to pay the other jurisdiction to take it. That's where we came up with this, something to help build the park. Commissioner McKeel's office was in early on the talk about putting this park together and they fully support it. I got the impression that

the County could probably make that happen without too much trouble. The land is no cost it's just who is going to do those improvements. The estimate is about \$60,000 to clear that right-of-way, build the curbs/gutters/sidewalks, and get the foundation in place for Rip's rock work and statue. If the City wanted to transfer that ground to Rip, well I haven't researched that yet. It's an unusual circumstance to have the right-of-way line at the street edge and have the sidewalk and everything behind it be private property. I'm not saying it can't be done.

Ed Trompke stated that is unusual even for small cities. The sidewalks are in the right-of-way and often times they have an extra few feet beyond that for the utilities.

Mayor Daoust stated there may be some utility issues here that the City needs to deal with. It was my impression that the \$60,000 would cover the rock work also. There was the sidewalks, the curbs, the site prep, and the rock work and pedestal itself that would be done by the City. We'd develop everything up to the point of placing the statue on the pedestal. That's what I understood the \$60,000 to be for.

Steve Gaschler replied it's been awhile since I've looked at those numbers and I think that's correct.

Councilor Morgan stated I understand Councilor White's compromise as it relates to financing and money. What's before us is, is this a good value or not. I understand if this is viewed as fluff or a discussion about excess, I understand that but the first 5 months of last year the visitors center had 296 visitors. This year in the first 3 ½ months we've had 455 visitors. People are coming here, spending money locally, they're coming here for a reason, and next year is the 100 Year Anniversary. I understand people's hesitancy to not want to spend money on this. But either tourism is something we're going to do and we're going to do it, or we're not and we shouldn't. If the County is going to go to this length to vacate it and we're just going to punt it over to Rip, then why bother to even have it vacated? If we're not going to do this then fine, let's just be committed to not doing it and not waste the County's time or anybody else's time.

Mayor Daoust stated I get the impression that your proposal may not work. I'm fully supportive of creating the site for Rip to put the statue on. I really do think we need to do that. We're also under a tight timeline and we can't keep talking about this forever. My desire would be to have us come out of this work session with the agreement that we're going to fund this \$60,000 to prepare the site completely for Rip's statue. We've made prior decisions to move forward with the County to get the right-of-way. We got stuck in a loop where Rip was waiting for the City to make a decision on creating the park and we were waiting for Rip to say the statue would be going in. All we were doing was waiting on each other. The message from Rip is pretty clear, the statue will get done. I think the donation is a lot more than \$100,000.

Rip Caswell replied the \$100,000 is my cost out of pocket. If I was to do that for another City it would be \$200,000.

Councilor Allen stated I would support using Community Enhancement Fee money. I would support using grant money. I would support using Parks money provided that the PAC gives it a thumbs up. Or any combination thereof.

Mayor Daoust stated the PAC getting involved has been brought up before. I assume the question to put before the PAC would be, to give approval for \$60,000 to be funded by either the Parks

Department using a contingency transfer, or possibly from a grant from the new Community Enhancement Program. That's a little mushy because we haven't even talked about the Community Enhancement Program yet. It's premature to hand it over to the PAC when the Council hasn't even discussed the Community Enhancement Fund and how we're going to divvy up that money.

Councilor Wilson asked since this was brought up at the Budget meetings and wasn't voted to be put into the budget, is this really a contingency of an unknown expense? Since we did bring this up, it's a known expense, and the Budget Committee didn't pass it to be put in the budget. Now it's July 1st, we knew the expense was existing prior to July 1st, how can it be considered contingency.

Erich Mueller replied technically I think it would be considered contingency because as of this moment there has been no commitment made. There has been no decision made to make that type of an expenditure. It was a concept, a possibility. Certainly you can make the argument that it was something that was known.

Councilor White stated before we go too far, there seems to be a question of whether or not a park is allowed there.

Erich Mueller replied what I was trying to address in the staff report was the question about whether it qualified as an expenditure out of the Parks SDC Fund. It falls into a category that we're already oversubscribed in. Even if we amend the plan, we still can't justify expenditure out of the SDC fund because we're not enhancing capacity because we're already over capacity for that category of park. We could borrow from the Parks SDC fund to finance it, like we did to build the arch, but it doesn't qualify as an outright expenditure.

Mayor Daoust asked do you know how soon the PAC could address this? I'm still concerned about the timeline here.

Steve Gaschler replied I know they were going to take a month off and I don't recall if it was July or August.

Councilor Allen asked could they call one sooner to deal with this because of the time nature?

Steve Gaschler stated the PAC did have a discussion concerning this project but they didn't take any formal action that I know of.

Mayor Daoust asked would you say the PAC was in support of Visionary Park?

Rip Caswell replied yes, that's what I heard.

Councilor White asked if the City was willing to donate that land, willing to tear down the building, help out with surveying and use our expertise, or go down this path of spending \$60,000 to make it a park and building a base, what would be your preference?

Rip Caswell replied to have it ready for the sculpture. There's a lot of issues that are far beyond my scope and capacity. I'll spend the rest of my life making that property beautiful. My goal is to eventually gift it back to the City in some capacity. I want to leave a lasting legacy of beauty and

nature. That piece of ground is a gem. It houses an amazing amount of wildlife and flora and fauna. I want to be a good steward and I'd like to set it up that it's maintained in perpetuity. I plan to continue to develop it into a beautiful sculpture garden that is something to be really proud of.

Mayor Daoust stated if there's anyone in the audience that wishes to speak to us about this, you can come forward.

Diane Castillo White, Troutdale Resident, asked if you decided to spend the \$60,000, would that preclude you from getting any monies from the grants to replenish that supply or whatever other money might come in?

Mayor Daoust replied I don't think it would at all preclude us from pursuing grants, in fact it may count towards the match that a grant would require.

Diane Castillo White asked is it a possibility to ask a couple of developers around here if they'd be willing to do some of the work for free?

Mayor Daoust replied Rip has some connections with stone work people. Whether they'd be willing to do it for free, I can't say one way or the other.

Diane Castillo White stated I just mean like the ground work and excavation. Would that be an appropriate thing to ask, if a few people around town were interested in assisting?

Paul Wilcox, Troutdale Resident, stated my preference would be Councilor White's proposal. I'm personally opposed to the \$60,000 to create a Visionary Park in preparation of a private project.

Rob Canfield, Troutdale Resident, stated I did not vote to fund the arch, I voted for the City to take over the project because the Historical Society basically said they give up. I testified after I left the City Council and begged the City Council to get private donations for the arch and not to publicly fund the arch. I am opposed to spending \$60,000, I understand there's a lot of preparation for the statue itself. It's very gracious for my friend Rip to offer to donate the statue but I think the City and everybody hasn't taken enough time to look for other private sponsors to pay for the preparation of this piece of land from some of our business community. We have a lot of large corporations who I'm sure would be happy to see their name in perpetuity that they helped to prepare the site of the statue. We need to go a little bit farther in finding private donations for the \$60,000 or in-kind work as someone else has suggested so the City doesn't have to put forward hard earned tax payer dollars when I think there is plenty of private money out there to do it.

Len Otto, Boring Resident, stated I believe in Rip's project and here is a \$50 donation to go toward the statue.

Mayor Daoust stated I'm still concerned about the PAC and their timeline if we were to toss it to them to see how they would fund this. The Council does have the authority and I assume the will to determine how to fund this, or to just give direction to staff that we want to fund the \$60,000 without it going to the PAC. I'd prefer to send it to them but my only reservation is how long it'll take for them to get back to us.

Steve Gaschler replied their typical meeting is the 2nd Wednesday of each month.

Councilor Allen stated I just want to find out if it's a project that they favor or don't favor. The funding details aren't known yet.

Mayor Daoust asked can we call a special meeting of the PAC?

Steve Gaschler replied we can try. Staff will do whatever you ask us, the problem may be getting a quorum. We may have a difficult time on short notice. That's my only reservation.

Mayor Daoust stated we've heard that the PAC supports this project. Maybe we only need to ask about transferring from their contingency fund or borrowing from Parks SDC's.

Councilor Morgan stated the question that I would have is, if they're in support of spending \$60,000 from the Parks Fund depending on what we can get from grants?

Councilor Allen replied I would try grants or the Community Enhancement Fee.

Councilor Morgan stated we found out about this grant in February and we've been asking about it since then. The application for the grant takes a long time and to find out if it's been granted could be a long time. This is time sensitive. It was told to us that this grant specifically is very open and earmarked for things like this. They set aside a few million each session, each year for this specific process.

Mayor Daoust asked is there a way to poll the PAC via e-mail or phone to get some input rather than try to hold a special meeting with staff?

Steve Gaschler replied I think it would be reasonable to send an e-mail with information about the project and ask if they're supportive of it and supportive of using Parks Funds in combination with some possible grant funds and see what kind of response we get back. Once I get those back I can forward them to the whole Council. I would hope we could get that done in the next week or 2.

The Council gave staff direction to contact the PAC to see if they are in support of the Visionary Park project and also see if they support spending \$60,000 from either a contingency transfer or borrowing from the Parks SDC Fund to use while staff pursues grants and the Community Enhancement Fund to reimburse the \$60,000.

3. Discussion: Potential parking lot improvements for Property ID R320571.

Steve Gaschler, Acting City Manager, stated we've included 2 maps in your packet. In the 1st map we took out the trees to try to show you the topography of the property. The 2nd map has the trees on the property and a blue area that shows the 50 foot VECO area. I'm not sure if the Council was under the impression that all of that is the City's property but the City owned property is the square shown on the map on the south portion which is 100 feet deep by 8 feet wide. The next piece of property where the lift station is located is in the County right-of-way, the lift station is actually out in the County right-of-way. The property is pretty constrained. We've got VECO issues as well as flood elevation issue. We've got some real challenges to turn that into a parking area. If we tried to turn the City owned square into a parking lot where you can maneuver cars around, we'd be doing a lot of cutting and filling in the VECO and flood areas and it is really problematic. I'm not saying it can't be done but it'll take a lot of effort and a lot of bending some

rules to put a permanent parking lot in there. Len and I went out and walked the property last week. We talked about what it would take to be able to have some busses park there. We looked at putting a bus lane on the pavement, and there's about 12 feet you could work with. The County went over that with me today and they have some real safety concerns. If you park busses there and unload them then you'd have people crossing this fairly busy road and they didn't think putting a cross walk in was a good solution. We talked about moving the busses down to the front of the museum and unloading them there. They would be blocking part of the lane and the bicycle lane and they were not in favor of that at all. They said you probably have enough room on that property to make a pull out where they can unload out of the roadway. It'll impact the property a little bit, and push that sidewalk into the yard about 8 to 10 feet. It's their road and it's their jurisdiction. I'm not saying it can't be done but you picked a challenging piece of property to work with.

Councilor White stated I've previously brought up Glenn Otto Park. We would have seen this same map there where we put the bus station and bathrooms. It was in the flood plain, it was in the VECO, in a park, and look at it today. I'm even more encouraged after seeing this not realizing we had the additional land. When we purchased this land the statement was made that we wanted it to be used for something and not just green/open space. It could really help out during our busy season. We're banking on tourism and need places for them to park. What better spot than right across from 2 of our museums and possibly in the future, Visionary Park. I'd like to see an effort of how we can, instead of why we can't.

Mayor Daoust asked if we put a parking lot in here, what say does the County have as far as people crossing the street?

Steve Gaschler replied we would have to do the drawings and show them what we're doing and what our intent is and they'd have to issue that. They may dismiss it and say they don't think it's safe for people to be crossing that highway. It is a safety concern. If they go against the standards of the industry and an accident happens out there, they'll be held liable for it along with us. The Engineers that sign off on them have their name on the line and if they do something wrong they can lose their license and lose their ability to make a living. They'll be pretty cautious.

Mayor Daoust asked most likely they'd require a crossing?

Steve Gaschler replied they said they would not recommend a cross walk be built there. Crosswalks are for intersections and you don't see too many mid-block crossings unless you have a lot of people going across there. They doubted that a couple of busses being there would justify that.

Councilor Wilson asked what about redesigning Glenn Otto Park's parking to where you have bus parking only spots? It's not that far of a walk to get to those buildings. That would eliminate the need to build a whole new parking lot plus we've already got the lot build, we'd just need to re-line it for bus parking only.

Len Otto stated a significant portion of the people that we are attracting to this aren't quite able to walk that distance. That's the idea of needing the curb cut to allow unloading in front of the museum and I see nothing wrong with the bus parking elsewhere. The tourists aren't going to do any good unless they stop and linger. If they start at one end of town off of Exit 17 and drive on through to the highway, that isn't going to do Troutdale any good. I spent 4 years of my life,

thousands of hours of my time, I put my personal business aside, and I've donated \$1,500 to \$2,000 to this and I'm not even a resident. Troutdale needs this business. The people downtown need this business. If I can get them to stop at our museum then that's 1 place that they're going to linger. How we capitalize on that from there becomes someone else's problem because I've done my job.

Councilor White stated I wanted to remind everyone that from Drover's Trail, we were talking about connecting that to the 40 Mile Loop. The trail is actually along the east side of this road. We have to have a way for them to cross because the trail continues on up into Beavercreek Canyon and there is also Glenn Otto Park on that side of the road. I see this as a benefit not only for this Historic Highway event but all year long if we can create parking on that end of town.

Councilor Allen stated I agree that there's a number of visitors that walking isn't that easy anymore. Do you want to unload passengers in front of The Barn and then have the bus go somewhere else to stage for awhile until it's ready to pick passengers up again? If so, how many busses are we talking about?

Len Otto replied I think The Barn exhibit hall could handle about 2 bus loads at a time. Some of the busses are short and some are monstrous.

Councilor Allen asked would you need to stage them for awhile or would they be moving?

Len Otto replied they would need to be sitting there for 30-45 minutes at a time for people to see the exhibit.

Councilor Allen stated it looks like the City property could handle 1 bus. We would need part of the County property if we were going to try for 2 busses.

Steve Gaschler stated if we use our property we're probably going to be encroaching into the right-of-way for that bus parking for just a bus lane because of the topography. They don't have a problem parking 2 to 3 busses along there, that wasn't the issue. If we just do a bus lane we're staying out of the VECO issues but we still have the flood issue to deal with that. Their concern is getting the people across the road. That's where their suggestion of a drop off at the Museum comes from. The conversation I wanted us to have tonight was about the constraints, issues, and how much we want to spend. We have a short timeframe to turn this around and how much process are we going to be able to get through in that time to do Len any good. Once I hear from you what you want to look at building then we'll go back and start that process with the County to see if they're going to allow that.

Councilor Allen asked are there feasible turn around areas?

Steve Gaschler replied I think most likely Glenn Otto Park. The Tri-Met busses go through there all the time and get turned around so I don't know why we couldn't send these busses. I like the idea of maybe using Glenn Otto for parking but then on busy weekends I think it'll be hard to keep people out of the bus areas and then we'll have a policing issue. I don't know why they couldn't also turn around at the Depot Museum. Maybe that would be another place where they could stage and park. Craig said we own half of that parking lot and Eastwinds owns the other half.

Mayor Daoust asked if we want to put a parking lot in here we can, right? The County has no jurisdiction or say whether we put a parking lot in here or not.

Steve Gaschler replied if we just stick to our property which is 100 by 88 feet, you're not going to get any busses in and out of there.

Mayor Daoust stated I'm thinking for the long term, we could use an additional parking lot.

Steve Gaschler replied we'll have some real fill issues to deal with down there. I don't know how far we'll get with that.

Councilor Morgan asked Councilor White, this was your proposal instead of paying the \$5,000 for the donor party correct?

Councilor White replied I thought there was more of a need for this and also more of a citywide benefit.

Councilor Morgan asked in your opinion is this needed for the exhibit or in that area?

Len Otto replied we need something. What would you suggest if we're truly going to attract busses to this area when their first question is where can we park?

Councilor Morgan stated it would depend on the size. I know that there's been talk about having smaller tour busses that come through. They could go to Glenn Otto Park or by the Barn Museum.

Mayor Daoust stated I sense that we need more information such as cost and what it would take to do a bus turn out. We would have to re-route the sidewalks and I'm imagining it would be a substantial cost.

Councilor Wilson stated I think before we can do anything else we need more information from staff as far as costs. Also look into alternatives to parking across the street. Maybe park the bus down by the Depot Museum and when they are finished the bus can pull back out to go pick them up.

Mayor Daoust stated I kind of find it hard to believe that a bus can't park in front of the Barn Museum for 5 to 10 minutes at the most to unload people and then go park somewhere else. The County doesn't even want them stopping for 5 minutes to unload people?

Steve Gaschler replied their reply to that was you have room to get that bus out of the road, it's your property, you have control over it, we don't want to create an unsafe situation just to save some money. They don't want to be in court after there's been an accident saying we just wanted to save some money, sorry that accident occurred and someone got hurt. I think they're saying yes it's a short amount of time but it's a risky maneuver.

Councilor White stated it would be my preference to look for a safe crossing for that street since we're already bringing traffic from a trail with no safe way for them to currently cross.

Len Otto stated you don't have a true intersection between Kibling Avenue and Jackson Park Road, there is no crossing in that entire stretch.

Councilor Morgan stated I want to suggest that we give staff direction to pursue the feasibility of the cost for our specific property. Then I want to give direction to the Mayor to go give public testimony to the County Commissioners and urge them to work with us on this given the time sensitive nature of this project.

Mayor Daoust stated I don't think we've taken the parking lot off the table here so I think we need to get cost estimates for that.

Steve Gaschler asked do you envision the parking lot just being on the City owned property and using a pull out for the busses that go down along the county right-of-way?

The Council concurred with that.

Steve Gaschler stated it'll take me a little time to round up those figures. I wanted to find out what you wanted before I spent a lot of time chasing that down to find out that that wasn't even what you had in mind to start with. If we do move forward with this, having support from the County will be very helpful.

4. Adjourn

MOTION: Councilor Wilson moved to adjourn. Seconded by Councilor Morgan. Motion passed unanimously.

Meeting adjourned at 10:12pm.



Doug Daoust, Mayor

Dated: 8/26/15

ATTEST:



Sarah Skroch, Deputy City Recorder

CITY OF TROUTDALE

CITY COUNCIL – Regular Meeting & Work Session

Tuesday, June 23, 2015

PLEASE SIGN IN

Name – Please Print	Address	Phone #
David Becker	Troutdale	
Norm Thomas	Troutdale	
Ross Guin	Portland	
Tajana Heinze		
Craig Lichtenhaler		
Jon Rogers		
Kim Rosty	Portland	
Susan Howe	Troutdale	
Debbie Hardy	Portland	
Michael Stewart	Vancouver	
Michael Rosenberg		
Aaron Williams	Portland	
H.C. Fong	CITY OF TROUTDALE	
Shawn Anderson	city of Troutdale	
Deron Smith	Clackamas	
Joel Deal	Gresham	
Aaron McNALLY	Portland	
Karen Clausen	Troutdale	
Da Chen	Troutdale	
Della McAllister	Gresham	
Marilee Thompson	Troutdale	
John BISHOP	Portland	

Name - Please Print	Address	Phone #
Doug Lantz	Portland	
Diane Castillo-White		503-885-1405
Sushma Bagharan		
Tyler Woodard		
Shafiqah Ula.		
Thabbe SWP		
Anneke I Jones		
Jaimie Sorenson		
Rip Caswell		
Carol Allen		
Robert Lee	Trousdale	
Richard Goldie	T' DALE	503-661-0126
PAUL W/LOX	TROUSDALB	
Bruce Wain	TROUSDALB	

Meeting Date: 6-23-15

Page #: 2